The PESHE –
A Reviewer’s Perspective

Abstract 8279

NDIA Environment, Energy, and Sustainability Symposium - Acquisition Track
Denver, Colorado

Sam Brown, Alion Science & Technology
Kimberly Dauterman, Booz | Allen | Hamilton

4 May 2009
The PESHE - A Reviewer’s Perspective

Alion Science & Technology, 1750 Tysons Boulevard Suite 1300, McLean, VA, 22102

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Presented at the NDIA Environment, Energy Security & Sustainability (E2S2) Symposium & Exhibition held 4-7 May 2009 in Denver, CO.

Security Classification: unclassified
Purpose of Presentation

Situations that Drive Information in the PESHE

What is the “Reviewer’s Perspective”

Some Indicators of an Effective ESOH Effort

Some “Fatal” Flaws for PESHEs

Some Examples from Real PESHEs
Purpose of Presentation

- PESHE requirements and expectations have evolved
- Content of PESHEs and Service emphasis on ESOH has lagged
- Current circumstances and initiatives demand more attention on meeting requirements and expectations

Several related presentations being given during the symposium will complement this discussion
Situations that Drive Information in the PESHE

- Preventable accidents of any kind reduce readiness and combat effectiveness.
  - May 03: SECDEF memo to reduce mishaps by 50%
  - Spawned three USD(AT&L) memos on ESOH processes and risk reporting
- Basing weapon systems is complicated by environmental impacts on the community
  - Jan 09: SECAF/CSAF directed review to improve basing processes including early involvement by program offices
- Future regulation of currently non-regulated chemicals as potential to impact readiness and operation
  - Apr 09: OSD memo directs elimination of Cr6+ from systems when possible; PEO approval when elimination not feasible

Policy and guidance for ESOH hazard identification, risk assessment, and risk acceptance within the PESHE already addresses each of these areas.
What is the “Reviewer’s Perspective”

Does the PESHE address what policy requires?

- Identification of ESOH Responsibilities
- Strategy for integrating ESOH into the SE Process
- Identification of ESOH Risks and their Status
- Method of tracking hazards throughout the life cycle
- Identification of Hazmats, wastes, and pollutants (discharges/emissions/noise); plans for minimization/disposal
- NEPA Compliance Schedule

Source: DoDI 5000.02, Enclosure 12, Paragraph 6
Some Indicators of an Effective ESOH Effort

- Identification of ESOH Responsibilities
  - Personnel with experience in each of the ESOH areas (i.e., E, S, and OH) as part of the ESOH effort
  - A description of how E, S, & OH personnel will work together as co-equal partners and who is responsible for ensuring the efforts are integrated
  - A description of the contractor’s role and the Government’s role in the ESOH effort and how they will interface, including the Government responsibility for risk acceptance
  - A description of how the ESOH effort will interface with the Human System Integration effort in the overlapping areas of interest
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- Strategy for integrating ESOH into the SE Process
  - A description of how the ESOH effort will be integrated into the overall Systems Engineering effort, including risk mitigation measures that require engineering solutions
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- Identification of ESOH Risks and their Status
  - Discussion of potential risks and known risk areas of legacy systems
  - Initially a plan for, and later the specific identification of, ESOH risk and their current status
- Method of tracking hazards throughout the life cycle
  - Plans for incorporation of newly identified hazards/risks throughout the life of the program
  - Discussion of hazard tracking and mitigation effectiveness during sustainment
- Identification of Hazmats, etc.
  - A discussion of any major issues anticipated/identified posed by discharges, emissions, or noise. Where are hazmats, wastes, and pollutants identified?
- NEPA Compliance Schedule
  - A thoughtful identification of system-related NEPA actions with the time-frame and proponents identified
  - The program office approach for communicating system-specific information relevant to NEPA actions by other proponents
Some “Fatal” Flaws for MS B PESHEs

- Reciting the OSD requirements for a PESHE without a discussion of how those requirements will be implemented by the program
- Following outdated formats for content
- Failure to discuss plans for conducting appropriate Hazard Analyses
- Asserting that the system poses no hazards
- Failure to define a risk matrix tailored to the program
- Describing separate efforts for “System Safety” and for “ESOH”
- Invoking a Categorical Exclusion that pre-dates the PESHE, any system design, or hazard analyses
Some “Fatal” Flaws for MS C (and Later) PESHEs

- Extensive discussion of system description with little discussion of results
- Failure to adjust the “plan” in the Milestone B PESHE to accommodate changing ESOH policy
- No discussion or evidence of completed hazard analyses (especially when the PESHE states that such hazard analyses will be conducted)
- Hazards and their associated risks/status are not identified
- Failure to discuss the plan for accepting risks prior to testing events
Some Examples from Real PESHEs

- Using COTS hardware as justification for no hazard analysis
  - “[program name] is being developed and deployed using COTS hardware and COTS/GOTS software that does not contain nor expose users to any hazardous materials (HAZMAT) under normal use.”

- The total explanation for how ESOH would be integrated in the SE process
  - “The Program Manager is responsible for integrating an effective ESOH program over the life cycle of the [program name] Program.”

- Assuming responsibility for contractor’s internal safety program
  - “[program name] policy is to provide safety, health and environmental training as required by law and/or contractual requirement, to ensure that employees can perform their tasks in a safe manner, and for employee education and awareness. Employee training is primarily the responsibility of line management (supervision) with participation from [program office] ES&H [IPT] when appropriate.”
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