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Biophysical aspects of cyclodextrin interaction with paraoxon

Sunil-Datta Soni, a Jayendra B. Bhonsle b and Gregory E. Garcia b *

Cyclodextrins are torus-shaped polymers of glucose that can bind organophosphorous compounds such as nerve agents and pesticides. We demonstrate here that cyclodextrin can bind up to two paraoxon molecules with a \( K_{av} \) of 6775 M\(^{-1} \). Molecular modeling shows that the paraoxon appears to bind in polar opposite orientation and have an average binding energy of –89 Kcals/mol.
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Introduction

Because of the initial discovery that cyclodextrins (CDs) can bind and catalyze the hydrolysis of organophosphorus (OP) pesticides and nerve agents,[1–3] these compounds have been of interest as potential scavengers of OPs. The intrinsic ability of CDs to hydrolyze OPs has been improved by several modifications such as capping on the primary rim and addition of nucleophile adducts on the secondary rim.[4,5] To make improvements in CD design and gain insights into the structural relationships to OP-scavenging activity, we began by examining the interactions of \( \beta \)-CDs with paraoxon (PX) by NMR techniques and molecular modeling. Whereas NMR techniques have been used to evaluate the interactions of CDs with other molecules, as far as we know, this is the first report on the interaction of CDs with this pesticide using this technique.

Materials and Methods

The \( \beta \)-CD and paraoxon were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, and used without further purification; purity was checked using proton NMR and was taken into account in the calculations. The \( \beta \)-CD was 98% pure as supplied, and the water content was 15%. All compounds were dissolved in 99.8% D\(_2\)O. The NMR experiments were performed on Varian’s Unity Inova at 600 MHz using a 5-mm Penta PFG probe at 25 °C. Either internal 4,4-Dimethyl-4-Silapentane-1-Sulfonic acid (DSS) or residual Deuterium hydroxide (HOD) peak was used as proton reference. A standard (s2pul) pulse sequence was used and 90° pulse calibrated on the sample with a 3 s relaxation delay. A total of 32 scans were collected for each data set. Data were processed using MESTRENOVA software (Mestrelab Research, Spain) with 1 Hz line broadening and zero filling to 32 K. In case of multiplets, the average chemical shifts in parts per million are reported. All proton assignments were confirmed using 2D data set (COSY and TOCSY).

Table 1. Chemical shift values (ppm) of \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin and paraoxon at 25°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Resonance</th>
<th>Without complexation</th>
<th>1:1 complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )-CD</td>
<td>H1'</td>
<td>4.938</td>
<td>4.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H2'</td>
<td>3.516</td>
<td>3.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H3'</td>
<td>3.834</td>
<td>3.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H4'</td>
<td>3.454</td>
<td>3.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H5'</td>
<td>3.733</td>
<td>3.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H6', 6&quot;</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>3.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraxon</td>
<td>CH₃ (triplet)</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₂ (multi)</td>
<td>4.332</td>
<td>4.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H3,5 (doublet)</td>
<td>7.445</td>
<td>7.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H2,6 (doublet)</td>
<td>8.334</td>
<td>8.226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Compound structures. a. \( \beta \)-cyclodextrin; b. paraoxon. The number schemes are shown for the compounds.
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Figure 2. NMR analysis of paraoxon (PX) and β-CD interaction. Job’s plot analysis (continuous variation method) was performed for β-CD H1’, H2’, and H4’ protons and is shown in a–c respectively. The PX CH3, CH2, H3,5, and H2,6 plots are shown in d. δ = δ(complex)/C₀ δ(free), and the Mole fraction r = [CD]/([CD] + [OP]) where CD = β-CD and OP = PX. The Benesi–Hildebrand plot for PX2-CD is shown in e. All concentrations are in millimeters.

Figure 3. Plots of the change of chemical shift association constant generated from titration data between β-cyclodextrin and paraoxon. The plots show observed and calculated data for the β-cyclodextrin sugar resonances analyzed using nonlinear regression analysis for a. H1’, b. H2’, c. H5’, d. H2 H8, and e. H3 H5.
was used for the docking employing the FlexiDock algorithm on the basis of genetic algorithm as implemented in Tripos Sybyl-X Version 1.3 molecular modeling software.

Results and Discussion

The structures of β-CD and PX are shown in Fig. 1. The chemical shifts (ppm) for the hosts (β-CD), guests (PX), and 1:1 complex are reported in Table 1. The NMR PX/CD data were compiled to generate values to the Job’s plots shown in Fig. 2. The continuous variation method (\(\frac{[H]}{[G]} = (\text{constant})\)) of Jobs’s plots are shown in Fig. 2a–d. The chemical shifts of β-CD H1’, H2’, and H4’ are shown in Fig. 2a–c and those of PX, CH3, CH2, H2,5, and H3,6 are shown in Fig. 2d. The β-CD plots yield maxima of 0.33, and the PX plots yield maxima of 0.66, indicating that more than one PX molecule is binding to each CD, as typically a 1:1 ratio would yield a maximum of 0.5. Additional analysis by Benesi–Hildebrand (B–H) plot is shown in Fig. 2e. This plot did not yield a straight line, further indicating that multiple PX molecules are binding to each CD, because a simple 1:1 complex of CD and PX would yield a straight line from which the association constant would be the slope. Thus, in our case, the association constant was determined as described by Hirose\(^6\) where H was the host (β-CD) and G was the guest (PX). The \(\delta _H\) data were plotted against \([G]/[H]\) to yield the plots shown in Fig. 3. The calculated average association constants were derived from the graphs of Fig. 3. The observed and calculated chemical shift values from nonlinear regression analysis were subject to statistical analysis using SolvStat\(^7\) and are reported in Table 2. Because of severe overlap of some of the β-CD proton resonances, only some of the values are reported. The average association constant \(K_{av}\) is 6557 M\(^{-1}\) with an average standard deviation of 1118 and mean \(R^2\) of 0.9932. We then examined β-CD accommodation of PX by molecular modeling. The computed molecular volume and molecular Van-der-Waals surface area for PX were found to be 779.56 Å\(^3\) and 495.14 Å\(^2\), respectively. The computational modeling of PX into the β-CD cavity is shown in Fig. 4. The continuous fitting of a single PX into the β-CD cavity is depicted in Fig. 4a and b showing the wire rendering of the primary face view and secondary face views. The docking of two PX molecules into the β-CD cavity in the wire rendering form is shown in Fig. 4c and d for the primary and secondary face views. The docking energy of the two PX in the PX\(_2\)/CD is −89 Kcal/mol, while for one PX in PX/CD, it is −55 Kcal/mol. In the lateral face views shown in Fig. 4e and f, the PX and 2PX molecules are shown as Stick renderings to highlight them vis-à-vis the β-CD molecules. The model shows that the phosphate and nitro portions of the PX molecule protrude out of the β-CD cavity. For 2PX binding, the phosphate and nitro of the second PX are polar opposite the other PX. This arrangement would allow the aligning of the phenyl portions that may produce π–π interactions that enhance the docking energy for the second PX, although this would not explain the large difference in docking energies as yet other unidentified interactions could contribute.
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