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The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) will require some U.S. drinking water distribution systems (DWDS) to monitor nonradioactive strontium (Sr2+) in drinking water in 2013. Iron corrosion products from four DWDS were examined to assess the potential for Sr2+ binding and release. Average Sr2+ concentrations in the outermost layer of the corrosion products ranged from 3 to 54 mg kg$^{-1}$ and the Sr2+ drinking water concentrations were all $\leq 0.3$ mg L$^{-1}$. Micro-X-ray adsorption near edge structure spectroscopy and linear combination fitting determined that Sr2+ was principally associated with CaCO3. Sr2+ was also detected as a surface complex associated with $\alpha$-FeOOH. Iron particulates deposited on a filter inside a home had an average Sr$^{2+}$ concentration of 40.3 mg kg$^{-1}$ and the associated drinking water at a tap was 210 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$. The data suggest that elevated Sr2+ concentrations may be associated with iron corrosion products that, if disturbed, could increase Sr2+ concentrations above the 0.3 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ US EPA reporting threshold. Disassociation of very small particulates could result in drinking water Sr2+ concentrations that exceed the US EPA health reference limit (4.20 mg kg$^{-1}$ body weight).
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ABSTRACT: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) will require some U.S. drinking water distribution systems (DWDS) to monitor nonradioactive strontium (Sr²⁺) in drinking water in 2013. Iron corrosion products from four DWDS were examined to assess the potential for Sr²⁺ binding and release. Average Sr²⁺ concentrations in the outermost layer of the corrosion products ranged from 3 to 54 mg kg⁻¹ and the Sr²⁺ drinking water concentrations were all ≤0.3 mg L⁻¹. Micro-X-ray adsorption near edge structure spectroscopy and linear combination fitting determined that Sr²⁺ was principally associated with CaCO₃. Sr²⁺ was also detected as a surface complex associated with α-FeOOH. Iron particulates deposited on a filter inside a home had an average Sr²⁺ concentration of 40.3 mg kg⁻¹ and the associated drinking water at a tap was 210 μg L⁻¹. The data suggest that elevated Sr²⁺ concentrations may be associated with iron corrosion products that, if disturbed, could increase Sr²⁺ concentrations above the 0.3 μg L⁻¹ US EPA reporting threshold. Disassociation of very small particulates could result in drinking water Sr²⁺ concentrations that exceed the US EPA health reference limit (4.20 mg kg⁻¹ body weight).

INTRODUCTION

Strontium (Sr²⁺, atomic number 38), highly mobile and reactive, is estimated to be the fifteenth most abundant element on Earth. It has four naturally occurring stable isotopes, ⁸⁸Sr, ⁸⁶Sr, ⁸⁷Sr, and ⁸⁵Sr, and 31 unstable ones. The longest-lived unstable isotope is ⁹⁰Sr with a half-life of 28.9 years. Strontium is only found naturally in compounds and has an atomic radius similar to calcium (Ca²⁺). Strontium readily substitutes for Ca²⁺ in the metal site (M1) of minerals and in the structure of bone.¹ The most common Sr²⁺ compounds are Sr²⁺SO₄ (celestite) and Sr²⁺CO₃ (strontianite).

Naturally occurring Sr²⁺ compounds are highly soluble in water; consequently, Sr²⁺ is readily released into ground and surface waters that are sources for drinking water. Ingestion of nonradioactive Sr²⁺ has been considered a potential threat to human health in recent years.²⁻⁵ Based on that potential, the US EPA listed Sr²⁺ on the Drinking Water Contaminant Candidate List 3 (CCL3⁶). If approved, CCL3 will result in regulatory limits for Sr²⁺ concentrations in drinking water.

In May 2012, the Director of the US EPA signed the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule 3, which includes Sr²⁺ (UCMR³).² The UCMR3 requires that samples of drinking water be collected at the point-of-entry into and at the point-of-maximum residence time in a DWDS. These locations were chosen because water chemistry and quality are changeable as drinking water travels through and interacts with the DWDS infrastructure and associated corrosion products.⁷,⁸ Starting in 2013, reporting to the US EPA is required if the Sr²⁺ water concentration exceeds 0.3 μg L⁻¹ at either location in the DWDS. Average Sr²⁺ concentration in United States drinking water is approximately 1.1 mg L⁻¹.⁹,¹⁰ Therefore, it is likely that the drinking water of numerous DWDS will exceed 0.3 μg L⁻¹.

Surface layers of iron corrosion products act as sinks for metal ions and oxyanions.¹¹,¹² Potential concentrations of Sr²⁺ and mechanism(s) of inclusion are currently unknown. If Sr²⁺ does accumulate in iron corrosion products and they are disturbed, either hydraulically or chemically, pulses of elevated Sr²⁺ concentrations in the water or Sr²⁺-rich particulates could reach the consumer tap.¹²,¹³

Data on Sr²⁺ concentrations, methods of binding, and adsorption are needed to assess mechanisms of release and ultimately the potential impact to human health. The objective of the current study was to determine the abundance, distribution, and bonding mechanisms of Sr²⁺ in surface layers of iron corrosion products from unlined cast iron and galvanized iron drinking water pipes. In addition to traditional
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physiochemical characterization techniques, Sr$^{2+}$ binding mechanisms within the corrosion products were examined using in situ micro X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy ($\mu$-XANES). The amount of Sr$^{2+}$ that could potentially be reintroduced into drinking water from iron corrosion products was examined using particulates collected at the point-of-entry into a consumer home.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Iron Corrosion Product Sample Collection and Preparation.** Mound-shaped iron corrosion products were obtained from pipe samples of four fully operational DWDS. Eighteen iron corrosion products were harvested from subsections of a highly corroded 8.5 m long 10 cm inner diameter (id) unlined cast iron residential main from Utility A (UA). Two representative samples from Utility B (UB) and one from Utility C (UC) were collected from single 30 cm long sections of 15 cm id unlined cast iron residential mains. Two iron corrosion products from Utility D (UD) were harvested from a 30 cm long section of a 2.54 cm id galvanized iron riser pipe. While in service, all sections were exposed to daily periods of stagnation. Pipes, obtained as a result of pipe failures or replacements, were transported to the laboratory. All were cut longitudinally and laterally (Figure 1) and two 12.5 cm long strips were removed from the outer wall. Strips were placed in sterile beakers and heated to 200 °C for 1 h, melting the filter material and creating disks that were used for XRF analysis (Figure 1). Heating did not alter the concentrations of metals of interest.

**Water Chemistry.** Surface waters are used as source waters for all four utilities. The disinfection used in UA and UC is free chlorine and for UB and UD, chloramine. Table 1 lists water quality parameters for treated water from each utility including Sr$^{2+}$ concentrations.

![Image of a 25 cm long sediment filter and XRF disks from a home in Utility A. Filter was in use for four years.](image)

**Figure 1.** Image of a 25 cm long sediment filter and XRF disks from a home in Utility A. Filter was in use for four years.

**Bulk Sample Analytical Methods.** Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD). Samples were analyzed using a Siemens D-500 automated diffractometer system equipped with a Cu Kα tube set at 30 mA and 40 kV (Department of Geology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH). The 2θ range ranged from 5 to 60 or 70. Regardless of the 2θ range, a 0.02° step size and a 2 s count time was used at each step. Crystalline phases were identified following the protocol of Gerke et al. Mineralogical analyses were conducted to determine the iron phases that formed as Fe, released as a result of corrosion, interacted with bulk water. Accessory phases, defined as phases that precipitate from the bulk water (e.g., CaCO₃, calcite) or phases that are transported from upstream in the DWDS (e.g., SiO₂, quartz), were also identified. The detection limit for most mineral phases is 5 to 10%.

**Table 1. Selected Water Quality Parameters of Finished Drinking Waters for the Four Drinking Water Distribution Systems That Samples for This Study Were Obtained**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>param.</th>
<th>utility</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>8.14−8.54</td>
<td>7.26−7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hardness (mg L$^{-1}$ as CaCO₃)</td>
<td></td>
<td>91−177</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>NR$^a$</td>
<td>91−196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alkalinity (mg L$^{-1}$ as CaCO₃)</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>23.3−27.3</td>
<td>37−111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (average)</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$^b$</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine, free (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.04−2.03</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine, total (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.0−4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl (mg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorine dioxide (µg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>40−760</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>orthophosphate (mg PO₄ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.1−3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphate (mg PO₄ L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$^{2+}$ (µg L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>110$^c$</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>164−173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$NR = not reported if used in the treatment process. $^b$− = not applicable. $^c$Ref 48.
Bulk X-ray Fluorescence. Pressed pellets and sediment filter disks were analyzed for major oxides and trace elements using a Rigaku 3070 X-ray fluorescence spectrometer (Department of Geology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH). Intensity data were converted to percent (by weight) or mg kg⁻¹ following the protocol of Gerke et al.¹²

Synchrotron Bulk and μ-X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (bulk and μ-XANES) Run Conditions and Analysis. X-ray μ-beam studies were performed at beamline XOR/PNC 20 μB ¹⁶ and MRCAT Sector 10 ¹⁷,¹⁸ of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (Argonne, IL) in top-up mode at 7 GeV and a ring current of 101 mA. A 0.5 mm premonochromator slit width and a Si(111) double crystal monochromator detuned by 10% to reject higher-order harmonics was used at both beamlines. The monochromator beam energy position at both beamlines was calibrated by assigning the first inflection of the absorption edge of Sr²⁺ to 16105 eV following the protocol of O’Day et al.¹⁹

Three Sr K-edge μ-XANES scans were collected at ambient temperature in fluorescence mode with a solid-state 13-element Ge solid-state Canberra detector for samples UA11 and UA13 at beamline XOR/PNC 20 μB. Six Sr K-edge XANES scans were collected at ambient temperature in fluorescence mode with a solid-state 4-element Si-drift detector for sample UB1 at beamline MR-CAT 10-ID.

Bulk XANES scans of the Sr standards were collected at both beamlines. Standards included Sr²⁺ adsorbed to α-FeOOH (goethite), γ-FeOOH (lepidocrocite), Fe₃O₄ (magnetite), and CaCO₃ (see the Supporting Information for details). A (Sr,Ca)CO₃ standard spectrum was obtained from the Lylte database (http://ixx.iit.edu/database/). All spectra were placed on the same energy grid and aligned to SrCl₂ (99.99%, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) at 16105 eV, averaged, normalized, and the background removed by spline fitting using IFEFFIT.²⁰

Linear combination fitting (LCF) was conducted on the first derivative of the normalized (E) XANES spectra of the standards and samples. Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares algorithm was applied to a fit range of -20 to 80 eV. Each LCF analysis encompassed 124 to 147 data points of a given sample spectrum and all five standard spectra. Best-fit scenarios were defined as having the smallest residual error and the sum of all fractions was close to 1. To fully describe any particular sample within 1% reproducible error, a minimum of two components was necessary, and results have a ± 10% accuracy.

■ RESULTS

Physicochemical Characterization of Iron Corrosion Products. The 23 representative iron corrosion products had mound-shaped morphologies. The internal structure of each consisted primarily of a core, shell, and surface layer (Figure 2).¹⁴ Some samples had black veins in the core giving them a marbled appearance (Figure 2).²¹

In general, the color for the core region of the UA samples was yellowish-red brown, consisting primarily of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH with minor amounts of Fe₃O₄ and the accessory phase CaCO₃. Black nonmetallic luster veins were Fe₃O₄ with equal but lesser amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH. Shell layers, separating core and surface layers, had black metallic lusters and were primarily Fe₃O₄ with lesser amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH and trace amounts of the accessory phase CaCO₃. Surface layers, reddish-brown in color, were composed of nearly equal amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH, lesser amounts of Fe₃O₄ and trace amounts of the accessory phases SiO₂ and CaCO₃. (Figure 3a) (Figure S1, Supporting Information)

Samples from UB were similar with yellowish-brown cores consisting of equal amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH and traces of Fe₃O₄. In sample UB2 portions of the core were composed of black nonmetallic luster Fe₃O₄ and lesser amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH. Shell layers were discontinuous with a black metallic luster consisting of equal amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH and trace amounts of Fe₃O₄. Discontinuous surface layers, reddish-brown in color, contained similar amounts of α-FeOOH and γ-FeOOH and varying amounts of Fe₃O₄ but always less than the iron oxyhydroxides. (Figure 3b) (Figure S2, Supporting Information)

The UC sample, previously described by Gerke et al.,²² had a yellowish-brown α-FeOOH-rich core with lesser amounts of Fe₃O₄ and traces of the accessory phase CaCO₃. Nonmetallic luster black veins, present throughout the core, were Fe₃O₄ with minor amounts of α-FeOOH. The core was capped by a black metallic shell layer of Fe₃O₄ with trace amounts of α-FeOOH and moderate amounts of the accessory phase CaCO₃. The surface layer was discontinuous, yellowish-red brown and consisted of nearly equal amounts of γ-FeOOH and Fe₃O₄ and trace amounts of α-FeOOH. Three accessory phases were identified in the surface layers: SiO₂, CaCO₃ and S (sulfur) (Figure 3c) (Figure S3, Supporting Information).

Samples from UD were also previously described.¹² Yellowish-brown core regions were primarily α-FeOOH with trace amounts of the accessory phase SiO₂. Shell layers were mainly Fe₃O₄ and some also contained α-FeOOH. The reddish-brown
surface layers were primarily α-FeOOH. The surface layer of Sample UD1 had lesser amounts of Fe3O4 (Figure 3d).

Concentration of Sr2+ in the Drinking Water, Iron Corrosion Products from All Four Utilities, and Sediment Filter Particulates. Strontium concentrations in the surface layers of the iron corrosion products for all utilities ranged from 3 to 128 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Table S1, Supporting Information). The average Sr\(^{2+}\) concentration in solids compared to water at the point of entry for the utilities were as follows: Utility A, 38 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.3 mg L\(^{-1}\); Utility C, 3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and water at point-of-entry was below the detection limit; Utility D, 54 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.167 to 0.174 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Table 1). The average concentration in solids from Utility B average was 12 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and the concentration in water at the point of entry was assumed to be 0.110 mg kg\(^{-1}\). The Sr\(^{2+}\) concentrations of the sediment filter particulates from a residence served by UA ranged from 39.74 to 40.77 mg kg\(^{-1}\), with an average of 40.26 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Table S1, Supporting Information). Data for all regions of the mound-shaped corrosion products and the iron particulate sediment filter samples are provided in Table S1, Supporting Information.

Adsorption Mechanism of Sr\(^{2+}\) with Iron Corrosion Products. Sr\(^{2+}\) K-edge in situ \(\mu\)-XANES spectra from samples UA11 (one spectrum), UA13 (two spectra), and UB1 (two spectra) and bulk XANES spectra for Sr\(^{2+}\)-Fe and Sr\(^{2+}\)-Ca standards are shown in Figure 4. The Sr\(^{2+}\) K-edge in situ \(\mu\)-XANES spectra for UA11 Point 1, UA13 Points 1 and 2, and UB1 Point 1 had prominent peaks at 16110, 16120, and 16156 eV (Figure 4). The \(\mu\)-XANES spectrum for UB1 Point 2 had pronounced peaks at 16110 and 16146 eV (Figure 4b). The energies of the predominant sample peaks corresponded to characteristic peaks of the standards (Table 2).

Table 2. Strontium K-edge \(\mu\)-XANES Characteristic Peak Energies for the Five Sr\(^{2+}\) Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>standard</th>
<th>16110.6</th>
<th>16120</th>
<th>16148</th>
<th>16156.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+}) ads. α-FeOOH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+}) ads. γ-FeOOH</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+}) ads. Fe(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+}) ads. CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(^{2+}) incorp. CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

superimposed on the first derivative spectrum of UA11, UA13, and UB1, respectively (Figure 5). 60 to 93% of the Sr\(^{2+}\) was associated or bound in CaCO\(_3\) (Table 3). The highest percentage of Sr\(^{2+}\) associated with CaCO\(_3\) was found with sample UB1. The remaining Sr\(^{2+}\) in the surface layers was associated with α-FeOOH (7 to 39%) (Table 3). Sample UA11 Point 1 had the highest percentage of Sr\(^{2+}\) adsorbed to α-FeOOH.

**DISCUSSION**

Iron drinking water pipes corrode producing large amounts of corrosion products that line the internal surfaces of pipe walls.23–26 Corrosion products are demonstrated sinks for metal ions, including vanadium, arsenic, chromium, copper, and lead.11,12 It is reasonable to hypothesize that Sr\(^{2+}\) also concentrates in the surface layers of iron drinking water pipe corrosion products.

Ingestion of Sr\(^{2+}\) from drinking water has become such a concern that in May 2012 the US EPA director signed the UCMR3 that requires monitoring of Sr\(^{2+}\) in drinking water. The UCMR3 specifically states that incorporation of cobalt and/or strontium into pipe deposits within a distribution system could result in mobilization of these metals into...
drinking water within the distribution system. The UCMR also recognizes that erosion and/or dissolution of pipe deposits within the distribution system may affect human exposure levels.

The present study determined that average concentrations of Sr²⁺ in the surface layers of iron corrosion products from four fully operational DWDS ranged from 3 to 54 mg kg⁻¹. The associated drinking waters all had Sr²⁺ concentrations <0.5 mg L⁻¹. No trend was observed between the Sr²⁺ water concentrations and the solids Sr²⁺ concentrations. On the basis of a strong preference for the Sr²⁺ to adsorb with CaCO₃, one might expect that the higher the Ca²⁺ concentration the higher the Sr²⁺ concentration in the solids but that was not the case with the sample set examined in this study.

The linear combination fit analysis for UA11 and UA13 indicated that 60 to 84% of the Sr²⁺ was substituting for Ca²⁺ in the M₁ sites of CaCO₃ (Table 3). This finding was not unexpected because Sr²⁺ has a high affinity for binding or adsorbing to CaCO₃.²⁷−³⁰ Calcite (CaCO₃) was detected in bulk XRD analysis and the drinking water for UA was at or near the calcite saturation index. 16 to 39% of the Sr²⁺, however, was adsorbed to α-FeOOH (Table 3)—an unexpected result because the average point of zero charge of α-FeOOH is approximately 8.5³¹ and the average drinking water pH of UA was 8.6 (Table 1). The overall surface charge of α-FeOOH in UA should, therefore, be neutral to slightly positive. Based on the work of Carroll et al.,³² and Sahai et al.,³³ it was surmised that Sr²⁺ was likely adsorbed to α-FeOOH by outer-sphere complexation.

Seven to thirteen percent of Sr²⁺ was adsorbed to α-FeOOH in the surface layer of sample UB. As in the case of the UA samples, Sr²⁺ was likely adsorbed by outer-sphere complexation (Points 1 and 2) (Table 3). The remaining 86% of Sr²⁺ was adsorbed to the surface of CaCO₃ grains at Point 2 (Table 3). The strong association of Sr²⁺ with CaCO₃ was surprising because CaCO₃ was not detected using bulk powder XRD in UB samples. The lack of distinguishable XRD diffraction peaks does not discount the presence of calcite. The relative abundance of calcite may have been below the detection limit for XRD (≈5%). Additional support for the presence of calcite came from the UB water chemistry, which indicated that water in the distribution system exceeded the CaCO₃ saturation index (Table 1). Results from the XANES analysis demonstrated the importance of CaCO₃ in developing Sr²⁺ reservoirs in the surface layers of corrosion products.

Hydraulic disturbances such as scouring, flow reversals, and flushing³⁴,³⁵ can dislodge corrosion product surface layers. Particulates, composed of iron and accessory phases and any associated metals, are then transported downstream and ultimately to consumer taps. Chemical disturbances resulting from changes to the water chemistry such as decreases in the concentrations of oxidants, for example, dissolved oxygen, chlorine, or chloramine, can cause reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺.³⁴−³⁵ Iron(II) and any metals associated with the Fe⁰⁻ phases, i.e. Sr²⁺, would be released into the drinking water.¹²

---

Table 3. Linear Combination Fitting Results for Sr²⁺ K-edge μ-XANES Spectra in Figure 5a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample ID</th>
<th>Sr²⁺ ads. α-FeOOH (%)</th>
<th>Sr²⁺ ads. γ-FeOOH (%)</th>
<th>Sr²⁺ ads. CaCO₃ (%)</th>
<th>Sr²⁺ incorp. CaCO₃ (%)</th>
<th>R-factor (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Disinfection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA11 point 1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>−−</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA13 point 1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>−−</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>0.0235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA13 point 2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>−−</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine Disinfection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB1 point 1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>−−</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>0.0633</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB1 point 2</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>−−</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aData presented as weighted percents (±10%) over the fit range of −20 to 80 eV.

---

Figure 5. (a) Linear combination fitting (red or gray circles) and the first derivative of the normalized μ(E) (black lines) of the μ-XANES of Sr²⁺ K-edge spectra for UA11PT1, UA13PT1, UA13PT2, UB1PT1, and UB1PT2. (b) The first derivative of the normalized μ(E) of the μ-XANES of Sr²⁺ K-edge spectra for the five standards used in the linear combination fitting.
This process weakens the integrity of iron corrosion product surface layers and can create particulates if hydraulically disturbed.

To examine the likelihood of Sr$^{2+}$ migration from the surface layers of iron corrosion products toward consumers’ taps, particulates from a filter inside a home fed by water from UA were examined. The average Sr$^{2+}$ concentration of particulates was 40.26 mg kg$^{-1}$, approximately the same as the average Sr$^{2+}$ concentration (38 mg kg$^{-1}$) in surface layers of the iron corrosion products from UA, suggesting that particulate-bound Sr$^{2+}$ was detached from DWDS surfaces and was transported into a residence. The Sr$^{2+}$ concentration of a drinking water sample collected at a tap in UA was 210 μg L$^{-1}$. Even though this concentration is lower than in the particulate, it is still orders of magnitude higher than the US EPA reporting threshold of the UCMR3 (0.3 μg L$^{-1}$).

Disturbances to iron drinking water pipe corrosion products typically cause red or discolored water episodes during which consumers tend to avoid drinking the water. However, not all disturbances to surface layers of iron corrosion products produce a visible turbidity. Turbidity values below 5 nephelometric turbidity units can contain particulates that are not readily visible to consumers.

Data presented in this paper are the first to quantify the potential for Sr$^{2+}$ transport into drinking water. The data clearly demonstrated that drinking water with elevated Sr$^{2+}$ concentrations and Sr$^{2+}$-rich particulates could reach consumer taps. Not only were Sr$^{2+}$-rich particulates moving into the home, but based on the Sr$^{2+}$ concentration in the particulates, ingestion of only a small quantity would exceed the US EPA health reference level (HRL). The US EPA HRL for Sr$^{2+}$ ingestion by a 70 kg adult is 4.20 mg kg$^{-1}$. This value was based on the consumption of 2 L per day of drinking water with a relative Sr$^{2+}$ contribution of 20% of the Sr$^{2+}$ oral reference dose.

The implication of this study is that numerous DWDS have iron corrosion products with elevated Sr$^{2+}$ concentrations. Also, the findings can be used as a start point to develop better a understanding of how modifications to water treatment strategies impact metal attenuation and amplification with corrosion products throughout drinking water distribution systems.
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