Fungal bis-Naphthopyrones as Inhibitors of Botulinum Neurotoxin Serotype A
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ABSTRACT: An in silico screen of the NIH Molecular Library Small Molecule Repository (MLSMR) of ∼350000 compounds and confirmatory bioassays led to identification of chaetochromin A (1) as an inhibitor of botulinum neurotoxin serotype A (BoNT A). Subsequent acquisition and testing of analogues of 1 uncovered two compounds, talaroderxines A (2) and B (3), with improved activity. These are the first fungal metabolites reported to exhibit BoNT/A inhibitory activity.
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Botulinum neurotoxins (BoNTs) are the etiologic agents of the neuroparalytic disorder botulism. BoNTs are among the most potent toxins known and are classified by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as one of the six highest risk threat agents for bioterrorism. The limitations of current therapies against botulism have prompted research for alternative therapeutics that could be used to treat pre- or postexposure BoNT intoxication, including studies to discover and develop small molecular weight inhibitors of BoNT.

After demonstrating that a strategy of in silico screening, followed by tiered bioassay evaluation of screening hits, could successfully lead to confirmed inhibitors of botulinum neurotoxin serotype A (BoNT/A),¹ we conducted a new round of in silico screening, this time using the NIH Molecular Library Small Molecule Repository (MLSMR) collection² of ∼350000 compounds, for structures with likely binding affinity for the active site of BoNT/A. Among the first hits identified and confirmed active from that screen was chaetochromin A (1), a bis-naphtho-γ-pyrone produced by many species of Chaetomium³ and more recently found in Fusarium spp.⁴ Herein, we report details of our in silico screen and the evaluation of chaetochromin A and several related compounds (2–6).

The in silico screen (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information) of the MLSMR was performed using Docking-based Virtual Screening Pipeline (DOVIS).⁵ DOVIS was used to systematically dock the MLSMR compounds to the catalytic binding site of the BoNTA protein target (PDB code 3C8A).⁶ The compounds were sorted by AutoDock 4.0 score,⁷ and the top 20000 compounds were chosen and minimized within a fixed BoNT/A catalytic site. After minimization, the compounds were rescored with three different scoring functions, AutoDock 4,⁷ LigScore 2,⁸ and X-Score.⁹ Three rescored lists were generated, with compounds sorted by corresponding docking score within each list. The top 500 compounds from each list were pooled for further analysis.

Two lists of compounds were generated at this point. The first, the consensus list, was populated with 300 compounds that appeared in all three rescored hit lists. The second, the MET-TOX list, consisted of 570 compounds that passed an imposed metabolism-toxicity (MET-TOX) filter, which con-
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tain mutagenic,\textsuperscript{10–14} hepatotoxic,\textsuperscript{15,16} and CYP-2D6\textsuperscript{17,18} components. The consensus and MET-TOX lists were compared, and all unique compounds were retained in a combined list. The surviving compounds were visually inspected in the BoNT/A binding site. Using prior experience with this target, we removed compounds with unrealistic interactions or geometries, in particular, compounds incapable of coordination with the active site Zn\textsuperscript{2+}.

Initially, a total of 100 chemically diverse compounds were selected from the combined list for testing (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information) for inhibition of the protease activity of BoNT/A LC using an HPLC-based assay.\textsuperscript{1} Chaetochromin A\textsuperscript{3,4,19,20} (MLS000563176, 1) was found to be the most active inhibitor in the group tested. A sample of chaetochromin A obtained from the NCI Repository (NSC 345647) for confirmatory testing exhibited only \(~\sim\)50% inhibition at 100 \(\mu\)M, but subsequent HPLC-ELSD-MS analysis of this sample showed that it was \(<85\%\) pure.

Samples of pure (\(\geq95\%\) by NMR) chaetochromin A (1) and several related compounds—talaroderxines A and B (2 and 3), secalonic acid A (4), cephalochromin (5), and skyrin (6)—were obtained from the fungal compound collection at the University of Iowa for comparative testing. Chaetochromin A (1) was isolated from solid-substrate fermentation cultures of Chaetomium arcuatum (syn. Chaetomium virens) (NRRL 25243 = IMI 86456) isolated from a soil sample collected in Lucknow, India.\textsuperscript{21} Talaroderxines A and B (2 and 3) were obtained from liquid cultures of a coprophilous Delitschia sp. (JS 300) isolated from a sample of kangaroo dung collected in Australia. The talaroderxines were originally described as metabolites of Talaromyces derxii cultured from cultivated soil in Kurashiki, Japan,\textsuperscript{22} and, to our knowledge, have not been previously reported from any other source. Secalonic acid A (4)\textsuperscript{23,24} was obtained from a culture of Setophoma terrestris (NRRL 25008) obtained as a colonist of an Aspergillus flavus sclerotium that was buried in sandy field soil near Kilbourne, IL. Cephalochromin (5)\textsuperscript{19,20,25,26} was obtained from a culture of Cosmopora sp. (syn. Acremonium butyr) (NRRL 28291) that was isolated as a colonist of the stromata of Hypoxylon sp. found on a hardwood log in Peoria, IL. Skyrin (6)\textsuperscript{27,28} was isolated from a culture of Geomyces pannorum (NRRL 22978) obtained as a colonist of an Aspergillus flavus sclerotium that was buried in sandy field soil near Kilbourne, IL. Compounds of this general type usually display axial chirality (i.e., atropisomerism) because of the high energy barrier to rotation at the bond linking the individual aromatic systems in each structure. The axial configurations of 1–3, 5, and 6 have been assigned and are reflected in the structures shown (Chart 1).

Table 1 summarizes the results for both our primary HPLC-based protease assay and the tissue-based mouse phrenic nerve hemidiaphragm assay (MPNHDA). Not only was the activity of chaetochromin A (1), suggested by the in silico screen, confirmed, but talaroderxines A (2) and B (3) proved to be more active in the protease assay and showed considerable protection at 20 and 2 \(\mu\)M in the MPNHDA. While secalonic acid (4), cephalochromin (5), and skyrin (6) were roughly comparable to chaetochromin A in the protease assay, they were devoid of activity in the MPNHDA.

In parallel with the biological testing, we performed virtual testing of some of these compounds using our lead optimization tools,\textsuperscript{29,30} including chaetochromin A (1), talaroderxine A (2), cephaholochromin (5), and skyrin (6), along with three hypothetical side chain oxidized analogues of chaetochromin A (7H–9H). Secalonic acid was not included, due to substantial differences in its molecular scaffold relative to the bis-naphthopyrones.

Because no experimental structural data are available for BoNT/A-bound states of these compounds, we modeled them using AutoDock Vina.\textsuperscript{32} This docking algorithm preserved asymmetric carbon chirality but was insensitive to the higher order axial chirality of the compounds. Consequently, our modeled compounds docked with the BoNT/A active site in both \(P\) and \(M\) axial configurations. The docking score was not sufficient to rank-order closely related compounds and even less so to choose the \(P\) atropisomer over the \(M\). Visual inspection revealed two representative consensus poses, one for each atropisomer, that had carbonyl and/or hydroxyl oxygens poised to coordinate active site Zn\textsuperscript{2+}. Figure 1 illustrates the consensus poses for 1–3. However, only the \(P\) atropisomer was capable of coordinating Zn\textsuperscript{2+} by carbonyl and hydroxyl oxygens at the same time (Figure 1A,B). On the basis of this finding and on the fact that the original hit molecule, chaetochromin A (1), had the \(P\) configuration, we selected the pose with the \(P\) configuration for subsequent binding free energy calculations, excluding talaroderxine B (3).
Using the consensus pose with the $P$ configuration, we ranked-ordered the ligands by their relative binding free energies, which were computed using computationally demanding Single Reference Thermodynamic Integration (SRTI) approach augmented with Hamiltonian Replica Exchange (HREX).30,31 Because binding free energy predictions often depend on the initial structure of the complex, it was imperative that we employ a similar pose for all of the compounds as the consensus pose. For the same reason and because axial atropisomers do not readily interconvert in SRTI simulations, it was not possible to compare $P$ and $M$ atropisomers using only the relative binding free energy calculations.

Adequate sampling of the conformations of the numerous hydroxyl groups might be important for obtaining converged results. Indeed, each of the studied compounds has at least six hydroxyl and two carbonyl groups that can interact by forming internal hydrogen bonds. The formation of internal hydrogen bonds would reduce the number of favorable interactions with the BoNT/A active site and could affect the binding free energies. To ensure adequate sampling of the hydroxyl group rotations, we employed a specially designed nonphysical reference state (see the Supporting Information) that allowed for free rotation of the hydroxyl groups. Using this reference state in combination with the HREX option within SRTI enhanced conformational transitions of hydroxyl groups. Therefore, we expected HREX-SRTI to provide more accurate relative binding free energy predictions than conventional TI methods.

The results of the calculations are summarized in Table 2, with the representative complexes of the top scoring compounds shown in Figure 1A,B. Despite all of the precautions, our predictions had relatively large standard deviations that, in some cases, precluded our making a definitive prediction. Nevertheless, our calculations had sufficient precision to predict talaroderxine A (2) as a better binder than chaetochromin A (1). Interestingly, one of our designs (7H) was also predicted to be a better binder than chaetochromin A. This prediction remains to be tested experimentally, as the compound is as yet unknown. Although the precision of our calculations for the remaining compounds did not allow us to make definitive conclusions, the predicted changes in binding free energies appear to be in good agreement with experimentally determined IC$_{50}$ values (Table 1).

**Table 1. Comparative Testing of Fungal Metabolites**

| sample                | BoNT/A protease assay | MPNHDAA | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                       | % inhibition (μM)     | IC$_{50}$ (μM) | paralytic time (min) |
|                       | 100 20                | 20 2    |
| chaetochromin A (1)   | 74.0 55.0             | 24.6    | 187.8° 104.0 |
| talaroderxine A (2)   | 96.0 85.0             | 3.7     | 197.0° 188.7° |
| talaroderxine B (3)   | 80.3 67.0             | 10.1    | 94.7° 174.3° |
| secalic acid (4)      | 64.5 39.1             | 28.6    | 67.0 ND |
| cephalochromin (5)    | 72.0 45.0             | 29.2    | 59.0 ND |
| skyrin (6)            | 74.3 40.3             | 31.5    | 81.0 64.0 |
| CB '7969312b (control)| 98.0 96.2             | 2.1     | 300.0 300.0 |

a Assays conducted as described in ref 1 and the Supporting Information. Mouse phrenic nerve hemidiaphragm assay. IC$_{50}$ (50% inhibitory concentration) values were determined from nine concentrations of each compound using GraphPad Prism 4 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). bAverage time to 50% loss of twitch tension (min); average value for BoNT/A toxin control, 62 min. cP value <0.01 (highly significant) in comparison with values recorded for the BoNT/A control; statistical analyses were performed using SigmaPlot 10 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). dSolubility issues in the test medium at this concentration rendered this value unreliable. eNot determined. fA small molecule inhibitor described in ref 1.

![Figure 1. Proposed binding mode of (A) chaetochromin A (1), (B) talaroderxine A (2), and (C) talaroderxine B (3) in the active site of BoNT/A. The Zn$^{2+}$ ion is shown as a magenta sphere, carbon atoms of the ligands are depicted in yellow, and those of the active site residues are in cyan. The molecular surface of the pocket is shown in a transparent light gray color. For clarity, only polar hydrogens are shown. Panels A and B depict the most populated cluster centers from SRTI-HREX simulations, whereas panel C is the result of docking.](dx.doi.org/10.1021/ml200312s)
Table 2. Predicted Binding Free Energies/Standard Deviations Relative to Chaetochromin A∗

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compound</th>
<th>ΔΔG</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chaetochromin A (1)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>talaroderxine A (2)</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cephalochromin (5)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skyrin (6)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7H</td>
<td>−2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8H</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9H</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∗Relative free energies were computed using the Thermodynamic Integration method and are reported in kcal/mol.30,31

The correlation between the predicted binding free energies and the observed IC_{50} values lends additional credibility to the consensus pose selected for calculations. In the absence of a crystallographic structure, we can use this validated pose for further lead optimization efforts.

We should note, however, that the higher activity of talaroderxine B relative to chaetochromin A might suggest that the consensus pose with the M configuration is also important (Figure 1C). Nevertheless, the observation that talaroderxine A (2) is more active than talaroderxine B (3) supports our emphasis on the P atropisomer for the present study.

Starting with the initial in silico screening hit chaetochromin A (1), we compared a small number of analogues and found that talaroderxines A (2) and B (3) were more potent in our primary HPLC-based and secondary mouse phrenic nerve hemidiaphragm assays. It is noteworthy that 1 and 2 share the same axial configuration and absolute configuration at the chiral centers they have in common; 3 has the same configuration at the chiral centers held in common, but the opposite axial chirality. The primary differences between 1 and 2 and 3 reside in the γ- versus α-pyrones and the methyl versus n-propyl substituents at the common chiral centers. The task before us now is to develop an adequate supply of 2 and 3, so that we can define their mechanism of action/mode of binding, assess their in vivo activity, and determine whether they are less toxic than chaetochromin A.33–35 Because talaroderxines A and B (2 and 3) are produced in relatively low yields, this will be challenging but not insurmountable. These are the first fungal natural products reported to inhibit BoNT/A.
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