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INTRODUCTION

Among the currently described eubacterial phyla, the Proteobacteria represent the largest and one of the most diverse groups of organisms (237). This phylum consists of over 200 genera and contains the majority of Gram-negative species (237). The group consists of five subdivisions: Alpha-, Beta-, Gamma-, Delta-, and Epsilonproteobacteria. Although the subdivisions evolved from a common ancestor, the estimated divergence dates indicate that individual proteobacterial subdivisions branched separately and in a particular order, with the delta subdivision having branched first, approximately 2.38 billion years ago, followed by the epsilon, alpha, beta, and gamma subdivisions (237, 536).

Although the gammaproteobacteria appear to have branched most recently, this subdivision contains many of the model species used to study biological processes of microorganisms, especially those of bacterial pathogens. Among these gammaproteobacteria, Escherichia coli and Salmonella are often used to model genetic and cellular biology mechanisms, whereas Haemophilus influenzae serves as a prototype for natural transformation of DNA. Other proteobacterial subdivisions also contain model species such as Neisseria spp., betaproteobacteria that are often studied to understand mechanisms of DNA uptake, recombination, and antigenic variation. While studies of these organisms provide useful paradigms for important biological processes, these paradigms are not accurately represent mechanisms employed by delta- and epsilonproteobacteria.

Members of the epsilonproteobacteria represent a physiologically and ecologically diverse group of organisms that are quite evolutionarily distinct from the more commonly studied proteobacteria such as E. coli and Salmonella spp. (45, 536). One common factor shared by these organisms is that the niches they inhabit are often found in extreme, geographically distinct environments. From the high temperature and pressure of deep-sea hydrothermal vents to the highly acidic gastric mucosa or to microbial mats found in sulfidic caves and springs, these environments clearly require a unique repertoire of cellular survival processes that makes the epsilonproteobacteria unique (156, 440, 490, 556). Despite the nearly ubiquitous nature of this class of bacteria, the epsilonproteobacteria remain one of the most understudied groups of bacterial species. Taxonomically, the epsilonproteobacteria can be grouped into two orders: the Nautiliales and the Campylobacterales. Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences suggests that individual strains or species cluster together based on ecotype and metabolic capability (74). The most commonly studied genera within the epsilonproteobacteria are Helicobacter spp. and Campylobacter spp., which are members of the order Campylobacterales.
long proteobacterial species *H. pylori* and *C. jejuni* and to compare how the mechanisms involved in these processes differ from those observed in the model systems. Specifically, we discuss flagellar gene expression and biosynthesis, DNA transformation and recombination, iron homeostasis and iron-responsive regulation by Fur, adherence and invasion mechanisms, and protein glycosylation.

**FLAGELLAR GENE REGULATION AND BIOSYNTHESIS**

Swimming motility in bacteria is promoted by a rotary nanomachine that consists of a flagellum to provide the physical force of movement and a chemosensory system to control the proper direction of movement. Chemotactic motility, the specific migration of a bacterium toward or away from components in the microenvironment, is required for initiating and maintaining interactions of *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* with hosts. Aflagellated or motile but chemotaxis-defective mutants of *C. jejuni* are attenuated for persistent commensal colonization of poultry and infection of human volunteers (60, 254, 438, 633, 651). Similarly, motility and chemotaxis of *H. pylori* are required to initiate and maintain infection in murine and gnotobiotic piglet models of colonization (17, 152, 186, 465, 596). *H. pylori* chemotactic responses are also required to promote association with gastric cells in the stomachs of gerbils and to elicit inflammatory responses, a noted consequence of *H. pylori* infection and pathogenesis (407, 642).

Flagellar gene expression and biosynthesis have been investigated extensively through genetic and biochemical analyses of *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. Cumulative results from these studies were combined to form a model to understand the regulation of flagellar gene expression and biosynthesis, which has been used to compare these processes in other bacteria. However, studies of *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* have revealed that the epsilonproteobacteria use a number of factors that are either absent or not required for motility in *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. As subsequent studies revealed, these proteins in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* impart alternative means of controlling flagellar gene expression or spatial and numerical parameters of flagellar biosynthesis.

Transcriptional Regulatory Cascades for Flagellar Gene Expression

The paradigm of *E. coli* and *Salmonella*. Despite the diversity of bacterial species, the structure of the flagellar organelle is relatively conserved from one bacterium to another. Depending on the organism, more than 25 different proteins form the flagellum, with another 10 to 60 proteins forming the chemosensory system (389). The flagellum consists of a hook-basal body (HBB) complex and an extracellular filament. The HBB complex is divided into a few substructures (described below) that originate from the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane and extend to the hook on the extracellular surface of the outer membrane (Fig. 1). The filament is composed largely of hundreds of flagellin subunits and a few other minor proteins that assist filament biogenesis. The chemosensory system consists of chemoreceptors that usually sense a specific nutritional component, a phosphorelay signaling system that transmits signals from the chemoreceptors to the flagellar motor, and a methylation system to fine-tune chemoreceptor-induced signaling (see reference 616 for a general review). Depending on whether the sensed environmental factor is an attractant or repellent, the chemosensory system influences the clockwise or counterclockwise rotation of the flagellum, which ultimately directs the bacterium toward more favorable microenvironments for growth.

A bacterium must coordinate the expression of each gene encoding the structural or chemosensory components as well as promote the orderly secretion and/or interaction of each protein to build a properly functioning flagellar organelle. As elucidated for *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*, flagellar gene expression usually follows a tightly regulated, temporal, and hierarchal transcriptional pattern (312, 356). This pattern of expression allows bacteria to synthesize the flagellar structure from the inside out, beginning with the base of the flagellum at the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane and extending outward to the extracellular tip of the filament (92, 390). Flagellar genes in *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli* are divided into three classes, depending on their temporal order of expression (Fig. 1) (312, 356). Class 1 genes, which are transcribed first, include flhDC. These genes encode the heteromeric FlhDC master transcriptional regulator that directly binds to and activates the transcription of promoters of multiple operons containing the class 2 genes (374, 627). Class 2 genes encode multiple proteins that form the HBB complex, σ28, and FlgM, which is the anti-σ factor for σ28. The HBB consists of components that stretch from the cytoplasm to the extracellular surface (Fig. 1). These parts include the cytoplasmic ring (C ring) at the base of the flagellum and the inner membrane MS ring (consisting of a multimer of FliF). The components of a type III secretion system (T3SS) are embedded in the center of the MS ring to form the flagellar secretory apparatus. Motor proteins (MotA and MotB) associate with the C and MS rings to form the stator element of the flagellar motor. Bacterial envelope components of the HBB complex include multiple proteins that form the rod and the structural rings located in the peptidoglycan (P ring) and outer membrane (L ring). The hook is the most distal part of the HBB complex and is located on the surface of the outer membrane.

After FlhDC-mediated transcription of class 2 genes, the first components of the flagellum thought to be assembled are the MS ring and the T3SS (consisting of the FlhA, FlhB, FliO, FliP, FliQ, and FliR proteins) within the MS ring, although the order of assembly of the MS ring and the T3SS is unclear (for detailed reviews, see references 92 and 390). Attached to the cytoplasmic side of the MS ring is the C ring, which has dual functions in flagellar motility (188, 323): (i) it is the rotor and switch component of the flagellar motor (276, 393, 555, 657, 658), and (ii) it serves as an inverted cup to efficiently deliver proteins to the flagellar secretory apparatus in the center of the MS ring (159, 213). The flagellar secretory apparatus facilitates the ordered secretion of most flagellar proteins located beyond the inner membrane, beginning with the rod proteins. Flagellar proteins, in general, are secreted into the hollow center of the flagellum at the T3SS-rod juncture, transit to the tip of the sprouting flagellar structure, and polymerize on the growing tip. Thus, the flagellum is a conduit for transport of its own secretion substrates. As the rod is polymerized, it breaches the structural barrier of the peptidoglycan and outer membrane by...
passing through the center of the flagellar P and L rings, respectively. Note that FlgI and FlgH, which form the P and L rings, respectively, are likely secreted by the Sec type 2 secretory system (T2SS) instead of the flagellar T3SS (266, 294).

After completion of rod assembly, the hook proteins are secreted to form a fulcrum on the bacterial surface.

A critical checkpoint in the flagellar transcriptional hierarchy occurs upon completion of the HBB complex. \( \sigma^{28} \), encoded by \( \text{flaA} \), is required for expression of class 3 genes (312, 461). These genes encode the flagellins and other minor proteins of the filament, the stator components of the flagellar motor (MotA and MotB), and the chemosensory system. Even though \( \text{flaA} \) is a class 2 gene and is expressed with the genes encoding the HBB complex, \( \sigma^{28} \) is inhibited from interacting with RNA polymerase (RNAP) by the product of another class 2 gene, FlgM (84, 85, 356, 462). Once the HBB complex is complete, FlgM is secreted from the bacterium by the flagellar T3SS (272, 312, 355). As a result, \( \sigma^{28} \) is relieved from repression, allowing it to interact with RNAP to promote transcription of class 3 genes. Thus, expression of the flagellin, filament, motor, and chemosensory genes is coupled to a structural step in flagellar biosynthesis.

**Transcriptional hierarchy for flagellar genes in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori*.** The flagellar filaments of many *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* spp. are composed of two different flagellins, FlaA and FlaB (368, 378). FlaA is abundant in the filament and is required for full motility (229, 232, 297, 571, 581, 631, 632). FlaB is a minor flagellin, thought to be localized primarily to the basal portion of the filament, and its requirement for wild-type levels of motility varies with the species. The \( \text{flaA} \) and \( \text{flaB} \) alleles of these organisms are expressed from different promoters, with characteristic binding sequences for \( \sigma^{54} \) and \( \sigma^{28} \), respectively (229, 232, 368, 455, 571, 581, 631). Thus, two different alternative \( \sigma \) factors are required for flagellar gene expression in *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* spp., unlike the case in *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. Along with \( \text{flaB} \), many
genes for the flagellar rod and hook proteins in *C. jejuni* and *Helicobacter* spp. are dependent on $\sigma^{54}$ for expression and contain conserved $\sigma^{54}$-binding sites in the respective promoters (9, 80, 255, 328, 447, 464, 473, 534, 564, 651).

An interesting observation on *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* is the relative conservatism of the use of $\sigma^{54}$ for gene expression. In many bacteria, $\sigma^{54}$ is required for expression of multiple operons, which often encode proteins for diverse biological functions (318, 351). Specificity for transcription of operons for one biological process in a single bacterium is often maintained by activation of $\sigma^{54}$ through a characteristic trans-activator protein (often called an “enhancer-binding protein”) to promote transcriptional initiation (reviewed in references 351 and 451). However, almost all $\sigma^{54}$-dependent genes in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* encode proteins that are structural components of the flagellum (80, 447). Therefore, $\sigma^{54}$ is limited almost exclusively to flagellar gene expression in these bacteria. *pseB* is one $\sigma^{54}$-dependent gene of *C. jejuni* that does not encode a structural component of the flagellum. However, PseB is an enzyme required for pseudaminic acid (PseA) biosynthesis, a carbohydrate modification of the flagellin necessary for filament biogenesis (218; see below).

As mentioned above, $\sigma^{54}$ requires a characteristic enhancer-binding protein to activate transcription of target genes. The prototypical member of this class of transcriptional activators is NtrC, which is found in many gammaproteobacteria (143). Phosphorelay from a cognate sensor histidine kinase to a specific aspartic acid of an NtrC-like response regulator usually activates the protein so that it can interact with target promoters and $\sigma^{54}$-dependent transcriptional DNA to an open complex formation.

Genetic screens in *C. jejuni* have identified multiple genes required for expression of the $\sigma^{54}$ regulon (253, 255). Two genes revealed from these studies are *flgS* and *flgR*, which encode a sensor histidine kinase and an NtrC-like response regulator, respectively, that together form a $\sigma^{54}$-activating two-component system in both *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* (68, 255, 300, 301, 447, 564, 651). Other genes identified in *C. jejuni* that are required to stimulate $\sigma^{54}$ activity are *flhA*, *flhB*, *flhP*, and *flhR*, which encode components of the T3SS, and *flhF*, which encodes a GTPase found mainly in polarly flagellated bacteria (255). Deletion of any of these genes in *C. jejuni* causes large reductions in expression of many $\sigma^{54}$-dependent genes (80, 255, 300, 651). Similarly, mutation of *flhA*, *flhB*, and *flhF* homologs in *H. pylori* also reduces expression of the $\sigma^{54}$ regulon (7, 447, 525).

These findings suggest that activation of $\sigma^{54}$ is multifactorial, likely requiring the integrated activities of three separate systems, namely, the flagellar T3SS, the FlgSR two-component system, and FlhF (Fig. 1). Strong evidence from *C. jejuni* supports a model in which the T3SS influences activation of the FlgSR system, with the FlhF GTPase functioning possibly outside and downstream of this pathway for full expression of the $\sigma^{54}$ regulon (40, 255, 300). As with most two-component regulatory systems, phosphorelay from the FlgS sensor kinase to the FlgR response regulator is required for expression of the $\sigma^{54}$ regulon (300, 301, 651). Furthermore, in mutants lacking a component of the secretory apparatus, FlgS and FlgR are produced but are evidently defective in phosphorelay and do not activate $\sigma^{54}$ (300). These results suggest that phosphorelay through FlgSR is dependent on the T3SS. Genetic studies have revealed that specific FlgR mutant proteins which do not require FlgS for activation and stimulation of $\sigma^{54}$ can partially suppress the phenotype of mutants lacking components of the T3SS. These results suggest that FlgSR and the flagellar T3SS likely function within the same signaling pathway, with FlgSR acting downstream of the apparatus to stimulate $\sigma^{54}$-dependent expression of flagellar genes (300, 301).

In contrast to *C. jejuni* flgS or T3SS mutants, these partially FlgS- and T3SS-independent FlgR mutant proteins do not suppress the phenotype of an *flhF* deletion mutant for expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent flagellar genes (40). In addition, a double mutant lacking *flhF* and a secretory apparatus is more defective for expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent flagellar genes than either single mutant. These results suggest that the FlhF GTPase functions outside the T3SS-FlgSR pathway for activation of expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent flagellar genes. Current hypotheses propose that FlhF may function downstream of or converge with the T3SS-FlgSR pathway to activate $\sigma^{54}$. Further analysis of FlhF demonstrated that full GTPase activity of FlhF is not required for expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent flagellar genes (40). Mechanistic details regarding how FlhF influences activation of expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent genes in a GTPase-independent manner remain to be elucidated.

For *C. jejuni*, the flagellar T3SS has been characterized further to determine its requirements for activating the FlgSR system and expression of the $\sigma^{54}$ regulon. Specific point mutations or domain deletions in FlhB (a component of the T3SS) or deletion of *flf* (encoding an ATPase that likely increases the efficiency of secretion of flagellar proteins through the apparatus [422, 479]) results in mutants that assemble secretion machineries but are severely hindered for secretion of the major flagellin FlaA (300). However, these mutants are not defective for expression of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent flagellar genes, perhaps indicating that the flagellar T3SS does not have to be fully secretion competent to activate FlgSR (Fig. 1). If secretion is not required by the apparatus for FlgS to detect a signal, then formation of the T3SS may be a signal detected by FlgS to initiate phosphorelay to FlgR. Since FlgS is a cytoplasmic sensor kinase (300), it is conceivable that FlgS may detect a specific epitope on the cytoplasmic face of an assembled secretory apparatus to determine that the T3SS has formed. This hypothesis suggests that FlgS may physically interact with an epitope of a single protein or a multiprotein epitope of the T3SS once it has assembled. Detection of this type of signal would then lead to autophosphorylation of FlgS that culminates in activation of $\sigma^{54}$.

Inclusion of $\sigma^{54}$ in the flagellar transcriptional hierarchies of *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* spp. is a significant departure from the cases for *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. The reason for the use of this alternative $\sigma$ factor in the flagellar regulatory cascades of these epsilonproteobacteria is not entirely clear. The vast majority of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent genes of both *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* include those that encode the flagellar rod and hook proteins, which are dependent on the flagellar T3SS for transport out of the cytoplasm (Fig. 1). By making expression of these genes dependent on the secretory system, FlgSR, and $\sigma^{54}$, a checkpoint within the bacterium is created: the T3SS must be formed before it initiates a regulatory cascade necessary to promote expression of its secretion substrates. Expres-
sion of $\sigma^{54}$-dependent genes is tightly controlled through the requirements of (i) a highly specific binding site for $\sigma^{54}$ in the promoters of target genes and (ii) an enhancer-binding protein that is often activated by a signal transduction system involving a cognate sensor kinase. These requirements likely prevent transcription of the rod and hook genes when their expression is not needed. Therefore, tight control of $\sigma^{54}$ activity by a regulatory cascade consisting of the flagellar T3SS and the FlgSR system, along with FlhF in C. jejuni and H. pylori, seems to ensure that production of the rod and hook proteins occurs only in bacteria that have formed a system to secrete flagellar substrates. On the other hand, use of $\sigma^{54}$ in flagellar transcriptional cascades is, curiously, a common theme in polarly flagellated bacteria (121, 330, 331, 494, 604). The biosynthetic processes required to generate a flagellum at a bacterial pole may require an ordered production of proteins that can be mediated only by the tight control of expression of the respective genes through mechanisms to activate $\sigma^{54}$.

The requirement of $\sigma^{28}$ for expression of flagellar genes and the control of $\sigma^{28}$ activity are similar between gamma- and epsilonproteobacteria. In C. jejuni and Helicobacter spp., $\sigma^{28}$ is required for expression of class 3 genes, which include the major flagellin gene, flaA, along with those encoding minor filament proteins (80, 255, 298, 368, 571). Like the case in gammaproteobacteria, FlgM associates with $\sigma^{28}$ to inhibit its activity and releases $\sigma^{28}$ from inhibition when FlgM is secreted out of the cytoplasm by the HBB (106, 298, 511, 650). Unlike the case in Salmonella spp. and E. coli, genes encoding the motor proteins and various components of the chemosensory system in C. jejuni and H. pylori are not a part of the $\sigma^{28}$ regulon (80, 447). Expression of these genes is likely not controlled by the flagellar transcriptional hierarchy and may be constitutive. A curious finding noted in C. jejuni is that FlgM associates with $\sigma^{28}$ to inhibit its activity at $37^\circ$C but not at $42^\circ$C, which is the body temperature of this organism’s natural avian hosts (650). This finding possibly suggests that $\sigma^{28}$ activity may be unregulated during commensal colonization of these hosts.

Using the Salmonella sp. and E. coli transcriptional cascades as a model, a regulatory hierarchy for flagellar gene expression can be constructed for C. jejuni and H. pylori (Fig. 1). This model is based mainly on the more detailed analyses of the molecular mechanisms in C. jejuni, but studies of H. pylori suggest that the transcriptional hierarchies of these two bacteria are likely similar. Class 1 genes include rpoN (encoding $\sigma^{54}$) and genes that encode the flagellar T3SS, the FlgSR system, and the FlhF GTPase, which are all required to activate $\sigma^{54}$. Stimulation of $\sigma^{54}$ activity results in expression of the class 2 genes, which encode primarily the flagellar rod, ring, and hook proteins. Also potentially included as class 2 genes are flaA and flhM, which encode $\sigma^{28}$ and its anti-$\sigma$ factor, since some evidence exists that expression of these genes is partially $\sigma^{54}$ dependent (80, 650). Class 3 genes include those dependent on $\sigma^{28}$ for expression, which include flaA, genes encoding minor filament proteins, and a few nonflagellar genes that may encode proteins involved in virulence mechanisms (217, 489).

This transcriptional hierarchy accounts for the requirement of two alternative $\sigma$ factors for tight and ordered control of flagellar genes for proper biosynthesis of the flagellar organelle.

**Mechanisms of expression of class 1 genes.** Class 1 genes in the flagellar transcriptional hierarchy of E. coli and Salmonella spp. are composed of flhDC (312, 356). In these bacteria, a diverse set of transcriptional regulators incorporate different environmental and intracellular signals to control flhDC expression. By having flhDC expression influenced by various factors, these bacteria are able to optimize the production of flagella under a variety of conditions, physiological states, or niches the bacteria encounter during infection of a host or in natural environments. Examples of these regulators and the various cues that influence their activity include CAP (utilization of carbon sources) (561, 659), DksA and ppGpp (nutrient limitation-induced stringent response) (3), the QseBC two-component regulatory system (quorum-sensing molecules) (100, 271, 563), the EnvZ-OmpR two-component system (osmolarity) (540), and the nucleoid-associated protein Fis (whose levels fluctuate under various conditions, such as the stringent response) (319).

flhDC expression is also repressed by transcriptional regulators that activate expression of other virulence genes. For example, FimZ activates transcription of fimA, encoding the major fimbral subunit of the type I fimbriae, but represses expression of flhDC when overexpressed in Salmonella spp. (104). By governing expression of flhDC and fimA differentially through FimZ, a switch is created that allows bacteria either to adhere to host eukaryotic cells by the production of fimbriae or to swim through formation of flagella (104). Similarly, the RcsCSB system activates expression of genes required for capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis in E. coli and for intracellular growth in Salmonella spp. but represses flhDC expression (159, 187, 626, 652). Thus, motility is opposingly regulated with production of capsule or virulence genes required for growth within macrophages. The RtsAB system of Salmonella spp. may also opposingly control expression of invasion genes and those for motility (154). RtsA positively influences expression of invasion genes, but RtsB represses flhDC expression when overexpressed.

Other relatively less well-characterized transcriptional regulators have been shown to influence expression of flhDC in Salmonella spp. and E. coli. For example, two LysR-type regulators, LrhA of both Salmonella spp. and E. coli and HdhR of E. coli, repress flhDC expression (159, 334, 363). Furthermore, overexpression of the transcriptional regulators EcnR, S LyA, and Pef-SrgD also reduces expression of flhDC in Salmonella spp. (159, 652). Posttranscriptional regulation also influences flhDC expression in E. coli, as the stability of flhDC mRNA is dependent on the RNA-binding protein CsrA (637).

Studies have yet to identify any regulators or growth conditions that globally affect expression of class 1 genes of C. jejuni and H. pylori. One potential hypothesis is that expression of class 1 genes may be constitutive. Analysis of the global transcriptome of H. pylori recently provided a genome-wide map of numerous transcriptional start sites and potentially cotranscribed operons (534). From this analysis, it was found that many H. pylori class 1 genes are cotranscribed with housekeeping genes or genes that are thought to be essential for growth (Fig. 2). For instance, flhF is found in an operon with aroQ and folK, which encode proteins required for generation of some amino acids and folate, respectively. H. pylori rpoN is located within an operon with dnaX, which encodes a component of RNAP. Also, various che genes, which encode components of the chemosensory system, are found in operons with genes
such as ftsH, aspC, and nspC that are likely required for optimal growth under certain conditions. Grouping of class 1 genes into these operons would ensure that the flagellar T3SS, FlhF, FlgSR, and σ^54 are produced constitutively and available to promote expression of the class 2 genes when necessary. This type of global transcriptome analysis has not yet been performed for C. jejuni. However, considering that the genetic organization of many of these operons is similar in C. jejuni and H. pylori, it is likely that expression of many class 1 genes in C. jejuni is also constitutive (185, 473).

If class 1 genes are constitutively expressed, then the implication for C. jejuni and H. pylori is that as long as the proteins encoded by class 1 genes can initiate the necessary signaling steps to activate class 2 gene expression, these bacteria have the potential to always produce flagella, regardless of environmental or growth conditions. Since these bacteria are most often found associated with a host and motility is necessary for colonization of hosts, constitutive expression of flagella by these organisms may be a strategy to maintain fitness as infectious organisms. However, C. jejuni is transferred to new hosts through contact with fecal matter or handling and consumption of contaminated foods or water, suggesting that environmental reservoirs likely exist. It is unclear if flagellar biosynthesis occurs outside the hosts of C. jejuni and provides a survival advantage under these conditions.

One mechanism to turn off flagellar biosynthesis in Campylobacter species is phase variation (73, 251, 252, 315, 456, 471). In C. coli, flhA contains a homopolymeric tract of thymine residues in the 5' end of the coding sequence that undergoes reversible and random alteration to effect production of the encoded flagellar T3SS protein (471). In C. jejuni, genetic targets of phase variation are flgS and flgR, encoding the two-component regulatory system necessary for σ^54 activation (251, 252). Random and reversible alteration of homopolymeric and heteropolymeric nucleotide tracts within flgS and flgR, along with second-site intragenic and extragenic suppressor mutations, affect production of the FlgSR system to allow variable production of the flagella in vitro and in vivo to influence the colonization capacity of the bacterium. C. jejuni is potentially unique in that the FlgSR system is the only known two-component system where both regulatory factors undergo phase-variable production.

Spatial and Numerical Control of Flagellar Biosynthesis

Salmonella spp. and E. coli are peritrichous organisms, producing multiple flagellar organelles over the surfaces of the bacteria. Other bacteria, such as H. pylori and C. jejuni, in
addition to *Vibrio* and *Pseudomonas* spp., exhibit a polar pattern of flagellar biosynthesis and produce only a limited number of flagella. *H. pylori* commonly produces up to six flagella, but only at one pole, whereas *C. jejuni* produces a single flagellum at one or both poles (200, 216, 480). Thus, rigorous spatial and numerical restrictions on flagellar biosynthesis that exist in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* are likely absent from *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*.

The only factor in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium that has been noted to influence flagellar number is the level of expression of *flhDC*. In wild-type strains, individual bacteria normally produce four to six flagella (159). The level of *flhDC* expression appears to correlate directly with the number of flagella. Promoter mutations resulting in a 2-fold increase in expression of *flhDC* cause a doubling of flagellar number, presumably due to an increase in production of flagellar proteins available to build flagella (159).

Specific regulatory factors that govern the spatial and numerical aspects of flagellar biosynthesis have been identified and analyzed in *C. jejuni* and other polarly flagellated bacteria. Two of these factors are the FlhF GTPase and FlhG (annotated as FleN in *Pseudomonas* spp.), which appear unique to flagellar loci of polarly flagellated species of *Vibrio, Pseudomonas, Campylobacter*, and *Helicobacter* and are absent from peritrichous organisms such as *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. Mutation of *flhF* and *flhG* in *Vibrio* and *Pseudomonas* spp. impacts flagellar biosynthesis in a variety of ways, by increasing or decreasing flagellar gene expression, altering flagellar number, or causing formation of lateral rather than polar flagella (109, 121, 122, 226, 352–354, 437, 468).

The FlhF proteins of polarly flagellated bacteria have a conserved GTPase domain, and GTP hydrolysis by *C. jejuni* has been demonstrated in vitro (40). Whereas deletion of *flhF* in *C. jejuni* results in significant reduction in expression of the $\sigma^54$ regulon and motility, mutation of the GTPase domain of FlhF does not cause significant decreases in expression of $\sigma^54$-dependent flagellar genes, but motility is reduced (40). Thus, in *C. jejuni*, FlhF is involved in flagellar biosynthesis in two different manners: an FlhF GTPase-independent process required for expression of $\sigma^54$-dependent flagellar genes and an FlhF GTPase-dependent process involved in another aspect of flagellar motility.

The reduced motility phenotype of mutants producing FlhF proteins with lower GTPase activity appears to be due to improper placement or increased number of flagella, indicating that GTP hydrolysis by FlhF is a determinant for spatial and numerical control of flagellar biosynthesis (40). A significant proportion of a population of *C. jejuni* cells producing these FlhF mutant proteins either construct lateral flagella (rather than polar flagella) or construct more than one flagellum at a pole. Since flagellar biosynthesis begins at the inner membrane, with the assembly of the MS ring and the flagellar T3SS, one possible hypothesis is that GTP hydrolysis by FlhF may be required at an early step in flagellar biosynthesis by influencing the spatial placement of the MS ring, C ring, and T3SS at the bacterial poles and ensuring that only one apparatus is constructed per pole. Indeed, initial observations of polar localization of FlhF in *C. jejuni* may support the hypothesis that FlhF determines polar sites for flagellar biosynthesis (167). Because some of the GTPase-hindered FlhF mutants also produce truncated flagella or a flagellum only at one pole (40), GTP hydrolysis may also assist in forming a secretion-competent flagellar T3SS. The molecular mechanisms of how GTPase activity may influence these various aspects of flagellar biosynthesis remain to be characterized.

*C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* both encode FlhG, which has been shown in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Pseudomonas* spp. to regulate flagellar number (109, 121, 122, 352). *V. cholera* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* FlhG homologs repress the activity or transcription of a master transcriptional regulator (109, 122). Derepressed activation or expression of the regulator in flhG mutants artificially leads to an increase in flagellar gene expression relative to that in wild-type bacteria that likely directly results in the observed increased in polar flagellar numbers. If FlhG exerts numerical control of flagellar biosynthesis in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori*, it likely does so by a different mechanism than that in *V. cholerae* and *Pseudomonas* spp., since *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* lack an apparent master regulator atop the flagellar transcriptional hierarchy to control gene expression.

While the detailed molecular mechanisms that govern the spatial and numerical control of flagellar biosynthesis wait to be unraveled, the molecular mechanisms that influence flagellar number and placement are likely to differ between *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori*. In *H. pylori*, up to six flagella are naturally produced at a single pole, suggesting a more lenient control of flagellar numbers. In addition, the two poles of an *H. pylori* cell are apparently different, with only one supporting the biosynthesis of flagella in an individual bacterium, unlike in *C. jejuni*, where a flagellum is placed at both poles.

**Differences in Filament Structure and Factors Involved in Flagellar Biosynthesis**

Whereas flagellins of many bacterial species stimulate production of inflammatory mediators through activation of Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5), the flagellins of *Helicobacter* spp. and *C. jejuni* do not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain, does not (18, 248, 552). TLR5 recognizes a stretch of eight amino acids within the highly conserved D1 domain.
also found associated with the flagellar sheath, with the *H. pylori* adhesin A protein (HpaA) potentially enriched in the sheath compared to the bacterial surface (292, 385, 387). An understanding of how the sheath forms and its role in motility or the biology of *H. pylori* has remained elusive. One possible hypothesis is that the sheath prevents recognition of the flagellins by the immune system during infection. This idea is supported by the observation that only unsheathed flagella are recognized by flagellin-specific antibodies (344). Some mutations in flagellar genes have been associated with morphological changes in the sheath. For instance, mutation of *flaA* in *Helicobacter* spp. results in shortened flagella and shortened sheaths equal to the lengths of the flagella (297). Mutants lacking both *flaA* and *flaB* do not produce a filament, but occasionally a structure resembling an empty sheath without a filament is observed (297). Mutation of *flID*, encoding the capping protein at the tip of the filament, causes variation in occasionally a structure resembling an empty sheath without a filament (325). The flagella of a *flID* mutant often have empty sheathed extensions at the tip. In most cases, the terminal bulb does not form in *flID* mutants. Additional analysis is required to understand how the sheath forms in relation to flagellar biosynthesis and whether the sheath plays a significant role in the biology of *H. pylori*.

A few proteins have been identified in *C. jejuni* that are required for flagellar motility and are not present in *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. These proteins may form additional structural components or modification of the flagellar basal body required for motility. FlgP and FlgQ are two proteins required for flagellar motility but not for flagellar biosynthesis (558). Initial analysis suggested that FlgP associates with the outer membrane and requires FlgQ for this localization, suggesting that FlgQ may have a chaperone-like activity for FlgP. Additionally, PflA is required for full motility in *C. jejuni* (660). Mutants lacking *pflA* produce flagella but are nonmotile, thus causing a paralyzed flagellum phenotype. Because of a predicted signal sequence in PflA, this protein may be secreted out of the cytoplasm and form part of the flagellar structure or motor. In addition to a requirement of the flagellins to be glycosylated by an O-linked protein glycosylation system for flagellar biosynthesis (described below), modification of the FlgG rod protein with phosphoethanolamine is required for efficient flagellar biosynthesis and motility (116). How this modification to a rod protein or how FlgP, FlgQ, or PflA influences motility remains to be determined.

### Summary

Due to a concerted effort by many laboratories over the past couple of decades, the importance of flagellar motility to *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* spp. for infection of hosts and the molecular mechanisms governing flagellar gene regulation and biosynthesis have contributed important knowledge concerning the biology of these epsilonproteobacteria. As such, these species have been useful systems for studying previously unrecognized alternatives to biosynthesis of bacterial organelles. So far, it is apparent that utilization of two alternative σ factors creates a different, highly ordered mechanism from those of gammaproteobacteria to regulate expression of flagellar genes and control proper biosynthesis of the flagella. Furthermore, *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* spp. also have additional controls to restrict the placement and number of flagella at the poles that are apparently absent in *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. Considering the current knowledge, it is likely that *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* will become model systems for understanding polar biosynthesis of organelles.

### NATURAL TRANSFORMATION AND HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION

The ability of bacteria to acquire exogenous DNA from the environment is important for increasing genetic variation and driving bacterial evolution. In bacteria, three distinct processes that mediate acquisition of exogenous DNA are conjugation, transduction, and transformation. Conjugation is the unidirectional transfer of DNA from one bacterium to another and requires a specialized pilus (360). Transduction is bacteriophage-mediated transfer of DNA between bacteria (364). Transformation is the process by which bacteria directly acquire naked DNA from the environment (144); bacteria that are able to obtain DNA in this manner are referred to as naturally transformable or naturally competent. The benefits of natural transformation for the recipient bacteria include the ability to acquire an exogenous source of nucleotides, a means to repair damaged chromosomal DNA, and a mechanism to acquire new genes (144, 177, 557). Thus, acquisition of exogenous DNA results in genetic diversity within a population of bacteria and provides the necessary framework for genomic evolution. As a result, this diversity often provides an in vivo fitness advantage for pathogenic bacteria (43, 125, 307, 580).

Natural transformation is a multistep process that can be divided into the following steps: binding of DNA to the bacterial surface, transport of DNA across the bacterial membrane(s) into the cytoplasm, and integration of nonplasmid donor DNA into the recipient chromosome by homologous recombination. Here we present strategies that *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* employ to complete this process compared to the model organisms *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *H. influenzae* (for both DNA uptake and processing), and *E. coli* (for homologous recombination).

### DNA Uptake and Processing

Naturally competent bacteria encode highly specific systems that facilitate binding, uptake, transport, and recombination of exogenous DNA into the chromosome (90, 91, 144). These systems are composed of many proteins that may be specific for some species and are often expressed under particular physiological conditions (102, 147, 240, 388, 403). In Gram-negative bacteria, DNA uptake systems exhibit a high degree of similarity to two related macromolecular machines, the type IV pilus (T4P) and the T2SS (Fig. 3). Natural transformation in Gram-negative bacteria is best characterized for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *H. influenzae*; as such, we focus our discussion on DNA uptake and transport systems of these prototypic bacteria and compare how these systems differ from those employed by *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori*.

#### DNA transport and the type IV pilus.

In *N. gonorrhoeae*, DNA uptake and transformation are mediated by T4P components (reviewed in references 91 and 239), which are also known as pilus/secretion/twitching motility/competence (PSTC) proteins (144). T4P are hair-like appendages found on
the bacterial surface that mediate cellular adhesion and twitching motility, which is a form of bacterial translocation due to polymerization or extension of the pilus fiber, attachment to a nearby surface, and then depolymerization or retraction of the pilus (112, 404, 417, 543, 621). T4P are composed of a major pilin (PilE), a minor pilin (PilV), a traffic NTPase (PilT), an ATPase (PilF), a transmembrane secretin (PilQ), a prepilin processing peptidase (PilD), a pilot protein (PilP), and an adhesin (PilC) (1, 27, 57, 56, 66, 91, 141, 189, 295, 342, 509, 562, 644) (Fig. 3). Interestingly, while the presence of pili on the bacterial surface per se may not be required for DNA uptake, production of the major pilin is necessary (91, 206, 382, 509, 562). These findings suggest that some (but not all) components of T4P are involved in DNA uptake (141, 142, 189, 509, 602, 644).

Chen and Dubnau proposed a model for DNA uptake in *N. gonorrhoeae* where the core structural components of the T4P (such as PilQ, PilC, PilP, PilG, PilF, PilD, PilE, and a minor pilin) are assembled into a T4P-like structure termed a competence pseudopilus (90, 91). A key functional difference between the T4P and the competence pseudopilus is the differential production of two minor pilins, PilV and ComP, which facilitate T4P function and competence, respectively. Consistent with this model, production of the ComP pilin enhances natural transformation, while production of PilV hinders DNA uptake (1, 645). Additionally, DNA uptake requires two other accessory proteins, ComE and ComA (90, 91). Once exogenous DNA is taken up through the outer membrane channel (formed by PilQ), the periplasmic protein ComE binds the incoming DNA and transports it to the inner membrane protein ComA (169) (Fig. 3). This aspect of the proposed model is supported by the finding that *comA* mutants of *N. gonorrhoeae* are able to take up DNA from the environment but cannot transport the molecule into the cytoplasm (168). Upon association with ComA, the double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) molecule is processed so that only a single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) molecule is released into the cytoplasm to serve as a substrate for homologous recombination.

A key step in natural transformation is the binding of DNA at the bacterial surface. In *N. gonorrhoeae*, DNA uptake is facilitated by a specific 10-nucleotide DNA sequence (5’-GCGGTCTGAA-3’) (153, 215). This DNA uptake sequence (DUS) or uptake signal sequence (USS) is found in the *N. gonorrhoeae* and *Neisseria meningitidis* genomes, approximately every 1 kb (123, 239, 550, 551), suggesting that the sequence is conserved to increase the likelihood and specificity of DNA acquisition between *Neisseria* spp. While the species specificity and nucleotide sequence requirements of DNA binding and uptake are clear, the DNA receptor in *Neisseria* spp. has not yet been identified.

Another prototype for natural transformation is the Gram-negative pathogen *H. influenzae* (214). *H. influenzae* is efficiently transformable and competence is induced under certain physiological conditions (214, 257, 406, 531). Natural transformation in *H. influenzae* is also comparable to that in *Neisseria* spp. in that DNA uptake is species specific and the efficiency of uptake varies between strains (406, 531). Transformation in *H. influenzae* is mediated by a specific DUS that is 11 nucleotides in length (5’-AAGTGCGGTCA-3’), though the first 9 nucleotides are required for efficient transformation.
nucleotides of this DUS appear to be sufficient for uptake (119, 182, 542). Similar to the case for Neisseria spp., while the H. influenzae DNA uptake sequence is known, the bacterial receptor responsible for this process has not been identified.

Natural transformation in C. jejuni. Unlike N. gonorrhoeae, whose competence does not appear to be regulated (58), C. jejuni is most naturally transformable during early logarithmic growth (629). Compared to the well-studied models of DNA uptake and transport systems of N. gonorrhoeae and H. influenzae, many of the details of natural transformation in C. jejuni remain unknown. However, some important components that have been identified include a T2SS.

T2SSs are macromolecular machines that consist of at least 12 proteins (516). T2SSs are found frequently in Gram-negative bacteria, including many proteobacteria (99). Commonly studied T2SSs include those of Vibrio and Klebsiella spp., which are depicted in Fig. 3 (99, 516). Many components of the T2SSs are structurally and functionally similar to those of the T4P. For instance, the pilus-like portion of the T2SS is comprised of proteins that are analogous to the major and minor pilins of the T4P. Moreover, during assembly, these proteins are also processed by a prepilin peptidase (41, 150, 495). Additional T2SS components that have parallel functions in T4P are the outer membrane protein (analogous to PilQ in the T4P system) and the ATPases that provide the energy necessary for assembly of the T2SS. Another similarity between the systems is the requirement for pilus polymerization and depolymerization for function. Although the precise mechanism of secretion is not clear, it is hypothesized that secreted proteins are forced out through the outer membrane channel by polymerization of the T2SS pilus-like structure (176, 405, 516, 539); the extended pilus would then have to retract or depolymerize to secrete another protein. This type of action is analogous to the polymerization and depolymerization of the T4P during twitching motility (404, 417). Given this model of protein secretion, it is possible that T2SS-mediated DNA uptake mechanisms could operate in a similar manner, albeit in reverse. In this case, depolymerization of the T2SS pilus would facilitate donor DNA transport into the bacterial cell.

Using a genetic screen to analyze a C. jejuni transposon mutant library, Wiesner et al. identified components of a T2SS that are essential for natural transformation (641) (Fig. 3). The genes identified in this screen, termed cts genes (for Campylobacter transformation system), include ctsD, a pilQ homologue; ctsE, encoding an inner membrane-bound DNA receptor that is homologous to ComEA of Bacillus subtilis and PilT of N. gonorrhoeae; and ctsF, which encodes an inner membrane protein similar to PilG of N. gonorrhoeae. In addition to these core components of the DNA uptake T2SS, a gene that encodes a pseudopilin-like protein was also identified and termed ctsG (641). Based on sequence similarity at the N terminus, CtsG may be cleaved by a prepilin peptidase similar to the pseudopilin in N. gonorrhoeae (90, 91). Another gene identified in this screen, ctsT, also encodes a protein that contains a predicted prepilin peptidase cleavage site. Taken together, these data suggest that C. jejuni may employ a DNA uptake mechanism that is similar to the one proposed for N. gonorrhoeae (90, 91). Other identified genes that are important for natural transformation in C. jejuni include ceuB, proC, ctsW, ctsR, ctsP, and dprA (589, 641). The role of CeuB in DNA uptake is not readily apparent; however, CeuB is involved in the transport of iron across the cytoplasmic membrane and may possibly transport other substrates (472). The role in natural transformation of ProC, an enzyme that is involved in proline biosynthesis, is unclear. CtsW has limited homology to ComFA of B. subtilis, which is required for transport of DNA across the cytoplasmic membrane (145). Since a C. jejuni ctsW mutant is able to take up DNA similarly to the wild-type strain, CtsW likely functions in natural transformation downstream of DNA uptake (641). ctsR and ctsP encode proteins with no known homologs, and the roles of these proteins in natural transformation remain to be determined (641). Finally, C. jejuni also encodes a homolog of the DNA processing A protein (DprA) that is functionally similar to that of H. pylori (589). C. jejuni DprA has been shown to be required for uptake of plasmid DNA but, interestingly, is expendable during uptake of chromosomal DNA (589). This function is in direct contrast to DprA of H. influenzae, which is implicated in natural transformation of chromosomal but not plasmid DNA (317). The fact that C. jejuni carries proteins with similarity to those of known DNA uptake systems, as well as less conserved proteins that are also involved in transformation, perhaps suggests that this bacterium has evolved alternative strategies other than the prototypical T4P/T2SS to facilitate DNA uptake from the environment.

One unique aspect of natural transformation in some strains of C. jejuni is the presence of a plasmid-based DNA uptake system that shows similarity to a type IV secretion system (T4SS) rather than the traditional T4P/T2SS (31). Partial sequencing of the pVir plasmid in C. jejuni strain 81-176 identified three genes with similarity to the comB8 to -10 (also referred to as virB) genes of H. pylori (discussed below), as well as one additional gene whose sequence is similar to that of a gene found in the H. pylori cag pathogenicity island (31). Mutation of the pVir comB10 allele results in an 80% decrease in the frequency of transformation (31), confirming the involvement of at least one of these genes in natural transformation. Interestingly, the comB10 mutant is also attenuated for both adherence and invasion (31), which perhaps suggests that the natural transformation process provides a fitness advantage during infection.

Finally, C. jejuni also encodes two components that are likely involved in DNA transport into the cytoplasm. Cj1211 is a predicted inner membrane protein with homology to ComEC of H. pylori (284). Based on this similarity, Cj1211 may be involved in the transport of DNA into the cytoplasm, much like ComA in N. gonorrhoeae (91); mutation of this gene abolishes natural transformation of C. jejuni (284). Cj0011c is a periplasmic protein that shares partial homology to the ComEA DNA binding protein of B. subtilis (285). This protein binds both ssDNA and dsDNA, but binding is not sequence specific. While the loss of Cj0011c does not eliminate natural transformation, cj0011c mutant strains are transformed up to 50-fold less frequently than wild-type strains (285).

Unlike the case for N. gonorrhoeae and H. influenzae, DNA uptake in C. jejuni is not dependent on a specific nucleotide sequence. Nevertheless, DNA from Campylobacter spp. is transformed more efficiently than DNA from other bacterial species (629). By obtaining DNA from other strains, C. jejuni...
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### Natural transformation in *H. pylori*

The majority of *H. pylori* strains are naturally transformable (260, 261, 278, 367, 444). However, unlike the case for other bacteria, natural transformation in *H. pylori* is mediated by a T4SS (Fig. 4) (262, 316). Typically, T4SSs transport macromolecules (protein or DNA) from the bacterial cytoplasm into the extracellular environment or a host cell (82, 97, 459). This translocation process is facilitated by a pilus-like structure that spans both the inner and outer bacterial membranes. The secretion substrates are recruited and subsequently loaded into the T4SS. In the case of T4SS-mediated injection into host cells, translocation of the substrates occurs after the tip of the secretion system comes in contact with a target cell.

The genes for the components of the *H. pylori* T4SS are located within the *comB* locus on the chromosome and are functionally separate from the Cag T4SS, which translocates the CagA protein into gastric epithelial cells (261, 262, 278, 459). *comB* consists of two separate operons, *comB2* to -4 and *comB6* to -10 (261, 316). The proteins of the ComB system are named after analogous proteins in the prototypical Vir T4SS of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (96). Genes in the first *comB* operon (*comB2* to -4) encode proteins that are predicted to localize to the outer membrane (ComB2) or the inner mem-
brane (ComB3) (Fig. 4) (316). The other gene in this operon, *comB4*, encodes an ATPase (261); however, whether ComB4 provides the energy for assembly of the ComB complex or directly facilitates DNA uptake remains to be elucidated. It has been hypothesized that ComB3 forms a multisubunit complex with the ComB4 ATPase at the inner membrane (95, 96, 316) (Fig. 4). However, the function of this protein complex is unknown. ComB2 has been proposed to form the surface-
exposed structure of the T4SS, but the precise function of
ComB2 remains unclear (316).

The second *comB* operon (*comB6* to -10) contains genes that encode the inner membrane components of the T4SS. For instance, *comB6* encodes a membrane-spanning protein essen-
tial for natural transformation (Fig. 4) (316). While not natu-
rally transformable, an *H. pylori comB6* mutant can be trans-
formed by electroporation (316). Thus, ComB6 is a component of the DNA uptake apparatus and is not involved in later steps of natural transformation, such as homologous recombination.

The amino acid sequence of ComB7 suggests that this protein is a lipoprotein that is localized to the periplasmic face of either the inner or outer membrane (261, 548). ComB7 and
ComB9 both contain a conserved amino acid residue necessary to facilitate a disulfide interaction between the two proteins and provide stability for the T4SS. Furthermore, the amino
acid sequence of ComB9 contains a transmembrane domain (261), suggesting inner membrane localization. Taken to-
gether, these data suggest that ComB7 and ComB9 interact to form a portion of the periplasm-spanning component of the
T4SS. ComB8 and ComB10 are both inner membrane proteins and, along with ComB6, are thought to interact with the
ComB7-ComB9 protein complex to complete the periplasmic and inner membrane-spanning portion of the DNA transloca-
tion channel (261). Furthermore, since overproduction of
ComB9 and ComB10 (in the absence of the ComB7 and
ComB8 proteins) results in specific degradation of these proteins, ComB7 and ComB8 likely play a role in stabilizing the other proteins in the ComB DNA translocation complex (261).

Another component of the *H. pylori* natural transformation system is a homolog of ComEC of *B. subtilis*, which is thought to be involved in the transport of DNA from the periplasm into the cytoplasm (662). Mutation of *comEC* in *H. pylori* results in a reduction of DNA binding and uptake, suggesting that the encoded protein is involved in both steps during natural transforma-
tion (662).

Recent studies on *H. pylori* DNA uptake have provided insight into the mechanism(s) involved in natural transforma-
tion (573) (Fig. 4). In *H. pylori*, ComB-mediated DNA uptake
occurs preferentially at the poles of the cell, in a two-step process (573). dsDNA is taken up through the ComB T4SS, and subsequent processing and translocation into the cytoplasm are dependent on the ComEC membrane channel. It is currently unclear whether the dsDNA is processed by ComEC prior to translocation or the incoming DNA passes directly through this channel.

Additional H. pylori proteins serve as possible components of the natural transformation system. Despite being designated putative Com components, these proteins are not homologous to components of the T4SS or the T4P/T2SS and their genes are not located in close proximity to the comB2 to -4 and comB6 to -10 operons. For instance, HP1378 is a homolog of N. gonorrhoeae ComL, which is a peptidoglycan-linked lipoprotein in this species (192). Attempts to mutate the respective gene in H. pylori have been unsuccessful, which suggests that HP1378 may have an essential function, such as maintaining cell wall integrity (662).

ComH, a protein with no homology to other proteins, is also essential for natural transformation in H. pylori (546). comH is conserved across all tested H. pylori strains, suggesting the importance of ComH in H. pylori biology (546). Furthermore, ComH is required for natural transformation of both plasmid and genomic DNAs, which indicates that ComH functions during DNA uptake, transport, or processing rather than recombination (546). However, the mechanistic role of ComH in natural transformation remains to be determined.

Yet another constituent in the natural transformation process is DprA (19, 547). DprA in H. pylori is homologous to DprA of H. influenzae, which is essential for natural transformation of chromosomal DNA (317). In H. pylori, the function of DprA is unclear; however, the fact that an H. pylori dprA mutant does not completely lose the ability to be naturally transformed suggests that DprA may function to enhance the transformation process (19). Unlike DprA in H. influenzae, H. pylori DprA is involved in transformation of both plasmid and chromosomal DNAs, which perhaps suggests that this protein functions in DNA uptake or translocation rather than in integration (547).

Similar to that in C. jejuni, competence in H. pylori peaks during specific times during growth (42, 278). It has been demonstrated that induction of competence occurs in H. pylori in response to DNA damage (139). Upon DNA damage, H. pylori upregulates the expression of 41 genes, many of which are involved in DNA uptake and recombination. This upregulation is dependent on both RecA and the ComB system. Interestingly, one of the genes that is upregulated encodes a lysozyme homolog that may target and lyse neighboring cells to increase the amount of free DNA available for uptake. This free DNA would then be available for the remaining viable bacteria for DNA repair via homologous recombination. Furthermore, it is proposed that RecA may act as a sensor, recognizing the increased DNA uptake and further propagating the DNA damage response signal to create a positive-feedback loop (139). The precise mechanism by which RecA is able to sense these changes and propagate the response signal requires further study. Clearly, these findings highlight a unique DNA damage response that is different from the prototypical DNA damage responses employed by other bacteria. Similar to the case for C. jejuni, little is known about the differences in transformation of plasmid and nonplasmid DNAs. Further studies are necessary to pinpoint the precise mechanistic differences in these two processes.

**Homologous Recombination**

The process of homologous recombination is essential to all organisms. Genetic exchange between two homologous DNA molecules helps to maintain chromosomal integrity and generate genetic diversity (345). In E. coli, there are at least 25 factors involved in homologous recombination. These factors include DNA helicases and topoisomerases, DNA binding proteins, DNases, ATPases, and nucleotide binding proteins (345). Furthermore, there are multiple recombination pathways that facilitate DNA recombination and repair, some of which share common components. The components and biochemical mechanisms of these various pathways have been reviewed previously (135, 345, 535, 549); thus, we focus our discussion on the major components involved in E. coli recombination as well as on how these components differ from those employed by C. jejuni and H. pylori.

The **RecBCD recombination pathway of E. coli**. The RecBCD pathway is responsible for the majority of genetic recombination events that occur in E. coli and consists of four main steps (Fig. 5): (i) initiation, (ii) pairing and exchange of homologous DNA, (iii) heteroduplex (Holliday junction) formation and branch migration, and (iv) resolution of the DNA heteroduplex. Like many recombination pathways, the RecBCD pathway is dependent on the multifaceted RecA protein. In addition to RecA, RecBCD-mediated recombination is facilitated by the RecBCD enzyme complex (also known as exonuclease V [211]), the single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB), DNA polymerase I, DNA gyrase, DNA ligase, and the Holliday junction processing enzymes RuvA, RuvB, RuvC, and/or RecG (reviewed in references 135, 345, and 535).

Initiation of homologous recombination begins when the donor dsDNA is processed by the dual helicase/nuclease function of the RecBCD enzyme complex. While RecC does not appear to have any conserved catalytic or enzymatic domains, RecB and RecD contain DNA helicase domains and RecB contains an additional nuclease domain (24, 135, 219). dsDNA processing by the RecBCD complex generates a 3′-ssDNA substrate that is bound by SSB and RecA (593).

RecA is widely conserved across bacterial species and mediates exchange of homologous DNA strands (504). Once bound to the ssDNA substrate, RecA polymerizes along the 3′ end of the molecule, forming a presynaptic complex (Fig. 5). This complex then scans the recipient chromosome for a region of homology. Once a region suitable for recombination is found, RecA initiates a DNA strand exchange reaction that forms a recombination intermediate or joint molecule (Fig. 5) (83, 335, 345, 346, 505, 638, 639). Formation of the joint molecule results in the development of a mobile DNA heteroduplex known as a Holliday junction (146, 264, 345). Once formed, the Holliday junction extends laterally along the recipient DNA molecule during branch migration, which is enhanced by the RuvAB protein complex (280, 435, 436, 477, 594, 605).

RuvA not only binds the Holliday junction but also assists in DNA strand separation and/or selective binding to the junction
The lateral migration of the Holliday junction along the recipient DNA molecule is facilitated by the helicase activity of RuvB in complex with RuvA (Fig. 5) (280, 435, 436, 477). Finally, the migrating Holliday junction is resolved by the endonuclease activity of RuvC, which associates with the RuvAB complex (148, 281, 357, 434). Nucleolytic cleavage and subsequent resolution of the Holliday junction result in the formation of either patched or spliced DNA molecules (345, 535) (Fig. 5).

Additional recombination factors in *E. coli*. In addition to the RecBCD pathway, *E. coli* produces several other factors involved in homologous recombination, including RecF, RecO, and RecR, which are part of the RecF pathway. This pathway is involved mainly in DNA damage and postreplication recombinational repair but also in the induction of the SOS response (61, 267, 412, 508, 628). Finally, the migrating Holliday junction is resolved by the endonuclease activity of RuvC, which associates with the RuvAB complex (148, 281, 357, 434). Nucleolytic cleavage and subsequent resolution of the Holliday junction result in the formation of either patched or spliced DNA molecules (345, 535) (Fig. 5).

**FIG. 5.** dsDNA processing in homologous recombination. A simplified model of homologous recombination is shown. Component names in black are common to *E. coli*, *H. pylori*, and *C. jejuni*, those in red are found only in *H. pylori* and *C. jejuni*, and those in blue are found only in *E. coli*. (A) dsDNA is recognized and processed by either the RecBCD (in *E. coli*) or AddAB (in *H. pylori* and *C. jejuni*) complex. As the two strands become separated, the 5’ end is degraded preferentially, allowing RecA and SSB to bind to the exposed 3’ tail, forming the presynaptic complex. RecJ may also be involved in the conversion of dsDNA to a ssDNA substrate for RecA processing. (B) RecA then mediates the strand invasion reaction, which forms the joint molecule that scans the recipient DNA until a region of sufficient homology is found. (C) Holliday junction formation and branch migration are catalyzed by RuvAB. In *E. coli*, RecG also binds the Holliday junction structure and facilitates branch migration; however, *H. pylori* RecG (and possibly that of *C. jejuni*) binds the junction and inhibits branch migration and resolution. (D) The migrating DNA heteroduplex is recognized by the RuvC resolvase and is resolved to form either spliced or patched recombinant DNA molecules.

(496, 535). The lateral migration of the Holliday junction along the recipient DNA molecule is facilitated by the helicase activity of RuvB in complex with RuvA (Fig. 5) (280, 435, 436, 477). Finally, the migrating Holliday junction is resolved by the endonuclease activity of RuvC, which associates with the RuvAB complex (148, 281, 357, 434). Nucleolytic cleavage and subsequent resolution of the Holliday junction result in the formation of either patched or spliced DNA molecules (345, 535) (Fig. 5).

**Additional recombination factors in *E. coli***. In addition to the RecBCD pathway, *E. coli* produces several other factors involved in homologous recombination, including RecF, RecO, and RecR, which are part of the RecF pathway. This pathway is involved mainly in DNA damage and postreplication recombinational repair but also in the induction of the SOS response (61, 267, 412, 508, 628). Similar to the RecBCD pathway, RecFOR-mediated recombination is RecA dependent (392, 431). The RecFOR complex acts during the initiation stage of recombination by enhancing RecA loading onto SSB-containing ssDNA to accelerate DNA strand exchange independent of the RecBCD enzyme (345, 431). Two additional components in the *E. coli* RecF pathway are RecJ and RecN. RecJ contains 5’-ssDNA-specific exonuclease activity and is likely involved in processing dsDNA into a ssDNA substrate that is suitable for RecA activity (384). RecN contains a nucleoside triphosphate (NTP)-binding domain and is believed to stabilize 3’-ssDNA substrates used in DNA strand exchange (345, 375, 507). Finally, another component of the *E. coli* homologous recombination system is RecG, an ATP-dependent DNA helicase whose activity closely resembles that of RuvAB (376). Also like RuvAB, RecG specifically binds to Holliday junction structures and promotes branch migration (376, 640). Similar to that of the RuvAB proteins, the activity of RecG is not specific to one recombinational pathway and is involved in multiple kinds of DNA recombination and repair (345).

**Homologous recombination in *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori***. Compared to the well-studied recombination mechanisms of *E. coli* and *H. pylori*, there is currently a substantial gap in knowledge of homologous recombination in *C. jejuni*. Due to this void, the components and mechanisms of homologous recombination discussed here focus on what is known about the *H. pylori* system, with references to the known components of the *C. jejuni* recombination system provided when possible.

Despite differences in enzymatic components, the homologous recombination pathway in *H. pylori* consists of the same basic steps as that in *E. coli*. However, unlike the case in *E. coli*, presynaptic complex formation on dsDNA breaks in *H. pylori* is catalyzed primarily by the AddAB protein complex (Fig. 5) (15). Although the AddAB complex is structurally different
from the RecBCD complex, both enzymes perform similar functions. Like the RecBCD complex in E. coli, AddAB exhibits ATP-dependent helicase and nuclease activities. Moreover, AddA is analogous to RecB, having both helicase and nuclease functions (15). However, unlike RecBCD, AddAB has dual-nuclease activity rather than dual-helicase activity. The second nuclease domain in AddAB is found within AddB (15). Both addA and addB mutants are deficient in DNA repair and homologous recombination and display a reduced capacity for colonization in a murine model of infection (15, 16). C. jejuni also appears to carry the AddAB enzyme complex (15). The high degree of homology of AddA and AddB of C. jejuni suggests that these proteins function in a similar manner to that of AddAB in H. pylori. However, the enzymatic activity of the putative C. jejuni AddAB complex has not been demonstrated.

Recent studies of H. pylori have implicated another enzyme complex in the processing of DNA during repair and RecA-mediated recombination initiation. A distant RecO ortholog was identified using bioinformatic analysis of the H. pylori genome (399). Although H. pylori RecO has relatively little homology to most other RecO orthologs, the overall structure of the protein suggests a conserved function. Mutational analysis in H. pylori indicates that RecO and RecR function together during intrachromosomal recombination (399). In addition, inactivation of either gene confers an increased sensitivity to DNA damage, suggesting that RecOR also participates in recombinational repair. Interestingly, disruption of the RecOR pathway does not appear to impair recombination after natural transformation, which suggests that RecOR-mediated recombination initiation may be subject to substrate specificity and may function primarily in types of DNA repair other than that of non-dsDNA breaks (399). This hypothesis is supported by subsequent findings indicating that the RecOR and AddAB recombination initiation pathways have little overlap in function and that disruption of the RecOR pathway has a greater impact than inactivation of AddAB on survival after UV irradiation (398). At this time, it is not clear whether C. jejuni also employs the RecOR pathway in a similar manner; however, a putative recO gene has been annotated in at least one C. jejuni genome (J. J. Gilbreath and D. S. Merrell, unpublished observation).

Once a DNA substrate has been processed by one of the presynaptic initiation enzyme complexes, RecA binds to the exposed 3’-ssDNA and forms the presynaptic nucleoprotein filament necessary to catalyze the homologous DNA strand exchange reaction. In H. pylori and C. jejuni, this process is likely quite similar to strand invasion in E. coli, although there are some differences in the H. pylori RecA protein itself. Overall, the H. pylori RecA sequence is very similar to those of other bacterial RecA proteins and shares 75% identity with C. jejuni RecA (524, 598). Like that of E. coli, RecA proteins in both H. pylori and C. jejuni are required for homologous recombination and DNA repair, and RecA activity may play a role in pH resistance in H. pylori (193, 234, 524, 598). H. pylori recA mutants are deficient in colonization in a murine model, which highlights the importance of H. pylori RecA in vivo (15). In contrast, C. jejuni recA mutants are able to colonize rabbits as well as the wild-type strain (234). One unique feature of H. pylori RecA is the requirement for posttranslational modification for full activity (181). Purified RecA from H. pylori displays altered electrophoretic mobility compared to the same protein purified from E. coli (524). Subsequent analysis of H. pylori RecA identified a putative N-linked glycosylation motif that is also conserved in C. jejuni RecA (181). Although the requirement for posttranslational modification has not been evaluated for C. jejuni, site-specific mutagenesis of this motif abrogates RecA modification in H. pylori, despite the fact that no N-linked protein glycosylation system has been found in H. pylori. The modification of H. pylori RecA is dependent on at least two other genes, galE and pmi (181). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that RecA is modified by glycosylation, since galE and pmi both encode proteins involved in lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthesis and perhaps in the generation of glycosylation substrates (181).

Another component involved in homologous recombination in H. pylori is RecN, which plays a role in both DNA repair and recombination: a recN mutant is more sensitive to DNA-damaging agents and oxidative stress and displays a 50-fold defect in homologous recombination (625). Given these sensitivities, it is perhaps not surprising that H. pylori recN mutants are unable to colonize as well as the wild-type strain in a mouse model of infection (625). While these results indicate that RecN plays an important role in H. pylori biology, the specifics of RecN function are not clear. One possibility is that RecN interacts with dsDNA breaks and recruits RecA to enhance the recombination process (625). This hypothesis is not unlike the proposed role of RecN in E. coli and is supported by the presence of a putative ATP/GTP binding site within a conserved SMC (structural maintenance of chromosome) domain (625). Genome sequence analysis of several C. jejuni strains indicated that RecN or a RecN homolog is present in the majority of strains (Gilbreath and Merrell, unpublished observations). Although the function of RecN in C. jejuni has not been determined, the overall similarity in recombination systems in C. jejuni and H. pylori may suggest that this protein behaves similarly to H. pylori RecN.

Similar to E. coli, both H. pylori and C. jejuni encode RecJ and RecR homologs (180; Gilbreath and Merrell, unpublished observations). While the genes for these proteins are annotated in some C. jejuni genomic sequences, the role that these putative homologs play in homologous recombination in H. pylori and C. jejuni will require future study. H. pylori also contains a RecG homolog, although the function of this enzyme is quite different from that in E. coli. As described above, E. coli RecG facilitates migration of Holliday junctions and can convert stalled replication forks into Holliday junctions, thus enhancing the recombination process (136, 345, 535, 541). In contrast, while still facilitating branch migration, H. pylori RecG actually inhibits recombination and competes with RusB for binding to Holliday junction structures (Fig. 5) (308). Again, unlike the RecG pathway in E. coli, H. pylori Holliday junctions that are bound by RecG are not resolved. This phenomenon is likely due to the absence of the resolvase enzyme, RusA, that is usually associated with the RecG recombination pathway (308). Interestingly, H. pylori RecG is able to complement an E. coli recG mutant to the same extent as E. coli RecG (310). Thus, the species-specific antirepair function of the H. pylori RecG helicase may provide a means to maintain genetic diversity within a population of cells while still preserving crit-
ical genomic elements (308). A RecG homolog is also found in C. jejuni, but whether or not this protein also exhibits antirepair activity has not been determined. The fact that C. jejuni lacks the RecG pathway resolvase (RusA) highlights the possibility that the RecG helicase may have a similar function to that of H. pylori RecG.

The genomes of H. pylori and C. jejuni also encode another recombination-limiting factor, MutS2. As a whole, the MutS family of proteins is often involved in the recognition and repair of mismatched DNA base pairs (220, 442). However, in H. pylori, MutS2 is not involved in mismatch repair and actually inhibits intergenic recombination (309, 487). This suppression of homologous recombination may provide H. pylori with another means to control genetic diversity or to aid in the recognition of illegitimate recombination events (307, 487). The function of MutS2 in C. jejuni recombination or mismatch repair remains to be determined.

The final steps of homologous recombination in H. pylori and C. jejuni are thought to be catalyzed much like they are in E. coli: ruvA, ruvB, and ruvC are found in both H. pylori and C. jejuni (308; Gilbreath and Merrell, unpublished observations). The RuvABC pathway has been shown to be the major recombination pathway in H. pylori (308). Although few detailed analyses of RuvABC function have been reported for either of these species, the necessity of RuvB for recombination and repair has been demonstrated for H. pylori (308). These data, along with the highly conserved nature of the RuvABC pathway in bacteria, suggest that this pathway is the primary way that H. pylori (and likely C. jejuni) promotes branch migration and subsequent resolution of Holliday junctions during homologous recombination (Fig. 5).

**Summary**

The mechanisms of natural transformation and homologous recombination employed by C. jejuni and H. pylori are quite distinct from those of commonly studied Gram-negative bacteria. Indeed, H. pylori and C. jejuni encode DNA uptake and processing systems that are mostly unique to these epsilonproteobacteria and that contain components more akin to those of Gram-positive species such as B. subtilis than to those of model Gram-negative species. In addition, the mechanism of DNA uptake and processing used by H. pylori also closely resembles that of B. subtilis (573). Consistent with this line of comparison, the use of the AddAB enzyme complex rather than the RecBCD system in recombination substrate processing more closely resembles the mechanisms used by Gram-positive bacteria than the prototypical E. coli pathways. Taken together, these data further highlight the ways in which C. jejuni and H. pylori have evolved unique processes to thrive in their respective niches.

**IRON HOMEOSTASIS AND IRON-RESPONSIVE GENE REGULATION**

Iron is one of the most abundant elements on the planet and is a basic requirement for life. In bacteria, iron plays a critical role in processes such as metabolism, electron transport, oxidative stress responses, and regulation of gene expression (65, 164, 245, 291, 400, 408). Although iron is essential for most bacteria, it also catalyzes free radical formation through Fenton chemistry (Fe^{2+} + H_{2}O_{2} → Fe^{3+} + OH + OH^{-}), which results in cellular damage. As such, homeostasis between iron acquisition and storage is crucial for bacterial survival. The ability to achieve the proper balance of iron homeostasis is complicated by the environment in which bacteria live. For instance, in anaerobic or acidic environments, iron is found in its soluble ferrous form (Fe^{2+}) and can be acquired readily by most microbes that thrive in these situations. However, iron acquisition by aerobic microorganisms is more challenging: in the presence of oxygen, iron is quickly oxidized to an insoluble, biologically unavailable ferric form (Fe^{3+}). As such, bacteria have evolved many strategies to obtain and sequester ferric iron. Common strategies include solubilization of free iron by metal-chelating siderophores (115, 445, 499, 575) and confinement of host iron-bound molecules such as heme, transferrin, hemoglobin, and lactoferrin (67, 108, 225, 329, 623).

Expression of many iron uptake and storage systems is iron dependent. A key component in the regulation of these systems is the ferric uptake regulator (Fur), which typically behaves as a transcriptional repressor by binding specific DNA sequences found within cognate promoters (34, 164, 242, 244, 245). Fur binding obstructs association of RNAP within these promoters, thus preventing transcription. The nature and number of iron acquisition systems that bacteria produce usually reflect the type of environment in which the bacteria live. The goal of this section is to compare and contrast iron acquisition, storage, and iron-responsive regulatory systems found within the prototypical bacterium E. coli to those found within C. jejuni and H. pylori.

**Iron Uptake and Transport**

**Ferrous iron uptake and transport.** Under anaerobic conditions, iron is found in the more physiologically accessible ferrous form (Fe^{2+}), which can be acquired by bacteria through the utilization of dedicated uptake systems (Table 1). For example, E. coli acquires ferrous iron through the Feo-ABC uptake system (222, 306). FeoB is a cytoplasmic membrane protein with an N-terminal GTP binding/hydrolysis domain that is required for ferrous iron transport (396). The specific role of FeoA in iron transport remains unclear, although both FeoA and FeoB are required for full function (222). FeoC is a putative transcriptional regulator and is also required for full FeoB function (222). This system has been shown to be important for growth in vivo, as E. coli feoB mutants are defective in host colonization (577).

In H. pylori, FeoB is the major ferrous iron transport protein and is required for colonization in a mouse model of infection (614). Unlike the ferrous iron transport system in E. coli, the genome of H. pylori does not contain feoA or feoC homologs (599). As seen with E. coli FeoB, the N-terminal region of H. pylori FeoB contains two regions that show similarity to nucleotide-binding domains, thus suggesting possible ATPase activity (614). However, the mechanism of ferrous iron transport through FeoB in H. pylori remains unclear.

Similar to H. pylori, C. jejuni strains appear to encode FeoB but not FeoC homologs (498). However, many feoB alleles contain significant mutations that are predicted to eliminate FeoB function (185, 263). Furthermore, targeted mutation of
One mechanism for acquiring ferric iron is through the secretion of a periplasmic siderophore receptor, which makes iron acquisition in bacteria more challenging. Under oxygen-rich conditions, ferrous iron (Fe$^{2+}$) is oxidized to the highly insoluble ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) form, which makes iron acquisition in bacteria more challenging. One mechanism for acquiring ferric iron is through the secretion of siderophores that bind the metal; the ferri-siderophores are subsequently taken up by an energy-dependent, receptor-mediated mechanism. Examples of siderophores include enterochelin, heme, and lactoferrin, with enterochelin perhaps being the best characterized of the siderophores (132, 199, 359, 622). Siderophore biosynthesis and processing have been the subject of many investigations and comprehensive overviews (445, 499, 500, 622).

In addition to encoding their own iron acquisition systems, some bacteria also possess the ability to scavenge siderophores produced by other microbes (described below). Whether synthesized endogenously or scavenged from other bacterial species, ferri-siderophore complexes are too large to diffuse freely across the bacterial outer membrane. Instead, they require specific outer membrane protein receptors to mediate uptake and internalization. Not including the ferric citrate outer membrane transport protein (discussed below), E. coli produces at least five of these iron transport proteins (Table 1): Cir, Fiu, and FepA, for ferri-enterochelin transport (386, 449); FhuA, for transport of ferri-hydroxamates (172, 303, 343); and FhuE, for ferri-rhodotorulic acid transport (519). Once the iron-bound ligand has been translocated into the periplasm, it is escorted to its cognate cytoplasmic membrane transporter protein by a periplasmic binding protein (PBP). In E. coli, the PBPs FepB, FecB, and FhuD are responsible for trafficking of the ferri-siderophore ligands from FepA, FecA, and FhuA/ FhuE, respectively (391, 493, 565, 566). Once bound to its cognate ligand, the PBP shuttles the ferri-siderophore to its respective ABC transporter, which hydrolyzes ATP to undergo a conformational change for transport of the ferri-siderophore into the cytoplasm.

Unlike E. coli, C. jejuni does not appear to produce endogenous siderophores. An early study by Field et al. reported siderophore production in C. jejuni (175), but subsequent genomic sequence analyses revealed that most C. jejuni strains do not possess identifiable siderophore biosynthesis genes (185, 263, 473). Similar to E. coli, C. jejuni possesses dedicated uptake systems for a variety of exogenously produced ferri-siderophores, including those for ferri-enterochelin (37, 233, 502), enterochelin (37, 195), and ferri-rhodotorulic acid (574) (Table 1). Like the Fep system of E. coli, the ferri-enterochelin uptake system in C. jejuni consists of an outer membrane receptor protein (CfrA), a periplasmic binding protein (CfrB), and the CfrCD transporter complex (502). The membrane-spanning domains are composed of CfrB and CfrC, while CfrD serves as the ATPase. The overall importance of this system in C. jejuni is suggested by the fact that the outer membrane receptor protein, CfrA, is conserved among a large subset of C. jejuni isolates and is essential for ferri-enterochelin uptake as well as for in vivo fitness in a chick model of colonization (467). Structural data suggest that the lack of conservation of charged and aromatic residues seen in CfrA could affect substrate binding affinity, thus providing C. jejuni with a broader range of siderophore binding than that provided by FepA of E. coli (81). Given the biological implications of utilizing multiple exogenous iron sources and the inability of C. jejuni to synthesize siderophores endogenously, the promiscuity of CfrA for multiple siderophores is an attractive hypothesis. A second ferri-enterochelin receptor was recently identified (655). This receptor, designated CfrB, shares ~34% similarity with CfrA, and expression of cfrB is regulated by CfrB. CfrB is highly conserved among C. coli primary isolates as well as several isolates of C. jejuni. Mutation of cfrB greatly reduces colonization in a chick model of infection, indicating that this iron uptake receptor is important in vivo (655).

Other components of the ferri-enterochelin iron uptake system appear to be more conserved. For instance, the subunit organization of the C. jejuni ferri-enterochelin uptake (Ceu) system is analogous to that in E. coli (Fep). The Ceu permease consists of two distinct polypeptides, CeuB and CeuC, and a single protein, CeuD, which hydrolyzes ATP (502). CeuE is proposed to be the PBP of this ferri-enterochelin uptake system. Unlike mutation of cfrA, mutation of ceuE does not abrogate growth when bacteria are supplemented with ferri-enterochelin, suggesting that other ABC transporters in C. jejuni may share some degree of functional redundancy in iron uptake (502).

Another undercharacterized iron uptake system in C. jejuni is encoded by the cji1658 to cji1663 genes (420). This locus encodes the periplasmic P19 protein (Cji1659), the putative membrane protein Cji1658 (also called CfrI), and the putative ABC transporter proteins Cji1661 to -1663 (80, 283, 420, 473).

### Table 1. Iron sources and relevant uptake systems in E. coli, C. jejuni, and H. pylori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism and iron source</th>
<th>Uptake system</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferri-enterochelin</td>
<td>Fep, Cir, Fiu</td>
<td>386, 449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferri-hydroxamates$^a$</td>
<td>FhuA</td>
<td>172, 303, 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodotorulic acid</td>
<td>FhuE</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heme/hemoglobin</td>
<td>ChuA, Hbp</td>
<td>466, 603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous iron</td>
<td>Fco</td>
<td>243, 306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric citrate</td>
<td>Fec</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. jejuni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferri-enterochelin</td>
<td>CfrA, CfrB, Ceu</td>
<td>37, 233, 467, 502, 655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrichrome</td>
<td>ChuA</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodotorulic acid</td>
<td>P19, Cj1588-Cj1663</td>
<td>283, 574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heme</td>
<td>Chu</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin</td>
<td>Cj0173c-Cj0178 (Cflp/Ctu)</td>
<td>419, 611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>Cj0173c-Cj0178 (Cflp/Ctu)</td>
<td>419, 611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovotransferrin</td>
<td>Cj0173c-Cj0178 (Cflp/Ctu)</td>
<td>419, 611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous iron</td>
<td>Fco</td>
<td>439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. pylori</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous iron</td>
<td>Fco</td>
<td>599, 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>FrpB2</td>
<td>212, 533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferrin</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactoferrin</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>274, 533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heme</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>134, 649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric citrate</td>
<td>Fec$^b$</td>
<td>117, 599, 614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expression of p19 is iron regulated, and the protein binds both ferric iron and copper (86); however, the role of P19 in copper uptake/homeostasis is not fully understood. Mutational analysis of these genes further suggests that this locus encodes a functional iron uptake system, as neither p19 nor cj1658c/frl1 mutants are able to utilize ferri-hodotoric acid and the loss of P19 hinders growth under iron-limited conditions (86, 574). Furthermore, p19 and cj1658 genes homologues are involved in iron uptake in Yersinia pestis (77). Taken together, these data support the hypothesis that the p19 and cj1658 genes encode proteins involved in iron uptake. In addition, C. jejuni may encode proteins homologous to the Fhu ferrichrome uptake system, but functional demonstration of this uptake system requires further experimentation (195).

The diverse iron uptake systems found in Helicobacter spp. reflect the respective site of colonization for each species. Nongastric Helicobacter spp., such as Helicobacter cinaedi, Helicobacter fennelliae, Helicobacter bilis, and Helicobacter hepaticus, inhabit nutritionally competitive niches. Thus, similar to E. coli and C. jejuni, this subset of Helicobacter spp. produce siderophores and can utilize iron from human and bovine transferrin and lactoferrin, as well as heme, hemoglobin, and ferric citrate (134). In contrast, since they are the only characterized bacterial residents within the stomach, the gastric Helicobacter spp., i.e., H. pylori, Helicobacter felis, Helicobacter acinonyx, and Helicobacter mustelae, face little competition for nutrients from other bacterial species and are not forced to compete with other microbes for ferri-siderophores. Instead, gastric Helicobacter spp. have evolved the ability to acquire iron from their only major competitor, the mammalian host (Table 1).

Iron acquisition from host sources such as heme, hemoglobin, lactoferrin, and transferrin. In addition to competing with other microbial species for iron acquisition, commensal and pathogenic bacteria are in fierce competition with their hosts. In mammalian hosts, extracellular iron is stored temporarily in high-affinity chelating molecules such as heme, transferrin, and lactoferrin. Other than iron bound to hemoglobin (within erythrocytes), the primary mammalian iron carrier protein is transferrin (for a review on mammalian iron homeostasis, see reference 20). At mucosal surfaces, however, lactoferrin is the major iron-chelating molecule. During infection, these iron-containing molecules represent a readily available iron source for bacteria.

To facilitate growth in a host, some pathogenic E. coli strains benefit from the ability to acquire iron from one of the most common iron sources within the host, hemoglobin. Iron acquisition from hemoglobin is mediated by the secretion from E. coli of a hemoglobin protease, Hhp (466). Hhp degrades hemoglobin, binds the iron-containing heme molecule, and is important for full virulence. However, in contrast to C. jejuni and H. pylori, pathogenic strains of E. coli do not utilize iron from lactoferrin or transferrin.

Iron acquisition is important for C. jejuni in the context of both human and avian hosts. Differences in iron sources within these hosts mean that C. jejuni must be able to utilize a variety of host iron-containing molecules. Within the human host, iron is available in the form of heme/hemoglobin (described above), as well as transferrin and lactoferrin. Within the avian host, iron is found in serum and egg white, in an ovo transferrin-bound form. Of the possible iron sources available, C. jejuni can utilize the following: hemin and hemin-hemopexin complexes, hemoglobin and hemoglobin-haptoglobin complexes, and transferrin proteins (419, 486). Two heme uptake proteins (encoded by cj0177 and cj0178) have been shown to bind heme in vitro (87), but the roles of these proteins in heme uptake have not been determined conclusively. A hemin uptake system is produced by many C. jejuni strains (420). In this system, ChuA is the outer membrane protein receptor, ChuD is a putative PBP, and ChuB and ChuC are predicted to be the membrane-spanning protein and the ATPase component of the ABC transporter, respectively (473). Furthermore, some strains contain chuZ, which encodes a heme oxygenase (503). The chu system is present in all currently sequenced C. jejuni strains, and chuZ appears to be highly conserved among clinical isolates (420, 503). As with other C. jejuni iron uptake systems, the ChuA outer membrane receptor is required for heme utilization, but the PBP and ABC transporter components are not essential (503). This finding further suggests that a functional redundancy in iron transport exists in C. jejuni, similar to earlier reports on E. coli O157:H7 (603).

Recent studies have shown that C. jejuni utilizes human transferrin, lactoferrin, and avian ovo transferrin in a receptor-specific, contact-dependent manner (419). The genes encoding this system are grouped into two transcriptional units. The ABC transporter, ATPase, and putative PBP are encoded by cfbpA, cfbpB, and cfbpA, respectively. These genes are grouped in an operon upstream of cftuA, which encodes the outer membrane receptor (265). Previous studies have shown that CtuA is required for colonization in the chick model of infection (467). CtuA appears to be a multispecific receptor that is able to transport ferri-transferrin, ferri-lactoferrin, and ferri-ovo transferrin, which is a unique function among iron uptake systems (419). Mutation of cftuA results in decreases in growth and the ability to utilize human ferri lactoferrin; however, uptake of this molecule is not abrogated completely (419). Thus, C. jejuni may possess alternative ferri-lactoferrin uptake systems.

During colonization of the gastric mucosa, Helicobacter spp. have the opportunity to scavenge host iron-containing molecules. Among the gastric Helicobacter spp., H. pylori appears unique in its ability to utilize host lactoferrin (274). In addition, a recent study using defined minimal media showed that H. pylori is also able to utilize both human and bovine forms of lactoferrin and transferrin (533). Interestingly, H. pylori preferentially binds the apo forms of lactoferrin and transferrin, which may suggest that preferential binding to the apo forms limits H. pylori pathogenicity to allow for a persistent infection without excessive damage to the host (533).

The high turnover rate of epithelial cells from mucosal surfaces results in bioavailability of iron in the form of heme. As such, H. pylori has evolved mechanisms to use iron bound to heme while inhabiting the gastric mucosa. Worst et al. previously described several iron-repressible outer membrane proteins that specifically bind to heme, although these proteins were not subsequently identified or characterized further (649). However, the importance of heme utilization is further substantiated by the ability of most Helicobacter spp. to utilize heme for growth and by the identification of a heme oxygenase homolog, HugZ, in H. pylori (134, 236).

Given that common hallmarks of chronic H. pylori infection...
include gastritis and peptic ulcers and that ulceration may lead to bleeding, hemoglobin may provide the bacterium with yet another iron source. Indeed, many Helicobacter spp. are capable of utilizing hemoglobin. For H. pylori, the hemoglobin receptor was identified as FrpB2 and specific hemoglobin utilization was clearly confirmed using a chemically defined growth medium (212, 533). Analysis of the predicted FrpB2 structure revealed an overall similarity to the hemoglobin-binding protein, ChuA, of E. coli O157:H7 strains (212). Whether they scavenge iron from ferri-siderophores produced by other bacterial species or from iron-bound host proteins, the iron uptake systems of C. jejuni and H. pylori suggest that these pathogens have evolved iron acquisition strategies that are well suited to life in their respective niches.

**Iron uptake from host sources via ferric citrate (Fec) uptake systems.** The ferric citrate uptake system is another well-studied bacterial iron uptake mechanism. In E. coli, this system includes five transport proteins (FecABCD), the FecR signal transducer, and the FecI σ factor (69, 70, 324, 566). Under conditions of low iron, expression of this uptake system is induced by ferric citrate, which is bound by the outer membrane receptor FecA and subsequently internalized into the periplasm (493, 617). FecA not only mediates the uptake of ferric citrate but also transmits a signal through the cytoplasmic membrane protein FecR, which subsequently activates the FecI σ factor (69, 157, 158, 247, 324). FecI then associates with RNAP and activates transcription of the fecABCD operon (23, 395). FecB serves as the PBP that shuttles ferric citrate to the cytoplasmic ABC transporter, which is composed of FecCDE (69, 566).

A *bona fide* ferric citrate uptake system has not been identified in C. jejuni; however, H. pylori does encode such a system (599, 614). The H. pylori genome carries three putative fecA homologues (fecA1, fecA2, and fecA3) but does not appear to carry fecI and fecR. Transcriptional analysis of the H. pylori fecA genes indicates that expression of these genes is partially growth phase dependent and is mediated by the metal-binding regulatory protein Fur or NikR (117). The fecA1 gene is expressed throughout the growth cycle, whereas fecA2 and fecA3 are expressed preferentially during the late and early exponential phases, respectively (117). Furthermore, expression of both fecA1 and fecA2 is regulated by iron and Fur, whereas fecA3 expression is Fur independent and not iron responsive. Instead, expression from the fecA3 promoter is nickel responsive and is regulated by the nickel-responsive regulatory protein NikR (117). Given the regulatory interplay among Fur and NikR regulons, it is possible that Fur-dependent derepression is an indirect effect resulting from changes in NikR expression. Although it is clear that expression of these genes is controlled by metal, the specific function of the fecA genes in H. pylori remains to be elucidated.

**Iron Storage**

Depending on the environmental conditions, bacteria may be exposed to toxic amounts of heavy metals such as zinc, cobalt, copper, nickel, or iron. In bacteria, heavy metals are exported through transporters of the cation diffusion facilitator (CDF) and resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND) families (448). Given the abundance and requisite nature of iron, one could imagine that iron efflux systems would be advantageous in bacterial life. Nevertheless, evidence for iron export systems in bacteria remains scarce. One such system is the FieF (previously named YiiP) iron/zinc efflux system found in E. coli (223). Similar iron export systems have not been described for either C. jejuni or H. pylori.

In the absence of dedicated export systems, bacteria maintain intracellular iron stores by using molecules known as ferritins and bacterioferritins (22). E. coli possesses both ferritins (FtnA and FtnB) and a bacterioferritin (Bfr) (21). Both types of proteins form spherical structures composed of 24 subunits and a hollow iron-containing core region. FtnA is the major iron storage protein of E. coli, responsible for storage of more than half of the total cellular iron (2). Bacterioferritins contain 12 heme molecules per subunit (22), a feature not associated with ferritins. Bacterioferritins typically store less iron than ferritins and, like the closely related Dps proteins, may play a role in oxidative stress responses (2).

A single ferritin-like iron storage protein has been described for C. jejuni (619). The Campylobacter ferritin, Cft, displays a high degree of similarity to the H. pylori ferritin, Pfr, and a lesser degree of similarity to the ferritin found in E. coli. Similar to other bacterial ferritins, Cft binds iron and does not contain heme, and expression of cft is regulated by iron (467). Mutation of cft in C. jejuni reduces growth under iron-limiting conditions and increases susceptibility to oxidative damage, suggesting that Cft plays a role in iron storage and the oxidative stress response (618). In addition to Cft, C. jejuni also produces a single putative bacterioferritin (Dps) that is homologous to the neutrophil-activating protein (NapA) of H. pylori (611). Dps binds ~40 iron atoms per monomer and protects against hydrogen peroxide stress (277). Despite the presence of a Fur binding site upstream of dps, studies have shown that it is expressed constitutively under both excess iron and iron-depleted conditions (277). Thus, while the major role of Dps in Campylobacter spp. is likely to provide protection from oxidative stress, it may also function in iron storage. Recent studies have also implicated C. jejuni Dps in modulation of the host immune system in GBS, although this effect may be secondary to the primary role(s) of Dps in C. jejuni physiology (484, 485). A third putative iron-binding protein, Cj0241c, is well conserved in currently sequenced C. jejuni strains (611; Gilbreath and Merrell, unpublished observations). Bioinformatic evidence suggests that this protein is a member of the bacterioheminrythrin family and contains conserved oxygen and metal binding sites, as well as an oligomerization interface that suggests a multimeric higher-order structure capable of binding iron and possibly oxygen.

In H. pylori, Pfr serves as the major iron storage molecule. Like the ferritins of other bacteria, Pfr is a nonheme iron storage protein whose production is regulated in response to iron (54). The importance of Pfr is illustrated by the fact that this iron storage protein is necessary for survival during iron starvation and is required for efficient colonization in a gerbil model of infection (620). pfr expression is regulated in a manner unique to H. pylori (described further below), in which transcription is repressed by apo-Fur (54). Like C. jejuni, H. pylori also contains a bacterioferritin-like protein of the Dps family, NapA, which binds iron and DNA (but only in the iron-bound form) (601, 624). NapA also rapidly sequesters free
iron, which suggests a role for NapA in protection of DNA from iron-catalyzed free radical damage rather than simple iron storage (624). Unlike Dps proteins of *E. coli* and *C. jejuni*, NapA is a major immunogen in vivo and activates the formation of reactive oxygen species in human mononuclear leukocytes and neutrophils (166, 518, 624). Similar to *C. jejuni* Dps, the importance of NapA in *H. pylori* is highlighted by the fact that napA mutants are deficient in colonization in a murine model of infection (624). The fact that all *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* strains encode iron storage and additional iron-scavenging proteins highlights the importance of maintaining proper intracellular iron levels in these bacteria.

**Fur Regulation and Fur-Regulated Genes**

Maintaining the balance between sufficient iron and levels that lead to iron toxicity is crucial, and therefore this balance must be tightly regulated. In bacteria, the primary regulatory checkpoint in iron homeostasis is iron uptake, and expression of genes encoding factors involved in this process is regulated at the transcriptional level. The major transcription factor responsible for this regulation is Fur, which functions through the utilization of ferrous iron as a cofactor (34, 245). Identified in *E. coli* over 25 years ago (245), Fur is a histidine-rich, ~17-kDa homodimeric metalloprotein that contains two distinct functional domains: an N-terminal domain responsible for DNA binding and a C-terminal domain that mediates oligomerization of the protein (578). Fur functions by iron-dependent binding to promoter regions of iron-regulated genes at a conserved binding sequence known as the Fur box (132, 163, 164). These DNA sequences typically overlap the −10 and −35 regions of Fur-regulated promoters so that Fur binding prevents the association of RNAP.

Initial reports indicated that the consensus Fur binding sequence was a 19-bp palindromic DNA sequence, GATAATGATAATCATTATC (132). Subsequent analysis of Fur-DNA interactions revealed that the functional *E. coli* Fur binding sequence actually consists of three or more hexameric repeats of a GATAAT sequence (163). The specific model of Fur-DNA interaction has since been refined further by Baichoo and Helmann, who suggested that Fur dimers interact with a specific 15-bp inverted heptamer repeat (7-1-7) (36). The 7-1-7 inverted repeat model of Fur-DNA interaction essentially includes the basic components of both the 19-bp and GATAAT models.

**FIG. 6.** Genetic organization and simplified regulation of the *E. coli* fur locus. (A) The primary fur promoter lies directly upstream of the fur coding region. Transcription typically initiates from one of two proximally located transcriptional start sites (TSSs), as indicated by Pfur1, and is regulated by Fur, Cap, and/or OxyR (131, 667). A secondary SoxR-regulated promoter upstream of the fda coding sequence may also drive expression of fur under oxidative stress conditions (667). (B) (Top) Under conditions of oxidative stress, fur expression is activated from the proximal promoter TSSs (Pfur1) and/or from the fda promoter (Pfur2) by SoxR (667), resulting in the production of either a monocistronic mRNA (mRNA1) or a polycistronic mRNA that also contains fda (mRNA2). (Middle) When excess iron is present, fur expression is repressed in an autoregulatory manner. (Bottom) fur expression from the proximal promoter is also activated in response to increases in cAMP, which results in the production of a monocistronic mRNA.
coli fur promoter reveals two closely spaced transcriptional start sites (131). Within the start sites is a Fur binding site that overlaps the core promoter elements. Directly upstream of the −35 region is a binding site for the catabolite-activating protein (Cap) and an OxyR binding site (131, 667). The positioning of these regulatory sites indicates that expression of fur is autoregulatory and is responsive to both cyclic AMP (cAMP) and oxidative stress. Depending on environmental conditions, E. coli fur can also be expressed as part of a polycistronic mRNA from the SoxRS-regulated flsA promoter, which is upstream of fur (667).

Whether Fur is acting as a repressor or an activator, the regulatory role of Fur is global. Perhaps not surprisingly, the majority of Fur-regulated promoters are associated with genes involved in iron homeostasis or genes encoding iron-containing proteins. For example, E. coli genes that are regulated by classical Fe2⁺-Fur repression include those involved in enterobactin biosynthesis (entABCDCEF) (72, 408); ferri-enterobactin uptake (fepA) and transport (fepBCDEG) (72, 245); aerobactin biosynthesis and uptake (iucaB) (132); ferrichrome uptake (fluCD) (245); ferric dicitrate uptake (feCA), transport (feBCDE) (158), and regulation (feci and fecR) (70, 158, 245); ferri-rhodotorulic acid uptake (fluE) (408); energy coupling mechanisms (tonB, exbB, and exbD) (408); and ferric iron uptake (fepABC) (306). Experiments using comparative genomics and the Fur titration assay (FURTA) also demonstrated Fur regulation of additional iron-related genes (409, 576), which adds to the line of evidence suggesting that Fur is a global regulator.

Prior to the discovery of the regulatory RNA RyhB (discussed below), available data suggested that Fur behaved as more than just a repressor. In E. coli, several genes appeared to be activated by Fe3⁺-Fur. These genes included fna (ferritin), bfr (bacterioferritin), sdhCDAB (succinate dehydrogenase), acnA (aconitase), fumA (fumarase), and sodB (superoxide dismutase). However, in 2002, Massé and Gottesman described RyhB, a small RNA (sRNA) that is negatively regulated by Fe2⁺-Fur (402). RyhB (also identified as sraI by Argaman et al. [25]) is a regulatory RNA transcribed from the intergenic region between yhI and yhY. The regulatory effect of this sRNA requires a direct interaction with the RNA chaperone protein Hfq and results in degradation of target mRNAs (402). Because expression of this sRNA is repressed by Fe2⁺-Fur, the downstream derepression of RyhB target genes under conditions of high iron appeared to be due to Fur-mediated activation. A subsequent microarray analysis of RyhB-dependent regulation in E. coli identified at least 56 genes whose expression is affected by RyhB. These data suggest an integral role for sRNA in regulation of iron homeostasis (401). Interestingly, the list of genes regulated by RyhB is nearly identical to those once thought to be activated by Fe3⁺-Fur. Thus, it was assumed that these genes, which appeared to be activated by Fe3⁺-Fur, were all negatively regulated by RyhB. However, subsequent analysis by Nandan et al. indicated that fna (encoding a ferritin previously identified to be under the control of RyhB) is actually directly activated by Fe2⁺-Fur through competition with the histone-like nucleoid protein H-NS (441). Furthermore, a study by McHugh et al. (after the discovery of RyhB) reported Fe2⁺-Fur activation of 42 genes in E. coli (408). The vast majority of these genes were identified by Massé as RyhB regulated. Taken together, these data indicate the need for further experiments to show whether the effect of RyhB on gene expression is direct or indirect and to determine possible alternative regulatory mechanisms involved in iron metabolism.

C. jejuni encodes two Fur homologs (Fur and PerR) which are involved in iron-responsive transcriptional regulation (613). C. jejuni Fur is able to partially complement an E. coli fur mutant but is not recognized by E. coli Fur antisera (647). These data suggest that C. jejuni Fur differs significantly from E. coli Fur, despite the fact that the Fur proteins share ~40% identity (611). PerR, the peroxide stress regulator, constitutes the other Fur homologue and has been shown to regulate expression of katA (encoding catalase) and ahpC (encoding alkyl hydroperoxidase), which are involved in the C. jejuni oxidative stress response (613). Collectively, these data suggest that unlike Fur, PerR is not a global regulator in C. jejuni and regulates expression of only a defined collection of genes.

As discussed above, Fur represses gene expression by binding to specific target sequences known as Fur boxes. Promoter sequence analysis of Fur-regulated genes indicates that the C. jejuni consensus Fur box sequence does not correspond to that found in E. coli (611). Instead, a modified E. coli Fur box consisting of six consecutive repeats of a 5'-NAT-3' sequence was proposed and then used by van Vliet et al. to identify putative Fur boxes in C. jejuni (611). The C. jejuni Fur box was further expanded to a 19-bp putative consensus (5'-GATAA TGATACTATGTT-3') (467). However, the validity of this proposed consensus requires further experimental confirmation. Since C. jejuni encodes two Fur family homologues, Fur and PerR, there is likely a high degree of similarity in the Fur and PerR binding sequences (611). Thus, this similarity could potentially confound the elucidation of Fur and PerR boxes.

In contrast to E. coli fur, C. jejuni fur is not expressed from its own promoter (612); instead, fur is likely transcribed as part of an operon with upstream and downstream genes (Fig. 7). Directly upstream of fur are two genes, cjo0399 and gatC, which are expressed from iron-independent promoters that can drive fur expression (612). The absence of a promoter directly upstream of fur and the lack of iron-responsive regulation of fur are features unique to C. jejuni. These observations suggest that changes in fur expression may be dependent on environmental stimuli other than iron availability, although the nature of these stimuli has not been demonstrated.

Global transcriptional analysis of C. jejuni in response to iron-identified 208 genes whose expression is significantly altered after the addition of iron under steady-state conditions (467). Additionally, approximately twice as many genes display transient differential expression shortly after iron supplementation, which indicates a significant global response to increased iron availability in C. jejuni (467). Genes repressed after the addition of iron include those for iron uptake or storage systems, components of the oxidative stress response, and uncharacterized functions (265, 467). Moreover, several genes involved in energy metabolism are significantly upregulated in response to iron, and iron may also play at least some role in regulating members of the N-linked protein glycosylation pathway as well as glycoproteins (467).

Fur regulation in H. pylori is a complex story that has not yet been unraveled fully. While the sequence of H. pylori Fur is
relatively similar to that of *E. coli* Fur, it is functionally quite different (56, 79). Similar to Fur proteins in *E. coli* and *C. jejuni*, *H. pylori* Fur can act as a classic transcriptional repressor, utilizing iron as a cofactor (55). However, unlike Fur in other organisms, *H. pylori* Fur has also been shown to act as an apo-repressor as well as an activator (in both the iron-bound and apo forms) (6, 118, 130, 161, 197). Iron-bound Fur repression in *H. pylori* is similar to that seen in many other organisms: Fur is thought to bind to Fur boxes as a dimer and to repress transcription in an iron-dependent manner.

Similar to the case in *E. coli* and *C. jejuni*, *H. pylori* Fe²⁺-Fur also targets promoter regions that contain specific sequences (Fur boxes). Though the Fur binding sequence in *H. pylori* has not been characterized fully, a consensus sequence based on known Fur-regulated promoters (\(5^\prime\)-NNNNNAATAATNNT NANN-3\'\) was proposed (416). Currently, a more complete consensus has yet to be determined. Furthermore, detailed analysis of apo-Fur target sequences has been performed with only two promoters, those of *pfr* and *sodB* (encoding superoxide dismutase) (54, 161). In both instances, apo-Fur binds specifically to promoters under metal-free conditions. In the case of *sodB*, a single nucleotide substitution in the Fur box results in altered apo-Fur regulation (78). Promoter sequence comparison of these two genes failed to highlight a putative binding sequence, and homology to the proposed Fe²⁺-Fur consensus is not evident (79, 161). This difference in sequence is perhaps not surprising given the functional difference of Fe²⁺-Fur- and apo-Fur-targeted promoters; furthermore, the presence or absence of metal cofactors may result in conformational changes in protein structure that affect DNA binding specificity (111, 482).

One highly complex example of iron-responsive regulation found among genes in the *H. pylori* Fur regulon is that of the *fur* promoter itself (Fig. 8). As seen in *E. coli*, expression of the *fur* promoter in *H. pylori* is autoregulated (131, 128). This iron-responsive autoregulation provides bacteria with a sensitive mechanism to control iron levels within the cell (128). In contrast to expression of *fur* in *C. jejuni*, where transcription is

![FIG. 7. Genetic organization of the *C. jejuni* fur locus. In the absence of its own promoter, fur expression in *C. jejuni* is driven by one of two distal promoters. Transcription initiated from the P₁ promoter results in the production of a polycistronic mRNA containing both *cj0399* and *fur* coding regions (mRNA1). Alternatively, expression from the P₂ promoter produces an mRNA carrying *gatC*, *cj0399*, and *fur* (mRNA2). Neither of these promoters is iron responsive or Fur regulated, indicating that fur expression is not autoregulatory but instead responds to unknown environmental signals (612).](image1)

![FIG. 8. Autoregulation of *H. pylori* fur. The model shown was based on the work of Delany et al. (128, 129). (A) The relative positions of the two Fe²⁺-Fur binding sites and the single apo-Fur binding site are indicated. Fe²⁺-Fur binds DNA as a dimer (111, 128, 482); however, the oligomeric state of apo-Fur is unknown, as indicated by the question mark. For simplicity, apo-Fur is shown to function as a monomer. (B) (Top) Under iron-replete conditions, Fe²⁺-Fur binds the high-affinity site in box I and represses fur expression. (Middle) Under conditions of high/excess iron, Fe²⁺-Fur also binds the lower-affinity site in box II, resulting in a more complete repression of fur expression. (Bottom) In the absence of iron or under iron-restricted conditions, box II is not bound by Fur and fur expression is derepressed. In addition, apo-Fur binds the target sequences in box III and box I, activating fur transcription (129).](image2)
initiated from promoters distal to the fur gene itself (612), *H. pylori* fur is transcribed from its own promoter, which has three distinct Fur boxes (128). The Fur box with the highest binding affinity under iron-replete conditions (box I) overlaps the −35 region of the promoter, consistent with Fe²⁺-Fur repression due to inhibition of RNA polymerase interaction at the promoter. An additional Fe²⁺-Fur binding site (box II) lies further downstream (positions +19 to −13) and may provide stronger or more complete repression under conditions where high concentrations of iron are present in the cell. The third Fur binding site (box III) is located between the −87 and −104 nucleotides and is bound by apo-Fur. In contrast to the repression seen by Fur binding to boxes I and II, apo-Fur binding to box III results in the activation of fur expression (129). The combination of both Fe²⁺-Fur repression and apo-Fur activation in the autoregulation of fur expression allows *H. pylori* to tightly control production of this multifunctional regulatory protein.

Similar to that in *C. jejuni*, the iron-responsive regulatory network in *H. pylori* is extensive and contains genes that serve diverse functions. Transcriptional profiling identified 97 genes that are regulated by iron and 43 genes whose expression is Fur dependent (160). A subsequent study examined the role of Fur in iron- and acid-responsive regulation (197). Collectively, these studies identify a variety of genes encompassing diverse functional categories and thus highlight the role of Fur as a global regulator. *H. pylori* genes repressed by Fe²⁺-Fur include those involved in iron uptake and transport (such as *fepA*, *fepA1-3*, *fepB*, *exbB*, and *exaE*), energy metabolism, cellular processes, and protein synthesis, as well as many additional genes with unknown or hypothetical functions (160, 197, 416).

Adding yet another level of complexity to regulation by *H. pylori* Fur is the observation that several genes appear to be upregulated by Fe²⁺-Fur (197). Detailed analysis of Fur regulation of the nifS promoter suggests that Fur can in fact act as a transcriptional activator. Under iron-replete conditions, Fur was found to protect two distinct regions within the nifS promoter (6). Although there are no clear Fur boxes in the nifS promoter, the Fur binding regions were reported to be 150 and 200 nucleotides upstream from the transcriptional start, which could be consistent with an orientation of Fur needed to activate transcription. Another set of genes reported to be induced by Fe²⁺-Fur encode the 2-oxoglutarate dioxygenase complex, OorDABC (197). These components were also reported to be induced by Fe²⁺-Fur in *C. jejuni* (265). In *H. pylori*, the upstream region of these genes appears to contain a putative Fur box (based on a consensus proposed by Merrell et al. [416]), but direct activation by Fur has not yet been demonstrated for *H. pylori*.

apo-Fur has been shown to regulate expression of pfr and sodB (54, 78, 160, 161). Other genes putatively repressed by apo-Fur include *serB* (encoding a phosphoserine phosphatase), genes involved in energy metabolism, such as *hydA* and *hydB*, and several genes with unknown or hypothetical function (160). Regulation by the iron-free form of Fur has not been demonstrated definitively for any other organism, although indirect evidence suggests that apo-Fur regulation may exist in *C. jejuni* and a subspecies of *Desulfovibrio* (50, 265). Overall, apo-Fur regulation is seen as somewhat controversial due to the previous discovery of Fur-regulated sRNAs such as RyhB. One hypothesis that could possibly explain the apparent regulatory role of apo-Fur in *H. pylori* is the existence of a Fur-regulated sRNA. As discussed above, Fur-sRNA interactions are well described for *E. coli*, where RyhB affects expression of several genes previously thought to be Fur regulated (402). However, data supporting the sRNA hypothesis are lacking for *H. pylori*, since only a few sRNAs have been studied to date and the published *H. pylori* genomes lack a RyhB homologue (653, 654). Despite this, recent publication of the *H. pylori* primary transcriptome has indicated that a large number of sRNAs exist (534). Based on sequence similarity, several of these putative sRNAs may be involved in regulation of Fur target genes. Candidate sRNAs (denoted by the prefix “HPnc”) and putative Fur-regulated targets include HPnc1680 (cheV), HPnc3280 (pfr and serB), and HPnc7370 (fecA). Further studies will be necessary to determine what, if any, role these putative sRNAs play in iron-responsive regulation in *H. pylori*.

**Summary**

In most living organisms, the essential but toxic nature of iron requires tightly regulated homeostatic mechanisms. The iron uptake systems found in pathogenic bacteria such as *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* have evolved to ensure that these bacteria are able to acquire iron from the most prevalent sources within their respective environments. As inhabitants of the avian and human intestinal tracts, *C. jejuni* strains are in competition for iron, not only with other intestinal microbes but also with the host. To survive within this competitive environment, *C. jejuni* has evolved mechanisms for acquiring iron from other bacterial species as well as from human and avian hosts (452). In contrast, as the lone known bacterial resident of the gastric mucosa, *H. pylori* competes for iron solely with the human host. Thus, *H. pylori* has evolved specific iron acquisition mechanisms that primarily allow the bacterium to compete for host-derived sources of iron (134, 533). Regardless of the colonization site, both *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* tightly control the expression of iron uptake and storage systems by using Fur. Furthermore, and possibly due to the relative paucity of regulatory proteins in the bacterium, *H. pylori* Fur has evolved the ability to function in an extended regulatory capacity. Collectively, the iron homeostatic mechanisms employed by *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* highlight yet another example of how these epsilonproteobacteria function outside the classical systems.

**BACTERIAL INTERACTIONS WITH GASTROINTESTINAL EPITHELIUM**

For pathogenic bacteria to cause disease, they must first successfully colonize the host. The process of colonization is multifactorial, involving many components that assist bacteria in finding and surviving in their proper niche in the host. Thus, different pathogens have developed factors that aid in colonization. Notably, the repertoires of tools used by different pathogens of humans are affected by the site of bacterial colonization. *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli*, *C. jejuni*, and *H. pylori* each initiate disease in humans by first colonizing mucosal surfaces. Cytotoxin-producing, noninvasive *E. coli* strains, such as enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), and enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), and invasive
pathogens such as Salmonella spp., enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), and C. jejuni are major causes of diarrheal disease (443). H. pylori infects the gastric mucosa to elicit an inflammatory response, which can lead to gastritis (397, 432, 433). Chronic inflammation, along with the destruction of gastric epithelial cells, can result in more severe outcomes of H. pylori infection, including duodenal ulcers and gastric cancer.

To initiate infection, these pathogens first attach to the mucosal epithelium of different regions of the gastrointestinal tract. Each bacterium must then manipulate the epithelium to create a niche that supports replication and persistence in the human host. Salmonella spp., E. coli, C. jejuni, and H. pylori use different adhesins and colonization factors that target different receptors on epithelial cells. Salmonella spp. and C. jejuni are able to subsequently invade epithelial cells and persist in an intracellular niche (Fig. 9A and B). However, the strategies by which these two bacterial species promote invasion and survive intracellularly occur via different virulence factors and mechanisms. In contrast, E. coli and H. pylori typically remain associated with the surfaces of epithelial cells. These bacteria have been observed to invade epithelial cells to a certain extent, but the significance of an intracellular lifestyle is unclear. Instead, E. coli and H. pylori appear to efficiently manipulate host cell biology to create an extracellular niche for replication (Fig. 9C and D). This section outlines the strategies used by each pathogen to promote adherence and subsequent survival strategies within or outside epithelial cells.

**Adherence to Gastrointestinal Epithelium**

*Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli*. The virulence mechanisms employed by *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli* have been studied extensively and form the prototypical model for pathogenesis of disease by enteric bacteria (for general reviews, see references 101, 120, 138, and 178). These organisms utilize both plasmid-encoded and chromosomally encoded virulence factors to facilitate host interactions. Several of these virulence factors are found within pathogenicity islands, which are distinct genomic regions that are often acquired through horizontal gene transfer (178, 523). Two of the best-characterized *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs) are SPI-1 and SPI-2. SPI-1, SPI-2, and the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE) pathogenicity island found in EPEC and EHEC strains each encode a T3SS and associated effector proteins that are injected into epithelial cells to mediate many of the changes in host cell biology required to initiate adherence or invasion or to maintain associations with host cells (178, 179, 194, 270, 523).

Adherence of *Salmonella* spp. and *E. coli* to intestinal epithelial cells is facilitated by cellular appendages, outer membrane proteins, and secreted proteins that interact with host cell receptors (Fig. 9A and C). *Salmonella* spp. adhere by a variety of fimbriae, each of which may exhibit a specific tissue tropism (689). These fimbriae include type 1 fimbriae (Fim), long polar fimbriae (Lpf), plasmid-encoded fimbriae (Fep), and aggregative fimbriae (Agf). These fimbriae have been shown to be involved in adhesion to various cell types and in colonization in animal models of infection (12, 46-49, 582). Additionally, insertional inactivation of genes in the putative bef, sbh, stc, std, and shf fimbrial operons of *Salmonella* spp. results in reduced cecum and fecal recovery in mice relative to that with wild-type bacteria 1 month after infection but not after 5 days, indicating that these operons may encode fimbriae required for persistent infection (273, 636).

In addition to fimbriae, the genome of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium also encodes several nonfimbrial adhesins. SPI-4 encodes the secreted adhesion SiIE, which mediates adherence to the apical face of polarized epithelial cells (202, 203). In addition, MsIL and ShdA are two outer membrane proteins that bind fibronectin, a component of the extracellular matrix (140, 326, 327, 430, 530). MsIL mutants are highly attenuated for colonization in a 2-week-old chick model of infection (430), while shdA of *Salmonella* spp. is expressed during infection of the murine cecum and is required for persistence (327).

Adherence of EHEC and EPEC strains is thought to be a two-step process that involves various adhesins that mediate initial interactions to bring the bacteria in contact with a host cell (termed localized adherence), followed by a LEE-dependent process that results in a tight, intimate adherence to the cells (Fig. 9C) (reviewed in reference 453). Like *Salmonella* spp., EHEC and EPEC both produce surface appendages that mediate adherence to host cells. The *E. coli* common pilus (ECP) and the bundle-forming pilus (BFP) are two prominent pili that promote adherence to various cell lines in vitro (103, 501, 514). However, adherence of *E. coli* strains is also mediated by nonpilus factors. The EHEC factor for adherence (Efa-1) is a nonpilus adhesin that has been implicated in both in vitro adherence and in vivo colonization of the bovine intestinal epithelium (33, 446, 572). In addition to being a conduit for transport of secreted effector proteins, the EspA filament of the LEE-encoded T3SS has also been implicated in adherence of EPEC strains (103). Additionally, the EHEC virulence plasmid pO157 encodes the Etp T2SS along with YodA and StcE, two Etp-secreted factors that influence adhesion processes (228, 259). *yodA* mutants of EHEC demonstrate reduced adherence to HeLa cells and are less competitive for colonization of the rabbit intestine (259).

After initial localized adherence, EHEC and EPEC induce a characteristic histopathological change in host epithelial cells known as attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions (333, 427). These lesions are characterized by loss of microvilli, intimate attachment of the bacterium to the host cell, and the production of pedestals, which are morphological projections of the eukaryotic cell with bacteria attached at the tips that mediate a close association between the host and pathogen (Fig. 9C) (427). Both EHEC and EPEC require the LEE-encoded T3SS to mediate A/E lesion formation (523). One effector protein encoded by the LEE that is translocated into the host cell by the T3SS is Tir (322). This effector serves as a receptor for the EHEC and EPEC outer membrane protein intimin (encoded by eae) (322, 506). Tir has additional functions (described below) that alter the host cell architecture to allow EHEC and EPEC to form pedestals to maintain association with the epithelial cells.

*C. jejuni* and *H. pylori*. The genomes of *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* lack genes encoding fimbrial or pilus systems to mediate adherence (9, 185, 473). Instead, these pathogens utilize a different repertoire of adherence determinants to facilitate interactions with host cells. Flagellar motility of *C. jejuni* is recognized as a major determinant that promotes interactions with human...
intestinal epithelial cells (221, 340, 413, 632, 660). However, the exact role of flagella in mediating adherence of *C. jejuni* to intestinal cells is unclear. Different groups have reported contradictory observations concerning the dependency of FlaA and the flagellar filament on adherence of *C. jejuni*. Some of these discrepancies are due to differences in strains and mutants studied or to differences in experimental procedures. Mutation of *flaA* or shearing of the filament was shown to reduce *C. jejuni* adherence to INT407 cells, regardless of centrifugation-mediated contact of the bacteria with the surfaces of epithelial cells (413, 660). These results suggest that the flagellar structure is directly involved in adherence, possibly serving as an adhesin. However, in another study, nonmotile mutants that lack flagella were reported to adhere to cells equally as well as wild-type bacteria when the bacteria were allowed to contact epithelial cells via centrifugation, suggesting that the role of the flagellum may be to steer the bacterium to the surface of an epithelial cell so that a nonflagellar adhesin can initiate adherence (210, 221). Even within the same study, two different strains demonstrated flagellum-dependent and -independent adherence, even with centrifugation (340). Thus, flagellar motility is likely involved in adherence, but in a strain-specific manner. Furthermore, chemotactic motility is also required for *C. jejuni* to mediate adherence, as a *cheY* mutant, which is defective in proper chemotaxis, exhibits decreased adherence to epithelial cells in vitro and is attenuated for colonization in a ferret model of disease (661). Even though the exact influence of motility in adherence has not been determined precisely, motility likely makes *C. jejuni* more fit for mediating adherence.

The *C. jejuni* flagellum has been implicated in secretion of proteins that influence host cell interactions (94, 339, 340). The flagellar secretion machinery demonstrates significant homology to the T3SSs of many bacterial pathogens (107, 270). As such, the flagellar systems of some pathogenic bacteria have been found to secrete virulence factors involved in pathogenesis (204, 362, 664). One *C. jejuni* protein that is secreted in a flagellum-specific manner is FlaC (559). FlaC shows significant homology to the FlaA and FlaB flagellins of the flagellar filament. However, *flaC* mutants are not defective for motility, as analyzed by in vitro methods (305, 559). Instead, purified FlaC has been shown to bind to HEp-2 human epithelial carcinoma cells, and a *flaC* mutant is unable to invade HEp-2 cells as well as a wild-type strain does (559).

For a few adhesins of *C. jejuni*, host cell receptors have been identified. CadF and FlpA are two outer membrane proteins that bind fibronectin and are required for adherence to human intestinal or chicken hepatocarcinoma cells (336, 337, 341, 426). *cadF* and *flpA* mutants are both attenuated for colonization of chicks, indicating that the encoded proteins are likely required for interactions with the avian gut mucosa to promote commensalism (183, 337, 341, 671). Another *C. jejuni* protein implicated in adherence is JlpA, a surface-associated lipoprotein that promotes binding to the host cell heat shock protein 90α (Hsp90α) (290). Mutation of *jlpA* results in reduced adherence to HEp-2 cells (289). Furthermore, purified recombinant JlpA is able to bind to HEp-2 cells, and exogenous addition of the protein inhibits adherence of *C. jejuni* to these cells (289). However, diminished adherence of a *jlpA* mutant was not observed with T84 cells (454), suggesting that this protein may mediate tropism for different cell types.

Other factors that have been implicated in adherence of *C. jejuni* to host cells include the CapA autotransporter protein, plasmid-encoded factors, and a capsular polysaccharide. CapA has also been demonstrated to have both in vitro and in vivo functions in adherence to Caco-2 cells and persistence in the chick intestinal tract, respectively (26). In addition, some strains of *C. jejuni* harbor pVir, a plasmid that has been implicated in virulence in a ferret model of disease (31). This plasmid encodes parts of a putative T4SS that appears to influence DNA transformation (as discussed above) (31). Mutation of two pVir genes, *comB2* and *virB11*, causes a reduction in adherence to INT407 cells (31). Additionally, one nonproteinaceous factor of *C. jejuni* that has also been associated with adherence to human intestinal epithelial cells is a surface capsular polysaccharide (29, 32). Another saccharide structure involved in adherence of *C. jejuni* is the N-linked protein glycosylation system that modifies certain periplasmic and outer membrane proteins with specific glycans (described below) (585). However, it is currently unknown how this glycosylation system impacts adhesion mechanisms.

*H. pylori* infection is believed to result in a vast majority of...
bacteria residing in an extracellular niche. Sampling of gastric mucus from infected gerbils revealed that approximately one-third of the bacteria were tightly associated or freely swimming in the mucus within 5 μm atop the gastric epithelium, with the remaining bacteria swimming in distal regions of the mucous layers (529). Attachment to host cells has been observed to occur preferentially near cell-cell junctions (13). Various \textit{H. pylori} proteins mediate adherence at different stages of infection, which may explain why multiple adhesins are expressed and influence host cell interactions (369). Like \textit{C. jejuni} flagella, \textit{H. pylori} flagella are required for colonization \textit{in vivo}, but it is unclear if flagella are used solely for motility or function directly as an adhesin (151).

Two prominent adhesins of \textit{H. pylori} are BabA and SabA (275, 394). These proteins are outer membrane proteins that recognize sialylated and nonsialylated host Lewis (Le) antigens present on the surfaces of epithelial cells (64, 170, 275, 394, 429). BabA binds primarily Le\textsuperscript{a} and related fucosylated ABO blood group antigens (64, 275). Preference for BabA-mediated adherence to Le\textsuperscript{a} antigens may correlate with the disproportionate increase in peptic ulcers seen in \textit{H. pylori}-infected individuals with blood group O (64). Another adhesin, SabA, binds the sialylated Le\textsuperscript{a} antigen with a lower affinity than that of BabA for its receptors (394). Production of Le\textsuperscript{x} appears to increase on the apical gastric epithelium upon \textit{H. pylori}-mediated inflammation (394, 538). SabA-mediated binding of Le\textsuperscript{x} likely creates additional binding sites for persistence in individuals who have succumbed to inflammation and gastritis. Several outer membrane proteins with unknown receptors are also involved in promoting \textit{H. pylori} adherence and \textit{in vivo} growth. Insertional inactivation of \textit{horB} reduces adherence to the human gastric adenocarcinoma epithelial cell line AGS, as well as colonization of murine stomachs (554). The heat shock protein HopZ is also capable of mediating \textit{H. pylori} adherence to AGS cells, but this adherence mechanism appears to be strain specific (481, 656). Additional adhesins include AlpA and AlpB, which are essential for binding human gastric biopsy sections (127, 458). \textit{H. pylori} strains that lack these adhesins are also less competitive than wild-type strains in a guinea pig model of infection, indicating that they are potentially involved in important adherence mechanisms that contribute to pathogenesis (127).

** Invasion of Intestinal Epithelium and Intracellular Replication **

**Creation of an intracellular niche for \textit{Salmonella} spp.** T3SSs are protein delivery machines produced by several pathogens that are often required for infection and pathogenesis of disease. While the secretion machinery itself is well conserved between species, the secreted effector proteins vary greatly, which allows for distinctive modes of interaction with the host cell that often disrupt host cell signaling and architecture (105, 194, 205, 270). \textit{Salmonella enterica} serovar Typhimurium produces two well-characterized T3SSs with associated effectors that are encoded on separate SPIs. These T3SSs and respective effectors mediate different steps in host cell invasion. Whereas the SPI-1 T3SS mediates cytoskeletal rearrangements that are important for host cell invasion, the SPI-2 T3SS is required for events after entry that support intracellular replication and survival (241, 607). Immunofluorescence microscopy studies revealed that translocation of SPI-1 effectors into the host cell results in rapid polymerization of the cytoskeleton microfilament actin, resulting in the formation of membrane protrusions that ultimately extend toward and engulf the bacteria in a process termed membrane ruffling (293). The complex, multifaceted cascade of effectors that are required for alteration of signaling pathways and cytoskeletal rearrangements are the subjects of many extensive reviews (120, 478, 522, 607). In brief, major bacterial effectors that induce membrane ruffling and phagocytosis of \textit{Salmonella} are SopE2, which is the exchange factor for the host cell GTP-binding proteins Cdc42 and Rac-1, and SopB, an inositol polyphosphate phosphatase (246, 570, 595, 668). These bacterial and host proteins are required to induce actin filament polymerization through the Arp2/3 complex and phagocytosis, which mediate entry of the bacterium into the host cell (39, 646, 668). Additionally, SipC is another SPI-1-encoded effector that nucleates actin assembly, whereas SipA directly binds actin and the actin-bundling protein T-plastin (88, 249, 424, 669, 670). The actions of these effectors stabilize filaments and inhibit depolymerization to facilitate membrane ruffling (424, 669, 670). The SPI-1 effector StpP acts as a GTPase-activating protein that reverses alterations to the cytoskeleton by inducing depolymerization, returning the host cell membrane to its original state (191).

Invasion of intestinal epithelial cells results in localization of \textit{Salmonella} spp. within a specialized vacuole that does not follow the normal lysosomal maturation pathway, which would typically result in destruction of the bacteria (10, 178). This specialized vacuole, termed the \textit{Salmonella}-containing vacuole (SCV), supports replication of bacteria (Fig. 9A) (10, 178, 179, 606). It is clear that T3SS effectors of both the SPI-1 and SPI-2 systems of \textit{Salmonella} spp. are critical for SCV development. However, due to some functional redundancy between effectors, it has been difficult to discern which SPI-1 or SPI-2 effectors are responsible for specific events.

Upon invasion, expression of SPI-1 genes is decreased, whereas that of SPI-2 genes is induced (517). SPI-1-encoded effectors such as SopB and SipA are involved in the latter stages of invasion to complete phagocytosis and formation of the initial SCV (71, 120, 256). SopB inhibits lysosomal fusion of the maturing SCV by reducing the negative surface charge of the vacuolar membrane (38). The SPI-2 effectors are secreted across the vacuolar membrane into the host cell for further maturation of the SCV and movement of the SCV to a juxtanuclear region near the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) (418, 513, 634). The effectors SseF, SseG, and SifA aid in trafficking and maintenance of the SCV at this locale (4, 126, 238, 288, 350, 497). SifA also aids in the formation of \textit{Salmonella}-induced filaments (Sifs) emanating from the SCV (Fig. 9A) (421, 569). Additionally, SseI, SspH2, and SteC are involved in a process known as vacuole-associated actin polymerization (VAP) that manipulates F-actin to induce formation of an actin meshwork around the SCV (415, 418, 488, 648). As a result of these alterations, the SCV becomes more stable and intercepts proteins from the Golgi body for optimization as a replicative niche (349).

** Invasion and intracellular survival of \textit{C. jejuni}.** Like \textit{Salmonella} spp., \textit{C. jejuni} has the ability to invade human intestinal epithelial cells and reside in an intracellular niche for replica-
tion. However, the virulence factors and molecular mechanisms delineating such events for *C. jejuni* have only begun to be identified. Unlike *Salmonella* spp., which use T3SSs and translocated effector proteins to manipulate host cells for invasion and intracellular survival, *C. jejuni* lacks genes encoding these virulence factors. Instead, *C. jejuni* is thought to take advantage of the flagellar T3SS to secrete potential effector proteins that may assist in invasion and intracellular survival processes (217, 339, 559).

A class of *C. jejuni* proteins known as the *Campylobacter* invasion antigens (Cia) have been proposed to be secreted by the flagellar T3SS when bacteria are cocultured with intestinal epithelial cells (94, 339). However, these proteins do not appear to be required for motility; instead, the Cia proteins may be required for invasion of *C. jejuni* into host cells. Two of the identified secreted proteins are CiaB, which shares only limited homology to SipB of *Salmonella* spp., and CiaC, which lacks homology to other proteins (94, 339). *C. jejuni* mutants lacking each protein are impaired for invasion of INT407 cells (94, 339). However, the attenuated invasion phenotype of the ciaB mutant is not observed for a different strain of *C. jejuni* with T84 cells (454). These results suggest that Cia-mediated invasion may be strain or cell type specific. The functions of CiaB and CiaC and the identifications of other potential Cia proteins remain to be determined. However, CiaB may play a role in secretion, since a ciaB mutant demonstrates a defect in secretion of other potential Cia proteins (339).

Even though σ^28 is involved mainly in expression of flagellar genes in many bacteria, *C. jejuni* uses σ^28 for expression of some genes not involved in motility (80, 217, 489). Instead, some of these σ^28-dependent genes have been implicated in invasion or toxicity of intestinal epithelial cells (217, 489). One of these genes, *fspA*, appears as two alleles (*fspA1* and *fspA2*) in *C. jejuni* strains (489). FspA1 and FspA2 are both secreted in a flagellum-dependent manner but are not required for invasion. Instead, FspA2 is able to induce apoptosis in INT407 cells (489). Another σ^28-dependent gene involved in invasion of intestinal cells is *cj0977* (217). Initial analysis indicated that Cj0977 is cytoplasmic and is not secreted by the flagellar T3SS. This protein was first shown to not be required for motility in semisolid motility agar (217). However, another study concluded that a *cj0977* mutant demonstrated a motility defect in liquid broth, which may have contributed to an observed in vitro invasion defect (454).

Certain surface structures of *C. jejuni* also influence invasion processes. *Campylobacter* spp. produce sialylated lipooligosaccharide in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane, which has been shown to influence invasion (311, 383). Additionally, the surface capsular polysaccharide not only potentiates adherence but also is required for invasion of host cells (29, 32). How these factors affect invasion or possibly intracellular survival remains unknown.

Like *Salmonella* spp., *C. jejuni* alters host cell biology to trigger invasion, but the uptake mechanism diverges from that of *Salmonella*. Rather than being mediated by actin, *C. jejuni* invasion occurs through a novel microtubule-dependent process (Fig. 9B) (269, 460). Inhibitors of microtubule polymerization have been shown to prevent uptake of *C. jejuni* (460). In addition, immunofluorescence has shown that the bacterium interacts with host cell membrane protrusions containing microtubules and not actin filaments (269).

Little is known about the mechanisms of *C. jejuni* intracellular survival and replication after invasion. Within intestinal epithelial cells, *C. jejuni* survives within a modified membrane-bound compartment termed the *Campylobacter*-containing vesicle (CCV), where it is capable of replication (Fig. 9B) (338, 510, 635). Immunofluorescence microscopy indicates that the CCV avoids lysosomal fusion (635). However, the mechanism by which *C. jejuni* matures in the CCV is unknown. Immunofluorescence microscopy also indicates that *C. jejuni* colocalizes with microtubules after uptake and migrates in parallel with these polymers, to the perinuclear region in close proximity to the Golgi apparatus, by a dynin-dependent mechanism (269, 635). Details regarding the formation of the CCV and the role of this specialized vacuole in the intracellular lifestyle of *C. jejuni* await further characterization.

One intriguing finding regarding the intracellular lifestyle of *C. jejuni* is that the bacterium appears to undergo significant physiological changes, such as oxygen sensitivity and alterations in metabolism, that likely influence the ability of *C. jejuni* to replicate intracellularly (454, 635). In a transposon mutagenesis screen to identify mutants of *C. jejuni* attenuated for invasion, mutations in *aspA, aspB*, and sodB were found to not be required for invasion per se but were necessary for intracellular survival (454). AspA and AspB are involved in aspartate and glutamate catabolism, which produces fumarate, a metabolite that can serve as both a carbon source and an electron donor in *C. jejuni* (532, 553). Since the intracellular survival defect of *aspA* and *aspB* mutants could be restored by prior growth in the presence of fumarate, it was concluded that a fumarate-dependent process may prime the cells for intracellular growth (454). Mutation of sodB, which encodes a superoxide dismutase, results in decreased intestinal cell invasion and decreased colonization of MyD88-deficient mice (454, 483). The viability of the sodB mutant decreases over time after invasion, indicating that SodB assists in intracellular survival (454). SodB likely quenches reactive oxygen intermediates once *C. jejuni* is internalized by the host cell to protect against oxidative damage (76).

**Alteration of Host Cells To Create an Extracellular Niche**

**Intimate adherence of *E. coli* strains.** Unlike *Salmonella* spp. and *C. jejuni*, the major strains of *E. coli* that promote diarrheal disease, EHEC and EPEC, are thought to be largely noninvasive and persist by associating with the surfaces of epithelial cells. EHEC and EPEC first promote a localized adherence and then a tight association with cells known as intimate adherence (reviewed in reference 453). Intimate adherence results in a gross alteration of host cell architecture and in formation of characteristic A/E lesions with the formation of pedestals that extrude from the surface of the epithelial cell with bacteria tightly associated at the tip (Fig. 9C) (322, 333, 427, 506). To induce these morphological changes in host cells, EHEC and EPEC manipulate intracellular actin polymerization from an extracellular location.

A T3SS effector with a major role in host cell actin rearrangement and pedestal formation is Tir, which inserts into the host cell membrane to serve as the receptor for the *E. coli* intimin protein (227, 322, 506). In addition, host cell kinases
phosphorylate tyrosine, serine, and threonine residues of Tir, which results in blockage of inhibitory pathways for actin polymerization (198, 227, 630). As a result, EHEC and EPEC manipulate actin polymerization to form the pedestals necessary to initiate and maintain intimate adherence (133, 227). The Tir proteins of EPEC and EHEC are not interchangeable and use different pathways to alter actin polymerization (133, 321). Phosphorylation of EPEC Tir allows for the formation of a docking site for Nck adaptor proteins (227). This binding of Nck proteins results in the loss of autoinhibition of N-WASP, which culminates in activation of Arp2/3 and in actin polymerization (227). However, EHEC strains use an Nck-independent pathway by injecting a non-LEE-encoded effector, EspF, into host cells (75, 133, 198). EspF directly relieves N-WASP from autoinhibition, which begins a cascade of events leading to actin polymerization (75). Despite the impressive morphological changes mediated by EHEC and EPEC that result in the formation of A/E lesions, it is still unclear what biological benefit these changes impart for the bacterium during infection of the human host.

Manipulation of cell polarity by H. pylori to form a replicative niche. Observations of H. pylori during infection of humans or rodents indicate that the bacterium is predominantly attached to gastric epithelium or freely swimming in the region of the mucous layer directly above the cells (250, 529, 567). However, there have been reports that this tight association with the gastric epithelium may contribute to invasion and formation of an intracellular niche for H. pylori (13, 98, 165, 358, 579). Some of these studies have also found that intracellular H. pylori resides in a vacuole or in autophagocytic vesicles that temporarily support replication (13, 98). At later times postinfection, H. pylori is either killed in these vesicles or released extracellularly, which may enable the bacterium to infect other cells (13, 98). Whereas the relevance of a potential intracellular lifestyle is currently unclear, invasion of H. pylori may allow temporary protection from antibiotics, possibly indicating a survival strategy that contributes to a notable failure of antibiotic therapy upon H. pylori infection in humans (414).

In contrast, more details are known about the extracellular lifestyle of H. pylori during infection. H. pylori can adhere to gastric epithelial cells and efficiently replicate on the surfaces of the cells to form microcolonies (14, 591). Major determinants of H. pylori that are required for efficient replication on the apical surface of gastric cells are the CagT4SS and the CagA effector protein (591). CagA is injected into host cells and phosphorylated by host cell kinases to result in CagA-mediated changes in cellular signaling pathways (30, 286, 459, 568). CagA has been demonstrated to have multiple effects on host cell biology (14, 35, 258, 512, 666). CagA-mediated changes include disruption of host cell polarity and tight junctions, induction of migration of intoxicated cells, and alteration of cellular morphology to an elongated spindle shape known as the hummingbird phenotype. However, exactly how CagA-mediated effects benefit the biology of H. pylori during infection has been unclear.

Some clues have been provided regarding how CagA contributes to H. pylori infection. Prominent CagA-induced phenotypes include disruption of cellular polarity and mislocalization of proteins normally targeted to apical or basolateral surfaces or to tight junctions (Fig. 9D) (14, 512, 666). These observations formed the foundation for the proposal that H. pylori may manipulate cellular polarity to benefit in vivo growth. Evidence supporting this hypothesis includes the observation that H. pylori replicates and forms microcolonies on the apical surface of polarized epithelial monolayers close to the junction between cells (591). Whereas both wild-type and cagA mutant strains can replicate on the basolateral side of the polarized monolayers, a cagA mutant is unable to grow on the apical surface. However, treatment of the polarized monolayer with inhibitors that target the atypical protein kinase C (aPKC)/Par1β pathway, which maintains cellular polarity, allows a cagA mutant to replicate on the apical surface as well as wild-type bacteria do (591). These results suggest that CagA may disrupt cellular polarity to possibly redirect nutrient transport to the apical surface to support H. pylori replication.

Another major virulence factor that likely assists in H. pylori pathogenesis and enhances nutrient acquisition is the VacA vacuolating cytotoxin (425). VacA was first identified due to its ability to induce cytoplasmic vacuolation of eukaryotic cells (110, 366). Further investigations revealed that VacA is able to create ion-selective channels in the plasma membranes of cells (124, 470, 584, 600). VacA has been shown to increase the permeability of the plasma membranes of eukaryotic cells to low-molecular-weight molecules and ions such as urea, carbonate, iron, and nickel, which are necessary for H. pylori growth (124, 470, 584, 600). Thus, the combined activity of CagA and VacA may be optimal for nutrient acquisition during an extracellular lifestyle on the surface of the gastric epithelium.

Additional studies indicate that CagA specifically targets the Par1β kinase to prevent its phosphorylation by aPKC, likely causing mislocalization of Par1β to the apical surface to contribute to polarity defects (512). Interactions with and inhibition of Par1β also allow CagA to interact with SHP2, which is then able to induce cell spreading and the characteristic hummingbird phenotype (512). Thus, H. pylori may manipulate host cell polarity to turn the gastric epithelium into a replicative niche while also inducing changes in host cell architecture and motility that may lead to cellular turnover, damage, and inflammation.

Summary

By analysis of the virulence processes that contribute to pathogenesis of disease, it is clear that gastrointestinal pathogens are equipped with a variety of weapons that enable diverse mechanisms for interactions with host cells. Studies of the pathogenic mechanisms of gammaproteobacteria such as Salmonella spp. and E. coli have provided detailed models of molecular manipulation of host cell biology by injected bacterial effector proteins that behave the pathogens. We are only now beginning to understand the virulence factors of C. jejuni and H. pylori and how these factors confer a fitness advantage in vivo. Whereas C. jejuni is similar to Salmonella spp. in terms of being able to invade and survive intracellularly in epithelial cells, this bacterium clearly manipulates the host cell differently. Notably, C. jejuni lacks a prototypical T3SS and alters microtubule organization instead of actin polymerization. H. pylori resides extracellularly, like EHEC and EPEC, but appears to manipulate polarity to extract nutrients from host cells to facilitate replication and persistence on the mucosal surface.
Continued exploration will likely reveal novel virulence mechanisms of these epsilonproteobacteria.

PROTEIN GLYCOSYLATION SYSTEMS

Protein glycosylation was once thought to be an activity confined to eukaryotic and archaeal systems. However, with technological advancements in glyobiology, protein glycosylation has been observed in some eubacterial species as well. Studies of *Campylobacter* spp., in particular, have gone far to invalidate the dogma of exclusivity of protein glycosylation to eukaryotes, with the identification of two different protein glycosylation systems within these bacteria. In addition to producing surface sugar structures such as a capsular polysaccharide and sialylated lipooligosaccharide, *Campylobacter* spp. modify flagellins with an O-linked glycan and produce an abundant periplasmic saccharide that is also found as an N-linked glycan on at least 30 different periplasmic or outer membrane proteins (for reviews, see references 235, 314, 377, and 586). Helicobacter spp. also produce an O-linked glycosylation system to modify flagellins, and these systems are essential in *Campylobacter* spp. and *H. pylori* for biogenesis of the flagellar filament. O-linked glycosylation systems have previously been identified in beta- and gammaproteobacteria such as *E. coli*, *Neisseria* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp. (reviewed in references 5, 53, and 587). However, N-linked glycosylation is rare in bacteria, with only *Campylobacter* spp. and *H. influenzae* demonstrating this activity to date (224, 371, 588, 665). The glycosylation systems in *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* spp. are required for various biological activities and consequently influence interactions with various hosts.

O-Linked Glycosylation Systems

**Limited complexity of O-linked protein glycosylation in *E. coli***  
O-linked protein glycosylation results in attachment of a glycan to the hydroxyl oxygen of a serine or threonine residue of a target protein. Bacterial species such as *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Neisseria* spp. contain functional O-linked glycosylation systems (reviewed in references 5, 44, and 53), but this activity has not been observed in *Salmonella* spp. In *E. coli*, O-linked protein glycosylation is limited to three different autotransporter proteins, AIDA-1, TibA, and Ag43 (51, 52, 89, 155, 370, 537). Unlike the complex O- and N-linked glycosylation systems of *Campylobacter* spp., which require multiple enzymes for biosynthesis and linkage of the respective glycans (described below), the *E. coli* glycosylation machinery is relatively simple. In *E. coli*, a single, specific glycosyltransferase promotes the addition of heptoses to multiple serine or threonine residues of a corresponding autotransporter protein (51, 52, 89, 155, 332, 370, 428). However, complementation studies have shown that these glycosyltransferases can mediate the characteristic glycosylation of noncognate autotransporters (332, 428, 537). The heptose sugars used as substrates for modification of *E. coli* proteins are derived from the LPS biosynthesis pathway rather than being synthesized by specific enzymes dedicated solely to forming the O-linked glycan (52). Glycosylation of the *E. coli* autotransporters appears to increase the stability of the proteins or assist the proteins in serving as adhesins (52, 89, 155, 332, 370, 537).

**O-linked glycosylation of *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* flagellins.***  
*Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* spp. use an O-linked glycosylation system for modification of flagellins, which is essential for filament formation and motility (137, 218, 231, 299, 373, 410, 521, 597). Evidence for posttranslational modification of the *Campylobacter* flagellins was first observed in *C. coli*, and the process was then characterized for both *C. coli* and *C. jejuni* (8, 381). Subsequently, other epsilonproteobacteria, including *H. felis* and *H. pylori*, were found to also glycosylate flagellins (296, 299, 521). Initial work suggested that the attached glycans were composed of sialic acid due to lectins specific for this saccharide binding to flagellins (137). However, the structures of the glycans were subsequently found to be composed of two structurally similar 9-carbon saccharides, pseudaminic acid (PseAc7Ac; PseAc) and legionaminic acid (LegAm), depending on the bacterial species (380, 411, 521, 597). PseAc is the major glycan found on the *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* flagellins (380, 409, 410, 520, 521, 597). However, *C. jejuni* flagellins also contain modified versions of PseAc, including those with an acetamidino substitution (Pse5Am7Ac; PseAm), an O-acetyl addition (Pse5Ac7Ac8OAc), or an N-acetylglutamine attachment (Ps5Am7Ac8GlnAc) (520, 597). In contrast, the glycans of *H. pylori* flagellins are modified only with PseAc (521). Some *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* strains also modify flagellins with LegAm derivatives containing acetamidino and N-methylacetamidoyl additions (resulting in Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac, respectively) (230, 380, 411, 597). These LegAm derivatives are structurally similar to the PseAm glycan of *C. jejuni* flagellins.

The glycosylation of *Campylobacter* flagellins contributes 10% of the mass of the proteins, making these flagellins the most heavily glycosylated bacterial proteins known to date (597). Glycosylation of *H. pylori* flagellins is also extensive and is responsible for 4% of the total protein mass (520, 521). The FlaA flagellins of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* are glycosylated on 16 to 19 serine or threonine residues, whereas *H. pylori* FlaA is modified on 7 residues (521). Additionally, the *H. pylori* FlaB minor flagellin is modified on 10 serines or threonines (521). Glycosylation of FlaB of *Campylobacter* spp. has been reported to occur but currently remains uncharacterized (377). For the purposes of this section of the review, “flagellin” is used to refer mainly to FlaA. The glycosylated serines or threonines of the *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* flagellins are found within the proposed D2 and D3 domains of the protein (521, 597). For *Salmonella* FlIC, these domains are the exposed regions of the flagellins once they are assembled into the filament (663). Assuming that the *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter* flagellins are folded similarly once incorporated into the filament, modification of the serines or threonines within the D2 and D3 domains may result in coverage of the external surface of the filament with glycans. Analysis of the sequences surrounding the glycosylated residues has not revealed a strict consensus sequence for modification (597). Instead, serines or threonines immediately downstream of hydrophobic amino acids within the D2 and D3 regions may be favored for glycosylation. In addition, glycosylation of 3 of the 19 serines or threonines of the *C. jejuni* flagellin is required for complete filament biosynthesis and motility (167).

Glycosylation of the *Campylobacter* flagellins occurs independently of the FlgSR two-component system, the flagellar
T3SS, and FlhF (167), which indicates that flagellin glycosylation likely occurs in the cytoplasm before secretion of the proteins. As described above, these three sets of proteins are required for expression of the C. jejuni σ^54 regulon (40, 80, 253, 255, 301, 651). One gene required for O-linked glycosylation of the flagellins is pseB, which is also part of the σ^54 regulon (80, 218, 410). pseB encodes the enzyme that converts the starting compound UDP-GlcNAc to the first intermediate of the PseAc biosynthesis pathway (Fig. 10) (114, 410, 527, 528). In a mutant that lacks σ^54, pseB expression is reduced 4-fold, indicating that expression of this gene may also rely on σ^70 (218). Thus, at least one component of the PseAc pathway is partly coexpressed with flagellar operons, which links these two systems for proper flagellar biosynthesis. However, glycosylation of flagellins still occurs in C. jejuni mutants defective for expression of σ^54-dependent genes (167). Thus, the level of σ^54-independent expression of pseB is sufficient for glycosylation of flagellins during in vitro growth. Determining whether full expression of pseB is required for glycosylation of the Campylobacter flagellins in vivo or in some other environmental setting remains to be done.

(i) Biosynthesis of Campylobacter and Helicobacter O-linked glycans. In C. jejuni, C. coli, and H. pylori, the major flagellin glycan modification is PseAc, which is generated by multiple enzymes encoded by the pse genes (Fig. 10) (93, 113, 218, 231, 299, 373, 410, 457, 521, 526, 528, 560, 597). Much of the

FIG. 10. O-linked protein glycosylation systems of Campylobacter and Helicobacter species. The O-linked protein glycosylation systems result in the production of different types of glycans linked to flagellin proteins. The PseAc pathway begins with UDP-GlcNAc and is relatively conserved in Campylobacter and Helicobacter spp. The PseAm pathway of C. jejuni converts CMP-PseAc to CMP-PseAm for addition of the glycan to flagellin. The LegAm pathway of C. coli begins with an unknown sugar to result in production of Leg5Am7Ac or Leg5AmNMe7Ac for addition to flagellins. The pathways of the O-linked glycosylation systems result in the production of nucleotide-linked glycans (CMP-glycans) for addition to flagellins. Due to the production of two different glycans within C. jejuni and C. coli, flagellin proteins are modified heterogeneously with different sugars. GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; PseAc, pseudaminic acid; PseAm, pseudaminic acid with acetamidino addition; LegAm, legionaminic acid; Leg5Am7Ac, legionaminic acid with acetamidino modification; and Leg5AmNMe7Ac, legionaminic acid with N-methylacetamidoyl modification.
information regarding PseAc biosynthesis has been elucidated from studies of C. jejuni strain 81-176 (410). UDP-α-D-GlcNac is the precursor for PseAc and PseAm, which are formed through the actions of six enzymes (PseB, PseC, PseG, PseH, PseI, and PseF) (Fig. 10). Mutants lacking any of these enzymes fail to produce PseAc or PseAm and are nonmotile (410). Flagellins of a pseA mutant are modified only with PseAc, not with PseAm, indicating that PseA catalyzes production of PseAm from PseAc (Fig. 10) (410, 597). PseE and PseD do not appear to be involved in PseAc or PseAm biosynthesis, as mutants lacking the respective genes produce both glycans (410). Instead, PseE and PseD are thought to function as glycosyltransferases that add PseAc and PseAm, respectively, to the flagellins.

H. pylori has a simpler O-linked protein glycosylation system, primarily attaching only the PseAc glycan to flagellins (520, 521, 527). The H. pylori PseAc biosynthetic pathway uses enzymes that are homologs of the C. jejuni PseAc pathway (114, 299, 526–528). As with C. jejuni, mutation of any H. pylori pse gene results in unmodified flagellins (299, 521). The sequence of reactions in the H. pylori PseAc biosynthetic pathway has been verified in vitro, with PseAc being synthesized from the UDP-α-D-GalNac precursor by sequential addition of each purified enzyme (527).

The ptm genes in C. coli are required for generation of the LegAm derivatives Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac (Fig. 10) (230, 411). These genes are absent from the well-studied LegAm derivatives Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac (Fig. 10) (410, 597). These genes are absent from the well-studied LegAm derivatives Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac (Fig. 10) (410, 597). PseE and PseD do not appear to be involved in PseAc or PseAm biosynthesis, as mutants lacking the respective genes produce both glycans (410). Instead, PseE and PseD are thought to function as glycosyltransferases that add PseAc and PseAm, respectively, to the flagellins.

H. pylori has a simpler O-linked protein glycosylation system, primarily attaching only the PseAc glycan to flagellins (520, 521, 527). The H. pylori PseAc biosynthetic pathway uses enzymes that are homologs of the C. jejuni PseAc pathway (114, 299, 526–528). As with C. jejuni, mutation of any H. pylori pse gene results in unmodified flagellins (299, 521). The sequence of reactions in the H. pylori PseAc biosynthetic pathway has been verified in vitro, with PseAc being synthesized from the UDP-α-D-GalNac precursor by sequential addition of each purified enzyme (527).

The ptm genes in C. coli are required for generation of the LegAm derivatives Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac (Fig. 10) (230, 411). These genes are absent from the well-studied C. jejuni strain 81-176, but some other C. jejuni strains appear to carry homologs of a few ptm genes (185, 473). A survey of C. jejuni isolates from animals and avian species has provided evidence for production of Leg5Am7Ac and Leg5AmNMe7Ac in these strains, indicating that some C. jejuni strains have the capacity to glycosylate flagellins with these saccharides (268). Mutation of the ptmA to -G genes in C. coli results in loss of all LegAm derivatives (230, 380, 409). In these mutants, flagellins are modified with PseAc and associated derivatives instead of LegAm derivatives, elimination of either system does not affect the levels of flagellins in lysates (218). However, elimination of both glycan biosynthesis systems severely reduces levels of unglycosylated flagellins (218). These observations indicate that flagellin glycosylation may be important for stability of the proteins. However, C. jejuni flagellar secretory apparatus mutants, which are hindered for flagellin secretion out of the cytoplasm, show similarly reduced levels of flagellins to those for a psecC mutant (167). Since flagellins are glycosylated in the absence of the flagellar secretory apparatus (167), these results indicate that reduced flagellin levels, regardless of glycosylation state, may be due to degradation in the absence of secretion. Interestingly, multiple studies have also reported that some mutants that fail to produce the glycans, and thus the filament, also appear to lack flagellar hooks (218, 231, 299). However, hook proteins do not appear to be glycosylated, at least as reported by one study (137). Thus, it is currently unclear if glycan production is directly involved in hook biosynthesis or if the lack of filament production in glycosylation-deficient mutants results in instability of the hooks.

It is possible that glycosylation of flagellins may be involved directly in subunit-subunit interactions that facilitate filament polymerization. As described above, the D1 domain of the...
Campylobacter and Helicobacter flagellins does not stimulate TLR5 (18). The D1 domain of the Salmonella FlIC flagellin is involved in subunit-subunit contacts for filament polymerization (663). Considering that Campylobacter and Helicobacter spp. have altered D1 domains relative to that in Salmonella FlIC, compensatory mutations in the flagellins may be necessary to promote stacking of the flagellins for proper synthesis of a functional filament. Alternatively, the glycan modifications may contribute to some confirmations that allow for filament biosynthesis with flagellins that have altered D1 domains in these bacteria.

As demonstrated with wild-type H. pylori and specific mutants of C. jejuni and C. coli, heterogeneity of glycan modifications is not required for filament biosynthesis (218, 380, 597). Thus, filament biosynthesis occurs as long as the flagellins are modified with one of the specific O-linked glycans. However, one study revealed that the properties of the filament appear to be different when it is modified by predominantly one glycan. In a C. coli pseB mutant that produces a filament modified solely by LegAm derivatives, the filament is easily dissociated by SDS, unlike the case for isogenic strains that modify flagellins with solely PseAc or a combination of PseAc and LegAm derivatives (218). These findings suggest that specific glycan modifications may influence filament stability under different environmental conditions.

Another altered phenotype observed in mutants that produce only homogenous glycan modifications is autoagglutination (AAG) (231, 268, 608). Campylobacter spp. demonstrate an AAG phenotype that likely impacts the ability to form microcolonies and biofilms, both of which may be important for interactions with intestinal epithelial cells and other aspects of colonization of hosts (60, 231, 268). Many factors have been linked to the AAG phenotype, including flagella (210, 423). More specifically, heterogeneity of O-linked glycosylation of flagella appears to be one factor that mediates AAG (231, 268, 608). Campylobacter mutants with limited O-linked glycan diversity are reduced for AAG, adherence to and invasion of INT407 cells, commensal colonization of chicks, and virulence in a ferret model of pathogenesis (231, 268, 608). In addition, the AAG phenotype in C. jejuni is dependent on the glycosylation of seven specific serine or threonine residues, including two serines whose glycosylation is required for wild-type filament biogenesis and motility (167). Because the glycans are thought to be on the exposed regions of the flagellins in the flagellar filament, it has been proposed that different glycans on the flagella of adjacent bacteria likely interact to promote AAG, which presumably provides an advantage during in vivo growth in a host.

N-Linked Protein Glycosylation System of C. jejuni

N-linked protein glycosylation results in attachment of a saccharide to the amide nitrogen of an asparagine residue. This type of glycosylation is rare in bacteria, with only C. jejuni and H. influenzae having demonstrated this activity (224, 371, 588, 665). The C. jejuni N-linked glycosylation system is complex, containing multiple biosynthetic enzymes for modification of many proteins, whereas the H. influenzae system is simpler, involving modification of a single protein with hexose subunits (224, 371, 372, 450, 665). Other epsilonproteobacte-ria, including a few Helicobacter species other than H. pylori, and a few delta proteobacteria appear to contain genes that could function in N-linked protein glycosylation, but experimental confirmation of this activity is lacking (28, 287, 587). Because of the excellent progress in elucidating the N-linked protein glycosylation system of C. jejuni, this bacterium has become a model system for analysis of this type of glycobiochemistry.

Biochemistry of N-linked glycan. Evidence for a general protein glycosylation system in C. jejuni was first acquired when mutations in the 12-gene pgl locus diminished reactivity of proteins to typing antisera and GalNac-specific lectins such as soybean agglutinin (SBA) (371, 588). Using SBA affinity chromatography, more than 22 proteins were isolated and shown to have a glycan structure attached (371, 665). Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis of one of these proteins revealed that the glycan structure is a heptasaccharide (665). This heptasaccharide consists of a linear chain of five GalNac residues (with one having a glucose attachment) connected to β-D-bacillosamine (2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-β-D-glucopyranose) (Fig. 11), which is consistent with many studies identifying lectins specific for GalNac residues reacting with C. jejuni proteins in a pgl-dependent manner (371, 372, 588, 610, 665). Unlike the variability seen with the O-linked glycan attached to flagellins of Campylobacter spp., the N-linked glycan demonstrates very little variability (665).

A conserved sequence for glycosylation in C. jejuni has been identified and consists of D/E-X-N-X-S/T (where N is the modified asparagine and X can be any amino acid except for proline) (348, 450, 665). This consensus sequence is nearly identical to the sequences of eukaryotic proteins modified by respective N-linked protein glycosylation systems. However, the requirement for aspartic acid or glutamic acid at the −2 position in the C. jejuni sequence is not favored in eukaryotic systems. Mutational analysis of C. jejuni proteins revealed that the consensus sequence is necessary but not always sufficient for glycosylation (347, 348). The lack of glycosylation at asparagine residues in some consensus sequence within C. jejuni proteins suggests that the local structure or flexibility of a protein may influence N-linked glycosylation of a specific site.

The pgl locus contains 12 genes that encode enzymes required for biosynthesis and linkage of the heptasaccharide to proteins in the periplasmic space (Fig. 11) (372, 588, 615). Formation of the glycan is a complex process whose chemistry has been detailed in various works and is summarized briefly below (207–209, 320, 372, 463). Biosynthesis of bacillosamine is a multistep process mediated by PglF, PglE, and PglD (Fig. 11). This saccharide is attached to undecaprenyl pyrophosphate (Und-PP) by PglC on the cytoplasmic face of the inner membrane. The glycosyltransferases PgLgA, PglJ, and PglH perform the sequential addition of five GalNac residues to the Und-PP-linked bacillosamine. PglA and PglJ transfer the first two GalNac residues, with PglH transferring the three terminal GalNac residues (Fig. 11). PglII transfers a glucose to one of the GalNac residues to complete the heptasaccharide. After biosynthesis of the glycan, the PglK flippase transports the lipid-linked heptasaccharide to the periplasm, where the PglB oligosaccharide transferase can attach the glycan to accepting asparagine residues of target proteins (Fig. 11).

Due to the typical simplicity of bacterial systems relative to
those of eukaryotes, the C. jejuni Pgl system has become a useful model for studying aspects of glycobiology specific for N-linked protein glycosylation systems. Increasing the feasibility of analyzing the C. jejuni system is the demonstration that the entire pgl operon can be coexpressed with a natural target in E. coli to result in proper N-linked glycosylation of the protein (615). By using an E. coli-based system, future research may allow for greater advances in glycoengineering of proteins and tailoring of modifications for various biological or medical purposes.

**Biological role of N-linked glycosylation in C. jejuni.** The biological function of the N-linked glycosylation system in C. jejuni has not been elucidated fully. As analyzed by in vitro and in vivo model systems, C. jejuni pgl mutants have demonstrated defects in host interactions. Mutation of pglE, pglF, pglH, pglB, pglD, or pglK causes significant reductions in commensal colonization of the chick intestinal tract (254, 313, 320). In addition, a complete Pgl system is required for wild-type levels of in vitro adherence to and invasion of human intestinal epithelial cells (313, 585). These studies suggest that N-linked protein glycosylation is required to mediate interactions with both human and avian hosts. However, it is not known specifically why this system is required for associations with hosts.

So far, over 30 C. jejuni N-linked periplasmic or outer membrane proteins have been identified (348, 665). It has been proposed that the glycan modifications may be required for the biological activity of the proteins. However, two studies have provided contradictory evidence for this hypothesis. Larsen et al. discovered that VirB10 (also known as ComB10), encoded by the pVir plasmid in some strains of C. jejuni, is glycosylated by the N-linked glycosylation system (361). virB10, along with other genes, encodes proteins with homology to components of T4SSs (31). VirB10 was found to be glycosylated on two different asparagine residues, and glycosylation of both sites is required for optimal stability of the protein (361). However, only one specific asparagine is required to be glycosylated for VirB10-dependent DNA transformation. Contrarily, Kakuda and DiRita discovered that the periplasmic protein Cj1496 is glycosylated at two asparagines by the Pgl system (304). Whereas Cj1496 is required for optimal levels of invasion of human intestinal epithelial cells and commensal colonization of the chick intestinal tract, mutation of the two glycosylation sites did not affect stability of the protein or cause a defect in invasion or colonization (304). Combined, these studies suggest that only a subset of glycosylated proteins may depend upon modification for stability or biological activity.

A recent study suggested that a major biological activity of the Pgl system may be to produce a free, unlinked periplasmic form of the heptasaccharide (452). By using semiquantitative mass spectrometry, Nothaft et al. discovered that approximately 90% of the glycan was found in a free oligosaccharide (fOS) form in the periplasm, and only 10% of the glycan was found to be N-linked to protein (452). Like that of the N-linked glycan, production of the fOS is dependent on many Pgl enzymes, including PglB, which suggests that PglB may promote fOS release from the lipid carrier in the absence of a protein acceptor (Fig. 11). Examination of various growth conditions revealed that high osmolarity due to increased NaCl concentrations reduced fOS production (452). Furthermore, mutation of pglB resulted in a significant decrease in the growth rate at high NaCl concentrations compared to that of the wild-type strain. Curiously, PglB-dependent fOS production was found to be reduced at high NaCl concentrations, but PglB-dependent N-linked glycosylation was not (452).
findings suggest that one function of the Pgl system is to produce periplasmic fOS to protect against fluctuations in different osmotic environments.

Initial evidence also suggests that the general protein glycosylation system may be important for influencing immune responses in a host (610). Macrophage galactose-type lectin (MGL), which is found on immature dendritic cells and subsets of macrophages, is able to bind N-linked proteins of C. jejuni by recognizing terminal GalNac residues (610). A C. jejuni pglA mutant that lacks N-linked glycan stimulates twice the amount of interleukin-6 (IL-6) production from human dendritic cells as that induced by wild-type bacteria, which suggests that the general protein glycosylation system may be important for dampening immune responses in the human host. Considering that the Pgl system can generate fOS (452), it is unknown if N-linked glycan or fOS may interact with MGL to alter immune responses.

Summary

The epsilonproteobacteria contain many fascinating biological systems. Among these systems are the O- and N-linked protein glycosylation systems, which function in the modification of proteins important for multiple physiological, colonization, and virulence properties. Not only are these systems important for host interactions, but the glycan structures also impact organelle development and, likely, bacterial cell structure. Furthermore, with the relative simplicity of bacterial systems compared to eukaryotic systems, along with great technological improvements in the analysis of carbohydrate synthesis, both Campylobacter and Helicobacter spp. have the opportunity to greatly impact the field of glycobiology by serving as model systems for understanding biosynthesis of these glycans.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

C. jejuni and H. pylori are medically important members of the physiologically diverse epsilonproteobacteria. Because this group of microorganisms is one of the most understudied, the types of biological systems employed by H. pylori and C. jejuni are often compared to those of enteric bacteria such as E. coli and Salmonella spp. While comparisons to these model pathogens can be useful and informative, the biological strategies employed by these prototypes do not always accurately represent those of more evolutionarily distant species such as H. pylori and C. jejuni. As this review illustrates, these two epsilonproteobacteria use highly distinct mechanisms to accomplish conserved processes compared to many model bacterial pathogens.

While flagellar systems in many motile bacterial species share common components and overall structure, a key aspect of flagellar motility that differs in C. jejuni and H. pylori is the use of two different σ factors (σ^{54} and σ^{32}) to coordinate flagellar gene expression (9, 80, 229, 232, 255, 368, 447, 455, 464, 473, 534, 571, 581). Furthermore, unlike other bacteria that encode σ^{32}, nearly all σ^{24}-dependent genes in C. jejuni and H. pylori encode flagellar proteins (80, 217, 447). Activation of σ^{54}-dependent gene expression relies on a complete T3SS to initiate a signal to activate the FlgSR two-component system (255, 300). However, how the T3SS may influence the FlgSR signaling system to activate σ^{54}-dependent gene expression is unknown. The molecular mechanism and specific signals required for activation of this unique signaling pathway certainly merit further study.

Another common biological mechanism found in C. jejuni and H. pylori that differs from those in Salmonella spp. and E. coli is the spatial and numerical control of flagellar biosynthesis. While it is clear that flagellar components such as the FlhF GTPase influence these processes, the precise mechanism by which FlhF and possibly other factors regulate numerical and spatial organization of flagella is unknown. Additionally, H. pylori produces a sheathed flagellum in a process that not only diverges from that in the model enteric bacteria but also is different from that in C. jejuni (200, 216). How the sheath is formed and the role that it plays in H. pylori motility remain further interesting yet unanswered questions.

Both C. jejuni and H. pylori display enormous amounts of genetic diversity (171, 580, 643). Furthermore, maintaining inter- and intrastrain diversity has been shown to provide a fitness advantage in vivo (43, 125, 279, 307, 643). Because of this fact, the ability to acquire new genes or alleles is of the utmost importance. As naturally competent species, C. jejuni and H. pylori are able to acquire and maintain exogenous DNA from the environment (278, 444, 629). Generally speaking, this process can be broken into two distinct fundamental processes: DNA uptake/transport and, in the case of nonplasmid DNA, homologous recombination.

The natural transformation systems of C. jejuni and H. pylori are relatively similar to one another but are quite distinct from those of other bacteria. C. jejuni employs both T2SS- and T4SS-based DNA uptake systems, a quality so far demonstrated only for this species (31, 641). However, only a subset of the typically necessary components of these two systems has been identified. Additional studies are needed to complete our understanding of how these DNA transformation systems operate and to determine the extent to which these systems contribute to genetic diversity in C. jejuni. Likewise, T4SS-mediated DNA transformation in H. pylori is unique. While nearly all of the constituents of the classical T4SS have been identified, there are still many uncharacterized aspects of natural transformation in H. pylori. For instance, the precise role of non-ComB factors in the natural transformation process needs further study. Another paradigm-shifting facet of natural transformation in H. pylori is the upregulation of competence genes in response to DNA damage (139). In the proposed model, the DNA damage response signal is somehow detected and amplified by RecA and subsequently results in lysis of neighboring bacteria. This type of behavior in response to DNA damage has not been described for any other bacterial species to date. Certainly, a clearer picture of how this process is regulated will provide considerable insight into how H. pylori maintains a high level of genetic diversity.

Once inside the bacterial cell, exogenous DNA must be processed further and integrated into the recipient genome. Our knowledge about the mechanisms and components required for recombination in C. jejuni and H. pylori is somewhat lacking. What is clear is that these pathogens utilize a combination of systems found in both Gram-negative (RecOR and RecA) and Gram-positive (AddAB) bacteria. Furthermore,
these systems do not show overlap in function like those of *E. coli*. These findings perhaps highlight the fact that these two epsilonproteobacteria are not as evolutionarily related to model microorganisms as once believed.

Iron is a critical factor for most organisms. As such, the iron uptake systems encoded by *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* have evolved to ensure that these bacteria are able to acquire iron from the most prevalent sources within their respective environments. While much information concerning potential iron sources and iron uptake systems has been discovered for these pathogens, there are many intriguing questions remaining. For example, while both *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* encode ferrous iron uptake systems (Feo), neither of these systems contains the accessory factors used by *E. coli* (498, 599). Furthermore, *C. jejuni* *feoB* mutants are still able to take up ferrous iron. Therefore, there are likely additional unidentified factors involved in ferrous iron uptake. Additionally, the *C. jejuni* membrane receptor CtuA shows multisubstrate specificity, which appears unique among iron uptake systems (419). Future studies are needed to identify the molecular determinants that facilitate this type of specificity.

Similar to most bacteria, *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* control the expression of iron uptake and storage systems by using the ferric uptake regulator, Fur. However, there are several unique aspects of Fur regulation in these pathogens that remain poorly understood. *H. pylori* Fur has evolved the ability to regulate gene expression in both the iron-bound and apo forms (130, 161). Indirect evidence for apo-Fur regulation also exists for *C. jejuni*, although this type of regulation has yet to be demonstrated conclusively (265). Furthermore, although iron-bound Fur regulation is fairly well understood, a highly conserved consensus Fe$^{2+}$-Fur recognition sequence has not yet been identified in either organism. In addition, the apo-Fur binding sequence in *H. pylori* has not been defined. The lack of a clear consensus apo-Fur binding site perhaps suggests that there are conformational differences in the DNA binding regions of Fe$^{2+}$-Fur and apo-Fur. In addition, it is also currently unclear whether apo-Fur functions as an oligomer (similar to Fe$^{2+}$-Fur) or whether an alternative form of the protein is required for this type of regulation. Moreover, direct Fe$^{2+}$-Fur-mediated activation has been demonstrated definitively for only one gene (*nifS*) in *H. pylori*, and the possible mechanisms underlying activation have not been determined (6). Given the distal location of the Fur binding sites in *H. pylori* Fe$^{2+}$-Fur-activated promoters (6, 197), it is not intuitively clear whether or not Fur would be able to interact directly with RNAP or whether another factor is involved in facilitating activation.

The recent publication of the *H. pylori* primary transcriptome highlights a possible role for regulatory RNAs in the iron-responsive regulatory networks of this organism. Sequence homology suggests that at least a few of these sRNAs may also influence expression of known or suspected Fur-regulated genes (534). However, further studies are needed to confirm these predictions as well as to answer many other questions. For instance, although expression of many of these putative sRNAs has been confirmed, a role for any of these sRNAs has not yet been determined. Additionally, many bacterial sRNAs require the RNA chaperone Hfq to regulate gene expression; however, an Hfq homologue has not been identified in *H. pylori*. While this type of analysis has not yet been performed with *C. jejuni*, the genomic similarities of these epsilonproteobacteria may allow researchers to determine whether similar sRNAs are present in *C. jejuni*. Certainly, many interesting questions remain to be answered concerning the unique mechanisms by which the epsilonproteobacteria acquire, store, and utilize iron.

A critical step in bacterial pathogenesis is the ability to efficiently colonize the host. Both *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* have evolved a repertoire of virulence and colonization factors that facilitate host-pathogen interactions. A major factor in the ability of *C. jejuni* to initiate and maintain infection of humans is invasion of intestinal epithelial cells. Invasion is mediated partially by Cia effector proteins, which are secreted through the flagellar T3SS (94, 339). Curiously, the involvement of Cia proteins in invasion varies among *C. jejuni* strains and may be contingent upon the host cell type (454). Thus, there are likely other invasion factors that have not yet been identified. Another intriguing but poorly understood aspect of *C. jejuni* intracellular survival is precisely how the bacterium hijacks the microtubule network for invasion and exploits the host cell to induce CCV formation. In contrast to *C. jejuni*, *H. pylori* is not generally considered an invasive pathogen *per se*. However, some studies have reported invasion and intracellular survival during *H. pylori* infection (13, 98, 165, 358, 579). Since invasiveness does not seem to be the predominant lifestyle of *H. pylori*, future studies are required to better understand the biological significance of intracellular survival and replication during *H. pylori* infection, as well as the mechanisms used in these processes.

The protein glycosylation systems employed by *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* are key elements in the biology of these microorganisms. The modification of flagellins with O-linked sugars is essential for flagellar biosynthesis. A leading hypothesis proposes that the O-linked glycans facilitate flagellar filament polymerization, but it is not understood how this might occur. In addition, the flagellin glycosylation system is functional upon expression in *E. coli*, further analyses of the mechanisms and components involved in this pathway will likely pave the way for engineering recombinant glycoproteins; this type of glycoprotein engineering could have significant implications for biomedical sciences, such as for diagnostic or therapeutic purposes.

Although there are many understudied areas of *C. jejuni* and *H. pylori* biology, it is clear that these epsilonproteobacteria employ many unique and interesting biological systems. Further study of these systems will undoubtedly provide valuable insight into the biology of these fascinating microorganisms.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research in the laboratory of David R. Hendrixson is supported by NIH grant R01 AI05539 and by National Research Initiative grants 2006-35201-17382 and 2009-35201-35039 from the USDA Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service Food Safety Program. Research in the laboratory of D. Scott Merrell is made possible by grant AI065529 from the NIAID, grant R073PW from USUHS, and grant 300411-7.20-60393 from USMCI. Jeremy J. Gilbreath is supported by the Robert D. Watkins Graduate Research Fellowship from the American Society for Microbiology.

The contents of the manuscript are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or the DOD.

REFERENCES


adherence of the diarrhoegenic Escherichia coli strain 27897 (O126:H27), is synthesized via a precursor molecule. Mol. Microbiol. 6:1539–1546.


coli RvA and RvB proteins specifically interact with Holliday junctions and promote branch migration. Genes Dev. 6:2214–2220.


Jeremy J. Gilbreath was born in Kodiak, AK, and moved to rural Heber Springs, AR, where he was raised. Despite the close proximity of towns in rural Arkansas, he managed to live there for over 20 years without ever meeting his current Ph.D. mentor. After serving in the U.S. Navy, he obtained a B.S. in Clinical Laboratory Science from Idaho State University. True to his Alaskan heritage, while attending college in Idaho, Jeremy developed a passion for fly-fishing and spending time in the rugged mountain country. He is currently pursuing his Ph.D. in Emerging Infectious Diseases at the Uniformed Services University in Bethesda, MD, and was recently named an ASM Robert D. Watkins Graduate Research Fellow. Jeremy's interest in bacterial physiology and protein biochemistry is reflected in his research on iron-responsive gene regulation and the structure-function relationship between the iron-bound and apo forms of the Fur protein of Helicobacter pylori.

William L. Cody is an adjunct faculty member at Richland College. His research interests include bacterial pathogenesis and the transcriptional activation of virulence factors. He received his B.S. at Xavier University of Louisiana and his Ph.D. in Microbiology from the University of Colorado, Denver. As a graduate student, he studied the AlgZ/R two-component signal transduction system and the regulation of hydrogen cyanide production in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. As a postdoctoral research scientist at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, he worked on research projects that included the characterization of small RNA-binding proteins and the analysis of capsule production in Campylobacter jejuni.

D. Scott Merrell was born and raised in rural Bald Knob, AR. Scotty left the south to complete his Ph.D. studies at Tufts Medical School and to conduct postdoctoral training at Stanford University. He is currently an Associate Professor in the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the Uniformed Services University in Bethesda, MD. Intrigued by the stress response and how bacteria manage to colonize inhospitable environments, Scotty’s research group studies colonization and virulence factors of the gastric pathogen Helicobacter pylori. Scotty is a former Applied Genomics of Infectious Diseases ID Training Fellow and Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Cancer Fund Postdoctoral Fellow and received a Merck Irving S. Sigal Memorial Award for excellence in basic research in medical microbiology and infectious diseases. Despite his many years away from Arkansas, Scotty still confesses a secret passion for Southern-fried catfish, hush puppies, pickled green tomatoes, and the use of “y’all” in polite conversation.

David R. Hendrixson is an Associate Professor of Microbiology at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas. After earning a B.S. in Microbiology at the University of Arkansas, he followed his interest in the biology of bacterial organisms by studying the adherence mechanisms of Haemophilus influenzae under the mentorship of Joseph W. St. Geme at Washington University in St. Louis, MO. After earning a Ph.D. in Molecular Microbiology and Microbial Pathogenesis, he received further training in bacterial genetics during his postdoctoral fellowship with Victor J. DiRita in the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of Michigan Medical School. During this time, he developed many genetic tools for Campylobacter jejuni and employed various methodologies to uncover a variety of interesting genetic regulatory mechanisms and colonization factors of the bacterium. These pursuits continue in his own research program at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center.