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The purpose of this project is to develop DNA aptamer complexes that are selectively cytotoxic to PSMA+ prostate cancer (PCA) cells. Our studies showed Zn2+ is cytotoxic to prostate cancer cells and also sensitizes PCA cells to chemotherapy. We developed a new DNA motif for Zn2+ delivery. We also developed a new chemical strategy for delivering the cytotoxic drug doxorubicin to cancer cells. We have demonstrated that our novel dimeric aptamer complexes are selectively internalized by PSMA+ prostate cancer cells and have demonstrated selective cytotoxicity with delivery of doxorubicin. We also developed a new nanoparticle (NP) composed of porphyrin and DNA and demonstrated that this NP was cytotoxic to bladder cancer cells in vitro, was non-toxic in vivo, and displayed strong anti-tumor activity in vivo. The aptamer complexes and the NPs we developed in this project are highly selective and highly active agents that have the potential to markedly improve chemotherapy for treatment of advanced prostate cancer. We developed a new process for selective release of doxorubicin from a DNA scaffold in tumor cells and completed analysis of F10 anti-tumor activity in prostate cancer xenografts.
# Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Research Accomplishments</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reportable Outcomes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendices</td>
<td>8-52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aim 3: Evaluate the safety and anti-tumor activity of chelated-Zn$^{2+}$ in combination with advanced FPs as well as metallated DNA aptamer complexes that include both chelated Zn$^{2+}$ and FdU.


Our experiments with the porphyrin ZnPc binding to DNA resulted in studies with the porphyrin meso-tetra-4-pyridyl porphine (MTP) interacting with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). As F10 is expensive (> $3,000/g) we used another ssDNA sequence (dGT(20)) in these studies.

We demonstrated formation of discrete nanoparticles (NPs) (Figure S1).

We evaluated the safety of the MTP-containing PDN in vivo performing intratumoral injections of the PDN in nude mice. The PDN-treated group displayed no adverse effects due to treatment demonstrating the safety of the nanoparticle in vivo. These data were included in our publication “Non-covalent assembly of meso-tetra-pyridyl porphine…” which is included as Appendix #1 of this revised report. We also completed analysis of the safety of FdUMP[10] in vivo which we established is safe and in fact much better tolerated than 5-FU in vivo. These data are included in our manuscript “F10 inhibits growth of PC3 xenografts…” which is included as Appendix #2 of this revised report.
**Task 3.B. Evaluate the efficacy of FdUMP[10] in combination with ZnPc.** We evaluated the efficacy of PDN prepared with the single-stranded DNA d(GT)20. We established that PDN display significant and light-dependent anti-tumor activity (Figure S2). These data were included in our publication “Non-covalent assembly of meso-tetra-

![Figure S2](image). PDN/light treatment displays significant anti-tumor activity in vivo. (A) Representative tumors from the four treatment groups at day 0 (top row – prior to any treatment), day 1 (2nd row – after initial treatments), day 25 (3rd row – at the conclusion of light treatment), and day 55 (bottom row – end of study). The left mouse, left flank (L, L) received no treatment; the left mouse, right flank (L-R) received PDN-only. The right mouse, left flank (R, L) received PDN/light and the right mouse, right flank (R, R) received light-only. (B) Plot of relative volumes for bladder cancer xenografts over time following treatment with PDN/light (red), light-only (green), PDN-only (blue), no treatment (black). (C) Histopathological analysis of tumor tissue. Polarization detection as part of histological analysis for PDN in tissues excised from animals at the conclusion of the study receiving the following treatments: (top-left) – PDN/light; (top-right) – light-only; (bottom-left) – PDN-only; (bottom-right) – no treatment.

We also completed analysis of efficacy data for FdUMP[10] towards PC3 xenografts alone and in combination with radiation. These data are included in our manuscript “F10 inhibits growth of PC3 xenografts...” which is included as Appendix #2 of this revised report.

**Task 3.C. Evaluate the safety of Dimeric Aptamer Complexes.** We completed a study in which we evaluated the safety of the dimeric aptamer complex following intravenous administration. In this study we attached the dimeric aptamer complex to a liposome. No toxicity was observed.

We completed studies with the DNA hairpins that we have previously used to deliver Zn2+ and FdU nucleotides and discovered a unique covalent linkage that we used for delivery of doxorubicin. We published these findings in Bioconjugate Chemistry (Appendix #3).
KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- We completed safety studies establishing PDN are safe upon intra-tumoral administration.
- We completed analysis of data demonstrating FdUMP[10] is safe upon intravenous administration.
- We completed efficacy studies demonstrating PDN display anti-tumor activity that is light-dependent.
- We completed analysis of data demonstrating FdUMP[10] is efficacious and radiosensitizing upon intravenous administration.
- We performed studies demonstrating that dimeric aptamer complexes are safe upon intravenous administration.
- We completed studies demonstrating the DNA hairpin could be used for formation of covalent complexes of doxorubicin that are useful for targeted drug delivery.

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:


Appendix #1


Appendix#2


Appendix #3
Conclusion: Additional progress was made towards completing the objectives outlined for the no-cost extension. All project goals have been addressed.
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Abstract

DNA and porphyrin based therapeutics are important for anti-cancer treatment. The present studies demonstrate single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) assembles with meso-tetra-4-pyridyl porphine (MTP) forming porphyrin:DNA nano-complexes (PDN) that are stable in aqueous solution under physiologically relevant conditions and undergo dissociation with DNA release in hydrophobic environments, including cell membranes. PDN formation is DNA-dependent with the ratio of porphyrin:DNA being approximately two DNA nucleobases per porphyrin. PDN produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) in a light-dependent manner under conditions that favor nano-complex dissociation in the presence of hydrophobic solvents. PDN induce light-dependent cytotoxicity \(\textit{in vitro}\) and anti-tumor activity towards bladder cancer xenografts \(\textit{in vivo}\). Light-dependent, PDN-mediated cell death results from ROS-mediated localized membrane damage due to lipid peroxidation with mass spectrometry indicating the generation of the lipid peroxidation products 9- and 13-hydroxy octadecanoic acid. Our results demonstrate that PDN have properties useful for therapeutic applications, including cancer treatment.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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Background

Porphyrins are a group of compounds containing the porphin ring structure that is important in biology and medicine and that has recently been studied for their propensity to form nano-materials,\(^{1,2}\) including nanotubes\(^3\) through non-covalent chemistry. The principal driving force for porphyrin self-assembly is hydrophobic interactions\(^4\) resulting in vertical stacking of porphyrins in aqueous solutions in a pH-dependent manner.\(^5,6\) Previous studies demonstrating nanoparticle assembly of porphyrins were conducted in pure water and self-assembly was optimal at acidic pH, limiting potential biological applications.\(^5,6\) Porphyrin assembly has been shown to be modulated by biological molecules, such as poly-glutamic acid,\(^7\) however these complexes also have limited stability under physiologically relevant conditions. The preparation of porphyrin-containing nanostructures that are stable under biologically relevant conditions, but that dissociate in a predictable manner could be useful for drug delivery, photodynamic therapy (PDT),\(^8,12\) and other biologically relevant processes.\(^13\)
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The present studies focus on developing porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles (PDN) that are stable under physiological conditions of pH, salt, and temperature and that have potential use for PDT as well as in nucleic acid delivery. In principle, hydrophobic stacking and hydrogen bonding interactions between appropriately modified porphyrins and DNA (or RNA) nucleobases could provide an additional interaction complementary to porphyrin aggregation potentially resulting in production of porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles (PDN) that have both the light-mediated cell killing properties of porphyrin photosensitizers (PS) and the capacity to deliver a nucleic acid payload with therapeutic utility. Our studies utilize meso-tetra-4-pyridyl porphine (MTP) interacting with the single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) (GT)20. In the absence of DNA, MTP forms amorphous aggregates in aqueous solution under physiological conditions, although acidification of the solution (pH 3.0) dissociates the aggregates and re-generates non-complex porphyrin. The pyridyl groups of MTP have the potential to base stack and/or form hydrogen bonds with nucleobases of DNA at physiological pH and the phosphodiester backbone of DNA may confer aqueous solubility to the complex. We demonstrate that unlike previous porphyrin:DNA complexes14–18 the PDN developed with this approach form discrete porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles that are of the appropriate size for drug-delivery applications via the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect19,20 and that readily dissociate upon cell internalization to deliver a therapeutic payload.

The PDN prepared by self-assembly of MTP and ssDNA have the potential to be highly effective agents for cancer treatment. We demonstrate that PDN are capable of exerting light-dependent cytotoxicity towards bladder cancer cells both in tissue culture models and in vivo. The light-dependent cytotoxicity of PDN occurs with generation of the lipid oxidation products 9- and 13-hydroxy octadecanoic acid (HODE) and with damage to the integrity of the plasma membrane consistent with generation of singlet oxygen via a type II photochemical reaction.21 PDN initially associate with the plasma membrane and are internalized into endocytic vesicles where free DNA and porphyrin may release, recovering the functionality of the constituent materials while leaving no extraneous carrier that may impart systemic toxicities. Importantly, localized PDN-treatment combined with light-activation significantly reduced tumor volumes in vivo.

Methods

Preparation of porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles

Meso-tetra-4-pyridyl porphine (MTP; ~0.25 mg – Frontier Scientific) was suspended in 5 mL 20 mM Phosphate Buffer (pH 7.4) containing 5 nmol (0.025 mg/mL) d(GT)20 and sonicated in a bath sonicator for 1.5 h with temperature maintained at 5-7 °C. A second addition of MTP (~0.25 mg) was followed by an additional 1 h sonication, followed by addition of 5 nmol DNA and further sonication for 1 h. The mixture was then centrifuged and filtered with membrane filter tube (Amicon Ultra, MWCO 100 KDa) to remove any free DNA. The resulting brownish-yellow aqueous suspension was used for subsequent studies. The porphyrin:DNA ratio for PDN (~19:1) was calculated by acid dissolution of the nanoparticle followed by spectrophotometric determination.

Biophysical characterization of PDN

UV-Vis spectra were collected under ambient conditions using a DU8000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter). Fluorescence spectra were acquired using a Perkin-Elmer-F1000 fluorometer with excitation at 420 nm and emission scanned over the range 550-900 nm. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of PDN were acquired using a FEI Thcnai-Spirit TEM. TEM images were analyzed and the distribution of PDN length and diameter was determined using Matlab software. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) was performed under ambient conditions using a Malvern Zetasizer nano series ZEN-1600 in particle size and measurement mode. Each sample was read for 60 s using a 442.0 Kcps count rate. Data were analyzed using Malvern software.

Hydrophobicity-dependent DNA release and endosomal uptake of PDN

PDN were prepared as a suspension in 20 mM phosphate buffer (250 μg/mL) which was then diluted to 15 μg/mL in 20 mM phosphate buffer mixture containing either 0%, 20% or 40% acetonitrile. The desired pH was obtained by adding concentrated HCl or NaOH. The pH of all mixtures was further confirmed using a pH meter after addition of all components. After addition of all components, mixtures were incubated at room temperature overnight followed by filtration through membrane filter tubes (Amicon Ultra; 100KDa MWCO), 25 μL of each filtrate including a 2.5 μM d(GT)20 standard was then analyzed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (15% native gel in TBE buffer, pH 7.4, 50 mA for 90 min). The gel was stained using Syber Gold solution and scanned using a Typhoon FLA 9500. The image of the gel was analyzed with Image Quant 5.2 software. The pH- and hydrophobicity-dependent DNA dissociation was also quantified by investigating absorbance of the filtrates at 260 nm. The concentration of DNA filtrates was quantified using the absorbance of a solution of 1.275 μM d(GT)20 as standard that represents the total DNA content of PDN (calculated from PDN concentration with ratiometric quantification).

Endocytosis-mediated cellular uptake of PDN was evaluated by co-localization with FITC-labeled dextran. Human bladder cancer cells (ATCC 5637) were cultured in sterile chamber slides and treated with complete media containing PDN (2 μg/mL) and FITC-labeled dextran (1 mg/mL), then incubated overnight at 37 °C, and, next morning, washed with sterile PBS and imaged using a Zeiss Axiovert LSM-510 microscope. PDN were excited using the 633 nm laser and emission was collected with the 650 nm long-pass filter set. FITC-labeled dextran was excited using the 488 nm argon laser and emission collected with 505-530 nm bandpass filter set. DIC images of cells were collected in a separate channel for overlay. The co-localization analysis was done using LSM 510 software (Carl Zeiss).
Tissue culture cytotoxicity and apoptosis assays

Human bladder cancer cells (ATCC 5637) were cultured in complete media (RPMI + 10% FBS). The cells were then treated with complete media containing nanoparticle at final concentrations of 0, 1, 2, 5 μg/mL followed by incubation overnight then washed with PBS. One of two plates was then exposed to 420 nm blue light (Trophy Skin Blue MD) at a power density of 2.3 μW/cm² for 10 min followed by incubation for 24 h. Cell viability was assessed using the CellTiter 96 Proliferation Assay reagent (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Apoptosis was assessed using the Caspase Glo 3/7 assay (Promega) with similar procedures except PDN concentration was evaluated at 0 or 2 μg/mL. Luminescence was measured using GENios (TECAN) microplate reader. Each set of data (net absorbance) was expressed as a percentage, considering the no treatment group as 100%.

Light-dependent membrane damage by PDN

Localized membrane damage of PDN upon blue light irradiation was evaluated using confocal microscopy to detect calcine-AM retention, a cytoplasm-localizing fluorescent dye. Cells were cultured in one of two sterile culture slides and treated with complete media containing PDN (0 or 2 μg/mL), incubated overnight at 37 °C, washed with PBS followed by addition of Calcein-AM (Invitrogen) at final concentration 2.5 μM, and incubated for 15 min at 37 °C. One of the two culture slides was then exposed to 420 nm blue light for 10 min. Confocal microscopy was performed as mentioned in the FITC-dextran experiment.

Light-dependent ROS generation and membrane lipid peroxidation by PDN

PDN suspension was prepared in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) as described above to a final concentration of 250 μg/mL. Acetonitrile buffer mixture was prepared by adding appropriate amount of 100 mM sodium phosphate and acetonitrile with water. PDN suspension was added to the mixture (5 μg/mL) followed by addition of the dye C11 Bodipy to final concentration 20 μM in a 96 well black flat bottom plate (Costar). Mixtures were prepared in triplicates in two plates. One of the plates was then exposed to the blue light for 2 min immediately followed by scanning with Tecan-Safire-II microplate reader in fluorescence detection mode with 480 nm excitation and 500-800 nm emission. Slit opening was set to 10 nm, and reading was average for 5 actuations.

Membrane lipid peroxidation upon blue light irradiation of cancer cells treated with PDN was evaluated using confocal microscopy detecting a membrane localizable fluorescent dye (C11-Bodipy). Microscopy was performed as described for confocal microscopy experiments including an additional channel for detecting non-oxidized dye, excited with a 543 nm He-Ne laser and emission was collected using 565-615 bandpass filter.

Detection of light-dependent membrane damage by PDN

PDN-mediated membrane damage upon blue light irradiation was detected by Transmission Election Microscopy (TEM). Cell culture and PDN treatment, including blue light irradiation, were performed in 6-well clear bottom plates (Costar) following the same procedure as for the in vitro cytotoxicity assay with the PDN concentration 0 or 2 μg/mL. Cells were fixed with 2.5% gluteraldehyde and treated with 2% osmium tetroxide, then dehydrated gradually followed by embedding in the resin and sectioning for TEM investigation.

Antitumor activity of PDN

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Wake Forest School of Medicine Animal Care and Use Committee. Six week old female nude mice were ordered from NCI. Tumor xenografts were generated by subcutaneous injection of 1.5 × 10⁶ human bladder cancer cells suspended in 200 μL of 1:1 PBS/ Matrigel (BD bioscience) in both flanks of 10 female nude mice. Mice were used for experimental procedures 3 weeks following inoculation with tumor cells, after tumor size had reached approximately 75 mm³. Each of 10 mice was injected with 100 μL of 250 μg/mL PDN suspension in one flank and 100 μL saline in the other flank. Approximately 12 h later, tumors on both flanks of 6 mice were irradiated with a blue beam of 420 nm for 3 min. The source laser for these studies was a Mira 900 (Coherent Inc., Santa Clara, CA), a mode-locked femtosecond Ti:Sapphire laser. The pulses were approximately 90 femtoseconds at a wavelength of 840 nm with an average power of 600 mW. The beam was transmitted directly into the tumor using a multimode optical fiber (SFS105/125Y, Thorlabs Inc., Newton, NJ). The output power at the final end of the fiber was 100 mW. The remaining four mice were treated identically but were not exposed to the laser. The laser irradiation was performed every 5th day for a total of five doses. Tumor sizes were measured using callipers and tumor volumes were calculated using the formula x²π/6 (where x and y are the long and short diameters of the tumor, respectively). The tumors were analyzed as four independent groups: i) no treatment; ii) light-only; iii) PDN-only; iv) PDN + light. Relative tumor volumes (V/V₀) were graphed vs. time (where V is the present tumor volume and V₀ was the tumor volume when treatment started). Repeated measures mixed models were fit to compare tumor volumes between groups. In these models, animals were treated as random effects and group (four-levels) and time (days) were treated as fixed-effects. The group-by-time interaction was examined to determine whether the rate of change in tumor volume differed over time among the four groups. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.1.

Histopathological analysis of tumor tissue

At the conclusion of the study animals were sacrificed and tumors were excised and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution overnight at ambient temperature. The following day, tumors were excised and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde, then immersed in 25% sucrose for 24 h followed by paraffin infiltration. Tissue sections were cut at 5 μM and embedded in paraffin wax. Tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Results

Biophysical properties of porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles

Meso-tetra-4-pyridyl porphine (MTP – Figure 1, A) is soluble in aqueous solution only at acidic pH (<5.5) and forms...
aggregates in solution near physiological pH. Sonication of MTP in the presence of single-stranded DNA at pH 7.4, however, permitted recovery of discrete, nano-sized particles composed of both porphyrin and DNA (porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles – PDN; Figure 1, B). Production of nanoparticles (Figure S1, Supporting Information) was DNA-dependent and the ratio of porphyrin to DNA (d(GT)20) was determined to be ~19:1 (mol/mol) or about two DNA nucleobases per MTP based upon the UV absorbances calculated from the acid-dissolved nanoparticles (Table S1 and Figure S2, Supporting Information). The size and shape of the PDN were investigated using transmission electron microscopy (TEM – Figure 1, C) and the hydrodynamic properties of PDN were investigated using dynamic light scattering (DLS – Figure 1, D). TEM images revealed irregular shaped particles with average length and diameter ~60 nm (Figure S3, Supporting Information) while DLS revealed PDN in aqueous solution had an average hydrodynamic radius of ~295 nm (Figure 1, D).

PDN were characterized by UV-Vis, fluorescence, and Raman spectroscopies to determine to what extent the context of the PDN complex altered the electronic properties of the constituent porphyrin and DNA. UV-Vis spectra for PDN revealed the absorbance at 260 nm from the DNA was little changed relative to free DNA while the Soret (S0→S2) band22 was slightly red-shifted and broadened for PDN relative to MTP (Figure 2, A). The Q band (S0→S1)23 at 535 nm was also enhanced and sharpened for the PDN relative to the free porphyrin. Fluorescence spectra for PDN with excitation at 420 nm showed that the emission maxima at 660 and 725 nm for the MTP monomer were substantially quenched for PDN with emission maxima reduced ~25-fold (Figure 2, B). Raman spectra revealed two sharp peaks at 1360 and 1555 cm\(^{-1}\) for PDN similar to resonances assigned previously to \(\nu(X\beta - X\beta) + \delta(X\beta - H)\) and \(\nu(X\alpha - N) + \delta(X\beta - H)\) for tetrasulfonated tetraphenyl porphyrin (TSSP) upon electrode binding,\(^{24}\) consistent with surface-enhancement of Raman spectra for MTP in the context of PDN. Together, the spectroscopic properties reveal an altered electronic structure for MTP in the context of PDN that is consistent with \(\pi - \pi\) stacking of porphyrins with DNA nucleobases contributing significantly to PDN assembly.

Hydrophobicity-dependent DNA release and endosomal uptake of PDN

The stability of PDN as a function of pH and solvent hydrophobicity was investigated to gain insight into the nature of forces promoting complex stability. PDN stability at physiologically-relevant pH is mainly dependent on hydrophobic interactions (Figure 3, A and B and S4, S5, Supporting Information). Acidification of PDN to pH 5.1 may also enhance its dissociation process, as indicated by small amount of DNA release, due to pyridyl nitrogen protonation. Approximately 70% of total DNA

Figure 1. Discrete porphyrin:DNA nanoparticles (PDN) form upon sonication of MTP porphyrin with ssDNA. (A) Structure of the MTP porphyrin used for these studies. (B) Molecular model of PDN nano-complex showing both porphyrin-porphyrin and porphyrin:DNA interactions. (C) TEM image of PDN nanoparticles. (D) DLS analysis of PDN hydrodynamic radius distribution.

Figure 2. Spectroscopic characterization of PDN reveals the physical properties of the porphyrin are altered in the PDN complex. (A) Absorbance spectra for PDN (blue), MTP monomer (green), DNA (red), and phosphate buffer (black). (B) Fluorescence spectra for MTP monomer (green), PDN (blue), DNA (black), and 5% acetic acid (magenta). (C) Raman spectrum for PDN.
was released upon PDN dissociation in 20% and 40% acetonitrile solution; while pH 5.1 and 11.4 induced ~7% of total DNA release in the 100% aqueous environment (Figure 3, A and B) and no DNA release was observed in pH 7.4 at 100% aqueous environment. However \textit{in vitro} acidification of PDN to pH 3.0 or below leads to complete PDN dissociation immediately due to full protonation of the porphyrin molecules including central pyrrol rings. \textsuperscript{25,26} While the hydrophobicity-dependence of PDN dissociation is consistent with disruption of hydrophobic base stacking between DNA and MTP, the DNA release from PDN was favored by increasing hydrophobicity of the solvent system by acetonitrile addition (Figure 3, A and B and S4, Supporting Information). Thus, the data are consistent with π−π stacking between DNA nucleobases and pyridyl side chains of MTP significantly contributing to PDN stability. The hydrophobicity-dependence of PDN dissociation \textit{in vitro} caused us to investigate to what extent PDN dissociate in hydrophobic environments \textit{in vivo}. Significantly, hydrophobic environment of plasma membrane potentially promotes PDN disassembly by dynamic hydrophobic interactions (Figure S5, Supporting Information).\textsuperscript{27,28}

Endosomal uptake of PDN was demonstrated by co-localization of PDN with endosome-localizing FITC-dextran complex.\textsuperscript{29–31} Co-localization data showed approximately 45% of the PDN gets internalized by endocytosis (overlap coefficient ~0.7)\textsuperscript{32,33} (Figure 3, C). While about half of the PDN undergoes endocytosis, a significant fraction remains associated with the cell membrane as demonstrated by the co-localization of PDN with membrane localizing dye Bodipy in a circular peripheral pattern (Figure S6, supporting Information). Endosomes are acidic in nature and may promote PDN dissociation upon cellular internalization in addition to interactions with the hydrophobic lipid membrane. Increased acidity, associated with the tumor microenvironment raises the possibility that PDN may dissociate in tumor tissue. We performed confocal microscopy experiments with fluorescently-(6-FAM-) labeled DNA and evaluated DNA release upon PDN dissociation (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Confocal microscopy analysis demonstrated DNA dissociation with a co-localization coefficient 0.083 indicating less than 10% of total DNA co-localizes with porphyrin.

\textbf{Light-dependent cytotoxicity of PDN}

The cytotoxicity of PDN towards human bladder cancer cells was evaluated to determine to what extent these nano-sized complexes are cytotoxic towards malignant cells in a light-dependent manner (Figure 4 and Figures S8-S10, Supporting Information). ROS resulting from PDN dissociation upon interacting with the plasma membrane could, upon light stimulation, result in lipid peroxidation producing subsequent loss of membrane integrity and cell death (Figure 4, A). Cell viability assays demonstrated PDN are cytotoxic to bladder cancer cells in a light-dependent manner (Figure 4, B). Interestingly, the light-dependent cytotoxicity of PDN towards bladder cancer cells is relatively independent of PDN concentration consistent with a threshold level of membrane damage inducing cell death. The light-dependent cytotoxicity of PDN was accompanied by only a slight increase in apoptosis (Figure 4, C), with little DNA damage (Figure S14, Supporting Information) and no effect of z-VAD rescue consistent with cell death being predominantly non-apoptotic.

To gain further insight into the nature of cell death, bladder cancer cells were pre-treated with the cytoplasm-localizing dye

![Figure 3. PDN-disassembly as a function of pH and solvent hydrophobicity. (A) 15% native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of DNA filtrates released upon incubation at pH 7.4, 11.4, 5.1 and at 20%, 40% acetonitrile containing mixture. A solution of 2.5 μM d(GT)$_{20}$ was included as standard. (B) Representative profiles of 260 nm UV absorbance of DNA filtrates as mentioned above. A solution of 1.275 μM d(GT)$_{20}$ was included as representative of total DNA content of the PDN suspension calculated from ratiometric quantification. (C) Confocal microscopy images evaluating endosomal uptake of PDN. Cells were co-treated with PDN (magenta; left) and FITC labeled dextran (green; middle). Endosomal PDN uptake was demonstrated by colocalization both fluorescence in overlay with DIC image of the cell (right).]
calcein-AM and the effects of PDN and light on calcein retention were investigated. Treatment with PDN and light resulted in dye efflux for nearly all cells (Figure 4, D) while treatment with PDN-only or light-only did not stimulate dye efflux (Figure 4, D). The results indicate cell death is accompanied by a loss of membrane integrity. Interestingly, the free radical scavenger N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) could not rescue cells from the light-mediated cytotoxicity of PDN (Figure S10, Supporting Information). The results are consistent with PDN exerting localized cell damage that is not affected by the REDOX state of the cell.

**In vitro heating and ROS production of PDN**

Porphyrrins are widely used for PDT in which absorbed light is used for production of cytotoxic ROS. The UV-Vis spectra for PDN indicated UV/Vis absorption was attenuated by ~2 fold relative to the same concentration of free MTP (Figure 2, A) while fluorescence for the PDN complex is quenched by ~25 fold (Figure 2, B) consistent with absorbed light being dissipated as heat and/or used for ROS production. The time- and concentration-dependence for heating of aqueous solutions of PDN was evaluated to determine whether temperature increases of a magnitude required for cell-killing could be induced (Figure S7, Supporting Information). PDN are not efficient transducers of heat although measurable temperature increases were detected upon photo-stimulation.

**Cell death is mediated by oxidation of membrane lipids causing localized damage**

The light-dependent cytotoxic mechanism of PDN was further investigated using confocal microscopy, TEM, and mass
spectrometry to determine if physical damage to the plasma membrane and endosomal compartments were important for PDN-mediated cell death (Figure 5 and Figure S11-13, Supporting Information). The nature of the observed membrane damage was investigated using the fluorescent membrane-localizing dye Bodipy\textsuperscript{35,36} to visualize the occurrence of oxidized lipids in the plasma membrane of bladder cancer cells treated with PDN and light (Figure 5, A). The dye undergoes a change in absorbance from red to green upon oxidation. The results demonstrate that treatment of bladder cancer cells with PDN and light, but not light-only, resulted in increased levels of oxidized lipids as indicated by the elevated level of green
fluorescence (Figure 5, A). The ROS production by PDN upon light stimulation was further confirmed in vitro. Experimental results evidenced for PDN mediated C11-Bodipy oxidation occurred selectively in a light-dependent manner in solvent systems that favored PDN dissociation such as the presence of acetonitrile (Figure 5, B). TEM images revealed PDN were associated with the plasma membrane and internalized, likely in endosomes, and that substantial membrane damage and vacuole formation was observed proximal to nanoparticles in a light-dependent manner (Figure 5, C and S11, Supporting Information). Localized membrane damage, caused by PDN/light mediated oxidation, leads to necrotic cell death.\textsuperscript{37,38} The presence of oxidized lipids in the plasma membrane was investigated further using mass spectrometry. Mass spectrometry confirmed that elevated levels of 9-HODE and 13-HODE, products resulting from lipid peroxidation,\textsuperscript{39} occurred selectively in cells treated with PDN and light (Figure S12, S13). Together, these results indicate cell death following treatment with PDN and light occurred from localized membrane damage leading to a loss of plasma membrane integrity.

**PDN display light-dependent antitumor activity in vivo**

The light-dependent cytotoxicity of PDN towards bladder cancer cells in tissue culture invites the question of whether PDN can be used for light-dependent reduction or eradication of tumors in vivo. To address this question, we formed xenograft tumors bilaterally on the flanks of nude mice (Figure 6, A and Figure S15, Supporting Information). Initial tumor volumes were \( \sim 75 \text{ mm}^3 \) and there was no difference among the treatment groups at baseline. Tumors were treated by direct injection of either PDN or a saline solution. The tumor groups were further divided into light-treated and mock-treated groups to create four groups: i) no treatment; ii) light-only; iii) PDN-only; iv) PDN + light. Light treatment was achieved by inserting a fiber-optic cable into the tumor followed by treatment with 420 nm laser light for 3 min. Tumors that were not light-treated underwent a similar number of identical procedures introducing the fiber-optic cable without light exposure. The time-dependence of tumor growth and regression is shown in Figure 6, B. Beginning around day 20 tumors treated with either light or...
PDN + light displayed tumor regression while the untreated tumors began to display more rapid growth. Tumors treated with PDN and light displayed significant tumor regression that was superior to no treatment or treatment with PDN-only beginning on day 20 and achieving statistical significance at day 30 and persisting until the conclusion of the study (P < 0.05). Tumor regression following treatment with PDN and light was significantly greater than for light-only beginning on day 40 and achieving statistical significance at day 49 and persisting until completion of the study (P < 0.05). The results demonstrate that PDN display light-dependent anti-tumor activity in vivo.

Histopathological analysis of tumor tissue following treatment with PDN

At the conclusion of the in vivo study, tumor tissue was removed from sacrificed mice and sectioned for morphologic evaluation. In all study groups, sections showed subcutaneous tumoral deposits comprised of urothelial carcinoma with high-grade cytology and partial keratinization. Tumor tissue from mice in the control group that received neither nanoparticle nor light showed only subcutaneous tumoral deposits. Polarization revealed no polarizable nanoparticles (Figure 6, C and Figure S16, Supporting Information) and there was minimal fibrosis, chronic inflammation, or reparative changes. Tumor tissue from mice treated with light-only revealed similar features as those mice in the control group. For mice in both the PDN-only and the PDN/light groups, polarization revealed the presence of nanoparticles confirming the persistence of PDN at the site of injection for several weeks allowing multiple exposures from single administered dose (Figure 6, C and Figure S16, Supporting Information). For the PDN-only group, tumor was absent in areas where nanoparticle was present demonstrating PDN having a native mild tumor abortive effect even in the absence of light. For the PDN/light group, tumor was also absent in the areas where nanoparticle was present with marked fibrosis, chronic inflammation, and reparative changes. This supports a potent tumor abortive effect likely enhanced by light excitation; a result which already accentuates the native anti-tumor activity of PDN. Some areas of tumor not displaying polarizable nanoparticles, however, showed tumor re-growth consistent with incomplete penetration of nanoparticles. Another advantage appears that the range of killing activity is confined to zones around PDN deposition, allowing for precise margins with this therapeutic approach.

Discussion

The present studies demonstrate that discrete nano-sized particles can be obtained from non-covalent assembly of MTP and DNA under controlled conditions. Discrete nanoparticles do not form from MTP in the absence of DNA indicating DNA: porphyrin interactions are critical for PDN assembly. The ratio of porphyrin to DNA in PDN (~ 19:1) (mol/mol) is consistent with two nucleobases from each DNA 40-mer interacting with each porphyrin. Thus, approximately half the pyridyl side chains in each MTP (Figure 1, A) may be engaged in interactions with DNA nucleobases (Figure 1, B). The spectroscopic results are consistent with $\pi - \pi$ stacking between pyridyl side chains and DNA nucleobases as being a principal driving force for nanoparticle assembly.40,41 PDN display quenching of porphyrin fluorescence and increased intensity and sharpness for Raman spectra similar to that observed upon surface-enhancement for free porphyrins. Dissociation of PDN was favored in the hydrophobic solvent system, consistent with PDN stability result from $\pi - \pi$ stacking between PDN and DNA with aqueous solubility conferred by the phosphodiester backbone of DNA.42,43 The nature of PDN disassembly in the hydrophobic environment, releasing the DNA component, could be significant for DNA-based therapeutics delivery upon PDN dissociation in the hydrophobic milieu of cell membrane.

We have demonstrated that PDN cause cell death predominantly via localized membrane damage resulting in necrosis. While conventional photosensitizers can induce membrane damage upon light stimulation, a variety of other cellular organelles, including the mitochondria, are also potential sites for PDT-induced damage. Our studies demonstrate PDN localize to the plasma membrane (Figure S6, Supporting Information) and also undergo internalization via endocytosis (Figure 3, C). Upon interaction with cellular components the fluorescence of FAM-labeled DNA dissociates from porphyrin fluorescence (Figure S5, Supporting Information) consistent with PDN disassembly in the hydrophobic environment of cell membranes or vesicles. Photo-mediated damage by porphyrin results in loss of plasma membrane integrity and vacuole formation (Figure 4, D and C and S11, Supporting Information). The plasma membrane damage is caused by lipid oxidation (Figure 5, A and C and S11, Supporting Information) and specifically generation of the oxidized fatty acids 9-HODE and 13-HODE (Figure S12, Supporting Information) and S11, Supporting Information). The plasma membrane damage is caused by lipid oxidation (Figure 5, A and C and S11, Supporting Information) and specifically generation of the oxidized fatty acids 9-HODE and 13-HODE (Figure S12, Supporting Information). The plasma membrane damage is caused by lipid oxidation (Figure 5, A and C and S11, Supporting Information) and specifically generation of the oxidized fatty acids 9-HODE and 13-HODE (Figure S12, Supporting Information).

Importantly, PDN/light treatment of bladder cancer xenografts resulted in significant reduction in tumor volumes in vivo (Figure 6, A and B). Histopathological analysis of tumor tissue revealed, PDN/light displayed strong tumoral properties and elicited inflammatory and reparative changes associated with an immune response (Figure 6, C and S16, Supporting Information). No tumor tissue was identified in regions where PDN were localized indicating PDN/light treatment exerts powerful anti-tumor effects with very tight margins. Although mild anti-tumor activity was observed in case of PDN-only treatment probably due to basal level ROS production and immune response, this mild anti-tumor effect is not likely to be sufficient for the treatment of aggressively growing tumors in advanced cases.47,48 These results indicate PDN/light may be highly effective for cancer treatment in humans. Localized retention of the PDN provides the opportunity for multiple light exposures as required to eliminate remaining or re-growing malignant tissue. However, the concern of uniform delivery of PDN needs to be addressed to achieve a significant overall anti-tumor effect.

The present studies demonstrate the potential application of PDN for treatment of solid tumors via intra-tumoral injection.49-51 However, in future studies, cancer cell specific DNA or RNA aptamers could be conjugated to the PDN for molecular targeting upon systemic injection. PDN could be developed as multi-modality nanoparticles by replacing the presently used d(GT)$_{20}$ with cytotoxic DNA likeFdUMP[10] thus DNA-release may contribute to the overall therapeutic response.
Presently performed experiments indicated PDN readily dissociate upon cellular internalization as a consequence of the hydrophobic environment in the cell membrane releasing DNA payload. However detailed, time-dependent DNA dissociation kinetics should be examined in future studies. Dissociated therapeutic nucleic acid, if used, may exert additional anti-tumor activity providing a therapeutic advantage not realizable using conventional photosensitizers or nanoparticles that do not include a DNA component. Importantly, PDN are biocompatible and elicited no apparent morbidity upon in vivo administration.56,57
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Abstract

Chemotherapy remains of limited use for the treatment of prostate cancer with only one drug, docetaxel, demonstrating a modest survival advantage for treatment of late-stage disease. Data from the NCI 60 cell line screen indicated that the castration-resistant prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and DU145 were more sensitive than average to the novel polymeric fluoropyrimidine (FP), F10, despite displaying less than average sensitivity to the widely-used FP, 5FU. Here, we show that F10 treatment of PC3 xenografts results in a significant survival advantage (treatment to control ratio (T/C) days =18; p < 0.001; n = 16) relative to control mice treated with saline. F10 (40 mg/kg/dose) was administered via jugular vein catheterization 3-times per week for five weeks. This aggressive dosing regimen was completed with no drug-induced weight loss and with no evidence of toxicity. F10 was also shown to sensitize PC3 cells to radiation and F10 was also shown to be a potent radiosensitizer of PC3 xenografts in vivo with F10 in combination with radiation resulting in significantly greater regression of PC3 xenografts than radiation alone. The results indicate that F10 in this pre-clinical setting is an effective chemotherapeutic agent and possesses significant radiosensitizing properties.
Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in men accounting for nearly one-third of all new malignancies in American men and nearly 30,000 deaths [1]. Chemotherapy has limited utility for the treatment of prostate cancer [2] although docetaxel given in combination with prednisone [3] or estramustine [4] provides a survival benefit for treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Docetaxel as an adjuvant to radical prostatectomy also provides a survival advantage for men at high-risk for recurrent disease although treatment caused serious toxicities [5]. There is an urgent need for new and more effective chemotherapeutic options with fewer side effects for prostate cancer patients.

F10 is a novel polymeric fluoropyrimidine (FP) that is under pre-clinical development for treatment of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) [6, 7], glioblastoma (GBM)[8] and other malignancies (Figure 1). The cytotoxic mechanism of F10 involves dual targeting of thymidylate synthase (TS) and DNA topoisomerase 1 (Top1) causing replication-mediated DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) [9, 10]. Thus, F10 mechanistically resembles the camptothecin (CPT) class of anticancer drugs [11] and is primarily directed towards the DNA locus of FP activity. Results from the NCI-60 cell line screen demonstrated mechanistic similarities to other Top1 poisons but unexpected mechanistic dissimilarities to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) which is cytotoxic by an RNA-mediated process [9, 10]. The NCI-60 data also showed that the CRPC cell lines PC3 and DU145 cell lines were nearly 1,000-
fold more sensitive to F10 than 5-FU suggesting that F10 might be effective for treating CRPC even though 5-FU and capecitabine (a 5-FU pro-drug) are not [12-14]. F10 is very well-tolerated in vivo [6, 8], in part because of high specificity for proliferating cells [7], and may be efficacious without reducing patient quality of life.

In addition to their use in chemotherapy, FPs and Top1 poisons have significant radiosensitizing properties [15, 16]. As radiation therapy is used for treating localized prostate cancer and preventing disease recurrence [17] information on the radiosensitization properties of F10 is important for translational efforts. In this article, we present data showing that F10 inhibits the growth of PC3 xenografts as a single agent and that the combination of F10 and radiation synergistically inhibits growth of PC3 cells in tissue culture and in vivo. PC3 cells are derived from bone metastases and have been used as a cellular model of CRPC [18]. We demonstrate that F10 is extremely well-tolerated in vivo with much more extensive dosing possible with F10 than with widely-used FPs, such as 5FU. The results obtained indicate that F10 should be evaluated for in vivo efficacy and radiosensitization for the clinical treatment of prostate cancer.
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Reagents. The human prostate cancer cell line PC3 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). PC3 cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were passaged every 3 to 5 days upon reaching 80% confluence using 0.25% trypsin/0.05% EDTA. F10 was synthesized and purified under a National Cancer Institute (NCI) contract to support the RAID project. Concentrations of F10 solutions were determined from UV absorption at 260 nm using 30 \( \mu \)g/OD.

TS Catalytic Activity Assays. PC3 cells were plated at a density of 1.5 \( \times 10^6 \) cells in 100 mm\textsuperscript{2} plates. Cells were grown overnight in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS. Cells were treated with 5-FU or F10 at the indicated concentrations and incubated for 0-48 h, harvested, and lysed by freeze-fracturing. Following centrifugation of cell lysates, supernatants were assayed for protein content and TS catalytic activity as previously described [7].

Clonogenic Assay. PC3 cells were cultured as described above, and were passaged three days prior to plating the cells in 60 x 15 mm Petri dishes for the clonogenic assays. Cells at 500 and 750 cells/mL were plated for F10 concentrations of \( 10^{-10} \) to \( 10^{-6} \) M while 250 and 500 cell/mL were plated for 5-FU
concentrations from $10^{-8}$ to $10^{-5}$ M. Cells were allowed to attach for 24 h prior to treatment for 72 h. Each experiment was done in duplicate. The mean value and standard deviation were determined for each drug concentration. Following treatment, the medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium. Cells were then incubated for seven days, and stained with crystal violet. Colonies were counted manually, with a minimal colony diameter of approximately 1 mm required for counting.

**Radiation Enhancement.** The effects of F10 on the response of PC3 cells to radiation were also evaluated using clonogenic assay. PC3 cells were plated as described above for F10-only treatment at densities of 200 and 400 cells/mL (0 Gy), 400 and 800 cells/mL (2 Gy), 800 and 1,600 cells/mL (4 Gy), 1,600 and 3,200 cells/mL (6 Gy) and 3,200 and 6,400 cells/mL (8 Gy). Cells were incubated with F10 for 24 h and then irradiated using a 300 kV orthovoltage X-Ray irradiator (Precision X-Ray, incorporated, North Brantford, CT). Following irradiation, cells were further incubated to complete the entire drug exposure period of 72 h. The surviving fraction was normalized to F10-only treatment to determine to what extent F10 enhanced radiation-mediated cytotoxicity apart from drug-induced effects.

**Jugular Vein Catheterization.** Male NCr Nude (nu/nu) mice were purchased from The National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD) and maintained in a WFSM animal facility. All treatments and procedures in mice were conducted according
to guidelines approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Wake Forest University Health Sciences. Prior to tumor inoculation, polyethylene tubing (PE10) was used to cannulate the jugular vein of mice seven weeks of age. The portion of the catheter, which exited through the animal's skin, was 4-5 cm in length, allowing multiple procedures during the course of the study. Catheters were supplied with a heparin lock and heat-sealed following each procedure. A two component, cloth-covered button kit was used to contain and protect the portion of the catheter outside the mouse. The button was sewn to the mid-scapular region on the mouse's back with the base attached to the mouse and the heat-sealed catheter protected by a cap. For each saline or drug injection, the cap was removed, the catheter was wiped with an alcohol pad and the heat seal removed with a sterile blade. A 30-gauge needle was used to flush the line first with heparinized saline, followed by administration of saline or drug, and followed with a heparin lock and heat-sealing. All injections and all irradiation procedures were carried out with the mice anesthetized with isoflurane. Mice were placed in a Plexiglass induction chamber (25 x 11 x 12 cm) that was filled with 2% isoflurane in O2. Pedal reflex was tested when the mice showed no eye-blink response when the chamber was tapped (~2 min). Anesthesia was maintained through voluntary breathing of a mixture of 1.5 – 2% isofluorane in O2.

Establishment of tumor xenografts and in vivo experiments. The human prostate cancer cell line PC3 was grown to 80% confluence and harvested. Cells
were re-suspended in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium with penicillin and streptomycin, mixed 1:1 with Growth Factor Reduced (GFR) BD Matrigel Basement Membrane Matrix (BD Biosciences, Palo Alto, California). Using a cold syringe and 27-gauge needle, 3.5 x 10^6 cells were injected subcutaneously into each lateral flank of male athymic nu/nu mice 8 weeks of age. At two weeks post-inoculation, palpable tumors (~500 mm^3) were established and animals were randomized into control and treatment arms consisting of eight to nine animals, respectively, with the latter receiving 40 mg/kg body weight F10 in 100 µL of sterile saline intravenously via jugular vein catheters beginning on Day 0 and alternating with orthovoltage (X-Ray) radiation every 24 hours for five days and continuing each week for 5 weeks. An irradiation schedule of 30 Gy in 10 fractions of 3 Gy each over a 5-week period was used (2 fractions per week), delivered using a 300 kV orthovoltage X-Ray irradiator (Precision X-Ray, incorporated, North Brantford, CT). This irradiation schedule is equivalent to a fractionated dose regimen of approximately 36 Gy in 18 fractions of 2 Gy each or a single fraction of 12-15 Gy. Animal weights were measured each week, and perpendicular tumor diameters were measured using a Vernier scale caliper twice per week until animals were sacrificed, at which time tumors and tissues were harvested. Tumor volume was estimated using the formula: \(\pi/6 \times \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{thickness}\). Animals were euthanized and tumors and other tissues were removed when tumor volume in a single flank exceeded 3000 mm^3. Sections of the tumor were fixed in fresh 10% neutral buffered formaldehyde before embedding in paraffin for hematoxylin and eosin staining.
Statistical Methods. Survival data and tumor growth curves were compared between groups using parametric and non-parametric methods (i.e. Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank tests) using SAS (Version 9, Cary, NC). Mean tumor volumes and SE values are shown in figures for comparison and to show trends. Comparisons between the four groups (drug +/- radiation; saline +/- radiation) were made using repeated measures mixed model analysis where mice were considered as random effects and day, group and the day by group interaction were included as fixed effects. The day by group interaction was examined to determine whether the four groups had different changes in tumor volumes over time. In addition to this interaction test, we compared the mean values at different time points to determine at which time longitudinally the four groups began to differ from each other. Median and ranges of tumor volumes at a given date were calculated to determine T/C ratios and these median volume scores were compared using non-parametric two-sample median tests. Survival curves were generated to compare groups and since all animals died at some time during the experiment mean survival times could be compared using two-sample t-tests (i.e. there were no censored data), as well as the median survival times (via the log-rank test).
RESULTS

**F10 Inhibits Clonogenic Survival.** The NCI-60 data indicated the CRPC cell lines PC3 and DU145 were highly sensitive to F10 with GI<sub>50</sub> values in the nanomolar range. To evaluate the cytotoxicity of F10 towards PC3 cells, we performed clonogenic assays (Figure 1). Treatment with F10 at 100 nM reduced PC3 clonogenic survival >50% while at 1 µM F10 completely inhibited PC3 cell colony formation. In contrast to the results obtained with F10, 5-FU had minimal effect on the clonogenic potential of PC3 cells. Treatment with 1 µM 5FU had no effect on PC3 cell colony formation and even 10 µM 5-FU did not decrease colony formation by 50%. These results are consistent with clinical studies demonstrating that 5-FU is unlikely to be effective for treating prostate cancer but demonstrate that F10 is substantially more potent and should be considered for prostate cancer treatment.

**F10 is a Potent Inhibitor of TS.** Thymidylate synthase (TS) is a principal target of fluoropyrimidine (FP) chemotherapy and inhibiting TS is considered central to the anti-tumor activity of FP drugs. We evaluated TS catalytic activity in PC3 cells following treatment with either 5-FU or F10 (Figure 1). F10 significantly reduced TS activity relative to control within 8 h and TS activity remained significantly decreased through 72 h. In contrast 5FU treatment had minimal effect on reducing TS activity with activity levels actually increased at 8 and 16 h for 5-FU treatment relative to control and only the 48 h timepoint showing a significant decrease (~50% control).
**F10 Enhances the Effects of Radiation.** We next evaluated to what extent F10 enhanced the effects of radiation at inhibiting the clonogenic survival of PC3 cells (Figure 2). Radiation-only was also effective at reducing colony formation of PC3 cells with 2 Gy reducing colonies to ~50% control. For all doses of radiation evaluated F10 co-treatment significantly decreased clonogenic potential (Figure 2A). For example 100 nM F10 decreased colony formation at 2 Gy from 50% to 20%. When the data were normalized to separate the F10-only cytotoxic effects from the effects of radiation (Figure 2B) a true radiosensitization effect was apparent for F10. Thus, F10 has potential to be used both for direct anti-tumor effects as well as radiosensitization for treatment of prostate cancer.

**F10 Inhibits PC3 Tumor Growth and Increases Survival**
The antitumor activity of F10 was evaluated in NCR nu/nu mice in which PC3 tumor cells had been implanted 14 days previously. Mean initial tumor volumes were approximately 500 mm$^3$. Mice treated with F10 had significantly longer survival times relative to saline-treated controls. The mean survival time for mice treated with F10 was 66 days while the mean survival time for saline-treated control animals was 48 days. Thus, treatment with F10 resulted in increased survival of 18 days (T/C days =18; p < 0.001; n = 16 – Figure 3). In all cases, mice were removed from the study as a result of tumor burden in the non-irradiated flank, thus survival is a direct comparison of the ability of F10 alone to reduce tumor growth. Median survival times were also significantly increased as a result of F10 treatment (p < 0.002; n = 16).
The repeated measures mixed model indicated that there were significant group by day interactions suggesting that the tumor volumes were changing at different rates in the four treatment groups (saline (S); F10 (F); S + radiation (R); and F10 + radiation (F+R). The non-irradiated groups (F and S) began to differ starting at day 20 while the irradiated and non-irradiated (Saline) and the irradiated and non-irradiated (F10) groups began differing significantly at Day 17 (Figure 3). When we compared the median tumor volumes between groups we found using one-tailed comparisons that by day 24 there were significant differences between all groups. The F-irradiated group (F+R) differed from the S-non-irradiated group (S) (p=.002; n = 16), F-non-irradiated (F) versus S-non-irradiated (S) (p=.026; n = 16), and S-irradiated (R) versus S-non-irradiated (S) (p=.0018; n = 16). Although the growth trends clearly indicated continued differentiation among tumor size in the four treatment groups, animals with the largest tumors in the saline group were euthanized due to tumor burden in the non-irradiated flank and direct comparisons among treatment groups were not possible at later timepoints.

Treatment with F10 did not result in weight loss significantly greater than mice treated with vehicle-only. The weight loss in the F10-treated mice was greatest on day 14 with a mean weight loss of 11%. Saline-treated animals had a mean weight loss of 8% at day 14 and a mean weight loss of 11% on day 28 (Figure 4). The weight loss displayed in both the drug-treated and non-drug-treated mice likely resulted from the effects of the anesthesia (isoflurane) that was
administered daily prior to either drug-injection or irradiation. The F10-treated mice quickly adjusted to the treatment protocol and began re-gaining weight during the third week of treatment. In contrast, the saline-treated control group continued to lose weight as tumor burden increased in these animals. The present results confirm that extended treatment with F10 is very well-tolerated in vivo and does not result in significant weight-loss at efficacious doses.

Histological examination of tissues from animals sacrificed at the conclusion of the study revealed no toxicity to the gastrointestinal tract or to other tissues of drug-treated mice (Figure 4). Mice-treated with F10 also displayed no signs of neutropenia as assessed by histological examination of a cross-section of the femur (data not shown). Other tissues examined included liver, lungs, and kidneys. There was no sign of drug-related toxicity in any tissues. Thus, our results indicate that F10 at a concentration of 40 mg/kg/dose significantly reduces tumor growth; furthermore, doses that are higher than those administered in the present study are likely to be well-tolerated in vivo. Since a dose-response is evident for PC3 cells in culture, it is possible that an even greater reduction in tumor burden could be achieved with higher dosage.

The Combination of F10 and Radiation Potently Reduces Tumor Burden

The antitumor activity of F10 in combination with radiation was compared to radiation only, drug-treatment alone, and no treatment by analyzing the growth curves for the left-flank tumors of mice treated with F10 relative to the other
tumor groups (Figure 3). The growth rates for tumors treated with F10 + radiation were significantly less than for tumors treated with radiation-only, drug-only, or saline control. The tumor growth delay (T/C% = 21%) for the F10 + radiation group was significantly reduced relative to control (p < 0.005; n = 16). The tumor growth delay for radiation only was also significant relative to control (T/C% = 36%; p < 0.05; n = 16). The radiation-sensitizing properties of F10 were apparent in comparison of the F10 + radiation group to radiation alone (p < 0.01; n =16).

Although the study design did not permit direct comparison with respect to survival of mice treated with F10 + radiation relative to radiation-only and to drug-only, the final tumor sizes for PC3 xenografts treated with F10 + radiation were significantly smaller, on average, than were the final tumor sizes for PC3 xenografts treated with radiation only (Figure 4). Measurement of final tumor size for the F10 + radiation PC3 xenografts occurred an average of 18 days later than for xenografts treated with radiation-only, as euthanasia of animals was required based on tumor volume for the non-irradiated flank. Average tumor volumes did not become significantly larger for the tumors treated with F10 + radiation during the final weeks of the study indicating that the combination had a long-term effect on tumor growth and animal survival. Histological examination of tumors treated with F10 + radiation revealed marked hypocellularity of the excised tissue (Figure 5). The results indicate that F10 is a potent radiosensitizer and that the combination of F10 + radiation may be highly effective for the
treatment of prostate cancer. Histological examination of tumors from the non-irradiated flank of saline-treated and F10-treated mice revealed marked necrosis in the saline-treated animals, but not the F10-treated mice which were euthanized, on average, 18 days later. The results are consistent with tumor regrowth following the conclusion of F10 administration on day 33 (Figure 3).

Discussion

Advanced prostate cancer remains a challenging disease with few effective chemotherapeutic options. Our studies show the novel FP F10 increases survival of mice with PC3 xenografts and sensitizes PC3 xenografts to radiation. These results are somewhat unexpected in light of previous studies reporting that 5-FU and capecitabine are not efficacious for treating prostate cancer [12-14] and likely reflects selective targeting of the DNA-directed locus of FP activity. Thus, F10 may be effective in the clinical management of prostate cancer both as a chemotherapeutic agent and as a radiosensitizer.

The results of the present study stand in stark contrast to previous studies with 5-FU that determined the maximum tolerated dose of 5-FU to be 45 mg/kg/dose on a once daily, three times per week dosing schedule with higher doses resulting in lethality. In the present study, we were able to continue dosing with F10 at 40 mg/kg/dose 3-times per week for 5 consecutive weeks with no adverse drug-related effects. Animals in the F10-treated group experienced no drug-induced weight loss and histological examination of the GI-tract following animal sacrifice
at the end of the study indicated no drug-related damage to the GI-tract or any other tissues. These results are consistent with the GI-tract toxicity of FPs being mainly an RNA-mediated effect [19] and indicate that selectively targeting the DNA-directed locus of FP activity with F10 results in significant antitumor activity and with elimination of the GI-tract toxicity associated with 5-FU treatment. The lack of toxicity at the current dose indicates that higher or more extensive dosing than was employed in the present study is likely to be safe. In light of the observed concentration-dependence of F10 cytotoxicity and radiosensitization, higher dosing may further reduce tumor burden \textit{in vivo}.

The radiosensitization properties of FPs and Top1 poisons have been documented [15, 16]. The extent of radiosensitization observed with F10 in the present study compares favorably with Gossypol [18] and curcumin [20] – two natural products that are being evaluated as radiosensitizers for the treatment of prostate cancer and other malignancies. F10 displays strong anticancer activity as a single agent, is radiosensitizing, and is very well tolerated \textit{in vivo}. While chemically and mechanistically distinct from conventional FPs, F10 has similarities to these drugs that have been used successfully in the clinic for decades. Future clinical studies can draw upon this longstanding clinical experience as well as new mechanistic insights obtained with this novel FP polymer.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1.** F10 strongly inhibits the clonogenicity of PC3 cells. (A) Structure of F10. (B) Clonogenic survival assay evaluating clonogenic survival of PC3 cells following 72 h treatment with either F10 or 5-FU at the indicated concentration (nM). No surviving colonies were observed following treatment with F10 at 1 μM (1,000 nM). In contrast, treatment with 5FU at 10 μM (10,000 nM) decreased the percent of colonies surviving relative to control by less than 50%. (C) TS activity in PC3 cells at the indicated times following treatment with F10 (10^-8 M) or 5-FU (10^-6 M) (* p < 0.05 vs control based on Student’s two sided t-test).

**Figure 2.** Results of a clonogenic assay evaluating the survival fraction of PC3 cells exposed to radiation or radiation in combination with F10. (A) Graph of surviving fraction as a percent of non-treated cells. (B) Same data as in (A) but normalized for F10-only effects to determine if F10 were radiosensitizing. (* p < 0.05 vs control based on Student’s two sided t-test).

**Figure 3.** Treatment with F10 significantly reduces the growth of established PC3 cell xenografts. (A) Tumor growth curves for the four tumor groups in the study (left and right flank tumors from each treatment group were analyzed separately). Error bars indicate +/-SEM, n = 8. (B) A typical mouse in the study. Tumors were initiated by s.c. injection of PC3 cells bilaterally. Initial tumor volumes were approximately 500 mm³ in all treatment groups. The left flank tumor in each mouse was selectively irradiated using the orthovoltage X-ray
irradiator (GE Healthcare). (C) Treatment schedule for the in vivo experiment. Mice were assigned to one of two treatment groups – either F10 at 40 mg/kg dissolved in 100 μL of sterile saline or saline-only. Treatment was administered through a catheter inserted into the jugular vein. Drug was administered 3x per week for five weeks on the days indicated. A dose of 3 Gy radiation was administered to the left flank of all animals 2x per week for five weeks on the indicated days. (D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for mice treated with F10 or with saline control. Mice were removed from the study based on tumor size in the non-irradiated flank.

**Figure 4.** F10 treatment does not result in weight loss greater than control treatment or cause damage to the colonic epithelium. Also, the combination of F10 + radiation results in significantly smaller final tumor size relative to treatment with radiation alone. (A) Average weights for F10-treated and control mice. Error bars indicate +/-SEM, n = 8. (B) Graph of the final mean tumor volumes for left-flank tumors in the study. Tumors treated with F10 + irradiation were significantly smaller than tumors treated with radiation. Graph depicts the mean +/- SEM (n = 8) for left flank tumors from the F10-treated and saline groups. (C) H&E section (10x) of colonic epithelium from an F10-treated mouse. (D) Colonic epithelium from a saline-treated mouse. Both mice were sacrificed as a result of tumor burden to the non-irradiated flank. There is no deterioration of the colonic epithelium in mice from treated with F10.
Figure 5. H&E sections (4x) from a left-flank (irradiated) tumor from an (A) F10-treated animal; and (B) Saline-treated animal. Irradiated tumors from the F10-treated group displayed marked hypocellularity relative to saline-treated animals. (C) H&E section from the right-flank (non-irradiated) tumor from an F10-treated animal and (D) from the right-flank of a saline-treated animal. While animals from both groups were sacrificed based on volumes in the right-flank tumors, tumors from the saline group displayed marked necrosis. Animals from the F10-treated group were sacrificed, on average, 18 days later than animals in the saline group (see Figure 3).
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Site-Specific DNA–Doxorubicin Conjugates Display Enhanced Cytotoxicity to Breast Cancer Cells
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ABSTRACT: Doxorubicin (Dox) is widely used for breast cancer treatment but causes serious side effects including cardiotoxicity that may adversely impact patient lifespan even if treatment is successful. Herein, we describe selective conjugation of Dox to a single site in a DNA hairpin resulting in a highly stable complex that enables Dox to be used more effectively. Selective conjugation of Dox to G15 in the hairpin loop was verified using site-specific labeling with [2-15N]-2′-deoxyguanosine in conjunction with [1H−15N] 2D NMR, while 1:1 stoichiometry for the conjugate was validated by ESI-QTOF mass spectrometry and UV spectroscopy. Molecular modeling indicated covalently bound Dox also intercalated into the stem of the hairpin and stability studies demonstrated the resulting Dox-conjugated hairpin (DCH) complex had a half-life >30 h, considerably longer than alternative covalent and noncovalent complexes. Secondary conjugation of DCH with folic acid (FA) resulted in increased internalization into breast cancer cells. The dual conjugate, DCH-FA, can be used for safer and more effective chemotherapy with Dox and this conjugation strategy can be expanded to include additional anticancer drugs.

INTRODUCTION

Doxorubicin (Dox) is widely used for treating breast cancer and other malignancies; however, serious toxicities, including an occasionally lethal cardiotoxicity, counter the therapeutic benefit of Dox, resulting in a search for chemical modifications that attenuate systemic toxicities while maintaining strong antitumor activity. The principal cytotoxic mechanism of Dox is poisoning of DNA topoisomerase 2 (Top2) which results in poisoning of the thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitory nucleotide 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine-5′-O-monophosphate (FdUMP) displaying enhanced antileukemic activity and reduced systemic toxicity relative to conventional fluoropyrimidine drugs such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). We recently demonstrated the potential for DNA hairpins to be useful for drug delivery with involvement of both the major and minor grooves as well as the duplex region of the hairpin. We have shown that cytotoxicity can be modulated by inclusion of minor groove binding ligands, such as netropsin or distamycin, while Zn²⁺, a metal ion that displays anticancer activity, can occupy the major groove in DNA hairpins appropriately substituted with FdU nucleotides in the stem. Hence, not only are the chemical and structural diversity may also be utilized for drug delivery applications.

Dox interacts with DNA via intercalation of the tetracene ring system between the planar base pairs of duplex DNA and occupation of the minor groove by the daunosamine sugar moiety. Noncovalent binding of Dox to DNA is, however, readily reversible, and noncovalent complexes have relatively short half-lives (1/2 ~ minutes). Nonetheless, in clinical trials noncovalent association of Dox with calf-thymus DNA reduced toxicity relative to conventional DNA topoisomerase inhibitors, but causes serious side effects including cardiotoxicity that may adversely impact patient lifespan even if treatment is successful. Herein, we describe selective conjugation of Dox to a single site in a DNA hairpin resulting in a highly stable complex that enables Dox to be used more effectively.

Recent studies have indicated that generation of lethal DNA double strand breaks (DSBs), Dox also undergoes REDOX cycling and increases oxidative stress following cell uptake. Recent studies have indicated that Dox cardiotoxicity results from an on-target effect, the poisoning of Top 2 in cardiomyocytes. Hence, strategies to improve the therapeutic index of Dox require prolonged sequestration of Dox while in circulation and efficient Dox release following selective uptake into targeted cancer cells. We describe here a new approach for Dox delivery to cancer cells that takes advantage of the selective chemical reactivity of a single-site in a DNA hairpin to create a novel Dox-conjugated DNA hairpin (DCH) with favorable Dox retention and release properties and that is targeted to breast cancer cells via folic acid conjugation.

DNA is central to biological function as the repository of genetic information, but DNA also has tremendous potential as a material with diverse potential functions, including drug delivery. Our laboratory has demonstrated the utility of DNA for delivery of cytotoxic nucleotide analogs with F10, a polymer of the thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitory nucleotide 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine-5′-O-monophosphate (FdUMP) displaying enhanced antileukemic activity and reduced systemic toxicity relative to conventional fluoropyrimidine drugs such as 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). We recently demonstrated the potential for DNA hairpins to be useful for drug delivery with involvement of both the major and minor grooves as well as the duplex region of the hairpin. We have shown that cytotoxicity can be modulated by inclusion of minor groove binding ligands, such as netropsin or distamycin, while Zn²⁺, a metal ion that displays anticancer activity, can occupy the major groove in DNA hairpins appropriately substituted with FdU nucleotides in the stem. Hence, not only are the chemical and structural diversity may also be utilized for drug delivery applications.
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Dox cardiotoxicity and improved the therapeutic index. Dox also forms covalent adducts with DNA that are more stable but require an aldehyde precursor to link the daunomycin sugar of Dox to the exocyclic amine of guanine with the reaction proceeding via a Schiff base intermediate. Dox–DNA covalent adducts are more cytotoxic than noncovalent complexes, and covalent adducts have been synthesized and used as end-points in studies of anthracycline cytotoxicity. Formaldehyde is used in the formation of Dox–DNA adducts, and exogenous formaldehyde promotes Dox covalent adduct formation to genomic DNA. Dox–formaldehyde conjugates have been prepared and used for delivery of an activated form of Dox that favors covalent adduct formation to genomic DNA.

We describe here the synthesis of a covalent conjugate of Dox to a single site of a DNA hairpin and demonstrate that this conjugate can be targeted to breast cancer cells. Dox covalent binding to DNA occurs primarily at N2 of guanines with sequence specificity for 5′-dGpC sites, suggesting a 3D conformation that facilitates covalent binding. Our studies utilized a 25mer DNA hairpin that included a GAA hairpin-promoting motif formed a covalent adduct with Dox (Figure 2). Molecular modeling suggested that G15 N2 was not exceedingly stable with a half-life of ∼250 μs. DNA hairpin loops were prepared as previously reported methods. Briefly, folate (100 μg, 0.227 mmol) was dissolved into 10 mL of DMSO and stirred with a magnetic stirrer and cooled in an ice bath for 30 min before proceeding. 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide HCl (EDC) (38.7 mg, 0.249 mmol) was added to the stirred solution and allowed to react for 30 min. N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) (31.4, 0.272 mmol) was then added to the reaction vessel and stirred for an additional 30 min. Propargyl amine (25 mg, 0.454 mmol) was then added to the reaction, which was warmed to 55 °C for 3 h. The hairpin was recovered by dialysis cassette (Pierce) for 6 h to remove unreacted formaldehyde. The product was dried under vacuum overnight. Yield 69 mg (64%). 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, ppm): 11.06 (−OH), 8.64 (Pteridine CH=CH, H), 8.29–8.24 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 8.04 (−CONHCH₂COH), 7.67–7.65 (Ph−C₆H₅), 6.94 (−NH₂), 6.64 (Ph−C₆H₅), 4.48 (Pteridine−NH-P₆H₅), 4.30 (−CON−HCOH), 3.81 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 3.08 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 1.98–1.96 (−CH₂CH₂), 1.87–1.85 (−CH₂CH₂). Synthesis of Synthesis of Alkynyl Alkynyl Functionlized Folic Acid. Alkynyl functionalized folic acid was synthesized similarly to previously reported methods. Briefly, folate (100 μg, 0.227 mmol) was dissolved into 10 mL of DMSO and stirred with a magnetic stirrer and cooled in an ice bath for 30 min before proceeding. 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide HCl (EDC) (38.7 mg, 0.249 mmol) was added to the stirred solution and allowed to react for 30 min. N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) (31.4, 0.272 mmol) was then added to the reaction vessel and stirred for an additional 30 min. Propargyl amine (25 mg, 0.454 mmol) was then added to the reaction, which was warmed to 55 °C for 3 h. The hairpin was recovered by dialysis cassette (Pierce) for 6 h to remove unreacted formaldehyde. The product was dried under vacuum overnight. Yield 69 mg (64%). 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, ppm): 11.06 (−OH), 8.64 (Pteridine−CH=CH₂, H), 8.29–8.24 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 8.04 (−CONHCH₂COH), 7.67–7.65 (Ph−C₆H₅), 6.94 (−NH₂), 6.64 (Ph−C₆H₅), 4.48 (Pteridine−NH-P₆H₅), 4.30 (−CON−HCOH), 3.81 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 3.08 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 1.98–1.96 (−CH₂CH₂), 1.87–1.85 (−CH₂CH₂).

Synthesis of DNA Conjugate Ratio Measurements. DNA samples were prepared to 10 μM in dH₂O and absorbencies were measured from 200 to 800 nm using a Beckman Coulter DU 800 spectrophotometer. A standard curve of Dox was established between 1 μM and 10 μM by using absorption at 494 nm. To assess the amount of Dox covalently bound to DNA, the samples were heated to 85 °C before extracting twice with phenol/chloroform and twice with chloroform. This extraction removes unreacted dox from the solution. After extraction, DCHs were ethanol-precipitated and recovered by centrifugation. Pellets were rinsed twice with 70% ethanol and 100% ethanol to remove any residual formaldehyde. Pellets were then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The red–pink pellets were then resuspended in water. A Beckman Coulter DU 800 was used to measure absorption at 260 nm. Yields were typically 70–80% for the conjugate as measured by UV absorbance at 260 nm. All products were stored at −20 °C.

Synthesis of Alkynyl Alkynyl Functionlized Folic Acid. Alkynyl functionalized folic acid was synthesized similarly to previously reported methods. Briefly, folate (100 μg, 0.227 mmol) was dissolved into 10 mL of DMSO and stirred with a magnetic stirrer and cooled in an ice bath for 30 min before proceeding. 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide HCl (EDC) (38.7 mg, 0.249 mmol) was added to the stirred solution and allowed to react for 30 min. N-Hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) (31.4, 0.272 mmol) was then added to the reaction vessel and stirred for an additional 30 min. Propargyl amine (25 mg, 0.454 mmol) was then added to the reaction, which was warmed to 55 °C for 3 h. The hairpin was recovered by dialysis cassette (Pierce) for 6 h to remove unreacted formaldehyde. The product was dried under vacuum overnight. Yield 69 mg (64%). 1H NMR (DMSO-d6, ppm): 11.06 (−OH), 8.64 (Pteridine−CH=CH₂, H), 8.29–8.24 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 8.04 (−CONHCH₂COH), 7.67–7.65 (Ph−C₆H₅), 6.94 (−NH₂), 6.64 (Ph−C₆H₅), 4.48 (Pteridine−NH-P₆H₅), 4.30 (−CON−HCOH), 3.81 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 3.08 (−CONH−CH₂=CH₂), 1.98–1.96 (−CH₂CH₂), 1.87–1.85 (−CH₂CH₂). Synthesis of Synthesis of DNA Conjugate Ratio Measurements. DNA samples were prepared to 10 μM in dH₂O and absorbencies were measured from 200 to 800 nm using a Beckman Coulter DU 800 spectrophotometer. A standard curve of Dox was established between 1 μM and 10 μM by using absorption at 494 nm. To assess the amount of Dox covalently bound to DNA, the samples were heated to 85 °C before extracting twice with phenol/chloroform and twice with chloroform. This extraction removes unreacted dox from the solution. After extraction, DCHs were ethanol-precipitated and recovered by centrifugation. Pellets were rinsed twice with 70% ethanol and 100% ethanol to remove any residual formaldehyde. Pellets were then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The red–pink pellets were then resuspended in water. A Beckman Coulter DU 800 was used to measure absorption at 260 nm. Yields were typically 70–80% for the conjugate as measured by UV absorbance at 260 nm. All products were stored at −20 °C.
measuring the absorbance at 494 nm. The 260 nm wavelength was used to determine the DNA content in the sample and to determine the Dox:DNA ratio.

Mass Spectrometry. Negative ion mass spectra were acquired using a Waters Q-TOF API-US mass spectrometer equipped with an Advion Nanomate source. Samples were diluted to about 5 μM with methanol/water/2-propanol (49:49:2, v:v:v). Backing pressure and sprayer voltage were optimized for each analysis, but were usually about 0.8 psi and 1.2 kV, respectively. The cone voltage was 35 V. The scan range went from 525 m/z to 1600 m/z with an acquisition time of 12.5 s. Spectra were summed for 0.5 min for MaxEnt transform. The nucleotide GCATCCTGGAAAGCTACCTT, M = 6366.1, at 0.6 μM was used to monitor instrument performance. Spectra were analyzed using MassLynx 4.0.

NMR Spectroscopy. NMR samples were prepared in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, with 10% D2O, and a final volume of 250 μL. All NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker Avance 600 MHz spectrometer at 10 °C using a TXI Cryoprobe. NOESY spectra were acquired with a 100 ms mixing time and 3–9–19 Watergate water suppression with a 220 μs interpulse delay. HSQC spectra were acquired using a 110 μs 3–9–19 interpulse delay and the 15N transmitter set to 150 ppm for amino groups and to 75 ppm for amino groups (indirectly referenced to water at 4.7 ppm). Data were processed using NMRPipe17 and analyzed using NMRView.18

3D Modeling of DCH. A PDB file of the hairpin molecule was obtained from the Protein Data Base under entry 1JVE.19 A PDB file of Dox was obtained from the Protein Data Base under entry DM2. Files were loaded into Pymol,20 and the hairpin was modified to contain only 5’-ACGAAGT-3’. The models were then manipulated spatially to allow for a covalent bond to form between the N2 amino of G12 and the daunosamine of Dox. Hydrogens were added to the entire model using the Molefacture plugin vmd.21 The doxorubicin file was then geometry optimized in the presence of the DNA using PM622 as implemented in Gaussian 09.23

Dox Transfer from DCH. Samples of 2.5 μM (approximately 2 μg in 100uL) DCH, doxorubicin, or hairpin +doxorubicin were prepared in DPBS with or without a 100-fold by weight (200 μg) excess of Salmon Sperm DNA and incubated at 37 °C. Fluorescence intensity was determined by Typhoon-9210 variable mode imager with excitation set to 532 nm and the emission filter at 610 nm.

Acid Dissociation of Dox from DCH. DCH was suspended in either pH 7.4 PBS or pH 4 PBS buffer and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After incubation, the solutions were extracted with 2X volume phenol/chloroform and twice with 2X volume chloroform. The absorbance of the aqueous phase at 498 nm was measured. The experiment was repeated in triplicate. The results were normalized to the pH 7.4 sample with error bars representing the standard deviation of the mean of the three replicates.

Microscopy. 4T1 cells were seeded at 20 000 cells/well in 8-well Lab-Tek II chambered #1.5 German Coverglass System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), and incubated at 37 °C under 5% CO2 for 2h prior to treatment. Cells were incubated with 1 μM of DCH, FA-DCH, or Dox in DMEM medium with 10% dialyzed fetal bovine serum for either 1 or 4 h at 37 °C. Cells were then washed with fresh media and Dulbecco’s PBS. Cells were visualized using a Zeiss LSM510 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) using Dox as the fluorescent probe. Internalization of Dox was quantified using ImageJ software with at least 30 observations per treatment. Fluorescence intensity values were then converted to % controls using nontreated cells. The mean of the intensities was found and standard deviation was determined. Significance was determined using a two-tailed Student’s t test.

Cytotoxicity. 4T1 cells were grown in DMEM media containing 10% dialyzed FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin, at 37 °C and 5% CO2. 4T1 cells were plated at 5000 cell per well in 96 well plates in 100 μL media and incubated for 24 h. Cells were treated with 200 nM of either DCH, Dox, or FA-DCH for 72 h with 4 replicates of each treatment used to determine means and standard deviation. CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assay (Promega) was implemented according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Significance was determined using a two-tailed Student’s t test.

## RESULTS

Site-Specific Dox Conjugation of a DNA Hairpin. The DNA hairpin used for these studies includes two guanines (Figure 1) either of which may in principle be a site for Dox conjugation. Dox conjugates have been previously described for guanines engaged in GC base pairs; however, the chemical reactivity of the GAA sequence motif used to promote intramolecular hairpin formation has not been previously investigated. UV spectroscopy studies (Figure 2A) revealed that Dox conjugation occurred with 1:1 stoichiometry even in cases where reaction conditions permitted formation of conjugates of 2:1 or higher stoichiometry. The 1:1 stoichiometry of the conjugates was further demonstrated using ESI-QTOF mass spectrometry (Figure 2B). Mass spectrometry analysis also confirmed that the conjugation occurred via a methylene bridge derived from formaldehyde consistent with reaction proceeding via a Schiff base intermediate. To determine to what extent each of the two guanines in the hairpin were adducted in the...
296 conjugate, we synthesized the hairpin site-specifically 15N-labeled at either G12 or G15 and formed Dox conjugates with both species and analyzed each for chemical adduction based on chemical shift changes in 2D [1H−15N] NMR spectra (Figure 2C). Substantial 15N chemical shift changes were only detected for the hairpin labeled at G12 (72.6 → 84.5 ppm), consistent with this site selectively undergoing chemical modification upon adduct formation. This represents the first time that we are aware of that Dox covalent bonding has been observed in a hairpin loop region of DNA. G15, which is engaged in a GC base pair that closes the loop, underwent substantial change in 1H (5.8 → 9.7 ppm) but not 15N chemical shift (72.8 → 75.0 ppm) consistent with this site undergoing changes in chemical environment, but not chemical structure, upon Dox conjugation. Subsequent molecular modeling studies revealed the CG based pair was stacked with the tetracene ring system of Dox in the resulting conjugate (vide infra).

Molecular Model of Dox-Conjugated Hairpin. We then sought to create a working model for the structure of the Dox-conjugated hairpin (Figure 3) using the data collected from the 15N-edited 2D NMR and using a NMR structure of the hairpin loop characterized by Ulyanov et al. as the starting point for model development. The hydrogen shifts of G15 could be caused by intercalation of Dox in the covalent complex, as shifts of amino protons to ~10 ppm have been attributed to increased hydrogen bonding in quadruplex DNA. Preliminary data also showed that the amino of G15 displayed several NOEs consistent with Dox localizing in the stem region of the hairpin (SI 1). Pymol was used to edit the DNA from the previous NMR structure to contain only the loop region and the first AT base pair. We then manipulated a model of Dox into a position that brought the amino hydrogens of G12 and Dox into close proximity, allowing for the formation of a methylene bridge between the amino nitrogens. This placement also allowed Dox to intercalate between the A10:T16 and C11:G15 base pairs in the stem of the DNA (Figure 3A,B). Modeling revealed that the simultaneous covalent binding at G12 and intercalation of Dox between the A10:T16 and C11:G15 occurred with minimal distortion to the structure of the hairpin loop (Figure 3C,D). The daunosamine sugar is of appropriate dimensions to span the distance between the sites of covalent binding and intercalation and the amino group of G12 is not engaged in hydrogen bonding.
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Figure 4. Fluorescence quenching of DCH displays a ~50% reduction in fluorescence after 30 h, while noncovalent complexes display greater than 50% reduction in fluorescence within 1 h (data not shown). Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of three measurements. Assuming zero-order kinetics, the rate constant is \( k = 1.15 \times 10^{-11} \text{ M/s} \).

374 Using \( t_{1/2} \) of 30.4 h and assuming zero-order kinetics, the rate
375 constant is 1.15×10−11 M/s. Given that the noncovalent hairpin
376 +Dox complex undergoes rapid quenching, intercalation into
377 the hairpin cannot be solely responsible for the increased
376 chemical stability of the hairpin conjugate. Covalent Dox
375 dimers formed using formaldehyde have been shown to be
374 readily hydrolyzable under physiological conditions, resulting in
373 complete disassociation of Dox and formaldehyde release
374 within ~15 min.13 Thus, it is likely that a combination of both
373 intercalation and covalent bonding is responsible for the
372 substantially increased stability for the Dox-conjugated hairpin
373 relative to the noncovalent complex and alternative Dox
372 covalent complexes. We hypothesize that the covalent linkage
371 acts as a tether between the Dox and DNA, and that when the
370 bond is hydrolyzed, intercalation holds the resulting amine and
370 Schiff-base in close proximity allowing for them to reform the
370 covalent linkage. At physiological pH, equilibrium favors
369 reforming the covalent complex, while at acidic pH, for
368 example, in endosomes, equilibrium disfavors reforming the
367 covalent linkage and instead results in release of Dox from the
366 intercalated complex.

Stability of Dox−Hairpin Conjugate. We hypothesized
365 that covalent binding of Dox would allow for the Dox-
364 conjugated hairpin to serve as a delivery vehicle with improved
363 pharmacological properties and reduced systemic toxicities
362 relative to conventional Dox. In order for the hairpin to act as
361 an efficient delivery vehicle, Dox must remain stably bound
360 under physiological conditions, but also undergo intracellular
359 release and transfer to genomic DNA. Interestingly, Dox retains
358 most of its fluorescent activity in the hairpin, but not in larger
357 DNA molecules which are known to quench the fluorescence of the
356 drug26 (SI 2). We hypothesized that as the Dox−hairpin
355 bond is hydrolyzed, the free Dox could intercalate into larger
354 DNA molecules, quenching the fluorescence. Using the
353 difference in fluorescence between hairpin bound Dox and
352 Dox intercalated into DNA, we can measure the half-life of the
351 Dox−hairpin bond. We developed an assay to quantify the
350 transfer of Dox from the hairpin to genomic DNA under
350 physiologic conditions. This assay is based on the difference in
349 fluorescence of Dox in the context of the hairpin conjugate
348 relative to genomic DNA. The hairpin conjugate, free-Dox, or
347 the noncovalent complex (e.g., hairpin+Dox) were mixed with
345 100-fold excess of salmon sperm DNA (spDNA; w/w) to
344 simulate genomic DNA. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C
343 with fluorescence quenching measured over 48 h. No
342 fluorescence loss was observed in samples that lacked
341 spDNA, while fluorescence was fully quenched within one
340 hour following addition of spDNA for both free Dox and the
339 noncovalent complex. The rate of loss of fluorescence
338 quenching was, however, significantly reduced for the hairpin
337 conjugate with 50% quenching occurring at 30.4 h (Figure 4).

Targeted Uptake and Enhanced Cytotoxicity to
335 Breast Cancer Cells. Cellular uptake of exogenous DNA
334 can be highly efficient if uptake occurs via receptor-mediated
333 processes. Our initial studies with the Dox-conjugated hairpin
332 indicated uptake into breast cancer cells was less efficient than
331 for F10, a single-stranded DNA investigated in our previous
330 studies. As our previous studies demonstrated that conjugation
329 with folic acid improved F10 uptake into drug-resistant colon
328 cancer cells,25 we investigated whether conjugating the Dox-
327 hairpin at the S′-terminus with folic acid would improve uptake
326 into 4T1 breast cancer cells. Folic acid conjugation of the
325 hairpin resulted in significantly increased cellular uptake relative
324 to the nonconjugated hairpin based upon increased Dox
323 fluorescence into 4T1 breast cancer cells (Figure 5). Dox
322 fluorescence was initially localized in endosomes (SI 3) and
321 consistent with cellular internalization via an endocytic process
320 and with release of Dox at the acidic pH of endosomes. Folic
319 acid conjugation also increased the cytotoxicity of the Dox-
318 conjugated hairpin toward 4T1 cells consistent with both
317 improved cell uptake and efficient Dox release (Figure 6). The
316 results demonstrate that, while the Dox-conjugated hairpin has
315 markedly improved stability at physiological pH relative to the
314 corresponding noncovalent complex, the conjugate is highly
313 effective at the intracellular release of Dox following cell uptake.

DISCUSSION

DNA is central to biology as the predominant carrier of genetic
317 information; however, the physical and chemical properties of
316 DNA make it highly useful as a material for numerous
315 applications including use for drug delivery. These studies have
314 demonstrated that a simple DNA hairpin that includes a "GAA" 
313 hairpin-promoting sequence provides a unique site for
312 conjugation with the Top2-poisoning anticancer drug Dox. Dox
311 Conjugation occurs without disrupting stabilizing hydrogen
310 bonding or base stacking interactions in the hairpin loop and
310 allows for facile intercalation of the tetracene ring system of
309 Dox between the first and second base pairs of the hairpin stem. 
308 The concurrent covalent linkage and intercalation of Dox in the
307 hairpin results in formation of a complex that is highly stable at
306 physiological pH. As noncovalent Dox−DNA complexes
305 presumably of greatly reduced chemical stability relative to the
304 Dox-conjugated DNA hairpin described here have shown to be
303 decreased toxicity relative to free Dox in human clinical trials,9
302 hairpin conjugates may represent an improved approach for
301 limiting Dox toxicity while preserving Dox efficacy. 
300 A number of approaches have been described for improved
300 Dox delivery while limiting systemic toxicities. The liposomal
300 formulation Doxil, for example, has demonstrated clinical
A variety of other nanoparticle-mediated drug delivery approaches have also been explored for improved Dox delivery. DNA offers many advantages relative to alternative strategies. DNA is readily biodegradable and can be used for in vivo applications without activating an immune response. Further, the use of DNA for drug delivery allows for natural combination of diverse anticancer drugs of different classes. For example, our laboratory has pioneered the inclusion of cytotoxic nucleotide analogs into ssDNA and more recently into DNA hairpins. We have also shown that duplex or hairpin DNA can be used for delivery of minor groove binding ligands. The present studies have extended this work to include covalent modification of DNA hairpins with the Top2 poison Dox. Our studies have also shown that the major groove of DNA can be used for improved drug delivery as our studies have shown that Zn\(^{2+}\) complexation occurs in the major groove of FdU-substituted DNA hairpins.

Drug delivery is a multifaceted process that involves not only improved stability in circulation, but also specific uptake into targeted cells and ultimately release of drug following cell uptake. Our studies show that, as with previous studies with the single-stranded DNA F10, conjugation with folate acid improves uptake into targeted cancer cells. Many cancer cells overexpress folate receptor as a consequence of increased nutrient requirements to support an elevated growth rate for the malignant phenotype. These studies show cell uptake of a 25 nucleotide DNA hairpin can be significantly enhanced into 4T1 breast cancer cells through folic acid conjugation. Importantly, while the Dox-conjugated hairpin is highly stable at physiological pH, Dox release is favored at the acidic pH of endosomes following cell uptake. Dox is efficiently released from the hairpin following cell uptake and Dox retains potency as an anticancer drug. The results demonstrate that the DNA-conjugation strategy developed has the requisite components to be useful for Dox delivery in a clinical setting.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of the use of a Dox–DNA covalent conjugate to transfer Dox to DNA for potential therapeutic applications. The approach adopted has potential for greatly expanded drug delivery applications. For example, we have previously shown that FdU nucleotides can be embedded within this hairpin sequence and that the resulting hairpin is cytotoxic to prostate cancer cells. As DNA polymers containing FdU nucleotides are Top1 poisons, the present system allows for creating complexes that deliver both FdU and Dox and that will simultaneously target Top1 and Top2. Simultaneous targeting of Top1 and Top2 has shown promise for clinical management of cancer, although this combination displays systemic toxicities. Our studies show that folic acid conjugation can be used to improve uptake for DNA hairpin conjugates into breast cancer cells, and this is
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**Figure 5.** (A) Fluorescence microscopy of 4T1 cells treated with either untargeted or folate-targeted DCH. (B) Quantification of Dox fluorescence from 4T1 cells. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean of at least 30 measurements. A Student's two-tailed t test was used to determine significance.
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**Figure 6.** Targeting DCH with folic acid (DCH-FA) significantly increases the cytotoxicity of the DCH construct toward 4T1 breast cancer cells. Error bars represent standard deviation from the mean with four replicates of each condition. A Student's two-tailed t test was used to determine significance (i.e., \(p < 0.05\)) — *significantly different from control; \(^*\)significantly different from DCH-FA.
expected to concomitantly reduce systemic toxicities. Studies are underway to evaluate these promising concepts in drug delivery. Future studies will focus on demonstrating advantages in cellular and animal models of cancer.

CONCLUSIONS

The “GAA” sequence motif that promotes intramolecular DNA hairpin formation can be selectively conjugated to the Top2-poisoning anticancer drug Dox. The resulting conjugate is highly stable at physiological pH as a consequence of both covalent modification and intercalation of the tetracene ring system of Dox into the hairpin stem. Folic acid conjugation of the Dox-conjugated hairpin enhances uptake by 4T1 breast cancer cells. Dox is efficiently released at the acidic pH of endosomes following cell uptake demonstrating that the Dox-conjugated hairpin has both appropriate extracellular stability and intracellular lability well-suited for drug delivery applications. The DNA hairpin structural motif permits further development by inclusion of additional or alternative cytotoxic drugs, such as FdU or other cytotoxic nucleotide analogs demonstrating the multifunctional properties of DNA as a material for drug delivery science.
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