

# **Systems Security Engineering Competency Model**

**A Working Example of the Proposed INCOSE  
SE Role-Based Competency Framework**

**Don S. Gelosh, Ph.D., CSEP-Acq**  
**Director, Systems Engineering Programs**  
**Worcester Polytechnic Institute**

**29 October 2014**

- This presentation describes the development of a Systems Security Engineering (SSE) Competency Model derived from the proposed INCOSE Systems Engineering Competency Framework.
- The INCOSE Competency Working Group (CWG) is conducting this project in collaboration with the NDIA SE Division's Education and Training Committee to develop a common approach to the definition of an overall SE Competency Framework.
- Due to its importance and relevance, it was clear that an SSE competency model would make an excellent initial example.

# Objectives

- Start a dialogue with the SSE Committee on developing an SSE Competency Model.
- Describe the proposed INCOSE Competency Framework.
- Describe how to derive competency models.
- Show how the proposed SSE competencies fit into the competency model.
- Show how the SSE competencies interrelate and drive the necessary education and training to produce successful Systems Security Engineers.
- Discuss next steps for a more complete development of an SSE Competency Model and development of future SE-based competency models for areas such as Health Care, Transportation, Bio-Engineering, and Energy.

# INCOSE SE Role-Based Competency Framework Taxonomy

<b>SE Role</b>		A collection of interrelated and interdependent activities assigned to a person in a contextual environment such as Systems Engineering
 <b>Activity</b>		A specified pursuit defined by a set of essential functions and desired outcomes that enable the successful accomplishment of one's role
 <b>Class</b>		A grouping of closely related competencies considered essential to an individual's ability to successfully perform an activity
 <b>Competency</b>		An observable and measurable pattern of knowledge, skills, abilities, behaviors, and other characteristics that an individual needs to successfully perform an activity
 <b>Description and Why It Matters</b>		A depiction of the competency that clearly defines its essential function, desired outcomes and reasons for why the competency is needed
 <b>Knowledge Skills Abilities Behaviors</b>		The measurable characteristics of proficiency that make up a competency

# INCOSE SE Role-Based Competency Framework Architecture



- Each SE Role consists of one or more SE Activities.
- Each SE Activity consists of one or more competencies from the following Classes (Version 0.5):
  - C1: Technical Processes
  - C2: Technical Management
  - C3: Analytical
  - C4: Professional
- Each Competency consists of:
  - Competency Description
  - Why it Matters
  - Associated set of Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, and Behaviors, described at Five Levels of Proficiency

# INCOSE SE Role-Based Competency Framework Architecture

- Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, and Behaviors can be acquired through Education, Training, Experiences, and Cultural Immersion.
- Five Levels of Proficiency:
  - Awareness
  - Supervised Practitioner
  - Practitioner
  - Senior Practitioner (*Proposed New Level*)
  - Expert
- This architecture approach allows us to map any SE Role into a set of clearly defined knowledge, skills, abilities and behaviors at the appropriate levels of proficiency.



# Systems Engineering Roles Framework

## Role – Title of the Role

**Role Description:** explains the role and provides meaning to the role

**Why it matters:** indicates the importance and value of the role and the problems that may be encountered in the absence of that role

List of Activities	Activity Description	Class	Competency	Recommended Proficiency Level
Name of the activity	Explains the activity, the value of the activity and how it supports the role.	Technical Processes	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Technical Management	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Analytical	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Professional	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
Name of the activity	Explains the activity, the value of the activity and how it supports the role.	Technical Processes	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Technical Management	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Analytical	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level
		Professional	Competency Title	Proficiency Level
			Competency Title	Proficiency Level

# Systems Engineering Competency Model - Proficiency Level Table

## COMPETENCY AREA – Class: Competency

**Description:** explains the competency and provides meaning behind the title.

**Why it matters:** indicates the importance of the competency and the problems that may be encountered in the absence of that competency.

## EFFECTIVE INDICATORS OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE

<b>AWARENESS</b>	<b>SUPERVISED PRACTITIONER</b>	<b>PRACTITIONER</b>	<b>SENIOR PRACTITIONER</b>	<b>EXPERT</b>
The person is able to understand the key issues and their implications. They are able to ask relevant and constructive questions on the subject.	The person displays an understanding of the subject but requires guidance and supervision.	The person displays detailed knowledge of the subject and is capable of providing guidance and advice to others.	The person displays both in-depth and broad knowledge of the subject based on practical experience.  The person is capable of leading others to create and evaluate solutions to complex problems in the subject.	The person displays extensive and substantial practical experience and applied knowledge of the subject.

# ***Systems Security Engineering Competency Model Examples***

# Systems Engineering Role-Based Competency Model

## Role – System Security Engineering

**Role Description:** System Security Engineering (SSE) is a specialty engineering discipline within Systems Engineering (SE) focused on ensuring a system can function under disruptive conditions associated with misuse and malicious behavior. SSE involves a disciplined application of SE principles in analyzing threats and vulnerabilities to systems and assessing and mitigating risk to the information assets of the system during its lifecycle. It applies a blend of technology, management principles and practices, and operational rules to ensure sufficient protections are available to the system at all times.<sup>1</sup>

**Why it matters:** Appropriate SSE activities are needed because an adversary may attempt to sabotage, maliciously introduce unwanted function, or otherwise subvert the design, integrity, manufacturing, production, distribution, installation, operation, or maintenance of a covered system so as to surveil, deny, disrupt, or otherwise degrade the function, use, or operation of such system.

Activity	Activity Description	Class	Competency	Recommended Proficiency Level
Cybersecurity	Cybersecurity is the collection of tools, policies, security concepts, security safeguards, guidelines, risk management approaches, actions, training, best practices, assurance and technologies that can be used to protect the cyber environment and organization and user's assets. Organization and user's assets include connected computing devices, personnel, infrastructure, applications, services, telecommunications systems, and the totality of transmitted and/or stored information in the cyber environment. <sup>2</sup>	Technical Processes	Security Requirements	Senior Practitioner
			Secure Architecture Design	Expert
		Technical Management	Technical Risk Management	Senior Practitioner
			Enterprise Integration	Senior Practitioner
		Analytical	Mathematics/Logic/Quantitative Analysis	Senior Practitioner
			Reliability, Maintainability, and Availability Analysis	Senior Practitioner
		Professional	Leadership	Senior Practitioner
			Critical Thinking	Expert

<sup>1</sup> Dove, R, Popick, P, and Wilson, E. 2013. The Buck Stops Here: Systems Engineering is Responsible for System Security. Insight Theme Issue Essay Collection 16 (2). International Council on Systems Engineering, July 2013.

<sup>2</sup> Recommendation ITU-T X.1205 (2008), *Overview of Cybersecurity*

## Systems Security Engineering Competency Model – Proficiency Level Table

### COMPETENCY AREA – Security Requirements<sup>1</sup>

**Description:** Security Requirements define the *measurable* active and passive protection capability, behavior, performance, and parameters that constitute a system deemed “secure” in specific operational environments for a given set of use-cases, given the mission/business system requirements and associated constraints. Security requirements enable a balanced, secure and optimum result that considers all stakeholder requirements and the means and methods to verify and validate the system implementation.

**Why it matters:** Security is the “enduring state” of an operational system and is achieved by employment of protection mechanism and procedures allocated to the combination of technical, physical/environmental, and human system elements. Security requirements define the “enduring state” and how it is achieved and maintained across all system elements throughout the entire system life cycle. Security requirements are the basis for secure architecture and design, drive implementation and integration, and are the basis for continual verification and validation.

### EFFECTIVE INDICATORS OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE

AWARENESS	SUPERVISED PRACTITIONER	PRACTITIONER	SENIOR PRACTITIONER	EXPERT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understands the principles, methods, and practices of stakeholder requirements definition and requirements analysis processes.</li> <li>• Understand the difference in stakeholder (design independent capability requirements) and system (design dependent requirements) and their relationship.</li> <li>• Understands the various attributes/properties that underlie protection and security across the system lifecycle.</li> <li>• Aware of the difference in security requirement, security policy, and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to use requirements elicitation techniques to gather information to ascertain the need for protection and what constitutes being secure.</li> <li>• Able to transform stakeholder requirements into system design security requirements.</li> <li>• Able to support security requirements analysis and security requirements trade-offs.</li> <li>• Able to perform security requirements traceability and coverage analysis.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to generate alternative sets of security requirements that satisfy the protection need using different technologies and methods.</li> <li>• Able to assess a range of security requirements oriented to a protection need and justify the selection of the optimum solution.</li> <li>• Able to define a process and appropriate tools and techniques for developing security requirements.</li> <li>• Able to choose appropriate requirements elicitation, analysis, and selection techniques.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to help others understand security requirements development techniques, their relationship with other system quality requirements, and their appropriateness at different levels of complexity and levels of assurance.</li> <li>• Able to evaluate appropriate processes, tools and techniques for developing security requirements.</li> <li>• Has contributed to novel techniques and ideas in this field.</li> <li>• Able to supervise and guide practitioners.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can demonstrate a full understanding of security requirements, their relationship with other system quality properties, and their appropriateness, given the levels of complexity and assurance of the system of interest.</li> <li>• Reviews and judges the necessity, completeness, coverage, and effectiveness of the security requirements in providing protection at the level of assurance required for the system of interest.</li> <li>• Has coached new practitioners and senior practitioners in this field.</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> Courtesy of Michael A. McEvelley.

## Systems Security Engineering Competency Model – Proficiency Level Table

### COMPETENCY AREA – Secure Architecture Design<sup>1,2</sup>

**Description:** Secure Architecture Design is the definition of the system architecture and derived requirements to produce a solution that is secure and can be implemented to enable a balanced, secure and optimum result that considers all stakeholder requirements. Systems security engineering, as part of the Architectural Design Process: (i) identifies and examines one or more security architectural design and implementation strategies; and (ii) identifies, minimizes, and contains the impact of vulnerabilities, taking into account susceptibility to threats and stakeholder risk tolerance.

**Why it matters:** Effective secure architectural design enables systems to be partitioned into realizable system elements which can be brought together to meet the requirements. A security architectural design solution that provides a sufficient level of trustworthiness is defined in terms of the security requirements for the security-relevant system elements. The protections specified by the security design requirements are iteratively refined into security specifications and security procedures from which the system is implemented, configured, operated, and maintained.

### EFFECTIVE INDICATORS OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE

AWARENESS	SUPERVISED PRACTITIONER	PRACTITIONER	SENIOR PRACTITIONER	EXPERT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understands the principles of secure architectural design and its role within the lifecycle.</li> <li>• Aware of the different types of secure architectures.</li> <li>• Aware that secure architectural decisions can constrain and limit future use and evolution.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to use techniques to support secure architectural design process.</li> <li>• Able to support secure architectural design trade-offs.</li> <li>• Able to contribute to alternative secure architectural designs that are traceable to the requirements.</li> <li>• Able to interpret a secure architectural design.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to generate alternative secure architectural designs that are traceable to the requirements.</li> <li>• Able to assess a range of secure architectural designs and justify the selection of the optimum solution.</li> <li>• Able to define a process and appropriate tools and techniques for secure architectural design.</li> <li>• Able to choose appropriate analysis and selection techniques.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Able to help others understand secure architectural design techniques and their appropriateness at different levels of complexity.</li> <li>• Able to evaluate appropriate processes, tools and techniques for secure architectural design.</li> <li>• Has contributed to novel techniques and ideas in this field.</li> <li>• Able to supervise and guide practitioners.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Can demonstrate a full understanding of secure architectural design techniques and their appropriateness, given the levels of complexity of the system of interest.</li> <li>• Reviews and judges the security and suitability of security architecture designs.</li> <li>• Has coached new practitioners and senior practitioners in this field.</li> <li>• Has championed the introduction of novel techniques and ideas in this</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> Derived from INCOSE UK Competency Framework, 2010.

<sup>2</sup> NIST Special Publication 800-160, Initial Public Draft, Systems Security Engineering: *An Integrated Approach to Building Trustworthy Resilient Systems*, May 2014.

## Systems Security Engineering Competencies Model – Proficiency Level Table

### COMPETENCY AREA – Software Assurance Assessment

**Description:** Software Assurance Assessment provides a level of confidence that software is free from vulnerabilities, either intentionally designed into the software or accidentally inserted at any time during its life cycle, and that the software functions in the intended manner.

**Why it matters:** Most critical functions that support systems developed for national defense to banking to healthcare to telecommunications to aviation to control of hazardous materials depend on the correct, predictable operation of software. It is crucial to assess the software systems and determine the resultant levels of confidence. If these functions do not have the necessary level of assurance, they could be seriously disrupted and may result in loss of mission or life if the underlying software-intensive systems fail.<sup>1</sup>

### EFFECTIVE INDICATORS OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE<sup>1</sup>

AWARENESS	SUPERVISED PRACTITIONER	PRACTITIONER	SENIOR PRACTITIONER	EXPERT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possesses technical knowledge and skills, typically gained through a certificate or an associate degree program, or equivalent knowledge and experience.</li> <li>• May be employed in a system operator, implementer, tester, or maintenance position with specific individual tasks assigned by someone at a higher level.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possesses application-based knowledge and skills and entry-level professional effectiveness, typically gained through a bachelor’s degree in computing or through equivalent professional experience.</li> <li>• May manage a small internal project; supervise and assign sub-tasks; supervise and assess system operations; and implement commonly accepted assurance practices.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possesses breadth and depth of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness beyond Supervised Practitioner level.</li> <li>• Typically has two to five years of professional experience.</li> <li>• May set plans, tasks, and schedules for in-house projects; define and manage such projects and supervise teams on the enterprise level; report to management; assess the assurance quality of a system; implement and promote commonly accepted software assurance assessment practices.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possesses breadth and depth of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness and a variety of work experiences with 5 to 10 years of professional experience and advanced professional development at the master’s level or with equivalent education and training.</li> <li>• May identify and explore effective software assurance assessment practices for implementation, manage large projects, interact with external agencies, and so forth.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possesses breadth and depth of knowledge, skills, and effectiveness beyond Senior Practitioner.</li> <li>• Advances the field by developing, modifying, and creating methods, practices, and principles at the organizational level or higher.</li> <li>• Has peer/industry recognition indicated by a nice paperweight.</li> <li>• Typically includes a low percentage of an organization’s workforce within the SwA profession (e.g., 2 % or less).</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> Derived from Karen Mercedes, Theodore Winograd, “Enhancing The Development Life Cycle To Produce Secure Software”, Data & Analysis Center for Software, October 2008.

# Conclusion

- Fitting the Systems Security Engineering competencies into the framework was a challenge, but doable.
- The framework enables you to think systematically in terms of relationships between roles, activities, competencies, proficiency levels and the underlying knowledge, skills, abilities and behaviors.
- We demonstrated that it is possible to develop a Competency Model for Systems Security Engineering using the proposed INCOSE Competency Framework.
- We would like to keep this effort moving forward.

- We would like to develop a more complete Systems Security Engineering Competency Model – looking for expertise.
- We're also looking for collaborators to help us develop SE-based competency models for areas such as:
  - Health Care
  - Transportation
  - Bio-Engineering
  - Energy

# Questions?



# *Don's Contact Info*

---



**Don S. Gelosh, Ph.D., CSEP-Acq**  
**Director, Systems Engineering Programs**  
**Worcester Polytechnic Institute**

Corporate and Professional Education

540-349-3949

dsgelosh@wpi.edu

cpe.wpi.edu

# *Back Up Slides*

**We propose a evolution of the current INCOSE UK Competencies Framework along four paths of development and growth:**

- 1. Evolve to an SE role-based competency framework that is extensible, scalable, and tailorable by the customer organization.**
- 2. Evolve by adding the concept of classes. This is where the competencies achieve their interdependence with each other.**
- 3. Evolve by ensuring there is a Professional Class that covers leadership and soft skill competencies.**
- 4. Evolve by adding a new level of proficiency called the Senior Practitioner to the existing four levels in the INCOSE UK Competencies Framework.**

# Concept of Classes

- **The concept of classes was introduced to help categorize and group the competencies.**
- **More importantly, the concept of classes enables competencies to be viewed as interrelated and interdependent when they support an SE activity.**
- **The concept of classes also helps to ensure that all the appropriate competencies are considered for a particular SE activity.**
- **A class is defined as a grouping of closely related competencies considered essential to an individual's ability to successfully perform an activity.**
- **Any SE activity must therefore consist of several interrelated and interdependent competencies.**

# Class Definitions (V 0.5)

- Technical Processes – competencies required to perform fundamental SE activities
- Technical Management – competencies required to plan, assess and control the technical effort
- Analytical – competencies required to develop inputs for decisions or to inform fundamental SE activities
- Professional – non-technical competencies required to enable systems engineers to effectively and efficiently achieve objectives in the organizational context

# Current Proficiency Levels

- **Awareness Level:**
  - *The person is able to understand the key issues and their implications. They are able to ask relevant and constructive questions on the subject.*
- **Supervised Practitioner Level:**
  - *The person displays an understanding of the subject but requires guidance and supervision.*
- **Practitioner Level:**
  - *The person displays detailed knowledge of the subject and is capable of providing guidance and advice to others.*

Source: INCOSE UK Competencies Framework (INCOSE UK, 2010)

- **Senior Practitioner Level (*Proposed New Level*):**
  - *The person displays both in-depth and broad knowledge of the subject based on practical experience and is capable of leading others to create and evaluate solutions to complex problems in the subject.*
- **Expert Level:**
  - *The person displays extensive and substantial practical experience and applied knowledge of the subject.*

Source: INCOSE UK Competencies Framework (INCOSE UK, 2010)

# Senior Practitioner Proficiency Level

- **Those who achieve Senior Practitioner Level would have:**
  - An in-depth and broad knowledge of the particular SE competency
  - Ability to lead others in solving complex problems utilizing the competency
- **Senior Practitioner Level provides a bridge that makes it easier to transition from the Practitioner level to the Expert level.**

Source: INCOSE UK Competencies Framework (INCOSE UK, 2010)