IDENTIFICATION OF 1.4 MILLION ACTIVE GALACTIC NUCLEI IN THE MID-INFRARED USING WISE DATA


U.S. Naval Observatory, 3450 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20392, USA

Received 2015 June 14; accepted 2015 September 22; published 2015 October 28

ABSTRACT

We present an all-sky sample of \( \approx 1.4 \) million active galactic nuclei (AGNs) meeting a two-color infrared photometric selection criteria for AGNs as applied to sources from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer final catalog release (ALLWISE). We assess the spatial distribution and optical properties of our sample and find that the results are consistent with expectations for AGNs. These sources have a mean density of \( \approx 38 \) AGNs per square degree on the sky, and their apparent magnitude distribution peaks at \( g \approx 20 \), extending to objects as faint as \( g \approx 26 \). We test the AGN selection criteria against a large sample of optically identified stars and determine the “leakage” (that is, the probability that a star detected in an optical survey will be misidentified as a quasi-stellar object (QSO) in our sample) rate to be \( \approx 4.0 \times 10^{-5} \). We conclude that our sample contains almost no optically identified stars (\( \approx 0.041\% \)), making this sample highly promising for future celestial reference frame work as it significantly increases the number of all-sky, compact extragalactic objects. We further compare our sample to catalogs of known AGNs/QSOs and find a completeness value of \( \approx 84\% \) (that is, the probability of correctly identifying a known AGN/QSO is at least 84%) for AGNs brighter than a limiting magnitude of \( R \approx 19 \). Our sample includes approximately \( 1.1 \) million previously uncataloged AGNs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF) is the realization, at radio wavelengths, of the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS), the solar-barycentric, quasi-inertial fundamental reference system adopted by the International Astronomical Union (Arias et al. 1995). The second realization, ICRF2, consists of 3414 compact radio objects (i.e., quasi-stellar objects (QSOs)), of which 295 are “defining sources” (Fey et al. 2015). These QSOs can be nearly ideal reference frame objects, as they present no significant parallax or proper motion and, when properly selected, they have minimal spatial structure or variability. Using Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) techniques, ICRF2 defined source position errors have an estimated noise floor of 40 \( \mu \)as. Plans for the third realization of the ICRF (ICRF3), planned for 2018, focus on increasing the density of the source catalog and improving the spatial uniformity (especially at negative declinations), improving the astrometric accuracy of the non-defining sources to bring them close to the accuracy of the defining sources, and extending the ICRF to higher frequencies to reduce the effects of source structure on position (Jacobs & ICRF3, 2014).

Much of the motivation for this improvement to the radio reference frame is driven by the European Space Agency’s (ESA’s) Gaia mission, launched in 2013. Gaia is a space-based, astrometric, photometric, and radial velocity all-sky survey at optical wavelengths. Over the next few years, Gaia will deliver astrometric catalogs that are expected to be adopted as the next optical representation of the fundamental reference frame. Unlike its predecessor, Hipparcos, which was limited to observing stars only, Gaia, with its limiting magnitude of \( V \approx 20 \), will directly observe hundreds of thousands of extragalactic objects. By tying together the positions of reference objects (i.e., QSOs) observed in both the radio and optical, the Gaia reference frame will be brought into alignment and rotationally stabilized with respect to the radio reference frame.

One critical limitation in aligning optical and radio reference frames is the problem of discrepancies (or “offsets”) in the position between radio and optical measurements. Offsets can be due to a variety of underlying physical differences between the emission mechanisms of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in the optical and radio. First, for AGN-dominated galaxies, optical emission is thought to originate from the compact accretion disk surrounding the supermassive black hole (SMBH), while radio emission can be either compact or extended, depending on the orientation of the jet with respect to the observer. Second, for non-AGN-dominated galaxies, an optical centroid can be shifted relative to the radio position because of contamination from the host galaxy. Depending on the distance to the source, the optical position can be significantly different from the position of a compact radio core. Third, variability in either the jet or the accretion disk can cause apparent changes in the overall position of the AGN in the optical or the radio over time, making the AGN an unreliable tie source for use in defining the reference frame.

Position offsets as a function of wavelength in this class of sources have been extensively studied, beginning with da Silva Neto et al. (2002) for the correlation between offsets and X-band (8.4 GHz) structure in ICRF sources, and extending to large systematic analyses of radio sources overlapping with the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Orosz & Frey 2013). Recent optical observations of ICRF sources found offsets between the optical and radio positions at the 10 mas level, leaving the authors to conclude that unknown effects, most likely having to do with underlying astrophysical phenomena, induce this real offset between radio and optical positions. Such an offset would introduce a fundamental limit of about 0.5 mas to the accuracy of the alignment between Gaia and the ICRF (Zacharias & Zacharias 2014), and would be exacerbated should the sources be time varying in nature. These offsets can
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potentially be minimized by selecting reference frame objects that minimize problematic features, such as photometric variability or optical/radio structure.

It is thus crucial to identify and characterize as many AGNs/QSOs as possible in order to maximize the number of reference frame tie objects. Until recently, the number of known QSOs was quite limited, of the order of a few tens of thousands over the entire sky. The SDSS-DR12 Quasar Catalog (DR12Q), using data from the Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS; Dawson et al. 2013) and photometrically selected QSO candidates from SDSS, contains 297,301 spectroscopically confirmed QSOs over approximately 9200 deg$^2$ ($\approx 22\%$ of the sky; I. Pâris 2015, private communication). Gaia is expected to observe approximately half a million QSOs over the course of its mission (Claeskens et al. 2006; Mignard 2012). A large, all-sky, coherent catalog of well-defined zero-parallax, zero-proper motion sources that includes but also extends beyond the network of ICRF sources would permit an extensive study of all of the physical offsets associated with radio and optical reference frames, object positional stability, and object variability, using currently available ground-based resources, such as the United States Naval Observatory (USNO) Robotic Astrometric Telescope (URAT; Zacharias 2005; Zacharias et al. 2015), and the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System (Pan-STARRS, Kaiser et al. 2010; Morganson et al. 2014).

In order to identify and characterize as many AGNs/QSOs uniformly selected across the sky as possible, we apply a two-color AGN selection criterion to the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) database. We describe our methodology in the following sections, and discuss the properties of the resultant sample and the reliability of the selection criteria we have chosen for detecting AGNs. Our resultant sample contains $\approx 1.4$ million AGNs, of which $\approx 1.1$ million are previously uncataloged and most are compact (subtending $\lesssim 1''$). The goal of generating this sample is to provide a large sample of point-like extragalactic objects with minimal stellar contamination for the purpose of supporting future photometric, astrometric, and variability studies; maintenance and improvements of the celestial reference frame; and general astrophysical and cosmological uses. This paper is outlined as follows. In Section 2, we review the WISE mission and the AllWISE source catalog and its properties. In Section 3, we detail the AGN/QSO selection criteria we use. Finally, in Section 4, we discuss the resultant sample, as well as some of the properties of the sample sources derived using the SDSS data release 12 (SDSS-DR12, Alam et al. 2015).

2. ALLWISE CATALOG

The WISE survey is an all-sky mid-IR survey at 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 $\mu$m (W1, W2, W3, and W4, respectively) conducted between 2010 January 7 and August 6, during the cryogenic mission phase, and first made available to the public on 2011 April 14. WISE has angular resolutions of 6$''$1, 6$''$4, 6$''$5, and 1270 in its four bands. The AllWISE data release, which we use for this work, incorporates data from the WISE Full Cryogenic, 3-Band Cryo, and NEOWISE Post-Cryo survey (Mainzer et al. 2014) phases, which were coadded to achieve a depth of coverage $\approx 0.4$ mag deeper than previous data releases. AllWISE contains the positions, apparent motions, magnitudes, and point-spread function (PSF)-profile fit for information for almost 748 million objects. Astrometric calibration of sources in the WISE catalog was done by correlation with bright stars from the 2MASS point source catalog, and the astrometric accuracy for sources in the AllWISE release was further improved by taking into account the proper motions of these reference stars, taken from the fourth USNO CCD Astrograph Catalog (UCAC4, Zacharias et al. 2013). A comparison with ICRF sources shows that AllWISE Catalog sources in the brightness range of $8 < W1 < 12$ mag have positional accuracies to within 50 mas, and half of these sources have positional accuracies to within 20 mas. For more details on the WISE mission, see Wright et al. (2010).

3. AGN SELECTION

There are numerous mid-IR color selection criteria in the literature, such as the Spitzer two-color criteria in Lacy et al. (2004), Stern et al. (2005), Lacy et al. (2007), and Donley et al. (2012), the WISE two-color criteria in Jarrett et al. (2011), the WISE one-color criteria of Stern et al. (2012) and Assef et al. (2013), and the WISE two-color criteria of Mateos et al. (2012). All of these color criteria, while defined using different AGN subsamples, are in general agreement with each other and rely on the fact that AGNs separate cleanly from stars and star-forming galaxies in mid-IR color space (for a recent empirical discussion of how objects differentiate in WISE color space, see Nikutta et al. 2014). The reason for this separation is that (a) stars have nearly blackbody SEDs with flux densities dropping at wavelengths longer than a few microns, and (b) while reprocessed photons from dust heating around star formation peaks around a few tens of microns, the hard radiation field from an AGN accretion disk heats dust in the surrounding torus up to the dust sublimation temperature (1000–1500 K) leading to a relatively flat power-law spectrum that is easily distinguishable from the aforementioned non-AGN SEDs. It is important to note that because the mid-IR is insensitive to extinction, mid-IR color selection can pick out heavily obscured or even Compton-thick ($N_H > 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$) AGNs (Mateos et al. 2013) that are optically indistinguishable from star-forming galaxies (see, for example, Figure 1 in Donley et al. 2012), especially at higher AGN luminosities (Assef et al. 2013; Messias et al. 2014; Stern et al. 2014). This eliminates optical selection effects and can yield much larger and more statistically complete samples of AGNs.

In choosing a mid-IR color selection criteria, we required that the criteria be defined directly from WISE data, using only WISE data; this rules out the criteria of Lacy et al. (2004), Stern et al. (2005), Lacy et al. (2007), and Donley et al. (2012), which apply to Spitzer IRAC data. This avoids uncertainties inherent in transforming Spitzer magnitudes into WISE magnitudes. This criteria also rules out the SDSS-WISE color criteria of Wu et al. (2012), which would limit our sample to the SDSS footprint. We further required that the criteria be defined directly from a clean, highly reliable sample of AGNs and QSOs not defined empirically from WISE data; this rules out the criteria of Jarrett et al. (2011). Finally, we required that the criteria be a two-color selection, involving W2–W3, which excludes the Stern et al. (2012) and Assef et al. (2013) criteria. This is because we do not restrict our sample to high Galactic latitudes, and so contamination by brown dwarfs may occur if
we only use W1–W2. We do not know a priori that our sources are extragalactic, and some brown dwarfs can share the first color axis W1–W2 with AGNs due to methane absorption at 3.3 μm, reducing emission in the W1 band (e.g., Noll et al. 2000). In developing an all-sky catalog of mid-infrared zero-proper motion, zero-parallax objects, it is essential to minimize brown dwarf contamination, as many brown dwarfs are within a few parsecs and therefore have very high proper motions, as high as ~8° yr⁻¹ (Luhman 2014). To pick out Spitzer IRAC-selected AGNs down to a limiting magnitude of W2 < 15, the WISE one-color AGN selection criteria of Stern et al. (2012) and Assef et al. (2013) are both very reliable, with 95% and >90% reliability, respectively. However, these color cuts also overlap significantly with the mid-IR color space occupied by brown dwarfs. For example, using the representative sample of the AllWISE catalog described in Section 4.3, out of 1056 sources identified as AGNs using the Stern et al. (2012) criterion, 62 (5.9%) also qualify as brown dwarfs according to the combined empirical criterion for dwarfs with spectral type ≥T5 and nearby L and T-type dwarfs of Kirkpatrick et al. (2011). Similarly, of the 1892 sources identified as AGNs using the “R90” criterion of Assef et al. (2013), 59 (3.1%) fall within the combined Kirkpatrick et al. (2011) criterion.

With these considerations, we chose the two-color selection criterion of Mateos et al. (2012), who use the Bright Ultrahard XMM-Newton Survey (BUXS), one of the largest, flux-limited samples of “ultra hard” (4.5–10 keV) X-ray selected sources, to define a WISE AGN selection criterion. This reduces bias against heavily absorbed AGNs, and the BUXS is comprised mostly of “ultra hard” Type 1 AGNs (emission line widths >1500 km s⁻¹); the remainder are almost entirely Type 2 AGNs. It is well established that the mid-IR luminosities of AGNs correlate strongly with their hard X-ray luminosities (Lutz et al. 2004; Gandhi et al. 2009; Ichikawa et al. 2012; Mateos et al. 2015; Stern 2015; Asmus et al. 2015; see also the relation in Secrest et al. 2015), as Compton up-scattering of UV photons from the accretion disk into the X-ray regime is largely proportional to the accretion disk luminosity. In terms of overlap with the mid-IR color space shared with brown dwarfs, of the 860 sources in our representative sample of the AllWISE catalog that are classified as AGNs according to the Mateos et al. (2012) criterion, only 7 (0.8%) would qualify as L or T dwarfs according to the combined Kirkpatrick et al. (2011) criteria.

We take all sources from the AllWISE catalog following Equations (3) and (4) from Mateos et al. (2012) and we require that all of our sources have S/N ≥ 5 in the first three bands (μ1, 2, 3snr > = 5), as recommended in Mateos et al. (2012); as a further constraint, we limit our results to those with cc_flags = “0000,” meaning that the sources are unaffected by known artifacts such as diffraction spikes, persistence, halos, or optical ghosts. We subdivided this query into sources above δ ≥ 0° and source below δ < 0° to make our queries tractable. We concatenated the resultant tables using TOPCAT, version 4.2–3. For the remainder of this paper, we refer to AGNs selected in the manner outlined above as mid-IR AGNs (MIRAGNs), although we reiterate that there are other selection criteria for MIRAGNs in the literature.

### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1. Sample Properties

Our sample consists of 1,354,775 MIRAGNs spanning the full sky. In Figure 1, we show a density plot of MIRAGNs across the sky, clearly showing that our AGN selection criteria is effectively selecting objects outside of the Galaxy. Our sample is also relatively uniform across the sky. In Figure 2, we show the source density of MIRAGNs. By randomly sampling 10° 1-deg² areas across the sky, we calculate a mean source density of ≈38 deg⁻², with 10% and 90% thresholds of 15 deg⁻² and 59 deg⁻², respectively. We note that the reasons for the deviation from a truly uniform distribution centered at N/4π sr = 33 deg⁻² are the over-density of sources at the ecliptic poles (due to deeper WISE coverage) and the under-abundance along the Galactic plane (due to source confusion); both of these effects are visible in Figure 1.

Almost none of the MIRAGNs in our sample (<2%) have PSF profile fits μ_red > 3.0 in the highest-resolution W1 band, indicating that the vast majority of our sources are unresolved by WISE and therefore subtend angular scales less than ~6″. In Figure 3, we show SDSS thumbnails of a random selection of 25 sources in our sample, demonstrating that the majority of MIRAGNs in our sample are indeed compact at optical wavelengths as well.

#### 4.2. Optical Properties

In order to characterize the optical properties of our sample, we cross-matched it to SDSS-DR12, which is the final data release of SDSS-III (Eisenstein et al. 2011), within a radial tolerance of R < 1″, obtaining 424,366 matches. To determine the fraction of false positive position matches (that is, incorrectly correlating an object in our sample with a different SDSS object due to random positional agreement), we performed the same match on a scrambled version of our sample coordinates, determining that less than 1% of our cross-matches are false positive position matches between the two catalogs.

With our list of cross-matches between our sample and SDSS-DR12, we queried the PhotoObjAll table to obtain optical photometric measurements of our sources, requiring the clean photometric quality flag be equal to 1, and obtained 193,637 sources. We similarly queried the SpecObjAll table to obtain spectroscopic redshift information for our sources and obtained 39,981 sources. The following describes the statistics of the sample based on these queries.

**Source Extent.** In Figure 4, we show the optical extents of sources in our sample, given by the psfwhm_r parameter. The majority are not extended, with a mean FWHM of 1.2 ± 0.2 arcsec, comparable to the seeing limit of SDSS (Stoughton et al. 2002), further underlining the power of our mid-IR selection strategy at picking out unresolved AGNs/QSOs.

**Distances.** In Figure 5, we show the spectroscopic redshifts of MIRAGNs in our sample, which extend to about z ~ 3. Note that SDSS spectra come from targeted observations, so this subsample of MIRAGNs suffers from a selection bias and should not be considered to be representative of the physical distribution of redshifts for the entire sample.

**Magnitudes.** In Figure 6, we show the distribution of W1- and g-band magnitudes for our sources. In the mid-IR, 1% of

---

2 See Kirkpatrick et al. (2011), Cushing et al. (2011), Mace et al. (2013), and Cushing et al. (2014) for some samples of brown dwarfs discovered with WISE.

3 http://www.star.bris.ac.uk/~mbt/topcat/

4 SDSS-DR12 covers 14,555 square degrees, or about 35.3% of the sky.
our sources have W1 [3.4 μm] magnitudes less than 13, 68% have W1 magnitudes less than 16, and 100% have W1 magnitudes less than 19. Optically, 2% of our sources have g magnitudes less than 18, 33% have g magnitudes less than 20, 75% have g magnitudes less than 22, and 96% have g magnitudes less than 24.

Note that the g-band magnitudes extend well past the ∼20 mag Gaia limit. Extrapolating the number of SDSS DR12-matched sources with g-band magnitudes of less than 20 to the entire sky, we predict that this sample contains ∼1.8 × 10^5 of the AGNs/QSOs that will be observed by Gaia.

4.3. Stellar Contamination

In creating a sample of extragalactic sources without knowledge of their redshifts, it is vital to be able to adequately avoid contamination by stars, especially for unresolved sources. While stars and AGNs occupy completely different loci in mid-IR color space due to their fundamentally different SEDs (see, for example, Figure 12 in Wright et al. 2010), we nonetheless aim to quantify any possible contamination of our sample by stars. To do this, we calculate the number of stars in our sample as follows:

\[ N_{c,s,AGN} = N \cdot f_c \cdot f_{c,s} \cdot f_{c,s,AGN}, \]  

where \( N \) is the size of the AllWISE catalog (747,634,026), \( f_c \) is the fraction of the AllWISE catalog that has clean WISE photometry as per Section 3 (for the rest of this work, “clean” WISE photometry means this), \( f_{c,s} \) is the fraction of those clean sources that are stars, and \( f_{c,s,AGN} \) is the fraction of stars with clean WISE photometry that survive the AGN cut we employ.
To estimate $f_c$, we constructed a representative sample of the AllWISE catalog by querying the AllWISE catalog with a list of 1 million coordinates randomly sampled across the celestial sphere, returning all sources that were within a radius of $R < 10''$. This returned 389,424 unique sources. Of these sources, 13,506 have clean WISE photometry, or 3.47%.

Estimating $f_{c,s}$ can be done by utilizing the fact that stars cleanly separate from extragalactic objects in mid-IR color space, especially in $W_2-W_3$. This is shown more explicitly in Figure 7, which depicts a clearly bimodal distribution of stars and extragalactic objects in $W_2-W_3$. We select as stars all those objects with clean WISE photometry and $W_2-W_3 < 2$, although...
there is some mixing of stars and extragalactic objects between $W_2 - W_3 \approx 1 - 2$, and elliptical galaxies have star-like mid-IR colors, so this selection should be viewed as conservative. From this, we estimate that approximately 55.6% of the sources in the AllWISE catalog with clean photometry are stars.

Estimating the value of $f_{\text{c,s},\text{AGN}}$ can be done by constructing an unambiguous sample of stars and then cross-matching the sample with the AllWISE catalog. We created such a sample of stars from the all-sky PPMXL catalog (Roeser et al. 2010), a catalog of $\sim$900 million sources with optical photometric and astrometric information from USNO-B1.0 and 2MASS, which is complete down to approximately $V \approx 20$. We selected all of the sources in the PPMXL catalog with $B$-band magnitudes less than 12, as this excludes all AGNs and most extragalactic objects in the PPMXL catalog. We further required that the absolute values of the proper motions in R.A. and decl. be less than 150 mas yr$^{-1}$, as this avoids spurious entries in the PPMXL catalog. We then cross-matched this sample of stars to the AllWISE catalog within $R < 1^\prime$, returning 499,724 sources with clean WISE photometry. Of these, only 20 ($0.0040\%$) fall within our AGN selection criterion.

As a quality control, we required that the difference in $B$ magnitude between the first and second epochs of USNO-B1.0 be less than 0.5 mag.

Because of the brighter magnitudes of our star sample, saturation effects in the corresponding AllWISE data become important. To avoid stars with spurious AllWISE magnitudes, we removed any with $W_1 < 8$, $W_2 < 7$, and $W_3 < 3.8$. This removed 23 stars that would have been classified as AGNs according to our criterion. This is not a significant effect for our sample of AGNs, however, as it affects less than 0.092% of our sample.
sources that would have fallen within our AGN criterion by chance mismatch, we cross-matched a scrambled version of our list of star coordinates to the AllWISE catalog, returning 3,626 “matches” within \( R < 1\). Of these, 7 fall within our AGN selection criterion, implying that many, if not most of the 20 stars falling within our AGN criterion are actually chance mismatches. We therefore consider 0.0040\% to be an upper limit to the percentage of stars with WISE colors following our criterion.

Multiplying these fractions together, \( N_{g,\text{AGN}} \approx 580 \). Given our conservative estimates described above, we interpret this as the upper limit of the total number of AllWISE-observed stars that leak into our sample. With \( 1.4 \times 10^6 \) sources in our MIRAGN sample, the expected contamination of the total sample by stars is therefore \( \approx 0.041\% \). We conclude that nearly 100\% of our sample is uncontaminated by stars. We define this as the “reliability” of our sample. It is important to note that the types of stars found in PPMXL, an optical catalog, are by no means the same types of stars found in AllWISE and many infrared-bright stars, such as L- and T-type dwarfs, are under-represented in our star sample. We have chosen a two-color MIRAGN selection criterion partly to avoid contamination by brown dwarfs, but we emphasize that our reliability analysis pertains specifically to optical survey work.

### 4.4. Completeness

While the primary objective of this study is to obtain a reliable sample of extragalactic sources using mid-IR color-selected AGNs, it is nonetheless useful to explore the statistical completeness of our sample. To do this, we used the second release of the Large Quasar Astrometric Catalog (LQAC-2; Souchay et al. 2012), which contains 187,504 quasars, including radio-selected quasars from the ICRF2, optically selected quasars from SDSS, and infrared-selected quasars from 2MASS, and thus represents a robust sample of quasars over a wide range of wavelengths.

After cross-matching with AllWISE, we find that 93,403 quasars from LQAC-2 have clean detections. The majority of non-detections are due to sources in LQAC-2 that are too faint, having \( R \gtrsim 19 \). Of the 61,377 sources in LQAC-2 brighter than this limit, 51,618 (84.10\%) have clean detections with WISE. Of these, 46,928 are MIRAGNs, or 90.91\%. Broken down by wavelength-based source catalog (see Table 1 in Souchay et al. 2012),

- **radio:** 84.00\% (15,441/18,391);
- **near-IR:** 82.47\% (15,973/19,368);
- **optical:** 90.91\% (46,927/51,617).

With a magnitude-limited sample, MIRAGN classification is therefore quite complete, even for AGNs selected from radio surveys. Finally, we note that of the 3414 ICRF2 sources, 1364 have clean WISE detections and \( R < 19 \). Of these, 1219 (89.40\%) are MIRAGNs.

It is useful to compare the completeness of MIRAGNs with the number of quasars expected to be discovered by Gaia down to a magnitude of \( V = 20 \approx 5 \times 10^7 \) (Mignard 2012). To do this, we performed a similar analysis using the DR12Q catalog from SDSS, which contains 297,301 quasars, 44,831 of which have clean WISE detections. The majority of non-detections is again due to a limiting magnitude of about \( g < 20 \). Of those clean detections with \( g < 20 \), 38,915 (86.8\%) are MIRAGNs. Using the \( g \) band as a proxy for \( V \), 23,906/27,093 (88.2\%) of the cleanly detected sources with \( g < 20 \) are MIRAGNs. Extrapolating over the whole sky, the (BOSS survey covers \( \approx 9.2 \times 10^3 \text{ deg}^2 \)), \( \approx 8.3 \times 10^4 \) MIRAGNs in our sample with \( g < 20 \) are outside the SDSS footprint, and are therefore expected to be new.

It is worth discussing the reasons why \( \approx 10\%--20\% \) of AGNs in LQAC-2 and DR12Q within our magnitude limit are not recovered in our sample of MIRAGNs. In Figure 8, we show the mid-IR color distribution of the AGN-2 catalog and (b) the DR12Q catalog. Most sources that do not meet the criteria are bluer in their W1–W2 colors, which is due to two effects. First, lower ratios of AGN/host galaxy luminosities preclude inclusion by our criteria. For example, Mateos et al. (2012) found that above a hard X-ray luminosity of \( L_{2-10 \text{ keV}} > 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), 97.1\% of type 1 AGNs (emission line widths \( \gtrsim 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) and 76.5\% of type 2 AGNs (emission line widths \( < 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) fall within their criteria. Below \( L_{2-10 \text{ keV}} < 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), those percentages are 84.4\% and 39.1\%, respectively, and contamination by the host galaxy becomes more evident. At lower redshifts (\( z < 0.5 \)), a larger fraction of AGNs in both DR12Q and, especially, LQAC-2 have bluer W1–W2 colors that fall outside the Mateos et al. (2012) criteria (Figure 9). To show that this is due to lower AGN/host galaxy luminosities, we calculated the rest-frame 5 GHz luminosities of AGNs with radio data in LQAC-2 by assuming power-law radio SEDs of the form \( f(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \), and calculating \( \alpha \) directly from the catalog 2 and 5 GHz spectral energy densities. On average, AGNs in LQAC-2 have power-law indices of \( \alpha = 0.3 \pm 0.6 \) in line with the flat-spectrum radio SEDs typically seen in AGNs. Using \( \alpha \) to correct for redshift, we find that for sources below a redshift of \( z < 0.5 \), the mean 5 GHz luminosity is \( L_{5\text{ GHz}} = 2.5 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \), while for sources above a redshift of \( z > 0.5 \) the mean 5 GHz luminosity is \( L_{5\text{ GHz}} = 7.9 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). AGNs at higher redshift in LQAC-2 are therefore much more dominant, and so tend to manifest as MIRAGNs.

Second, at very high redshifts (\( z > 2 \)), the SEDs of even pure AGNs begin to move out of the mid-IR color–color space defined by our chosen AGN selection criterion (see Figure 5 in Mateos et al. 2012). This effect can be seen in Figure 9, and affects the DR12Q catalog especially due to the higher average redshift (\( z = 2.1 \)) of AGNs in the catalog.

If we exclude sources in LQAC-2 with \( z > 2 \) and \( R \gtrsim 19 \), 93.0\% of the remaining sources are MIRAGNs. If we make the same redshift cutoff for sources in DR12Q with \( g \geq 20 \), 98.2\% of the remaining sources are MIRAGNs. We note that many (32.7\% and 51.0\%, respectively) of the high redshift sources excluded in our sample would have been included if we had used the one-color criteria (\( W1–W2 > 0.8 \)) of Stern et al. (2012). The AGN criteria outlined in Section 3 thus minimizes

---

9 Although more luminous AGNs may also be preferentially found in more luminous host galaxies. See, for example Hamilton et al. (2008).

---

7 166,033 quasars in LQAC-2 have \( R \)-band magnitudes in the catalog, and 104,656 (63.03\%) have \( R \gtrsim 19 \).

8 The difference of one between this denominator and the full sample is due to the \( R \) magnitudes being derived from complementary USNO-B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) data, so that one source in LQAC-2 is a purely radio-detected AGN with a cross-identification in USNO-B1.0: most sources in LQAC-2 have data from multiple wavelengths.
leakage of stars into our sample at the cost of some missed AGNs (i.e., false negatives, or “type II errors”). Finally, DiPompeo et al. (2015) recently published a catalog of over 5.5 million quasar candidates with SDSS+WISE photometry. Extending the XDQSOz model of Bovy et al. (2012) to include WISE photometry, and using a training set of spectroscopically confirmed quasars, they assigned quasar probabilities $P_{\text{QSO}}$ for unresolved sources from SDSS DR8, as well as computing photometric redshifts, which they find to be significantly improved by the addition of WISE photometry. We cross-matched our sample to their catalog to within $R < 1\arcsec$, as before, finding 227,011 matches. We found several reasons for non-matches, which we outline below.

The first and most significant reason for non-matches with our sample is the limiting magnitude. Of the $\sim 3.7$ million sources in their catalog with high-quality SDSS photometry (PSF $g$ S/N $\geq 5$), only $\sim 9\%$ have $g < 20$.

The second reason for non-matches is the differing photometry requirements between our sample and the XDQSOz catalog. Of the 197,635 sources in the XDQSOz catalog with $g < 20$ not in our sample, 91,711 are not in the AllWISE catalog at all, likely due to the independent “forced photometry” performed on WISE data for the XDQSOz. Of the sources not in our sample that are in the AllWISE catalog, only 5993 fulfill our photometry quality requirements as outlined in Section 3. For the sources in XDQSOz that are in the AllWISE catalog with clean WISE photometry brighter than $g < 20$, 130,420/136,413 (95.6%) are in our sample. Finally, a third reason for non-matches is again the redshift limitation of our chosen AGN selection criterion of $z \sim 2$. If we retain only sources with $z < 2$, $g < 20$, and with clean WISE photometry, then 110,756/112,138 (98.8%) of the sources in XDQSOz are in our sample. We note that relaxing our match radius to $R < 3\arcsec$ does not significantly alter our results, yielding a total of 236,963 matches between our sample and the XDQSOz catalog, with 98.4% of the sources in XDQSOz with $z < 2$, $g < 20$, and with clean WISE photometry in our sample.

Figure 8. (a) Mid-IR color–color plot for $R < 19$ sources in LQAC-2, and (b) for DR12Q with $g < 20$. The black lines are the Mateos et al. (2012) demarcation. (See Section 4.4.)

Figure 9. Distribution of redshifts for AGNs in the LQAC-2 and DR12Q catalogs meeting the WISE photometry requirements outlined in Section 3, and brighter than $R < 19$ and $g < 20$, respectively.

---

10 These are Pogson magnitudes we calculated as explained in https://www.sdss3.org/dr8/algorithms/magnitudes.php.

11 Within a $1\arcsec$ radius; relaxing the radius to $3\arcsec$ yields an additional 14,476 candidate sources in the AllWISE catalog.
Cross-matching our source list to the MILLIQUAS catalog to match radius to already in the MILLIQUAS catalog, we relaxed our cross-

Expanding our match radius to matches within our sample coordinates with MILLIQUAS, obtaining 976 by random matches, we cross-matched a scrambled version of approximately 1.1 million uncataloged AGNs. We give an example of our sample, cross-matched to the LQAC-2, DR12Q, and MILLIQUAS catalogs to within R < 1" in Table 1.

### 4.5. New AGNs

To estimate how many sources in our sample are expected to be previously uncataloged AGNs, we cross-matched our sample to the Million Quasars (MILLIQUAS) Catalog, version 4.5.

12 The MILLIQUAS includes the Half Million Quasars (HMQ) Catalog (Flesch 2015), but the latter excludes candidate AGNs/QSOs. Of AGNs in the HMQ, 55.33% of sources with R < 19 are MIRAGNs, suggesting a prevalence of non-dominant, low-redshift sources or sources at high redshift. Indeed, by further excluding sources in the HMQ with z < 0.5 and z > 2.0 (see Section 4.4), 79.19% of sources in the HMQ are MIRAGNs.

13 http://quasars.org/milliquas.htm

Note. “AllWISE” is the “designation” column in the AllWISE catalog; R.A. and decl. are the AllWISE catalog coordinates, in J2000. g-band magnitudes come from LQAC-2 where available, and DR12Q otherwise. Redshifts come preferentially from LQAC-2, then the non-flagged pipeline redshifts from DR12Q, then MILLIQUAS. Photometric redshifts from MILLIQUAS are flagged in z-type as “p.” The remaining columns are the unique string identifiers found in the matched catalogs, but have been omitted to save space.

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AllWISE R.A.</th>
<th>decl.</th>
<th>W1-W2</th>
<th>W2-W3</th>
<th>W1</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>z-type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J005947.42-011010.8</td>
<td>14.947587</td>
<td>-1169694</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>1.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J00593.8-011445.7</td>
<td>14.915884</td>
<td>-1.246029</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>21.35</td>
<td>0.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010016.47-020912.8</td>
<td>15.068561</td>
<td>-2.153577</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005858.94-010459.4</td>
<td>14.745614</td>
<td>-1.083188</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010547.9-010549.7</td>
<td>14.697876</td>
<td>-1.097150</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>12.26</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010210.49-015630.0</td>
<td>15.543743</td>
<td>-1.941685</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010323.03-012637.0</td>
<td>15.846000</td>
<td>-1.443626</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010322.21-020046.0</td>
<td>15.134236</td>
<td>-2.012790</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005847.77-020808.6</td>
<td>14.699062</td>
<td>-2.135742</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005936.26-013003.8</td>
<td>14.901093</td>
<td>-1.501077</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>1.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005814.49-011507.0</td>
<td>14.560381</td>
<td>-1.251954</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010220.08-005743.5</td>
<td>15.583695</td>
<td>-0.962098</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005803.0-010415.0</td>
<td>14.520752</td>
<td>-1.837710</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010246.00-012600.5</td>
<td>15.691669</td>
<td>-1.433499</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010202.87-012532.9</td>
<td>15.701556</td>
<td>-2.259148</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010104.0-010040.1</td>
<td>15.767260</td>
<td>-1.011162</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010114.58-021414.1</td>
<td>15.310782</td>
<td>-2.194774</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010010.93-014909.5</td>
<td>15.045565</td>
<td>-1.819306</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010003.46-015427.7</td>
<td>15.014454</td>
<td>-1.907698</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010249.02-010545.0</td>
<td>15.74260</td>
<td>-1.095849</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>1.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005956.19-010722.6</td>
<td>14.984129</td>
<td>-1.122969</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>15.94</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005957.93-005311.4</td>
<td>14.991392</td>
<td>-0.886508</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010128.98-010515.9</td>
<td>15.374504</td>
<td>-1.087752</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J010104.16-005918.6</td>
<td>15.267358</td>
<td>-0.985513</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>15.84</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J005939.26-014846.1</td>
<td>14.913610</td>
<td>-1.812812</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have explored the use of WISE-selected AGNs to derive a highly reliable sample of extragalactic sources for astrometric purposes. Our primary conclusions are as follows.

1. Using the two-color AGN criteria of Mateos et al. (2012) and strict photometric quality requirements, we derive a sample of 1,354,775 MIRAGNs from the AllWISE source catalog. Approximately 1.1 million of these were previously uncataloged.

2. From a reliability analysis using 499,724 stars from the PPMXL catalog, we estimate that the fraction of stars in our sample is extremely small, ≤0.041%, and conclude that this technique is extremely reliable.

3. The use of mid-IR color selection results in a high level of completeness, and we estimate that our sample contains ≈8.3 × 10⁴ AGNs/QSOs with g-band magnitudes below 20 that fall outside the SDSS footprint, a significant fraction (≈17%) of the number of QSOs expected to be discovered by Gaia.

In a subsequent paper, we will use the sample derived here to look for optical signatures of previously undetected AGNs using multi-epoch URAT observations. URAT is an all-sky astrometric survey in the visible, and is a follow-up project to the previous UCAC program. Utilizing the red-lens from the...
UCAC program, the telescope has been completely redesigned. The new 4-shooter camera consist of four large 10,560 x 10,560 pixel CCDs, with a combined single exposure covering 28 square degrees of the sky at a resolution of 0.79 pix⁻¹. The newly released URAT1 catalog (Zacharias 2015; Zacharias et al. 2015) contains accurate positions (typically 10–30 mas std. error) of 220 million stars in the 3–18.5 mag range, mainly in the northern hemisphere. Proper motions have been obtained for 85% of these stars utilizing the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) as the first epoch. URAT1 is also supplemented by 2MASS and AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS) photometry. We will characterize the astrometric and photometric variability of the AGNs we detect with URAT, we will provide an optical catalog of these objects and their derived properties, and we will explore the utility of the sources identified in this paper for future ICRF work.
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