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Abstract—Morphine and fentanyl are frequently used for analgesia after trauma, but there is debate over the advantages and disadvantages of these opioids. Among combat amputees, intravenous (IV) morphine (vs IV fentanyl) after injury was associated with reduced likelihood of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The previous results were based on military health diagnoses over 2 yr postinjury. The present study followed psychological diagnoses of patients with amputation for 4 yr using military and Department of Veterans Affairs health data. Intheater combat casualty records (n = 145) documented Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores and/or morphine, fentanyl, or no opioid treatment within hours of injury. We found that (1) GCS scores were not significantly associated with PTSD; (2) longitudinal modeling using four (yearly) time points showed significantly reduced odds of PTSD for patients treated with morphine (vs fentanyl) across years (adjusted odds ratio = 0.40; 95% confidence interval = 0.17–0.94); (3) reduced PTSD prevalence for morphine (vs IV fentanyl; morphine = 25%, fentanyl = 59%, p < 0.05) was significant, specifically among patients with traumatic brain injury during the first 2 yr postinjury; and (4) PTSD prevalence, but not other disorders (e.g., mood), increased between year 1 (PTSD = 18%) and years 2 through 4 postinjury (PTSD range = 30%–32%).

INTRODUCTION

Preventing posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a priority for military healthcare providers because of its adverse effects on health and financial costs [1–9]. For patients with serious combat limb injuries, PTSD can complicate and prolong rehabilitation [8]. PTSD is associated with more sick call visits, missed workdays, increased somatic symptoms [3], poor quality of life outcomes [5], and healthcare costs over $1 billion during the first 2 yr after combat injury [7,9]. Although amputations are among the most serious battle injuries, combat amputees injured in the Iraq and Afghanistan wars had reduced likelihood of PTSD compared with limb salvage patients.
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Melcer et al. found that early postinjury morphine (vs fentanyl) and loss of consciousness were associated with reduced likelihood of PTSD [10–13], particularly among amputees with a traumatic brain injury (TBI) diagnosis [10]. Some patients with amputation received no opioids (i.e., no morphine or fentanyl) soon after injury, probably to avoid worsening low blood pressure following severe injury [10–14]. The no opioids group (vs fentanyl) also had a relatively low prevalence of PTSD, which may have been related to this group’s increased likelihood of postinjury shock and/or loss of consciousness [10].

Most patients with amputation in our previous study (Melcer et al. [10]) received either morphine or fentanyl. Providers debate which of these opioids might be most appropriate for postinjury analgesia [15–17]. Unfortunately, little research has compared the psychological benefits of morphine or fentanyl. Early morphine was associated with reduced likelihood of PTSD among combat casualties injured between 2004 and 2006, but there was little evidence of fentanyl use [18]. More recently, fentanyl has gained support as an alternative to morphine [19]. The onset of morphine-induced analgesia occurs much more slowly than fentanyl [14]. However, morphine produces longer-lasting pain relief than does a similar dose of fentanyl. We hypothesized that morphine reduced PTSD compared with fentanyl because morphine produced more long-lasting pain relief and/or was more effective at blocking memory for trauma than fentanyl [10,14,18,20]. Differences between morphine and fentanyl pharmacology and µ receptor subtypes in the brain [21] may also contribute to differences in the effects of these drugs on memory for trauma and PTSD prevention.

The psychological outcomes in our previous study were limited to diagnoses extracted from military databases [10,22] through 2 yr postinjury [22–23]. Little is known about the long-term psychological outcomes for combat amputees. They typically discharge from military service within the first 2 yr postinjury [6,24–25] and may receive postinjury care from military and/or Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) healthcare systems [26]. Separation from supportive military amputee care [27] presents new social, financial, and occupational challenges of long-term disability [28–31]. These challenges may exacerbate prior symptoms and contribute to new PTSD cases several years after injury [29–30].

The present study followed short- (2 yr) and long-term (4 yr) psychological outcomes using both military and VA health data. It was hypothesized that extended loss of consciousness and intravenous (IV) morphine (vs fentanyl) within hours after injury would be associated with reduced rates of short-term PTSD diagnoses. As in the previous study, we expected this association between IV morphine and PTSD and mood disorder only among patients with TBI. We also expected a general increase in PTSD rates over the long term, based on VA health data showing relatively high rates of PTSD among military-separated combat veterans [31–32].

METHODS

Data Sources

This collaborative study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) at the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) and the IRB at the VA.

In-Theater Injury and Medication Data

NHRC’s Expeditionary Medical Encounter Database (EMED), formerly known as the Navy-Marine Corps Combat Trauma Registry [33], gathers data from Navy-Marine Corps levels 1, 2, and 3 military treatment facilities and is supplemented by level 3 data from the Theater Medical Data Store and medical records from levels 4 and 5 facilities treating all military services. The five levels of combat care begin at or near the point of injury (level 1, first aid Army medics/Navy corpsman). Subsequently, patients are evacuated as soon as possible or within hours of injury to level 2 facilities for life-saving resuscitation and hemorrhage control. Within 72 h, patients are transferred to level 3 facilities (e.g., field hospitals) within the combat zone for urgent specialized surgical services. Level 4 facilities serve to provide definitive care outside the combat zone but within the overall theater of operations (e.g., Landstuhl Regional Medical Center in Germany). Level 5 facilities provide medical care within the United States [33].

The EMED uses clinical encounter forms to capture patient data, including time of arrival at treatment facilities, mechanism of injury, Injury Severity Score (ISS) (based on Abbreviated Injury Scale scores assigned by EMED clinicians) [34], and Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores. The EMED encounter forms also capture detailed treatment data, including medications administered during early postinjury resuscitation and trauma care, dosages, and routes of administration, as available. The
encounter forms are completed by healthcare providers in-theater, either on paper or electronically, and are forwarded to the EMED at NHRC.

Military Health Outcomes

Combat amputees, their associated anatomical levels of amputations (e.g., single or multiple, upper or lower limb), and subsequent psychological outcomes were identified by searching military health databases [22]. Military health data consist of inpatient and outpatient records, which include International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) diagnostic codes via TRICARE Management Activity. Records were merged from the Armed Forces Health Longitudinal Technology Application, based on inpatient and outpatient encounters by credentialed providers at military treatment facilities and government-reimbursed private clinics. Data were captured from October 2001 through June 2011. The patient identifiers were then encrypted, password protected, and sent electronically to VA investigators using public-key infrastructure (PKI). This allowed patients to be identified and tracked in VA health databases.

Department of Veterans Affairs Health Data

All patients in the present study first were identified in the military health databases as described. Subsequently, VA investigators at the San Diego VA Healthcare System identified these individuals in the VA National Database [23]. The VA National Database has been used previously in studies on psychological outcomes, including recent veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts [31]. VA data, including outpatient diagnoses (ICD-9-CM codes), were extracted from the Corporate Data Warehouse, a national repository of data from Veterans Health Administration (VHA) facility electronic medical record systems (Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture), and several other VHA clinical and administrative systems. Data extracts were prepared by a VA Informatics and Computing Infrastructure data manager, and downloaded to a secure local VA server using an SAS software interface (SAS Institute Inc; Cary, North Carolina). Data were then merged with deidentifying unique subject identifying numbers supplied by NHRC investigators and stripped of all HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996) identifiers. As previously mentioned, the deidentified data were then encrypted, password protected, and sent electronically to NHRC investigators using PKI. Health data obtained from both military and VA treatment facilities were captured from October 2001 to December 2011.

Patients

We searched the military health databases to identify U.S. military personnel with major limb amputations (excluding fingers and/or toes alone) who were combat injured in the Afghanistan or Iraq conflicts from 2001 to 2008. Patients who died of wounds or survived with severe brain or spinal injuries leading to paralysis were excluded. Following these exclusions, we identified 857 patients with amputation. This total was consistent with independent counts of all U.S. combat amputees during this period [35]. Of these 857 patients with amputation, only 145 had level 1 or 2 medication records available for analysis. Levels 3 and 4 medication records were obtained for an additional 113 patients (without level 1 or 2 medication records) to increase overall sample size. These 258 patients comprised the sample analyzed for the present study.

At the onset of the Iraq war, there was virtually no capability to capture level 2 casualty records including specific medication data and associated dosages. In that sense, the present sample size is impressive. Early in the Iraq war, NHRC developed a new capability to capture early casualty records for research purposes, namely the EMED. The EMED initially was fielded at Navy-Marine Corps facilities during the time the present study sample of patients with amputation was injured. Of all patients with amputation injured from 2001 to 2008, only about 20 percent were Navy-Marine Corps personnel. Therefore, the EMED captured level 2 casualty records for only about one in five patients with amputation and their associated medication records. We emphasize that medication records are unique and quite valuable because they are collected within hour(s) of combat injury.

Research Design

This was a retrospective review of existing medical records of U.S. combat amputees injured from 2001 to 2008 in Iraq or Afghanistan. Patient injury data and psychological outcomes were tracked for 48 mo postinjury or until medical records were no longer available in databases. Mechanisms of injury were categorized as blast (e.g., improvised explosive device, rocket-propelled grenade, grenade, land mine, or mortar), gunshot wound, and other (e.g., major blunt trauma or crush injury). ISSs
were coded by EMED clinicians based on information recorded by forward-deployed healthcare providers [34]. TBI diagnosis was indicated by an ICD-9-CM code within 30 d of injury in the following range: 800.00–801.99 (fractures of the vault or base of the skull), 803.00–804.99 (other unqualified and multiple fractures of the skull), and 850.00–854.10 (intracranial injury, including concussion, contusion, laceration, and hemorrhage) [36]. These TBI diagnostic codes did not distinguish between mild, moderate, or severe TBI.

The GCS scores, medication type, dosage, route of administration, and associated level of care for these data were extracted from in-theater patient encounter forms by trauma research nurses per standard EMED procedures [33]. Patients usually arrive at level 2 care 1–6 h postinjury, while arrival at level 3 care typically occurs 2–24 h postinjury. GCS scores of 12 or less, which indicate at least moderate TBI and/or loss of consciousness greater than 30 min [37], at level 2 were used to assess the effect of injury-related alteration or loss of consciousness. Assessments of GCS may have been affected by opioid treatment or other medications. However, 12 percent of both the any morphine group and the fentanyl only group had GCS scores of 12 or less. Further, providers typically consider medication history when assessing GCS and TBI, including repeated GCS assessments to minimize the acute effects of medications on GCS or TBI.

The classifications of medications have been described previously [10]. Briefly, the medications recorded included antibiotics (e.g., cefazolin), general anesthetics (e.g., etomidate), opioid analgesics (i.e., fentanyl or morphine), benzodiazepines (e.g., midazolam), and paralytic and/or muscle relaxants (e.g., succinylcholine, suxamethonium, vecuronium bromide, pancuronium bromide, and/or rocuronium bromide). The U.S. military has established policies for in-theater storage and dispensing of controlled medications, which are carefully logged by physicians [38].

Preinjury psychological diagnoses were analyzed as available in military databases. Postinjury psychological diagnoses were identified in military and VA health databases with ICD-9-CM codes 290–319, excluding 305.10 (tobacco addiction). The primary outcome was a PTSD diagnosis (ICD-9-CM code 309.81) within 24 or 48 mo postinjury. Because previous research has shown that patients with at least two PTSD diagnoses (vs one) in health databases are more likely to have a criterion score on an independent PTSD Checklist-Military version survey of ≥50, we included only patients documented with two or more PTSD diagnoses [39]. The remaining psychological diagnoses were grouped as adjustment, anxiety, mood, substance abuse disorders, and “other” psychological diagnoses. Other psychological diagnoses included postconcussion syndrome, pain, sleep, and unspecified cognitive disorders.

Data Analysis and Study Retention

The overall study sample consisted of 258 patients with medication data recorded at level 1, 2, 3, or 4 or GCS scores available in the EMED. A subset of 145 patients had at least one level 2 medication (e.g., opioids, paralytics, or antibiotics) recorded with psychological outcomes. Of these 145 patients, 137 also had level 2 GCS scores (8 patients had missing GCS scores). The level 2 medication sample consisted of 115 patients treated with any morphine (morphine alone or combined with fentanyl) or fentanyl alone (3 level 1 patients were included in this subset) and another 30 patients who received no opioids (i.e., no morphine or fentanyl). Prevalence for psychological outcomes was calculated across the first 24 mo for comparison with previous study results [10]. Chi-square or Fisher exact test were used as appropriate to test for significant associations between medications and psychological outcomes. Logistic regressions then were conducted to model relationships between morphine (vs fentanyl) and psychological outcomes, especially PTSD, adjusting for TBI, injury year (2001–2006 vs 2007–2008), and loss of consciousness (as defined by a level 2 GCS score ≤12).

Long-term prevalence of PTSD and other psychological disorders was also calculated for consecutive postinjury years 1 through 4. Longitudinal random intercept models were constructed using the SAS Proc GLIMMIX procedure for Generalized Linear Mixed models (SAS Institute Inc) to evaluate how morphine, fentanyl, TBI, log ISS, age (≤25 or >25 yr), and years after injury (years 1, 2, 3, and 4) were associated with the likelihood of having a PTSD diagnosis for patients treated with any morphine or fentanyl only (n = 115). An unstructured covariance structure was selected based on the lowest Akaike information criterion [40]. Finally, longitudinal analyses (The GENMOD procedure, SAS Institute Inc) compared changes in prevalence of psychological disorders for the entire study sample (N = 258) across the first 4 yr postinjury.
RESULTS

Study Retention

All patients had at least 3 yr of military and/or VA outcomes data. For the level 2 medication sample (any morphine, fentanyl only, or no opioids), all 145 patients had data through 3 yr postinjury. Patients missing data for year 4 were included in analyses across years 3 and 4. For GCS analyses, 133 of 137 patients (97%) had outcomes data through 4 yr postinjury. Overall, 231 of 258 patients (88%) had military and/or VA data for all 4 yr postinjury.

Sample Characteristics

The overall study sample (N = 258) and the subsample of patients with level 2 medication data (n = 145) and GCS scores (n = 137, 8 patients had missing GCS scores) had injury and demographic characteristics that were similar to those of all 857 patients with amputation injured from 2001 to 2008 (Table 1) [10]. (The EMED captured 258 of all 857 patients with amputation, primarily those treated at Navy-Marine Corps facilities.) As described previously [10], the overall study sample and the level 2 medication/GCS sample consisted primarily of young patients (70% ≤25 yr of age) who sustained unilateral (81%) lower-limb amputations (73%) following blast injuries (96%) with moderate to serious ISSs (median = 17). Slightly more than 40 percent of study samples had a TBI diagnosis, which was similar to the overall identified population of patients with amputation (N = 857).

Glasgow Coma Scale Scores and Psychological Diagnoses

Table 2 shows the prevalence of PTSD diagnoses during each of the first 4 yr after combat injury as a function of GCS scores. Clinicians reviewed patient charts for evidence of medications before GCS assessments. Among patients with GCS of 12 or less, 14 of 20 individuals had

### Table 1.
Comparison of demographic and injury characteristics for study samples by levels of care versus all amputees injured from 2001 to 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic/Injury Variable</th>
<th>Medication/GCS Sample Level 2 (n = 145*)</th>
<th>Overall Sample Levels 2–4 (n = 258)</th>
<th>All Amputees 2001–2008 (N = 857)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISS (mean/median)</td>
<td>17.9/17</td>
<td>18.6/16</td>
<td>17.6/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (% ≤25 yr)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preinjury Psychological Diagnosis (%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of Injury (% blast)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI (%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Amputation (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limb</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limb</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral Amputation (%)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Of 145 patients in level 2 medication sample, 137 also had Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores (8 patients had missing GCS scores).
ISS = Injury Severity Score, TBI = traumatic brain injury.

### Table 2.
Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) diagnoses during first 4 yr postinjury.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 2 GCS</th>
<th>Total (n = 137)*</th>
<th>ISS Mean/Median</th>
<th>PTSD, % or % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Year 1† Year 2 Year 3 Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13–15</td>
<td>n = 117</td>
<td>17/14</td>
<td>19 26 30 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12‡</td>
<td>n = 20</td>
<td>23/22</td>
<td>10 (2) 25 (5) 25 (5) 25 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*8 of 145 patients had missing GCS scores, resulting in final sample size of 137.
†Year 1 difference not statistically significant, p > 0.10.
‡GCS score ≤12 indicates loss of consciousness of >30 min.
ISS = Injury Severity Score.
no evidence of opioids or other narcotics before GCS assessment. Four patients had medication-induced unconsciousness (per intubation procedures) and two others received IV morphine (10 and 20 mg). During the first year, patients who sustained postinjury loss of consciousness (i.e., GCS ≤12) had a trend for lower PTSD prevalence than patients with higher GCS scores, but the finding was not statistically significant. Based on VA data sources, we also noted that PTSD prevalence increased, particularly for patients with lower GCS scores (i.e., GCS ≤12). Our prior study found zero out of 20 patients had PTSD diagnoses in military data sources during the first 2 yr postinjury [10]. By comparison, we emphasize that the present study found seven PTSD cases for the same 20 patients when both VA and military data sources were combined. Finally, both GCS groups had similar PTSD rates during years 2 through 4.

Characteristics of Medications

The most frequently administered level 2 medications included IV antibiotics and opioid analgesics. The present study focused on opioid analgesics administered at level 2 combat trauma care facilities because these medications, given within hours of injury, have been shown to affect psychological outcomes [10,18]. Of the 145 patients in the level 2 medication group, 115 received morphine or fentanyl and 75 percent of these patients had dosage data. Another 30 patients received no opioids but had records of other medications. The median highest dose administered at level 2 was 10 mg for morphine and 100 µg for fentanyl. Because the analgesic effect of fentanyl is generally considered 100 times more potent than morphine, the median dosages administered to patients in the present study were approximately equivalent for analgesic effectiveness [16].

Morphine, Fentanyl, and Psychological Diagnoses

Table 3 shows the level 2 medication sample (n = 145) comparing groups who received any morphine, fentanyl only, or no opioids; their associated ISS; and prevalence of patients with TBI, PTSD, or mood diagnoses. Preinjury psychological diagnoses, age (percentage ≤25 yr old), and prevalence of TBI diagnoses did not differ significantly among the three groups. Across TBI status, the no opioids group had significantly higher ISSs than the any morphine or the fentanyl only (opioid-treated) groups (mean ISS: no opioids = 24.2, any morphine = 16.4, fentanyl only = 15.7; p < 0.001).

Short-Term Psychological Outcomes

During the first 2 yr postinjury, the no opioids group had significantly lower prevalence of PTSD (20%, n = 30) than the fentanyl group (53%, n = 32) (chi-square, p < 0.01), but not the morphine group (34%, n = 83). The no opioids group also had a significantly higher prevalence of provider-reported loss of consciousness (50%, 15 of 30) than patients who received opioids (11%, 13 of 115) (p < 0.01). Providers advise that patients with severe injuries (i.e., ISS >20) are not candidates for opioid treatment because they are typically already unconscious with significant blood loss [17]. Therefore, the subsequent analyses focused on comparing patients who received opioid medications, either morphine or fentanyl.

Across TBI status, 34 percent of morphine-treated patients (n = 83) had PTSD during the first 2 yr postinjury compared with 53 percent of patients treated with fentanyl only (n = 32) (p < 0.05). Any morphine (vs fentanyl only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TBI Status</th>
<th>Level 2 Medication</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Median ISS</th>
<th>PTSD, % (n)</th>
<th>Mood, % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Years 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>Years 3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No TBI</td>
<td>No opioids</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12a,b (2)</td>
<td>29 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No TBI</td>
<td>Any morphine</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39 (20)</td>
<td>39 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No TBI</td>
<td>Fentanyl only</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47a (7)</td>
<td>40 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>No opioids</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31 (4)</td>
<td>31 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>Any morphine</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25a (8)</td>
<td>42 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBI</td>
<td>Fentanyl only</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59a (10)</td>
<td>53 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Groups with same superscripts differed significantly (chi-square or Fisher exact test as appropriate, p < 0.05). Across TBI status (n = 115), any morphine (vs fentanyl) had reduced odds ratio (OR) for PTSD during years 1 and 2, OR = 0.37 (95% confidence interval = 0.15–0.90), adjusted for age, injury year, and log ISS. ISS = Injury Severity Score, TBI = traumatic brain injury.
was significantly associated with a reduced prevalence of PTSD during years 1 and 2 (odds ratio [OR] = 0.37, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.15–0.90), adjusted for age, injury year, and log ISS. Table 3 also shows the morphine/fentanyl association with PTSD by TBI status. Among patients with a TBI diagnosis, any morphine (vs fentanyl) had reduced odds of PTSD during years 1 and 2 (OR = 0.23, 95% CI = 0.07–0.82), adjusted for age, injury year, and log ISS. A similar analysis for patients with amputation without a TBI diagnosis did not show a significant association between morphine and PTSD. We conducted separate analyses for psychological diagnoses during the third and fourth years postinjury and found no statistically significant association between the early treatment of patients with IV morphine (vs IV fentanyl) and subsequent development of PTSD or mood diagnoses, regardless of TBI status.

**Longitudinal Analyses: Traumatic Brain Injury, Morphine, Fentanyl, and 4-Year Outcomes**

Longitudinal models of PTSD outcomes over 4 yr postinjury included opioid treatment (any morphine vs fentanyl); TBI; and additional covariates, namely preinjury psychological diagnosis, log ISS, and age. There were no significant 3- or 2-way interactions involving the opioid variable using either two time points (i.e., years 1 and 2 vs years 3 and 4) or four time points (i.e., years 1, 2, 3, and 4). The longitudinal analysis with four time points (Figure 1) showed a statistically significant effect of opioid treatment (morphine vs fentanyl) on PTSD over time (adjusted OR = 0.40, 95% CI = 0.17–0.94). Among patients with TBI, morphine (vs fentanyl) was associated with reduced odds of PTSD, but the trend was not statistically significant ($p = 0.06$, adjusted OR = 0.25, 95% CI = 0.06–1.04, $p = 0.06$). Models were adjusted for time trend, log ISS, and age.

Table 4 shows rates of anxiety, adjustment disorders, and substance abuse among morphine- and fentanyl-treated patients according to TBI status. There were no significant associations between morphine (vs fentanyl only) for any of these psychological outcomes during years 1 and 2 or years 3 and 4 postinjury. Among patients with a TBI diagnosis, the morphine group showed numerically lower but not statistically significant rates of psychological diagnoses, particularly for anxiety diagnoses during the first 2 yr postinjury.

**Four-year psychological outcomes.** Figure 2 shows the prevalence of psychological disorders during years 1, 2, 3, and 4 postinjury. Longitudinal analyses evaluated changes in the prevalence of each disorder across 4 yr postinjury (relative to year 1). There was a significant increase in PTSD prevalence across the four years ($p < 0.001$). By comparison to year 1, PTSD increased significantly during years 2, 3, and 4. By contrast, the prevalence of anxiety, adjustment, and mood disorders decreased significantly across the years (all $p < 0.001$, see Figure 1 notes). The prevalence of substance abuse did not change significantly across years. By the fourth year postinjury, PTSD had the highest prevalence of all disorders.

**Military versus combined military and Department of Veterans Affairs data.** Table 5 shows that PTSD prevalence was substantially higher for combined military/VA data than for military data alone. For mood, anxiety, adjustment disorders, and substance abuse disorders, combining military and VA data resulted in much smaller increases than military data alone.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study is one of the first to investigate the effect of early postinjury medications on long-term psychological outcomes of combat amputees, based on military and VA health data beginning near the point of injury through the following 4 yr of recovery. The major findings were (1) although patients with extended loss of consciousness had numerically lower PTSD rates during the first year postinjury, the finding was not statistically significant and no further reduction was observed during subsequent years; (2) longitudinal modeling showed
significantly reduced odds of PTSD for patients treated with morphine (vs fentanyl) across the 4 yr postinjury, after adjusting for postinjury year, age, and injury severity; (3) early treatment with IV morphine (vs IV fentanyl) was significantly associated with reduced PTSD rates, primarily among patients with TBI, during the first 2 yr postinjury; and (4) the association between IV morphine and reduced rates of PTSD does not appear to extend through the third and fourth years postinjury. A related finding was that PTSD prevalence increased substantially after the first year postinjury, while the prevalence of other psychological decreased across the 4 yr.

The finding that loss of consciousness (i.e., GCS ≤12) was not associated with reduced PTSD contrasted with findings from our previous study [10]. Both studies showed similar trends for reduced PTSD following loss of consciousness during the first year postinjury. However, this association in the present study was not statistically significant when additional PTSD diagnoses were included from VA health data. Notably, military physicians often refer serious head/spinal injury patients to VA Centers of Excellence rather than less specialized military facilities [32]. This may explain the additional PTSD diagnoses recorded by VA sources, particularly for patients with relatively high GCS scores. Ultimately, because of the small sample sizes and inconsistent findings of previous research [36,41–42], this topic deserves further study.

The present study also found that patients treated with morphine had significantly reduced odds of PTSD than those treated with fentanyl. This finding extended our previous study [10] by integrating the military and VA health data and by longitudinal modeling of the association between early opioids and PTSD outcomes across 4 yr postinjury. The effect of morphine on PTSD appeared strongest during the first 2 yr postinjury among patients with TBI. A previous study found postinjury morphine was associated with reduced likelihood of PTSD, but did not appear to find substantial use of fentanyl for comparison [18]. As in our previous study, we hypothesized that the primary effect of morphine was to prevent PTSD and,
secondarily, these patients were also less likely to develop mood disorders [43–44]. There is evidence that both TBI and morphine may interfere with the formation of memory of trauma and later PTSD [18,36]. This may explain why morphine was most effective among patients with TBI.

We emphasize caution in hypothesizing a relationship between morphine, fentanyl, and TBI and PTSD outcomes because of small sample sizes in the present study. There may have been unmeasured patient treatment or injury characteristics that determined whether individuals received morphine or fentanyl. It is possible such characteristics caused the differences in PTSD outcomes observed in the present study. However, our multivariate analyses adjusted for patient characteristics such as age and injury severity and the morphine/fentanyl variable remained a significant predictor of PTSD. Future research should consider in-depth review of individual cases and provider interviews to detail the wide range of patient characteristics that might differ between morphine-treated and fentanyl-treated patients. Ultimately, prospective randomized trials, which may be possible at civilian trauma centers, can provide the strongest test of the effect of early opioids on psychological outcomes.

Table 5. Prevalence of psychological disorders during first 2 yr postinjury for military versus combined military and Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) health data (N = 258 combat amputees).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>PTSD (Military Only)</th>
<th>PTSD (Military and VA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>75.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.
and may facilitate VA benefits for appropriate treatment. (However, there is no strong evidence of an association between benefit seeking and PTSD [1].) In general, our finding that combat amputees have increasing prevalence of PTSD over the first 4 yr postinjury supports a sustained and proactive approach by military and VA health professionals to screen for mental health disorders.

Many studies of postinjury psychological outcomes of servicemembers injured in the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts have studied the first 2 yr of health outcomes using military data sources [4,6,36]. Even during the first 2 yr after injury, we found substantially higher rates of PTSD and “other” psychological diagnoses such as postconcussive syndrome, using both military and VA data sources versus military sources alone [6,8,27]. This finding may also reflect compartmentalization of care, whereby some TBI and/or PTSD cases are referred directly to VA centers for postinjury treatment and, thus, not captured/coded within the military system [32]. Researchers following combat-related PTSD and TBI outcomes should consider incorporating both military and VA health data.

The strengths of the present study included access to casualty records in the EMED, which detailed specific patient injuries, early medications, and GCS scores. We also integrated military and VA health data from near point of injury through 48 mo postinjury for more than 90 percent of patients in the study sample. The primary limitation was small sample size. The diagnostic criteria for PTSD overlaps with other psychological disorders (e.g., TBI, mood, and postconcussion syndrome) and, therefore, cases of PTSD might have been misdiagnosed [32]. To minimize this problem, we included PTSD cases only for patients with at least two separate PTSD diagnoses [39].

As described in our previous study, IV morphine and IV fentanyl have the potential for adverse side effects that may negatively affect hemodynamics. Physicians are encouraged to consider the present results along with military guidelines, which emphasize careful evaluation of trauma patient status before administering these medications [14]. Finally, opioids have great potential for addiction, and consequently, providers should exercise caution and appropriate follow-up for patients receiving morphine and/or fentanyl for analgesia [48].

CONCLUSIONS

The present study found that combat amputees treated with early IV morphine (vs IV fentanyl) had significantly reduced PTSD and mood diagnoses during the first 2 yr after injury. These results included both military and VA health data. These associations were specific to patients with a TBI diagnosis. In contrast, morphine was not associated with reduced rates of other psychological outcomes (e.g., adjustment, anxiety, and substance abuse disorders). However, the present study found that early IV morphine was not associated with reduced PTSD rates during the third and fourth year postinjury. Finally, we found a substantial increase in the prevalence of PTSD over the first 4 yr postinjury, a result based on combining military and VA health data to more accurately capture these outcomes. Further research should determine the generality of the present findings to nonamputees with serious combat limb injuries.
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## Abstract

Morphine and fentanyl are frequently used for analgesia after trauma, but there is debate over the advantages and disadvantages of these opioids. Among combat amputees, intravenous (IV) morphine (vs IV fentanyl) after injury was associated with reduced likelihood of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). The previous results were based on military health diagnoses over 2 yr post-injury. The present study followed psychological diagnoses of patients with amputation for 4 yr using military and Department of Veterans Affairs health data. In-theater combat casualty records ($n = 145$) documented Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores and/or morphine, fentanyl, or no opioid treatment within hours of injury. We found that (1) GCS scores were not significantly associated with PTSD; (2) longitudinal modeling using four (yearly) time points showed significantly reduced odds of PTSD for patients treated with morphine (vs fentanyl) across years (adjusted odds ratio = 0.40; 95% confidence interval = 0.17–0.94); (3) reduced PTSD prevalence for morphine (vs IV fentanyl; morphine = 25%, fentanyl = 59%, $p < 0.05$) was significant, specifically among patients with traumatic brain injury during the first 2 yr post-injury; and (4) PTSD prevalence, but not other disorders (e.g., mood), increased between year 1 (PTSD = 18%) and years 2 through 4 post-injury (PTSD range = 30%–32%).
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