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ABSTRACT: Two-dimensional materials such as graphene show great potential for future nanoscale electronic devices. The high surface-to-volume ratio is a natural asset for applications such as chemical sensing, where perturbations to the surface resulting in charge redistribution are readily manifested in the transport characteristics. Here we show that single monolayer MoS$_2$ functions effectively as a chemical sensor, exhibiting highly selective reactivity to a range of analytes and providing sensitive transduction of transient surface physisorption events to the conductance of the monolayer channel. We find strong response upon exposure to triethylamine, a decomposition product of the V-series nerve gas agents. We discuss these results in the context of analyte/sensor interaction in which the analyte serves as either an electron donor or acceptor, producing a temporary charge perturbation of the sensor material. We find highly selective response to electron donors and little response to electron acceptors, consistent with the weak $n$-type character of our MoS$_2$. The MoS$_2$ sensor exhibits a much higher selectivity than carbon nanotube-based sensors.
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The planar habit of two-dimensional (2D) materials is attractive for the ultimate size scaling envisioned by Moore’s Law and beyond, and offers relative ease of fabrication, the requisite large-scale integration, and exceedingly low power consumption. The very high surface-to-volume ratio of such single or few monolayer materials enables highly efficient gating of charge transport via surface gates, obviating the need for the more complex growth and fabrication procedures required for wrapped-gate nanowire transistors.

Graphene has captivated attention since the first measurements of high mobility transport were reported in single layer flakes. Progress toward monolithic graphene circuits was recently demonstrated by the fabrication of wafer-scale inductor/transistor circuits, vertically integrated graphene/graphite transistor arrays, and transistors with improved on/off ratios. Recent effort has focused on other 2D materials such as the transition metal dichalcogenides, and field effect transistors with a monolayer of MoS$_2$ as the active channel shown to exhibit high on/off ratios at room temperature, ultralow standby power dissipation, and well-defined photoreponse. Very recent work has demonstrated fabrication of complex integrated logic circuits based on bilayer MoS$_2$ transistors, and significant advances have been made in large area growth of MoS$_2$ on several substrates.

The high surface-to-volume ratio is also important for new sensor materials which must exhibit selective reactivity upon exposure to a range of analytes (determined by the character of surface physisorption sites), rapid response and recovery, and sensitive transduction of the perturbation to the output parameter measured. The conductivity of graphene near the charge neutrality point has been shown to change with adsorption of a variety of analytes, but annealing to 150 °C was required to restore the conductivity to its original value, suggesting the analytes were strongly bound. Other work has shown that graphene’s intrinsic response to physisorption of analytes such as ammonia is very small. The sensitivity can be enhanced by functionalizing the graphene surface, for example, by oxidation, but these devices showed little selectivity, and functionalization introduces additional complexity to the fabrication process. Recent work has shown that the selectivity of graphene sensors can be enhanced by measuring analyte-dependent changes in the low frequency noise spectrum, although degassing in vacuum at room temperature for several hours between measurements was needed to obtain good reproducibility. Other 2D materials are likely to offer selective surface reactivity to physisorbed species, and if semiconducting in character, can provide both lower background carrier densities and the possibility of photomodulated sensing mechanisms.

We have fabricated planar sensor structures consisting of a monolayer MoS$_2$ channel on SiO$_2$/Si wafers, as shown in Figure 1a and b. The change in channel conductance was measured during exposure to a variety of analytes, including standard laboratory chemicals, solvents, and simulants/byproducts for explosives, nerve agents, or precursors thereof. The conductance increases rapidly with exposure to triethylamine (TEA, a decomposition product of the V-series of nerve gas agents) and acetone, and is unaffected by exposure to many
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followed by electron beam evaporation of Au and Ti/Au. An image of a typical sensor device is shown in Figure 1b. The bulk samples were obtained from three different sources, and the responsivity of the sensor flakes showed some variability that we attribute to variations in the purity of the original bulk samples. Further details appear in the Methods section and the Supporting Information file.

The electrical response to vapor exposure of a selected analyte was evaluated in a combined probe station/gas bubbler dosing system described elsewhere. 22 The gas flow, analyte concentration, and analyte pulse sequence are controlled by computer via appropriate mass flow controllers and valves. The analyte concentration is continuously measured using standard lock-in amplifier techniques while a timed sequence of analyte pulses of selected concentration are introduced into the 5 lpm N₂ carrier gas stream. The data are presented as a change in conductivity, ΔG/G₀ versus time, where G₀ is the initial conductivity when the pulse sequence is begun. All data are obtained at room temperature and ambient pressure.

The sensor response was measured for a variety of analytes, including those considered to be electron donors, acceptors, or highly polar molecules. The MoS₂ monolayer sensor exhibits a pronounced response to triethylamine (TEA—N(CH₂−CH₃)₃) exposure, a laboratory-safe decomposition product from the V-series nerve gas agents. The response to a sequence of 10 TEA pulses, each with a concentration of 0.002% P₀ (~1 ppm), is shown in Figure 2a. The timing of the pulse sequence is shown as a dashed line—the TEA is on for 15 s and off for 30 s (within the constant 5 lpm N₂ carrier flow). Upon exposure to TEA, the MoS₂ conductivity increases abruptly, with an initially rapid rise (~5 s) followed by a slower approach to saturation. This initial response is much more rapid than the 30 s reported previously for multilayer MoS₂. 21 Single pulse measurements at 0.02% P₀ (10 ppm) show that the response saturates at exposure times of ~60 s for this concentration. When the TEA is valved off, the conductivity shows the reverse behavior, with an initially rapid 5 s decrease and a slower approach to baseline. These sawtooth responses are superposed on a monotonically increasing background, which we tentatively attribute to charge accumulation.

A control measurement with no TEA in the pulsed gas sequence exhibits no change in conductivity (red curve), confirming that the observed response is due to interaction of the MoS₂ with TEA and not to changes in gas flow or residual contaminants in the N₂ carrier gas or in the plumbing of the dosing system. A second control measurement showed that the monolayer MoS₂ sensor (green curve) exhibited no response to water vapor (0.025% P₀ ~ 6 ppm), a desirable characteristic, while a monolayer graphene sensor (purple curve) shows a pronounced response to this ubiquitous background constituent.

The amplitude of the conductivity change increases with increasing TEA concentration. Figure 2b shows the device response to a sequence of pulses in which the TEA concentration increases from 0.002% P₀ (1 ppm) to 0.2% P₀ (100 ppm) over a total pulse sequence of 450 s. The conductivity change with each TEA pulse exhibits similar characteristics as noted above, with an initially rapid rise and fall and a positively sloped background (removed in the figure). The dependence of the change in conductivity on TEA concentration is summarized in Figure 2c, and the error bars

Figure 1. Schematic and image of the MoS₂ monolayer sensor. (a) A single monolayer of MoS₂ is supported on an SiO₂/Si substrate and contacted with Au contact pads. Transient physiosorption of molecules induces temporary changes in the conductivity of the monolayer channel. (b) An optical image of the processed devices showing the monolayer MoS₂ flakes electrically contacted by multiple Au leads.
illustrate the differences in relative sensitivity observed. These differences could not simply be attributed to geometric factors, but we cannot exclude variations in inadvertent residual contamination during device fabrication. The strong response and excellent signal-to-noise provide a TEA detection threshold of 10 ppb.

For comparison, similar data were acquired for planar sensors fabricated from (a) monolayer graphene grown by chemical vapor deposition on copper and (b) a carbon nanotube (CNT) network consisting of a dense array of CNTs forming an electrically continuous thin film, as the transport/sensor channel. These data are shown in Figure 2d, where ΔG/G₀ is plotted for a sequence of TEA pulses (10 s on, 20 s off) of 0.025% P₀ (12 ppm) concentration. The CNT response amplitude to a single pulse is comparable to that of the MoS₂ monolayer, while that of the graphene sensor is much smaller. However, in marked contrast with the response exhibited by the MoS₂, the graphene and CNT conductivity both decrease with TEA exposure, and the data are superimposed on a negatively sloped background.

The opposite response of the MoS₂ and graphene/CNT sensors can be understood to first order by considering the transient charge perturbation to the sensor material upon interaction with the TEA molecule. Our MoS₂ monolayer samples are n-type, while the air-exposed CNT networks exhibit a p-type character, and the graphene device as fabricated possesses a Dirac point at a substantial positive substrate bias indicating that the dominant carriers are holes. TEA is a strong electron donor, and thus transient physisorption will enhance the majority carrier density and conductivity for MoS₂ but decreases these parameters on graphene and the CNT network. The opposite response of the MoS₂ and graphene/CNT devices to TEA exposure provides a strong indicator of TEA presence with high confidence. In the following paragraphs, we make comparison to the CNT network devices, because they have been shown to be highly sensitive to a wide variety of analytes.

The response of the MoS₂ sensor to analytes considered to be weak donors was correspondingly weaker. For example, exposure to tetrahydrofuran, (CH₂)₄O, produced only a modest response (<10% of that shown in Figure 2a), while...
exposure to dimethylformamide, \((\text{CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{NC(O)H}\), produced no response above the noise level.

The response and sensitivity of the MoS\(_2\) monolayer to other classes of analytes was determined in a similar fashion. The response to a pulse sequence of acetone \((\text{CH}_3\text{)}_2\text{CO}\), a highly polar molecule, is shown in Figure 3a, where the acetone concentration increases from 0.02\(\%\) \(P_0\) (50 ppm) to 2\(\%\) \(P_0\) (5000 ppm). The conductivity again increases upon exposure, with temporal characteristics similar to those observed for TEA—the incremental change in conductivity is strongly correlated with the exposure pulse sequence, the amplitude of \(\Delta G/G_0\) is comparable for a given concentration, and the background exhibits a positive slope over the total duration of the exposure. The sensitivity to acetone is much lower than for TEA, with a detection threshold of \(\sim 500\) ppm. The corresponding response of a CNT network sensor is shown in Figure 3b and exhibits a complementary response consistent with the transient charge perturbation model discussed above: the incremental conductivity decreases with acetone exposure, and the background exhibits a negative slope.

In contrast with the relatively strong responses described above, the MoS\(_2\) sensor exhibited no measurable change in conductivity upon exposure to analytes considered to be electron acceptors, including \(\sigma\)-dichlorobenzene, 1,5-dichloropentane, \(\sigma\)-nitrotoluene, and nitromethane at concentrations as high as 2\(\%\) \(P_0\) \((P_0 = 1350, 960, 136, \text{and } 37,400\) ppm, respectively), although the CNT devices respond to all. We note, however, that transport in CNTs is also affected by dipole-induced scattering,\(^2\) a mechanism that would only decrease conductivity, and may not be relevant for 2D materials such as MoS\(_2\). Thus the MoS\(_2\) sensor exhibits a much higher degree of selectivity than the CNT-based sensors, a desirable sensor characteristic. As an example, the response to a sequence of nitrotoluene (NT) pulses, a laboratory-safe simulant for the explosive trinitrotoluene (TNT), is shown in Figure 4. As the NT concentration increases from 0.01\(\%\) \(P_0\) to 1\(\%\) \(P_0\), no change in conductivity of the MoS\(_2\) monolayer is visible. The corresponding response of the CNT network sensor is shown for comparison, with the CNT conductivity increasing significantly upon exposure.

A histogram summarizing the sensitivity and selectivity of both the monolayer MoS\(_2\) and CNT network sensors to these various analytes appears in Figure 5. The MoS\(_2\) monolayer sensor displays good sensitivity, high selectivity, and a response that is typically opposite in polarity to that of CNT networks. While the states responsible for the MoS\(_2\) in-plane conductivity derive from the sulfur—molybdenum hybridized orbitals, we hypothesize that the interaction mechanism with the analyte is mediated by the localized lone pair orbitals of the sulfur end units. Zonnevylle et al. modeled the atomic orbital configuration for planar MoS\(_2\) sheets to understand the process of hydrodesulfurization.\(^2\) They found that the Mo 3d\(_{\sigma}\) orbitals are used to form the Mo–S bond. The Mo 3d\(_{\pi}\) orbitals and S 2p orbitals extend above the surface plane and are free to interact—the charge density distributions make the Mo 3d\(_{\pi}\) slightly reduced (negatively charged) and the S 2p slightly oxidized (positively charged). This is a polarized surface that

![Figure 3](image1.png)

**Figure 3.** Response of sensors to acetone. (a) Change in conductivity of the monolayer MoS\(_2\) sensor channel upon exposure to a sequence of pulses in which the acetone concentration increases from 0.02\(\%\) \(P_0\) to 2\(\%\) \(P_0\) (black line). The dashed blue lines show the pulse timing (20 s on/40 s off) and concentration. (b) Same as part a, but for a CNT-network sensor, and the acetone concentration increases from 0.01\(\%\) \(P_0\) to 1\(\%\) \(P_0\) with a pulse sequence of 10 s on/20 s off. The inset shows a model of the acetone molecule, in which the oxygen atom is red, the carbon atoms are black, and the hydrogen atoms are light gray.

![Figure 4](image2.png)

**Figure 4.** Response of sensors to nitrotoluene. The monolayer MoS\(_2\) sensor (red line) shows no response to analytes such as nitrotoluene which tend to act as acceptors, while the CNT-network sensor (black line) shows a pronounced response. The nitrotoluene concentration increases from 0.01\(\%\) \(P_0\) to 1\(\%\) \(P_0\) and is shown inverted for convenience to facilitate comparison with the sensor response. The pulse sequence is 10 s on/20 s off. The inset shows a model of the nitrotoluene molecule, in which the nitrogen atom is blue, the oxygen atoms are red, the carbon atoms are black, and the hydrogen atoms are light gray.
were formed by e-beam lithography using MMA/PMMA resist (charge) supporting the monolayer of MoS$_2$ compensates the force microscopy measurements were performed to ensure microscope and isolation of suspected monolayers, atomic we suspect that the SiO$_2$ substrate (known for its own positive charge) is negatively charged, thus the Mo$_3$d$_{yz}$ orbitals, leaving only the 3d$_{yz}$ orbitals, which attract the other plane of MoS$_2$ in the bulk layered structure. We expect that the SiO$_2$ substrate (known for its own positive charge) supports the monolayer of MoS$_2$ compensates the negative charge of the Mo 3d$^x$ orbitals, leaving only the positively charged S available for gas/surface interactions. Thus the MoS$_2$ sheet tends to interact strongly with donor-like analytes, consistent with our experimental observations. Exposure to a wider range of analytes will provide further insight into the selectivity and response mechanisms.

Other 2D materials (TaS$_2$, WSe$_2$, NbSe$_2$, MgB$_2$, BN, etc.) are likely to offer complementary sensitivity due to different chemical composition and bonding. We envision development of suites of these 2D material sensors and carbon nanotubes with complementary responsivities, integrated with transistor amplifiers fabricated from the same materials, enabling unambiguous identification of a wide range of analytes in a very compact and low power package.

**Methods.** Planar devices were fabricated from MoS$_2$ exfoliated monolayer flakes using the “Scotch tape” method deposited onto a 270 nm thermal SiO$_2$ film on n-type Si wafers. Optical contrast and Raman spectroscopy were used to identify and confirm the monolayer areas used for subsequent processing. After optical inspection of the wafers in a microscope and isolation of suspected monolayers, atomic force microscopy measurements were performed to ensure accurate monolayer selection (1 ML ~ 0.7 nm). Raman data and photoluminescence spectra analysis also confirm that the samples were single monolayer. Ti/Au bond-pad contacts were formed by e-beam lithography using MMA/PMMA resist and metal evaporation and lift-off is performed to connect the MoS$_2$ to the bond pads with an Au contact line. Excess MoS$_2$ near the device is removed by defining a mesa level with another electron beam lithography step and then reactive ion etching in SF$_6$/O$_2$.

A combined electrical probe station–gas bubbler system was used to determine the electrical response of the sensor to controlled exposures of various analytes. Briefly, dry N$_2$ is bubbled at low flow rates (milliliters per minute) by means of a glass frit through a container of liquid analyte with an equilibrium vapor pressure $P_0$ (at 20 °C).$^{24}$ It is expected that the N$_2$ stream leaving the bubbler is saturated with analyte vapor. This vapor stream is diluted by mixing with a constant flow of 200 mlpm dry N$_2$. Actual concentration of analyte is checked in a residual gas analyzer equipped with a capillary and differential pumping to achieve operation at atmospheric pressure (Hiden Analytical Inc. HPR-20 QIC). This diluted vapor stream is switched into a much larger 5 lpm N$_2$ flow by means of a solenoid-activated valve to create a gas of controlled high dilution. This gas stream is directed at the sample under test from a separation of a few millimeters.

Electrical contact to the sample pads is provided through Au-coated tungsten probe tips, and the conductivity between two contacts on the MoS$_2$ is measured by applying a small AC voltage (~100 mVAC RMS, 1 kHz) to one electrode while observing the voltage drop across a bias resistor between another electrode and ground with a lock-in amplifier. The value of the bias resistor is selected to approximately match the nominal impedance of the MoS$_2$ channel. In this way we can measure resistance with a high signal-to-noise ratio.
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Figure 5. Histogram of MoS$_2$ and CNT-network sensor responses to various analytes. A qualitative summary of the response of the sensors to the analytes tested. The responses are broadly categorized as high, low, or null. The MoS$_2$ sensor exhibits a much higher selectivity and a complementary response to the CNT-network sensor. The analytes from left to right are triethylamine (TEA), tetrahydrofuran (THF), acetone, methanol, nitrotoluene (NT), 1,5-dichloropentane (DCP), and 1,4-dichlorobenzene (DCB). Ball-and-stick models of the analyte molecules are shown, in which nitrogen atoms are blue, oxygen atoms are red, carbon atoms are black, chlorine atoms are green, and hydrogen atoms are light gray.
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MoS$_2$ was mechanically exfoliated using the Scotch Tape method onto 275 nm SiO$_2$ on Si. Suitable flakes were first identified by optical contrast using a compound light microscope. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was then performed to confirm monolayer thickness. As an example, an AFM image of a flake is shown in **Figure S1**. The thickness of monolayer MoS$_2$ was found to be 6.5-8 Angstroms, consistent with what has been reported in the literature$^{1,2,3}$.

![AFM image and step height of monolayer MoS$_2$.](image)

**Figure S1**: AFM image and step height of monolayer MoS$_2$.

We also used Raman spectra and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy measurements to further confirm the thickness. Raman spectroscopy studies of MoS$_2$ are widely available in the literature and show that the distinguishing characteristic of a monolayer is an E$_{2g}$-A$_{1g}$ peak to peak separation of approximately 18 cm$^{-1}$.$^{1,2}$ As the number of layers increases, the E$_{2g}$-A$_{1g}$ peak separation increases. **Figure S2a** shows an example of Raman spectroscopy on one of our monolayer films. **Figure S2b** shows an example of PL spectrum taken with a 532nm green CW laser line at T=5K. The PL measurements show a distinctive increase in intensity for a monolayer and an A-exciton peak at $\sim$1.86eV, similar to what is reported in the literature$^{4,5}$. 
**Figure S2**: a) Raman spectroscopy of a monolayer of MoS$_2$ showing an E$_{2g}$-A$_{1g}$ peak separation of $\sim 18$ cm$^{-1}$. Inset shows the E$_{2g}$-A$_{1g}$ peak separation as a function of the number of layers. b) PL measurement of a monolayer of MoS$_2$.

For the devices in this study, 3 bulk sources of MoS$_2$ were used. One piece was obtained from a colleague’s tribology research project (called the Wahl sample). A second piece of MoS$_2$ was obtained from SPI Supplies/Structure Probe, Inc. from a mine in Otter Lake, Ontario, Canada. A third piece was obtained from Wolfram Camp mine in Queensland, Australia. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), shown in Figure S3, reveals various levels of contaminants in the 3 sources. XPS was acquired using a monochromatic Al x-ray anode, operated at 300W, and a hemispherical electron analyzer. Wide-scan spectra were obtained with an 80 eV pass energy and elemental-specific high resolution scans were obtained with a 20 eV pass energy. Data was collected quantitatively from a 0.5 mm diameter spot in the center of the sample to avoid any edge effects. In **Figure S3**, we can see that the Wahl and SPI samples have a significant amount of oxygen, while the Wahl sample also has a significant amount of carbon. The Wolfram Camp sample is the cleanest. The Wahl sample produced no reproducible sensing data. Most of our devices were fabricated from the SPI sample, and the chemical sensing data from the SPI and Wolfram Camp samples were very similar.
Figure S3: XPS of 3 different MoS$_2$ crystals. The Wahl sample (green) is the dirtiest containing both oxygen and carbon impurities. The SPI sample (black) has some oxygen. The cleanest sample is the Wolfram Camp sample (red).

Most of the flakes identified were approximately 10 microns long at their longest point, enabling the placement of 4-6 electrical contacts. Electron beam lithography with MMA/PMMA was used to pattern the contacts. Ti/Au was deposited by electron-beam evaporation for the large wire-bonding contacts, while electron-beam deposited Au alone made contact to the MoS$_2$. Previous studies have shown that higher MoS$_2$ mobilities can be obtained with sample annealing with Au as the sole contact metal$^6$. We found that annealing a Ti/Au contacted device resulted in the device failing to conduct. We hypothesize that oxygen in the MoS$_2$, which XPS studies show exists, reacts with the Ti during annealing and produces a non-conducting TiO$_2$ layer, thus destroying the device. We also tried to use Pt contacts to the MoS$_2$, which resulted in no appreciable difference in electrical signal or chemical sensing. A final electron beam lithography step was done to cover only the active part of the device with a PMMA mask. A light SF$_6$/O$_2$ RIE plasma etch (40/10 SCCM, 100mTorr, 150 Watts) was used to clean any excess MoS$_2$ away from the device. The device was then rinsed in acetone and isopropyl alcohol. We annealed our samples at temperatures between 150-200 $^\circ$C in H$_2$/Ar prior to measurement to remove any excess processing residue, and a similar anneal was done occasionally (~ weekly) to remove any adsorbed chemicals.
For this study, approximately 20 devices were made using the methods described above and tested. All showed approximately the same electrical and chemical sensing behavior, although there were some minor variations from device to device, such as resistance, turn-on gate voltage, signal to noise ratio, and amplitude of sensing response. The devices were also highly susceptible to electrostatic discharge damage. Preliminary electrical data were taken in order to determine the quality of the electrical contacts and the function of the back gate. A typical gate voltage sweep is shown in Figure S4. FET mobilities for the devices were ~20 cm²/Vs. Although the conductance of our monolayer MoS₂ devices can be increased significantly by application of a back gate voltage to increase the electron density, the sensitivity of the device to analytes did not change appreciably. The gate-voltage induced increase in conductivity tends to dilute the increase in conductivity produced by electron donor analytes such as TEA. Therefore, all measurements presented were obtained with the back gate grounded.

Figure S4: Gate voltage sweeps done at constant voltage bias for one of our devices.
The equilibrium vapor pressure at 20°C, $P_0$, is calculated for each analyte from literature data. These values are summarized in Table I.

Table I. Equilibrium vapor pressure $P_0$ at 20°C of selected analytes in ppm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$P_0$ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$o$-dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>1350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,5-dichloropentane</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitromethane</td>
<td>37,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$o$-nitrotoluene</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triethylamine</td>
<td>48,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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