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ABSTRACT
Reasoning and learning are the most powerful intellectual functions. It is not easy to emulate them. Main problem is determined by nature of reasoning that is based on computation with words instead of computation with numbers. There are a lot of different approaches to the knowledge representation in the agent’s knowledge base. The most important languages of knowledge representation are preposition logic and predicate logic. Design of the agent models of intentional (conscious) and unintentional (unconscious) reasoning (intuition) with multi-knowledge base structure based on preposition and predicate logic, learning and heuristic generation are topics of this discussion.

Keywords: agent, design, learning, reasoning, preposition logic, predicate logic, knowledge base, rules of reasoning, application rule, hypothesis, intuition, multi-KB system.

APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM SOLUTION
Reasoning, as we know, is the process of drawing conclusion from facts. There is a lot of research dedicated to the problems of reasoning and the agent structure design [1,2,4,6]. All of them are based on representation of knowledge as rule-based, semantic net or frame structure knowledge base. These knowledge bases (KB) include just knowledge of application (AKB) (domain oriented KB). Rules of reasoning are applied on AKB in different ways for different agents. The theorem prover is the representative of the system with reasoning but it is design without of the KB. Most of the existing systems with reasoning are not universal theorem prover (http://www-formal.stanford.edu/clt/ARS/Entries/ac12). These systems are based on rules of reasoning and don’t work with application knowledge ether. Some of them, like ACL2, are designed as multi-KB systems. However, all these systems are based just on preposition logic. The most interesting result in the area of reasoning is the Jess language (Jess, the Java Expert System Shell, http://herzberg.ca.sandia.gov/jess/demo.html). This system is not the multi-KB system and has just one KB-AKB. Information is presented by predicate logic. Rules of reasoning are incorporated into source code. Idea of a multi-KB in search engines also was described by Dr. Lotfi Zadeh in “The Prototype-Centered Approach to Adding Deduction Capability to Search Engines- The Concept of Protoform” (BISC letter, 21 Dec 2001) http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/People/Faculty/Homepages/zadeh.html. In this letter: “The deduction database is assumed to consist of logical database and a computational database, with the rules of deduction…”

Multi-KB structure is only the possible way to increase level of universality of the agent up to the level of AI system [5]. Separation of AKB and RKB from the program converts a conventional system into system with ability to learn, creates conditions for teaching the system through delivery new rules of application by an expert in area of application and reasoning without knowledge of programming. It is important step from a conventional system to the AI system. New rules should have the same structure as existing rules. New processes can be added as new program modules. Multi-KB structure creates conditions to design a system with ability to generate rules as possible hypothesis in the AKB (Fig.8). The first KB is application knowledge base-AKB; the second one is a reasoning KB-RKB. RKB has rules of reasoning. RKB is universal KB. It can be used with different AKB. The number of areas of application or number of Goals determines the number of AKB. The Double-KB structure of a system is shown on Fig.1. The process of reasoning is shown on Fig. 2. Complicated application rules should be decomposed to simple structure by rules of reasoning (And-Elimination rule-RR on Fig.2) application.

Process of reasoning in preposition logic is determined by terms of application rules (AR). Process of reasoning in predicate logic is determined by predicate of application rules (AR). Choice of rules of reasoning (RR) is determined by the structure of the application rule. New knowledge is generated by application rules to the World Model (WM). Technically a
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process of reasoning can be described as the chain of steps:

**Data-AR activation—AR application to the WM (testing all chains of related knowledge)—RR activation**

Fig. 3 shows four-steps algorithm. Fig. 4 shows Forward-chain algorithm of reasoning that is based on rules RR15-RR17. Application of the rules RR1-RR14 is not shown. Fig. 6 presents realization of multi-KB structure in the systems with preposition and predicate logic. Fig. 7 presents realization of multi-KB structure in the systems with INTUITION. Definition and nature of intuition is described in [5]. Discover of the Dr. Ben Seymour (neuroscientist from London University College [http://news.bbc.co.uk/go/pr/fr/-/hi/health/3791357.stm]) supports this hypothesis.

![Diagram of double-KB system structure](image.png)

**Preposition and Predicate Logic Rules of Reasoning**

There is limited set of reasoning rules in preposition logic [1-5]:

- **RR1. Implication Elimination:** $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ (modus ponens-mp) (IF $\alpha$ is in DB THEN $\beta \Rightarrow$ true)
- **RR2. And Elimination:** $\alpha_1 \land \alpha_2 \land \cdots \land \alpha_n \Rightarrow $ LIST($\alpha_i$), LIST($\alpha_i$) = $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_n$
  
  $\text{con}(\alpha_i) \Rightarrow \text{LIST}(\alpha_i)$, $[i=1,n]$

- **RR3. And-Introduction:** $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \land \alpha_2 \land \cdots \land \alpha_n$
  
  LIST($\alpha_i$) \Rightarrow con($\alpha_i$), $[i=1,n]$

- **RR4. Or-Introduction:** LIST($\alpha_i$) $\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \lor \alpha_2 \lor \alpha_3 \lor \cdots \lor \alpha_n$
  
  $\alpha_i = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_n$

  LIST($\alpha_i$) $\Rightarrow \text{dis}(\alpha_i)$, $[i=1,n]$

- **RR5. Double-Negation Elimination:** $\neg \neg \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha$

- **RR6. Unit Resolution:** $\alpha \lor \beta \land \neg \beta \Rightarrow \alpha$

- **RR7. Resolution:** $\alpha \lor \beta \land \beta \lor \gamma \Rightarrow \alpha \lor \gamma$

- **RR8. Universal Elimination:** $\forall \nu \alpha(\nu) \Rightarrow \alpha(g)$, (from DB: $\nu = g$)

- **RR9. Existential Elimination:** $\exists \nu \alpha(\nu) \Rightarrow \alpha(g)$, (from DB: $\nu = g$)

- **RR10. Existential Introduction:** $\alpha(g) \Rightarrow \exists \nu \alpha(\nu)$, (from DB: $\nu = g$)

- **RR11. DeMorgan Laws**

- **RR12 Universal Generalization:** $(\forall x) P(x)$

- **RR13 Existential Generalization:** $(\exists x) P(x)$

- **RR14 Rules of induction:** $P(1)=T$
  
  $(\forall k) \{ [P(k)=T] \Rightarrow [P(k+1)=T] \} \Rightarrow P(n) \Rightarrow T$

- **RR15 Associative law**
This set of rules creates the universal RKB.

Example of the process of reasoning.
Suppose, DB initially includes facts A, B, C, D, and E, and AKB contains application rules:

AR1: IF Y is true AND S is true AND D is true THEN Z is true

AR2: IF X is true AND B is true AND E is true THEN Y is true

AR3: IF A is true THEN X is true

AR4: IF P is true THEN S ∧ B ∧ W is true

RR: IF S and B and W is true THEN S is true

Fig. 2 An inference (forward) chain in a system based on proposition logic.

For proposition logic Forward chaining (data-driven reasoning)

Fig. 3 The system structure and algorithm. IDR-internal data representation, WM-World Model, AKB-application knowledge base, DB-Data base (external data representation), RKB-reasoning knowledge base
SYSTEMS ARE BASED ON PREDICATE LOGIC

Syntax in predicate logic can be presented as: PREDICATE (LIST OF TERMS - OBJECTS)

PREDICATES: RELATIONSHIP, PROPERTIES, and FUNCTIONS.

Suppose, the following facts in the predicate Logic using meaningful predicates and functions rules.

Rules of application

1) Anyone sane does not teach an AI course.
   \( \forall x \text{sane}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{AIInstructor}(x) \)

2) Every circus elephant is a genius.
   \( \forall x \text{CircusElephant}(x) \rightarrow \text{genius}(x) \)

3) Nothing is both male and a circus elephant.
   \( \neg \forall x \text{Male}(x) \iff \text{CircusElephant}(x) \)

4) Anything not male is female.
   \( \neg \forall x \text{Male}(x) \iff \text{Female}(x) \)

Data

1) Clyde is not an AI instructor.
   \( \neg \text{AIInstructor}(\text{Clyde}) \)

5) Clyde is a circus elephant.
   \( \text{CircusElephant}(\text{Clyde}) \)

Determine state of the following is true, false or cannot be determined based on the application rules:
Clyde is a genius.

Rules of reasoning include all rules of reasoning based on preposition logic and set of rules that are specific to the predicate logic:

RR16. Find all atomic sentences that related to the first term in DB

RR17. Find all atomic sentences with conclusion that related to the predicate of the result of RR1 action

RR18. Check each of them against solution question.

ALGORITHM OF REASONING

Proof that Clyde is a genius:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\neg \text{AIInstructor}(\text{Clyde}) \\
&\forall x \text{sane}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{AIInstructor}(x) \\
&\forall x \text{CircusElephant}(x) \rightarrow \text{genius}(x) \\
&\neg \forall x \text{Male}(x) \iff \text{CircusElephant}(x) \\
&\text{Male}(\text{Clyde}) \\
&\text{Genius}(\text{Clyde})
\end{align*}
\]

RESULT

Yes

Fig.4 shows Forward-chain algorithm of reasoning based on rules RR15-RR17. Action of the RR1-RR14 is not shown.
Step 3 -- to see Dukky's learning process

- You can click 'PAUSE' button anytime to view what Dukky has learned.
- Also you can see which Rule being used, and the Total Number of Data.
- Click 'PLAY' button to resume the game, and you may PAUSE again later.

Fig.5 “Wumpus World” model (preposition logic).
Fig. 6. This system (predicate logic language) is based on the algorithm presented by Fig. 4.
Fig 7. Modeling of INTUITION.
Fig 8. Hypotheses generator. First 5 expressions are basic knowledge in math, rest of the expressions are generated as the heuristics to mach to the presented set of numbers. Analyzer shows correct expression. This system has a very limited basic math knowledge.
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