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Osteoblasts (OB) grew into bone-like tissue in a 3D model system. Pre-OB matured to OB and eventually to osteocyte-like cells. These cells met the criteria of osteocytes based on shape and protein expression. In addition, the density was similar to that reported for calvaria bone. The 3-D system was used to examine the interaction of metastatic breast cancer cells, MDA-MB-231, with OB. The cancer cells brought about profound effects on the osteoid tissue. The OB changed from cuboidal to spindle shape. The cancer cells aligned into an “Indian filing” pattern, and penetrated the osteoid. Metastasis suppressed MDA-MB-231-BRMS cells attached loosely to the osteoblasts but did not colonize or penetrate the tissue. Using RT-PCR, we found that metastatic cancer cells downregulated OB differentiation proteins but increased inflammatory cytokines. We have tried to modify these effects by changing the oxidative status of the microenvironment with selenium and by adding drugs commonly used to treat metastatic breast cancer, zoledronc acid (ZOL). ZOL’s main known target is the osteoclast but we found that it clearly affects osteoblasts and cancer cells. The OB morphology changes were inhibited. Selenium supplementation caused changes in the osteoblast cell morphology and permitted cancer cell growth but in a different pattern than seen in the deficient cultures. In summary the model mimics in vivo bone metastatic colonization. The results show that the osteoblasts are a major target.
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INTRODUCTION:

Breast cancer frequently metastasizes to the skeleton where it disrupts the balance between osteoblasts and osteoclasts and leads to osteolytic degradation (Bussard et al. 2008). The objective of this study was to test the hypothesis that osteolytic bone metastases results partly from the affect of the cancer cells on the osteoblasts, i.e. the cancer cells prevent osteoblasts from accreting mineralized tissue ultimately leading to accelerated skeletal degradation. In order to test this idea, we proposed to develop an existing three-dimensional culture system into an in vitro test system for studying the interactions between osteoblasts and metastatic breast cancer cells. The objectives were to characterize the morphology and physiology of osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) cultured as a 3D osteoid in a bioreactor and to determine how they reacted to the presence of human metastatic breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231). In addition we proposed to determine how this interaction was affected by changing the oxidative state of the microenvironment with selenium supplementation. We also used this system to determine how the currently used drugs, e.g. zoledronic acid, affected osteoblasts and cancer cells in the 3D model.

BODY:

Task 1. To determine the effects of metastatic breast cancer cells on the physiology of osteoblasts cultured in a long term culture system that fosters growth in three-dimensions.

a. Establish cultures of MC3T3-E1 cells in bioreactors and add metastatic breast cancer cells at various times after the establishment of culture (4, 7, 15, 30 days). Periodically sample the secreted materials in the growth chamber that will indicate osteoblast function. ELISA or RIA will be carried out for OCN, IL-6, MIP-2, MCP-1. Alkaline phosphatase will be assayed by a biochemical assay. Culture medium from cells grown in standard tissue culture will be compared. For control cells in selected assays, a human immortalized nontumorgenic cell line, such as hTERT–HME1 will be used.

b. Establish cultures of MC3T3-E1 cells in bioreactors and add metastatic breast cancer cells at various times after the establishment of culture bioreactors as in task 1-a. Terminate the cultures periodically to assay the cells for cell associated alkaline phosphatase, Type I collagen, mineralization (alizarin red, von Kossa) and for apoptosis (TUNEL).

Task 2. To determine the effects of metastatic breast cancer cells on osteoblast morphology in a long term bioreactor culture system that fosters growth in 3-dimensions.

a. Co–cultures of osteoblasts and breast cancer cells will be prepared as in task 1. The stage of differentiation of the osteoblasts and the time of the addition of the cancer cells will be decided based on the results of task 1. Co-cultures from the bioreactor and conventional cultures will be fixed to preserve morphological detail.

b. Part of each culture will be fixed with paraformaldehyde following a protocol to preserve GFP. These cultures will be imaged with confocal fluorescence microscopy to detect the metastatic breast cancer cell migration.

c. Part of each culture will be prepared for detection of apoptosis (TUNEL). The GFP tag of the cancer cells will allow us to distinguish apoptotic cancer cells from apoptotic osteoblasts.

d. Part of each culture will be prepared for scanning electron microscopic observation. We anticipate that we will be able to distinguish cancer cells from osteoblasts in these preparations based on size and shape.

e. Part of each culture will be prepared for the transmission electron microscopy. We will view the cells with an eye to fine structural detail.
Summary of the results

Parts of tasks 1 and 2 were pursued in parallel to maximize efficiency in achieving aims of the proposed work and to provide internal consistency in the work by using live cells/biological materials in a conserved timeframe. Much of this work is published; each publication is referred to.

Main finding: Osteoblasts, both lines and primary cells, grew in a specialized bioreactor where they differentiated and formed osteoid and bone-like tissue. They expressed characteristic differentiation genes and secreted osteoblast molecules and cytokines. In long term cultures the osteoblasts differentiated into osteocytes and bone depositions occurred. Metastatic cancer cells grew in co-culture with the osteoblasts; they penetrated and degraded the osteoid tissue. The cancer cells caused the osteoblasts to undergo a morphological change from cuboidal cells to spindle-shaped cells. The osteoblasts showed reduced production of differentiation proteins, e.g. OCN, ALKP, but increased production of inflammatory molecules such as IL-6. Metastasis suppressed isologous cells only loosely attached to the osteoblasts and grew very slowly. They did not affect osteoblast differentiation or cause an inflammatory response.


We developed a bioreactor based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis (Figure 1) which we used to culture lines of murine (MC3T3-E1, calvaria, pre-osteoblasts) and human (hFOB1.19, embryonic osteoblasts) for extended periods, i.e. up to 10 months. The cells proliferated and grew into tissue consisting of 6-8 cell layers of mature osteoblasts in the bioreactor. This growth pattern was not achievable with these cells in standard tissue culture plastic (see also Shuman et al. manuscript appended and discussion below). Although similar patterns were seen in the first 15 days of culture, but by 30 days the tissue culture plastic cultures had become unstable, while those in the bioreactor continued to differentiate and lay down an extracellular matrix (ECM). Light microscopy and scanning and transmission electron microscopy were used to phenotype the cultures. The images showed multilayers of osteoblasts embedded in an ECM (Figure 2). The cells differentiate as evidenced by expression of bone alkaline phosphatase and staining with alizarin red. The murine cells also showed mineralization based on von Kossa staining and mineralized nodules visible by electron microscopy (Table 1).
Table 1: MC3T3-E1 Cells in Conventional Culture Compared with Those in Compartmentalized Bioreactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Conventional Culture</th>
<th>Compartmentalized Bioreactor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days in culture</td>
<td>15 30 15 30 60 90 120</td>
<td>15 30 4-6 4-6 4-6 4-6 4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cell layers</td>
<td>1-2 1-2 1-2 4-6 4-6 4-6</td>
<td>1-2 4-6 4-6 4-6 4-6 4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosphatase</td>
<td>1.14±0.01 1.27±0.17 2.94±0.05 6.88±0.55 ND ND ND</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red (µmol)</td>
<td>4.59±0.12 5.07±0.09 5.28±0.05 6.88±0.55 ND ND ND</td>
<td>ND ND ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von Kossa</td>
<td>+ + ++ ++ ++ ++ ++</td>
<td>+ observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralized nodules</td>
<td>- +/- + + + + +</td>
<td>- not observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measures of osteoblast maturity.  
* nmol/mg protein per min.  
* Extent of Mineralization.

Figure 2. Microscopic examination of matrix derived from MC3T3-E1 cultured in a bioreactor for 70 days. (A) Scanning electron microscopy of the outer layer showing a highly fibrous network with bone nodules.  
(B) Optical micrograph of a histologic workup showing layers of collagen with imbedded osteoblasts.  
(C) Cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy showing mineralized fibers running in (IP annotation) and out (OP annotation) of the plane.


The initial bioreactor growth patterns were based on testing the influences of various substrates on the phenotypic and genotypic responses of a human osteoblast line, hFOB1.19, in vitro. These studies aided in the choice of appropriate materials to use in the bioreactors system.


When the osteoblasts were cultured in the bioreactor for extended periods, i.e. up to 10 months, they transitioned to osteocytes. (Figure 3). After inoculation into the bioreactors, the osteoblasts appeared as fibroblast-like cells, but as culture progressed they became more “cobblestone” in appearance. Over about two months, the density of cells increased. Over this time, the number of cell layers also increased. As the culture time continued, the cell layers decreased again to a monolayer of osteocyte-like cells. (Figure 4). These cultures expressed osteocyte differentiation proteins such as E-11, DMP-1 and scherostin (Table 2.) This is the first evidence of this differentiation process in culture. We also counted
the cells to determine how the numbers compared with those in human bone. We estimated that there were about 3.4x10^4 osteocytes/mm^3 which compares favorably with 1.3X10^4 osteocytes/mm^3 reported for human bone (Sugawara et al. 2005).

We also noted that with extended time in culture, mineralization sometimes occurred. Mineral chips taken from a 10 month culture were analyzed by x-ray diffraction or FTIR. The patterns were similar to bovine bone, used for comparison (Figure 5). We do not yet understand all the parameters involved in the formation of the bone chips.

Task 2. To determine the effects of metastatic breast cancer cells on osteoblast morphology in a long term bioreactor culture system that fosters growth in three dimensions.

Dhurjati, R, V. Krishnan, L.A. Shuman, A. M. Mastro and E. A. Vogler. Metastatic breast cancer cells colonize and degrade three-dimensional osteoblastic tissue in vitro. Clin Exp Metastasis 25: 741-752, 2008. (appended) (This publication was cited by The Faculty of 1000, Medicine, a literature-awareness service that identifies the most important publication in medicine).

Osteoblasts grown in the bioreactor for several months were challenged in human metastatic MDA-MB-231 cancer cells which are known to invade the skeleton in a xenograft mode (Phadke et al. 2006). The cancer cells attached to the osteoblast tissue and formed colonies (Figure 6). The cancer cells penetrated the osteoid tissue with long processes (Figure 6, B) and also exhibited “single cell, aka “Indian Filing”, (Figure 6, C). Exposure of the osteoblasts to conditioned medium from the cancer cells also disrupted actin organization (Figure 6, D,E). In addition, we saw that the osteoblasts in the presence of the cancer cells for just 3 days, changed shape from cuboidal to elongated. They aligned themselves in parallel arrays. The cancer cells lined up in the same direction along the arrays (Figure 7). Again, the age of the osteoblast culture was important. The younger the osteoblast culture, the more the cancer cells appeared to proliferate, but the older the culture the more the cancer cells behaved like aggressive tumors, i.e. penetration and single cell filing (Figure 8). Metastasis suppressed MDA-MB-231BRMS behaved very differently (Figure 9). These cells attached loosely to the osteoblast layer and did not form large colonies. After several days some appeared to undergo apoptosis perhaps due to anoikis. This finding is consistent with their behavior in vivo.

Table 2: Temporal gene expression of differentiation markers in MC3T3-E1 cultured in the bioreactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Months in culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>0.54a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteonectin</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I Collagen</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-11</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP-1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerostin</td>
<td>Nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yield of RNA 1100 ng/uL 160 ng/uL 180 ng/uL 12 ng/uL
Figure 3: Progression in cell morphology monitored by confocal microscopy of Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin stained MC3T3E-1 within the bioreactor over 10 mo of culture (compare with Fig. 2). (A) “Cobblestone”-shaped osteoblast-like cells matured from fibroblastic pre-osteoblasts within 3 wk. (B) Elongated cells appeared with development of many cellular processes within 1.2 mo. (C) Density of cells enmeshed in a dense collagenous matrix (appears black and see Figs. 4 and 8 in Dhurjati et al. (2006)) decreased over 2 mo. (D) One to two layers of stellate cells with many intercellular contacts after 2–10 mo of continuous culture. Scale bar represents 50μm.

Figure 4: Phenotypic maturation of MC3T3E-1 within the bioreactor over 10 mo continuous culture. An exponential-like decrease in the number of cell layers with time (left-hand axis) translated into a linearlike decrease in cell-layer/tissue-thickness ratio (right-hand axis). This finding was consistent with the process of bone maturation that resulted in transformation of proliferating pre-osteoblasts into nondividing osteoblasts that become engulfed in mineralized matrix and mature into osteocytes (Eriksen et al. 2007). Data within gray box were previously reported in (Dhurjati et al. 2008).
**Figure 5:** Macroscopic mineral deposits on the growth chamber side of the bioreactor dialysis membrane. Mineral chip taken from a 10-mo bioreactor dialysis membrane were prepared for X-ray diffraction or FTIR spectral analyses as described in the “Materials and Methods” section. (A) The X-ray diffraction pattern was similar to bovine bone (inset). (B) The chip was comprised of close-packed spherical nodules. (C) FTIR spectra of chips recovered from 5 and 10 mo bioreactors showing changes in chemical composition with deposition time.

**Figure 6:** Fig. 3 MDA-MB-231GFP breast cancer cell invasion of MC3T3-E1 derived OT grown for 5 months within the bioreactor. OT (stained with Cell Tracker OrangeTM) was cocultured with MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (BCs) genetically engineered to produce GFP. Confocal images (scale bar = 50 μm, magnification = 409) were collected over 3 days (Panels A–C). These representative images are interpreted as stages of BC adhesion (Panel A, day one), penetration (Panel B, day two), and replication/organization into characteristic filing patterns (Panel C, day three), respectively (see also Fig. 4). Phalloidin-stained OT grown for 16 days in the bioreactor (Panel D) was compared to similar tissue exposed to MDA-MB-231 conditioned medium for 2 weeks (Panel E, scale bar = 50 μm, magnification = 409). Note that exposure to conditioned media disrupted actin fiber organization in OT. Draq5 (Biostatus, Shepshed, UK) stained nuclei (blue) reveal concomitant nuclear shrinkage.
Figure 7: Fig. 4 MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell (BC) invasion of MC3T3-E1 derived OT grown for 5 months the bioreactor (see also Figs. 1, 3). BCs were added to a 5 month OT culture as described as in the legend to Fig. 3. Optical sections (409, scale bar = 50 μm) at various depths within OT at successive days in culture were collected by laser scanning confocal microscopy. It appeared that BCs fully penetrated OT only in a few locations within day 1 of coculture. Penetration increased over days 2 and 3. Linear-like organization of breast cancer cells and osteoblasts within the tissue was evident beginning at day 2 but more obvious at day 3. Optical reconstructions of serial sections over 3 days (Panels B–D respectively, 409) revealed significant reorganization and permeablization of OT.
Figure 8: Qualitative aspects of MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cell (BC) interaction correlate with MC3T3-E1 derived OT maturity. An exponential-like decrease in the number of cell layers with time (left-hand axis, graph) translated into a linear-like decrease in cell layer/tissue-thickness ratio (right-hand axis, graph). This observation was consistent with the process of bone-tissue maturation that resulted in transformation of proliferating pre-osteoblasts into non-dividing osteoblasts that become engulfed in mineralized matrix and mature into osteocytes through a process of phenotypic transformation marked by increased osteoblast apoptosis [31]. The data presented in the summary table suggested that declining rates of BC colonization and increasing efficiency of tissue penetration, cell organization into chains, and colony formation were related to OT maturity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Parameter</th>
<th>Months of Bioreactor Culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BC Colonization</td>
<td>0.75 1 2 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Penetration</td>
<td>(-) (+) (+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Filling</td>
<td>(-) (+) (+) (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Formation</td>
<td>(-) (+) (+) (+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9: MDA-MB-231-BRMS GFP, metastasis suppressed cancer cells were added to MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts (stained red with Cell Tracker Orange™) that had been cultured for 2 months in a bioreactor. (A) After 3 days of co-culture, the MDA-MB-231-BRMS were seen to be loosely attached. (B) By 7 days of co-culture, there was indication that the BRMS cells were undergoing anoikis (arrows). There was no tumor cell invasion of the osteoblast tissue; however, some of the osteoblast appeared to become more spindle shaped.
Osteoblasts cocultured with MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cells (BC) showed a reduction in the production of osteoblast maturation proteins and an increase in IL-6. MC3T3-E1 derived osteoblast tissue (OT) were grown in the bioreactor for 0.7, 1.2, and 2.2 months before breast cancer cells (BC) were injected onto OT at a 1:10 BC-to-osteoblast cell ratio and cocultured for 7 days. OT with no added BC served as controls. Levels of soluble collagen secreted by osteoblasts into the medium in the presence and absence of breast cancer cells were quantified using SircolTM Assay (Biocolor) (n C 2) (Panel A). Levels of osteocalcin (OCN) secreted into the medium in the presence and absence of breast cancer cells were quantified using multiplex ELISA assay (LINCOplexTM Mouse Bone Panel 2B, Millipore). Shown are averages of duplicate sample determination. (Panel B). Alkaline phosphatase activity was quantitated using the QuantiChromTM Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit (DALP-250), Bio- Assay Systems, Hayward, CA as indicated in the methods section. Each sample was tested three times (Panel C). Levels of murine IL-6 released into the culture media were determined with a multiplex ELISA assay (LINCOplexTM Mouse Bone Panel 2B, Millipore). Shown are averages of duplicate sample determinations (Panel D).

We assayed the culture supernatant for indications of differentiation. Collagen synthesis, alkaline phosphatase activity and osteocalcin, markers of osteoblast differentiation, were reduced in the presence of cancer cells (Figure 10 A, B). On the other hand, there was an increase in the osteoblast inflammatory response as indicated by IL-6 production (Figure 10 C, D). These data taken together suggest that metastatic breast cancer cells disrupt the osteoblast community. The osteoblasts stop production of matrix associated molecules and instead produce molecules that recruit and activate osteoclasts.

We were invited to submitted for peer evaluation a review article on this work. In this short article we summarized the major findings relative to cancer cells and osteoblasts in the bioreactor.

Comparison of metastatic and metastasis-suppressed breast cancer cells in standard tissue culture with those grown in tissue culture plastic.


MDA-MB-231 cells and their metastasis-suppressed counterparts, MDA-MB-231BRMS1, were co-cultured with osteoblasts under standard, 2D, tissue culture (TC) conditions. They also were co-cultured with osteoblasts that had developed into osteoblastic tissue in a stable, 3D, bioreactor system. In both culture systems, the osteoblast grew and differentiated as evidenced by the expression of characteristic osteoblast proteins (Figure 11A). The gene expression pattern in tissue culture indicated the early stages of osteoblast differentiation while the bioreactor enabled us to maintain the cells for much longer periods during which time the same differentiation genes were expressed (Figure 11A). Both cultures indicated deposition of an extracellular matrix (ECM) (Figure 11B). MMP-13, an indicator of ECM turnover, was expressed under both culture conditions but was highest in the bioreactor at 60 days (Figure. 11B).

![Figure 11. Expression of osteoblast differentiation genes over time. (A) MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in a bioreactor (3D) or in Tissue culture polystyrene (2D) as described in the materials and methods section. At indicated times (22, 30, 60 days for the bioreactor samples and 5, 7, 11, and 21 days for cell culture samples), the cells were harvested for RNA isolation (RNeasy kit, Qiagen). RT-PCR was carried out using the primers listed in Table 1. Shown are representative amplicons bands. (B). TEM of MC3T3-E1 cultured in TCP (2D) for 15 days and in the bioreactor (3D) for 30 days. Arrows indicate the secreted extra-cellular matrix. The 3D culture shows that cells are packed in fine filaments, whereas in 2D, the cells are seen sitting on the substrate.]

MDA-MB-231\textsuperscript{GFP} cells were added to osteoblasts that had been cultured for 4, 14 or 24 days in standard tissue culture (TC). These times are indicative of early, intermediate and late stages of differentiation. Breast cancer cells were added and co-cultured for 7 days at ratios of 1 cancer cell per 10, 100, 1000 osteoblasts. The cultures were imaged by confocal microscopy at 1, 3 and 7 days after the addition of the cancer cells. In all cases the breast cancer cells attached to the osteoblast cell layer and proliferated. However cancer cell colonization was affected by the differentiation stage of the osteoblasts (Figure 12). Generally the less mature osteoblasts (day 4) more readily supported the breast cancer cell colonization (Figure 12A) compared with 14 and 24 day osteoblasts (Figure 12A). This pattern was true whether the cancer cell inoculum was 1:10, 1:100 or 1:1000 (Figure 12A).
We maintained 24 day osteoblasts in co-culture for an additional 7 days, i.e. 14 days total. During this extended co-culture time, the cancer cells grew to colonies covering >30% of the osteoblast culture (Figure 12B).

---

**Figure 12.** Co-culture of MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts with metastatic breast cancer cells, MDA-MB-231<sup>GFP</sup>, in conventional cell culture. At various stages of osteoblast growth / differentiation (day 4, 14, or 24), breast cancer cells (BC) were added to osteoblasts (OB), at one of three ratios of BC to OB (1:10, 1:100, and 1:1000). Co-culture was carried out for 7 days. Shown are the fluorescence microscopic images of co-cultures. Values indicate percentage of culture area occupied by the breast cancer BC cells as calculated by the Image J analysis program (NIH). Three fields were viewed and analyzed at each point. Shown is a representative image with the corresponding percent culture area occupied by breast cancer BC cells. Three plates of each condition were cultured at least three separate times. Co-cultures were imaged after 1, 3, 7, and 14 days. Magnification bars indicate 100 μm on all images.

The age of the osteoblast culture also affected the ability of cancer cells to penetrate the tissue. In the older cultures, the cancer cells were found throughout the osteoblast layers from top to bottom (Figure 13). This pattern was not apparent in the younger cultures. Morphological changes of osteoblast from cuboidal to spindle were observed in the 3D bioreactor co-culture, but only to a very limited extent in the TCP cultures. The cancer cells in the 3D culture exhibited a distinct alignment with the long axis of the osteoblasts. This specific arrangement of cancer cells, often referred to as 'single cell or Indian cell filing' by pathologists, is characteristic of tumor invasion in tissue in vivo. This cell-cell interaction was not seen in the standard TC plates. This finding suggests that, in the 3D model, cancer cells invade the osteoblastic tissue in a physiologically relevant manner. Metastasis suppressed MDA-MB-231-BRMS<sup>GFP</sup> which form primary tumors but only show very limited metastases, (Phadke et al. 2008) were also tested.
These cells loosely attached to the osteoblasts but did not form large colonies (see Figure 9). After 3 days of co-culture, they did not bring about changes in osteoblast morphology or form single cell files. The MDA-MB-231-BRMS\textsuperscript{GFP} cells appeared to undergo anoikis after 7 days of co-culture. They did not invade the osteoblast tissue; however, the osteoblast became more spindle shaped. In contrast, in 2D (tissue-culture polystyrene dish) cultures, the MDA-MB-231-BRMS\textsuperscript{GFP} cells attached and proliferated. By day 7 the cells had significantly colonized the osteoblast tissue (see Figure 5, Shuman et al, Appended manuscript titled 'A 3D culture reveals osteoblast-breast cancer cell interactions similar to those seen in vivo'. We assayed the culture medium from the TC samples as well as the co-cultures in the bioreactor with murine specific reagents to determine if there were changes in the expression of osteoblast secreted proteins including inflammatory molecules. Using OCN as an indicator of differentiation, we found a decrease when the osteoblasts were co-cultured with MDA-MB-231 cells. In contrast there was an increase in the inflammatory cytokine, IL-6 (Figure 14). We did not see these changes in the presence of the metastasis suppressed cells.

A comparison of osteoblasts grown under the two culture conditions indicated that the osteoblasts proliferated and differentiated in both cases (Table 3) but that only in the 3D system did the cells form multiple layers and an osteoid tissue that matured into a mineralized matrix. Breast cancer cells colonized osteoblasts under both conditions but only formed single cell files and penetrated the tissue in the 3D cultures. Only in the bioreactor did the osteoblasts show a strong response in shape change. Under both conditions they decreased expression of differentiation proteins but increased expression of inflammatory molecules.

**Figure 13.** Breast cancer colonization of various ages of osteogenic tissue cultured in the bioreactor. (A). MC3T3E1 of various ages (15, 30 60 days) were co-cultured with MDA-MB-231\textsuperscript{GFP} breast cancer cells at different ratios in the bioreactor. The cultures were viewed with confocal microscopy. Shown are 3D reconstructed confocal images of z-stacks. Osteoblasts were fixed and stained with Alexa Fluor 568. The cancer cells fluoresce green. (B). Visualization of "single cell filing" in 2 month osteblast culture (stained with cell tracker orange) co-cultured with breast cancer for 3 days. Cancer cells align along the osteoblast cell in an organized fashion (left panel). Formation of single cell filing (arrows, right panel).
Figure 14. Expression of osteocalcin and IL-6 in the presence or absence of metastatic breast cancer cells. Quantitative plot of secreted osteocalcin protein from osteoblasts of various ages compared to osteoblasts cultured with breast cancer cells for a coculture period of 7 days in standard cell culture (A) or in the bioreactor (B). Levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokine, IL-6, secreted by the osteoblasts in the presence and absence of metastatic breast cancer cells grown in standard cell culture (C) or in the bioreactor (D). IL-6 and osteocalcin protein levels were quantified in duplicate using an ELISA assay from Millipore (LINCOplex™ Mouse Bone Panel 2B). Shown are average values of biological duplicates.
### Table 3. Comparison between breast cancer and osteoblast interactions in 2D to 3D culture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Parameter</th>
<th>Culture Method</th>
<th>2D</th>
<th>3D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Osteoblasts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturation</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cell layers</td>
<td>1 to 2</td>
<td>4 to 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralization</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Co-Culture at BC:OB = 1:10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Age of OB (Days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Colonization</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC penetration of OB tissue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC single Cell Filing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Morphology</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen synthesis</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secreted osteocalcin decrease</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 Increase</td>
<td>(-/+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations- OB: Osteoblasts, BC: Breast Cancer Cells, NC: No change, ‘+’: degree of effect, ‘-‘: no effect

In summary, in both tissue culture (2D) and in the bioreactor (3D), osteoblasts proliferate and differentiate (Table 3). However, life span of the 2D cultures is limited to about a month; whereas, 3D cultures have been maintained for at least 10 months. By this time osteocyte-like cells have developed. When osteoblasts of either condition were co-cultured with metastatic breast cancer cells, the cancer cells grew and formed colonies (Table 3). However, only in the 3D cultures did the cancer cells exhibit traits seen in pathological sections such as single cell filing and penetration of the cell layers.

**Task 3. To test known stimulators and/or protectors of osteoblast function in the presence and absence of breast cancer cells in order to develop a means of blocking the destructive effects of breast cancer cells have on bone forming osteoblasts.**

Establish cultures of osteoblasts at various stages of differentiation in the presence and absence of metastatic breast cancer cells as determined in task 1.

**Main findings**

The bisphosphonate, zoledronic acid (ZOL), when added to a bioreactor in the presence of cancer cells, had an obvious impact on the cancer cells in the co-culture system. The cancer cells formed smaller colonies and did not form projections or penetrate the matrix as seen with cultures without ZOL. The gene expression of the cancer cells and osteoblasts is currently underway. Further analyses are in progress. Selenium supplementation appeared to affect the osteoblasts more than the cancer cells. The osteoblast appeared to have long cell extensions. The cancer cells colonized the osteoblast tissue of the Se deficient and supplemented cultures but with different patterns.

1. **The Selenium concentration was increased or reduced (by used of selenium-depleted serum) and the cultures were followed as described in task 1.**

**Selenium supplementation**

Based on our findings in task 1 and a series of cell culture studies, we grew MC3T3-E1 cells in the bioreactor under low Se conditions or supplemented with 2 μm methylseleninic acid (MeSa). Selenium was reduced to < 20 nM by using 5% serum. We based this choice of a selenium supplement and concentration on reports in the literature and on experiments carried out in our laboratory with MC3T3-E1 in standard culture dishes ((Chen, Y-C, Carcinogenesis, 2009). This concentration of MeSa was not toxic.
to either the MC3T3-E1 or to the MDA-MB-231 cells. Under both conditions the osteoblasts grew and differentiated; i.e. alkaline phosphatase production and von Kossa staining, in standard cell culture.

We added MDA-MB-231GFP cells to bioreactors of MC3T3-E1 that were approximately 2.5 months old. The osteoblasts were first labeled with Cell Tracker Orange™ in order to visualize them with the confocal microscope (Figure 15). We noticed that the Se supplemented cells did not take up the dye as well as those grown in under conditions of low Se. We monitored the cultures by confocal microscopy for a week. We saw that cancer cells attached and grew under both conditions. Unlike our previous observations (Dhurjati et al. 2008) with Se adequate medium, the cancer cells failed to align themselves into single file and the osteoblasts did not change from cuboidal to spindle shape. Rather the Se supplemented osteoblasts formed long processes (Figure 15E). They also did not appear as well-defined microscopically (cf Figure 15 A with D, G). The cancer cells in the Se deficient medium formed colonies (Figure 15 C), as previously seen. In the presence of MSA, they grew more randomly and covered the osteoblast tissue (Figure 15 F). This pattern of growth was reminiscent of that seen with cancer cells and osteoblasts that were relatively undifferentiated after 15 days of culture in the bioreactor. It is possible that the MSA supplementation affected osteoblast differentiation in the bioreactor in spite of the fact that in standard culture plates, the osteoblasts with MSA produce alkaline phosphatase. The RNA and cell culture supernatants from the bioreactors have been collected. RT-PCR will be carried out to measure gene expression patterns of the osteoblasts and cancer cells under both

![Figure 15: Confocal micrography of Selenium deficient (A, B, C) and selenium supplemented (D, E, F) MC3T3-E1 (red) co-cultured with MDA-MB-231-GEP for a period of 7 days. Representative images indicate breast cancer cell adhesion (A, D), proliferation (B, E) and colonization (C, F). Panel G is a representative image of osteoblast cells cultured without any cancer cells in deficient medium.](image)

2. Bisphosphonates


We also tested compounds currently being used to treat patients with bone metastatic breast cancer. Bisphosphonates are synthetic analogues of inorganic pyrophosphates, normal regulators of bone mineralization (Rogers, Gordon et al. 2000).

Bisphosphonates are widely used to treat metastatic breast cancer as well as prostate cancer and other cancers that metastasize to the skeleton. Zoledronic acid (Zoledronate, ZOL), a nitrogen containing analogue of inorganic pyrophosphate, is one of the most aggressive in targeting bone metastasis.
Bisphosphonates bind strongly to bone mineral particularly where there is bone turnover. In the process of bone resorption, osteoclasts internalize the molecule which then inhibits certain critical enzymes and processes such as geranylgeranylation and farnesylation, molecules and processes required for post-translational prenylation (transfer of long chain isoprenoid lipids) of proteins. Although these drugs are effective against osteoclasts, they may affect cancer cells and OBs since prenylation is not limited to osteoclasts. The extent of these other-cell effects and mechanisms are not known.

The theory is that once bone degradation is inhibited, growth factors released in the process of bone resorption will no longer be available to stimulate cancer cell growth. The "vicious cycle" of bone metastasis will be stopped (Mundy 2002). There is evidence to suggest that in bone, bisphosphonates might also inhibit tumor growth directly, although this does not seem to be the case for metastases to soft tissues. What effect does this class of molecule have on osteoblasts?

Studies have been carried out in vitro with cell lines in standard tissue culture or in vivo in a mouse model. The in vitro studies may not impart a complete representation of how OBs or cancer cells react in the bone microenvironment. In vivo it is very difficult to separate the effects that numerous cell types have upon others. There are numerous studies of the effects of bisphosphonates on OBs (Reinholz, Getz et al. 2000; Chaplet, Detry et al. 2004; Pan, Farrugia et al. 2004). The results vary, possibly due to the type and age of OBs used. Several studies lead to the conclusion that ZOL enhances OB anabolism and causes increased bone formation. Some report that human primary OBs increased in differentiation in culture in the presence of ZOL. There was increased expression of OCN, bone morphogenic protein 2 and mineralization. They found no increase in the message for RANKL or OPG but there was an increase in OPG secretion and a decrease in transmembrane RANK-L expression possibly due to the effects of prenylation and expression of a metalloprotease (TACE). In contrast they report no effect of ZOL on differentiation of human mesenchymal derived OB but did see a drop in OB calcium deposition.

From these few examples, it is clear that ZOL and other bisphosphonates affect OBs. Do they affect tumor cells? It has been found that bisphosphonates have cytostatic and pro-apoptotic effects on myeloma, prostate and breast cancer cells. Other steps in the metastatic process, i.e. tumor cell adhesion and invasion, were inhibited by bisphosphonates. Some of these effects are believed due to metalloproteinase (MMP) activity. The blockage of cytokine secretions by OBs, stromal cells and monocytes may also be relevant to tumor cell growth.

In summary, there are numerous studies in vivo and in vitro with N-bisphosphonates, especially ZOL, to indicate that these drugs inhibit osteoclastic activity. The effects on OBs and other cells including tumor cells are not as clear. In vitro studies have yielded conflicting results with regard to OB differentiation is increased but some find a decrease. Tumor cells may be directly affected but there may indirect effects related to bone-tumor microenvironment.

We examined the effects of these molecules in the bioreactor. We first conducted a series of cell culture studies to determine the concentrations to use. In vivo, ZOL binds to the bone matrix so there is an initial blood level and then a concentrated amount in the bone. These studies were carried out by a honors student in bioengineering as part of her McNair fellowship research program. Appended is the paper that she wrote at the end of the summer 2009, program.

We tested ZOL on osteoblast proliferation (Figure 16 below from Miller) with an MTT assay. We tested continuous exposure to the drug at 0.05, 0.5 and 5.0 μM over 96 hours. MTT at 5 mg/ml was added to the cultures and incubated for 2 hours. MTT is reduced to formazan by living cells. Formazan crystals were solubilized and samples were read on a spectrophotometer. We found that 0.5 and 5.0 μM inhibited proliferation but 0.05 μM had no significant effects on OBs.
Figure 16. Effect of zoledronic acid (ZOL) on osteoblast proliferation. MC3T3-E1 were plated at $10^4$ cells/cm$^2$, incubated overnight, and treated with ZOL at 0.05, 0.50 and 5.00 µM concentrations for 24, 48, and 72 hours (control cells were untreated). Cell proliferation was assessed with an MTT assay. Results are reported as mean ± SEM, n=3. Significant difference from control was assessed using a two-way ANOVA: *$p<0.01$, **$p<0.001$. Percentages are of control after 72 hour treatment with ZA.

We also tested ZOL on osteoblast differentiation and mineralization (Figure 17). Mature OBs were treated with 0.05, 0.5 and 5.0 µM ZOL for 7 days. Differentiation was assessed with a stain for alkaline phosphatase activity, and mineralization was determined by von Kossa staining. Again, we found that the low (0.05 µM) concentration of ZOL had minimal inhibitory effects on OB differentiation and mineralization.

Figure 17. Effect of zoledronic acid (ZOL) on osteoblast differentiation and mineralization. MC3T3-E1 were plated at $10^4$ cells/cm$^2$ in differentiation medium and allowed to grow for 14 days (A-D) or 28 days (E-H) with periodic medium changes. Cells were then continuously treated with ZA at 0.05 (B,F), 0.50 (C,G) and 5.00 (D,H) µM concentrations for 8 days. Control cells (A,E) were untreated. Cell differentiation was assessed by alkaline phosphatase activity (A-D). Mineralization was determined with a Von Kossa stain (E-H).
Based on the assumption that the concentrations of ZOL in 2 month cultures of osteoblasts in the bioreactor might be different than those found in cells grown under standard tissue culture conditions, we added either 0.05 μM or 0.5 μM to the cell chambers. As the ZOL diffused into the upper reservoir, over time the final concentrations reached 7 nM and 70 nM. We followed the cultures by confocal microscopy for several days and noted several parameters (Figure 18 and Table 4). The results are summarized in Table 4.

- In the presence of ZOL, fewer colonies of breast cancer cells formed.
- The colonies that formed with ZOL were more rounded and showed fewer elongated processes.
- The cultures treated with ZOL showed less cancer cell alignment.
- We saw what appeared to be lysed or broken cancer cells in the cultures treated with ZOL.
- The osteoblasts in the culture with ZOL did not show the modified spindle shape that they normally acquire in the presence of cancer cells.
- The cancer cells in the presence of ZOL did not appear to penetrate through the osteoblast multilayer as they did without the ZOL.

Figure 18. Qualitative analysis of the effects of zoledronic acid (ZOL) on MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cell (BC) colonization of osteoblast (OB) tissue. Cancer cells (green) were observed to penetrate and colonize OB tissue (red) in the bioreactor (A). Addition of ZOL to co-cultures in the bioreactor resulted in reduced BC colony formation and disruption of BC alignment with OB tissue (B – 0.50μM ZOL, 24 hour exposure). ZOL delayed BC penetration of OB tissue and OB retained characteristic cuboidal shape consistent with controls. Scale bar = 100μM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. The effects of zoledronic acid on the interaction of breast cancer cells with osteoblasts in a 3D culture system.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Colony Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rounded BC Morphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Alignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured BC Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindle-shaped OB Morphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Penetration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The RNA from these co-cultures has been isolated and we will be used to carry the effects of ZOL on the osteoblast differentiation proteins. Because both the human cancer cells and the murine osteoblasts contributed to the RNA, we have designed primers to distinguish murine from human RNA. We will use a housekeeping gene to normalize the RNA to account for differences in cell numbers.

In summary, ZOL affected the interaction of breast cancer cells and osteoblasts in the absence of osteoclasts. The next step is to examine the effect of docetaxel on two month cultures of OB grown in the bioreactor. We would expect docetaxel to block the proliferation of dividing cells. However, the two month cultures will be non-dividing and well differentiated. Thus we expect there to be little effect on the osteoblasts. In contrast, the cancer cells in the cultures continue to divide. We anticipate that the cancer
cells will be affected. There are reports that cancer cells in co-culture with normal cells are protected from cytotoxic agents. We will first test a range of concentrations of docetaxel for cytotoxicity of cancer cells grown alone or co-cultured with differentiated cultures of osteoblasts in standard culture. Based on the results, we will choose a concentration to test in the bioreactor culture. We anticipate that we will be able to selectively affect the cancer cells. The final step will be to combine treatments with ZOL and docetaxel.

**Future Goals**

As we have presented this work at meetings and conferences it has become clear that a culture that included osteoclasts as well as osteoblasts would be very valuable. These together would comprise a "bone remodeling unit." To that end, a graduate student has been learning to differentiate both human and mouse osteoclasts in culture. We are currently writing proposals to obtain funding for this work.

He has successfully cultured human osteoclasts (Lonza) in the bioreactor and shown them to be functional osteoclasts (Figure 19). They developed into multinucleated cells that stained with acridine orange, indicative of an acid cytoplasm (Figure 19 A). They stained with TRAP and also formed actin rings, indicative of mature osteoclasts (Figure 19, B,C). When cultured on a bone slice, these cells degraded the bone as evidenced by formation of "resorption pits."

**KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

- Primary osteoblasts and the MC3T3-E1 osteoblast line can be grown for extended periods in the specialized bioreactor.
- The osteoblasts differentiated and underwent an osteogenesis program from pre-osteoblasts to mature osteoblasts to osteocytes. Gene expression and morphology indicated this progression. Cells resembled in vivo calvaria osteocytes.
- Metastasis suppressed MDA-MD-231 BRMS1 cells only slowly grew in the bioreactor. They did not penetrate the tissue nor did they cause changes in osteoblast morphology or gene expression
- MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cells when co-cultured with the osteoblasts, caused a change in morphology of the osteoblasts. The cancer cells aligned themselves in a manner reminiscent of "Indian Filing."
- With both the primary osteoblasts and the MC3T3-E1 cell line, the cancer cells inhibited osteoblast differentiation genes. On the other hand they stimulated expression of inflammatory cytokines.
- The currently used drug, zoledronic acid, when added to co-cultures, prevented the cancer cells from forming large colonies and from penetrating the osteoid.
- Selenium supplementation of the cultures affected osteoblasts and cancer cells.
- Osteoclasts can be cultured in the bioreactor.
REPORTABLE OUTCOMES
Manuscripts (inclusive for project; appended)


Abstracts/Presentations


Shuman, Laurie A. and Mastro, Andrea M. Mechanisms By Which Metastatic Breast Cancer Cells Initiate an Osteoblast Inflammatory Response, 27th Annual Summer Symposium, Penn State University, University Park, PA June 18 – 21, 2008 Poster Presentation

Miller, Genevieve. 2008 Penn State McNair Summer Research Conference “Bisphosphonate and taxane effects on osteoblast proliferation and differentiation.” July 20, 2008.


**Thesis**


Ms Miller has received an NSF pre-doctoral fellowship and has accepted a graduate student position at Columbia University beginning in the Fall of 2010.

**Applications for Funding based on this work**

Miller, Genevieve. 2009 The effect of combination bisphonates and taxane therapy on breast cancer cell colonization of osteoblast tissue. Undergraduate Summer Discovery Grant from Penn State University. Awarded.

Miller, Genevieve. Pennsylvania Space Grant Consortium Sylvia Stein Memorial Scholarship for undergraduates, pending.

Based on our results thus far, the following proposals were submitted. However, none were funded. We are in the process of writing more!

Agency: NASA
Project title: Gravitational Effects on the Osteoblastic Inflammatory Response
Principal Investigator: Erwin Vogler
Co-Investigator: A. Mastro

Agency: NIH
Project title: Growing Osteocytes in vitro
Principal Investigator: Erwin Vogler
Co-Investigators: A. Mastro

Agency: NIH
Project title: An in vitro model of Cancer in Bone
CONCLUSIONS

We used a specialized bioreactor to test the hypothesis that metastatic breast cancer cells affect osteoblast morphology and physiology. The bioreactor allowed us to grow osteoblasts into 3D bone-like tissue. Growth for a few months produced multilayers of osteoblasts emeshed in extracellular matrix. In longer cultures, i.e. 10 months, the osteoblasts gradually differentiated into osteocytes. Metastatic breast cancer cells, MDA-MB-231, were added to the osteoblast cultures at various times. We found that osteoblast gene expression was profoundly affected by metastatic breast cancer cells. There was a reduction in expression of differentiation genes, e.g. alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, collagen Type 1 synthesis. In contrast the osteoblast increased their production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and MCP-1. The cancer cells adhered to the osteoblasts and continued to grow. Within a few days the osteoblasts changed shape from cuboidal to spindle. The cancer cells aligned themselves in a single file (Indian File) along the osteoblasts. Eventually the cancer cells formed colonies and penetrated the osteoid tissue. They caused degradation of the extracellular matrix. Co-culture with metastasis suppressed MDA-MB-231 cells did not cause these changes. These cells grew poorly in the bioreactor (as they do in bone) and did not affect osteoblast differentiation or inflammation. We testing selenium supplementation of the culture as a way to lower oxidative stress. Supplementation alone affected osteoblast morphology. It did not inhibit cancer cell growth completely, but they no longer formed colonies. We also tested the effects of a bisphosphonate, zoledronic acid, used for treatment of bone metastasis in the clinic. Although this drug is used to target osteoclasts, we saw that in the osteoblast, cancer cell co-culture, it lead to smaller colonies of cancer cells and less penetration of the extracellular matrix.
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Extended-Term Culture of Bone Cells in a Compartimentalized Bioreactor

RAVI DHURJATI, M.S.,1 XIAOMEI LIU, Ph.D.,2 CAROL V. GAY, Ph.D.,3 ANDREA M. MASTRO, Ph.D.,3 and ERWIN A. VOGLER, Ph.D.1,2,4

ABSTRACT

A specialized bioreactor is used to grow mineralizing, collagenous tissue up to 150\(\mu\)m thick from an inoculum of isolated murine (mouse calvaria MC3T3-E1, American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) CRL-2593) or human (hFOB 1.19 ATCC CRL-11372) fetal osteoblasts over uninterrupted culture periods longer than 120 days (4 months). Proliferation and phenotypic progression of an osteogenic-cell monolayer into a tissue consisting of 6 or more cell layers of mature osteoblasts in the bioreactor was compared with cell performance in conventional tissue-culture polystyrene (TCPS) controls. Cells in the bioreactor basically matched results obtained in TCPS over a 15-day culture interval, but loss of insoluble extracellular matrix and an approximate doubling of apoptosis rates in TCPS after 30 days indicated that progressive instability of cultures maintained in TCPS with periodic refeeding but without subculture. In contrast, stable cultures were maintained in the bioreactor for more than 120 days, suggesting that extended-term tissue maintenance is feasible with little or no special technique. Transmission electron microscopy ultra-morphology of tissue derived from hFOB 1.19 recovered from the bioreactor after only 15 days of culture showed evidence of osteocytic-like processes and gap junctions between cells like those observed in vivo, in addition to elaboration of the usual osteoblastic markers such as alkaline phosphatase activity and mineralization (alizarin red). Thus, the bioreactor design based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis was shown to create an extraordinarily stable peri-cellular environment that better simulates the in vivo condition than conventional tissue culture. The bioreactor shows promise as a tool for the in vitro study of osteogenesis and osteopathology.

INTRODUCTION

Cells extracted from the native, in vivo physiological state and placed into a culture system undergo adaptive responses often referred to as “culture shock.” The effect and duration of culture shock depend on the stability of the pericellular (micro) environment, the extent to which this microenvironment simulates the in vivo condition, and the ability of cells to actively interact and transform the pericellular milieu by secreting a variety of macromolecules found in extracellular matrix (ECM). Indeed, culture shock and the accumulated damage cells sustain in culture has long been thought to limit cell viability in vitro. Thus, one of the bioengineering objectives for any in vitro culture device is to create a stable pericellular environment simulating the in vivo condition in a manner that mitigates, rather than amplifies, the effect of culture shock. The conventional tissue-culture approach is to surround cells held in dishes or flasks with a buffered medium containing various nutrients (e.g., amino acids, glucose, serum proteins, vitamins). As cells grow, nutrients are depleted, waste products accumulate (especially lactic acid), and pericellular pH decreases to unacceptable levels. The typical solution to this problem is to exchange spent growth medium with fresh, on a continuous
basis (perfusion) or as a matter of scheduled maintenance. A decided disadvantage with either of these approaches is that the aforementioned secreted macromolecules are removed along with waste products. In the case in which periodic media exchanges are employed, the resulting oscillation in pH and nutrient concentrations imparts an instability in cultures that can lead to excessive cell vacuolization, ruffled cell margins, and increasing rates of cell-surface detachment. Thus, conventional tissue-culture strategies, especially the flask-and-dish type serviced with periodic media exchanges, fail to maintain the stable pericellular environment that is critical to recovery from culture shock. As applied specifically to the culture of bone cells in vitro, continuous removal or periodic exchange of growth medium also means that cell- and protein-mediated dissolution–precipitation reactions involved in mineralization-resorption are likewise perturbed. Thus, it is difficult to simulate the natural developmental sequence of bone cells that includes proliferation, post-mitotic expression of a differentiated phenotype, ECM formation, and mineralization. As an example relevant to this work, transformation of mature osteoblasts into an osteocytic phenotype in vitro has not been reported to our knowledge.

More than a decade before widespread use of conventional tissue culture in biotechnology, G. G. Rose pioneered the “simultaneous growth and dialysis” method by culturing cells beneath a cellulosic membrane (commercial cellulose wrapping film in Rose’s implementation). The core idea was to continuously feed cells with low-molecular-weight metabolites by dialysis through a cellulose membrane that also retained secreted high-molecular-weight macromolecules within the growth space created by the bounding membrane. Metabolic waste products such as lactic acid were dialyzed out of the pericellular space and into the basal medium, where waste could be removed without perturbing cells by wholesale growth-medium removal. Rose’s idea languished in the literature until its rediscovery more than 20 years later, when simultaneous growth and dialysis was bioengineered into a routinely usable compartmentalized culture device, one version of which is shown Fig. 1. It was found that this bioreactor facilitated a number of advantages in the culture and maintenance of soft-tissue and hybridoma cells, perhaps most notable of which was the ability to sustain cells for more than 30 days without user intervention and the accumulation of biosynthesized macromolecules (such as immunoglobulin G) within the growth space for periodic harvest. Unpublished work (Vogler) suggests advantages in the culture of recalcitrant primary cells and in vitro immunization as well.

Our interest in the development of improved orthopedic biomaterials and scaffolds for orthopedic tissue engineering has led to the need for in vitro test vehicles that permit

---

**FIG. 1.** Compartmentalized bioreactor design. (A) is a cross-sectional diagram through the device showing separation of the cell-growth space (A) from the basal-medium reservoir (B) by a dialysis membrane (C). Cells are grown on gas-permeable but liquid-impermeable film (E). The device is ventilated through film (D), which is the same material as (E) as described herein, but can be different. The whole device is brought together in a liquid-tight fashion using screws shown in the laboratory implementation (B) and (C), which is an exploded view identifying separate components. Liquid-access is through luer taper ports (J, K) which mate to standard pipettes. See Materials and Methods for theory of operation. Color images available online at www.liebertpub.com/ten.
extended-term (>30 days) contact of osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and co-cultures thereof with candidate biomaterials. Finding many limitations with conventional culture, not the least of which was loss of culture integrity over long culture intervals, we were motivated to use the compartmentalized bioreactor for bone–cell culture. Herein we report phenotypic development of 2 continuous osteoblast cell lines from an osteogenic-cell monolayer to a mineralizing, collagenous tissue: mouse calvaria MC3T3-E1 (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA, CRL-2593) and human fetal osteoblasts (hFOB 1.19, ATCC CRL-11372). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) ultra-morphology of hFOB 1.19-derived tissue recovered from the bioreactor after 15 days shows evidence of osteocyte-like processes and regions of intercellular contacts between cells, suggesting that osteoblasts undergo complex phenotypic development within the bioreactor like that observed in vivo when osteoblasts are engulfed in a mineralizing tissue. Thus, the compartmentalized bioreactor shows promise as a tool for the in vitro study of osteogenesis and osteopathology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bioreactor design and implementation

The compartmentalized bioreactor (Fig. 1A) separates a cell-growth space (A, 5 mL) from a larger-volume medium reservoir (B, 30 mL) with a dialysis membrane (C). Cells were cultured on a transparent, liquid-impermeable film (E) selected for cytocompatibility12–14 and gas (oxygen and carbon dioxide (CO2)) permeability (see further below). During culture, cells were continuously bathed in pH-equilibrated and oxygenated medium dialyzing from the reservoir (B). At the same time, metabolic waste products such as lactic acid dialyzed out of the growth compartment (A), maintaining low pericellular concentrations. Serum constituents or macromolecules synthesized by cells with molecular weights in excess of the dialysis-membrane cutoff (6–8 kDa in our implementation) were retained and concentrated within the growth compartment. The entire vessel was ventilated through transparent gas-permeable films bounding cell growth and reservoir compartments (D,E). The assembled bioreactor had a 25 cm2 cell-growth area. The medium reservoir volume was designed for medium-replenishment intervals ranging from 15 to 45 days, depending on the metabolic activity of the cells. Access to the cell-growth space and medium reservoir was through luer-taper ports (Fig. 1C; j,k) using standard pipettes. The bioreactor was designed to work with most standard inverted phase-contrast microscopes with minor stage modification and allowed adequate optical microscopy throughout the culture interval, although the development of a thick, collagenous multicellular tissue naturally compromised resolution at the cellular level. The bioreactor was machined from 316L stainless steel stock.8 The body of the compartmentalized device consisted of 4 main rings (C; f,g,h,i). Two chambers (a,b) were created with 3 films (c,d,e) sandwiched between inner rings (f,g). The device was held together in a liquid-tight fashion using 6 stainless steel screws, as can be seen in the laboratory implementation of Fig. 1B. Access to, and venting of, growth and reservoir chambers was through luer-taper ports (j,k). The gas-permeable films (g,h) forming the outer barriers for chambers a and b were approximately 3 mil thick and made by hot pressing Surlyn 1702 resin (DuPont, Wilmington, DE) using simultaneous application of heat (220°C) and pressure (245 Pa) in a laboratory hot press (Model 2699, Fred S. Carver Inc., Wabash, IN). The internal film barrier was cellulose-dialysis membrane (Spectrapor-13266; Spectrum Medical Industries, Rancho Dominguez, CA) and was hydrated in de-ionized water for at least 1 h before assembly of the bioreactor. Fabricated bioreactors were filled with 0.1% sodium azide prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), packaged in plastic bags, and sterilized using 10 Mrad γ-ray irradiation at the Breazeale Nuclear Reactor on the campus of the Pennsylvania State University. Sterile-packed bioreactors were opened within the confines of a laminar-flow biosafety cabinet, drained of azide storage solution, and rinsed 3 times with basal medium using conventional aseptic technique just before cell inoculation, as described below.

Cells and cell culture

Murine calvaria pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1, ATCC CRL-2593) and human fetal osteoblastic cells (hFOB 1.19, ATCC CRL-11372) were obtained from ATCC. MC3T3-E1 were cultured in alpha minimum essential medium (α-MEM) supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Post-confluent MC3T3-E1 were cultured in a differentiation medium also containing 50 μg/mL ascorbic acid and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate. hFOB 1.19 were cultured using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium and Ham’s F-12 (1:1) basal medium supplemented with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Post-confluent hFOB 1.19 were cultured in a more-complex differentiation medium also containing 50 μg/mL ascorbic acid, 10−8 M 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 and 10−8 M menadione.

The hFOB 1.19 line was conditionally immortalized by transfection with a gene encoding for the temperature-sensitive mutant (tsA58) of the SV40 large T antigen. Transfection confers a 33.5°C quiescent temperature and 39°C permissive temperature and 39°C permissive temperature at which cells stop proliferating without undergoing apoptosis.15 We cultured hFOB 1.19 at 37°C and obtained a doubling time similar to that observed at the permissive temperature.16 Culture experiments were initiated in rinsed bioreactors (see above) by filling the growth compartment with approximately 5 mL of serum-containing medium containing a suspension of approximately 2×104 cells/mL (sub-confluent cell density). The reservoir was filled with serum-free basal
medium containing no proteins. Cells from the same inoculum were plated in 25-cm² standard tissue-culture polystyrene (TCP) dishes (Corning Life Science) and served as the controls. Cultures were maintained in a water-jacketed 5% CO₂ incubator (Model 3110; Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA) held at 37°C. After cells had reached confluence (3–5 days), growth medium was replaced with differentiation medium, and cells were permitted to grow in control plates and in the bioreactor without subculture. Medium was replaced in TCP controls every 3 to 5 days as dictated by the color of the methyl red indicator, with a hint of yellow (acid indicator) leading to full exchange. Basal medium within the bioreactor reservoir (but not growth space) was refreshed every 15 to 30 days, again depending on the color of the pH indicator, with a hint of yellow leading to full exchange of only the reservoir contents. For example, 120-day MC3T3-E1 cultures in the bioreactor were sustained with 4 replacements of basal medium within the reservoir every 30 days.

**Cell attachment and proliferation rates**

Short-term (0 < t < 3 h) cell-attachment assays were performed as described previously. Briefly, cells were plated onto 15 identically prepared plates at 2 x 10⁴ cells/cm² in whole medium. TCP culture dishes were the comparison controls. Dishes with the substratum used in the bioreactor were prepared by adhering Sylgard film onto the bottom of TCP culture dishes using double-sided adhesive tape. Cells were allowed to adhere from the sessile medium while incubated at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. At various intervals, a single plate was selected for destructive analysis (every 5 min from 0 < t < 30 min, every 10 min from 30 < t < 60 min, every 15 min for 60 < t < 120 min, and every 30 min for 120 < t < 180 min) by discarding the suspension medium and rinsing the substrata 3 times with PBS. Attached cells were released with trypsin and counted using hemacytometry.

Surfaces were analyzed in triplicate. Proliferation assays were performed in the same basic way, except that after 3 h of attachment time, substrata were rinsed with PBS to remove non-adherent cells and refreshed with growth medium. Remaining cells were allowed to proliferate for 6, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h before destructive analysis. Long-term (>15 days) proliferation was monitored using SYBR green nuclear staining. Duplicate TCPs and substrata removed from the bioreactor were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS stained with 0.02% SYBR green in PBS (Molecular Probes; Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA), and examined using an Olympus BX-60 epifluorescent microscope (Olympus America Inc., Melville, NY). Average nuclei number was determined by counting 15 representative spots on each substrate using image analysis (ImagePro Software; MediaCybernetics, Inc., Silver Spring, MD).

**Alkaline phosphatase activity**

Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was assayed at the end of each culture period using a chromogenic assay involving conversion of p-nitrophenyl phosphate to p-nitrophenol as described by Lim et al. Briefly, cells washed in PBS were lysed by rinsing with PBS and 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100 (Sigma) in PBS. Lysates were subjected to 2 freeze-thaw cycles, after which ALP reaction buffer (1:1 mixture of 0.75 M 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and 2 mg/mL p-nitrophenol phosphate) was added and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. This reaction mixture was stopped with 0.05 N sodium hydroxide, and the absorption was measured at 410 nm with a Beckman Spectrophotometer (DU-70 series; Beckman Coulter Inc., Fullerton, CA). A calibration curve was prepared by serial dilution of p-nitrophenol standard solution. A portion of the reaction mixture was used to measure total protein concentration using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). ALP activity was normalized to total cell protein. Experiments were conducted in triplicate for duplicate cultures. Results are reported as means ± standard deviations (±σ).

**Apoptosis**

Cell apoptosis was analyzed using the enzyme terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TUNEL) assay (Promega Corp., Madison, WI) to catalytically incorporate fluorescein-12-dUTP at 3’-OH deoxyribonucleic ends so that apoptotic cells fluoresced green. All cells were stained red with SYTOX Orange (Molecular Probes) so that apoptotic cells could be differentiated from normal using fluorescent microscopy. At the end of each growth period, duplicate cultures were rinsed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. Cells were then equilibrated in buffer for 5 to 10 min at room temperature, followed by incubation with terminal deoxytransferase (TdT) buffer (which included equilibration buffer, nucleotide mix, and TdT enzyme) in a humidified chamber for 60 min at 37°C. The reaction was terminated using 2 SSC washes (Invitrogen) for 15 min at room temperature according to the TUNEL assay protocol. Positive and negative controls were prepared in parallel. After removing un-incorporated fluorescein-12-dUTP, cells were stained with SYTOX Orange for 10 min at room temperature. After staining, substrata were mounted with ProLong Gold and photographed with a Laser Scanning Confocal microscope (Olympus Fluoview 300, Olympus America Inc.). Images were analyzed using ImagePro software. The percentage of apoptotic cells in the whole cell population was calculated from 10 to 20 random spots on each substrate.

**Insoluble ECM protein assay**

Cells were incubated in a bioreactor or in TCPs for 15 and 30 days. After medium was removed, cell layers were washed twice with PBS and extracted in 4 M guanidine hydrochloride, 50 mM Tris-hydrochloric acid, 100 mM 6-aminocaproic acid, 5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, pH 7.4, at 4°C with constant rocking. Cells were separated from the extract using centrifugation
(2000 g×5 min; the extract was routinely checked for cell debris using microscopy with trypan blue staining to enhance visualization of cell debris). Protein concentration in the supernatant was quantified using Bio-Rad protein assay kit and normalized with cell number for each growth condition.

**Hematoxylin and eosin staining**

Tissue excised from the bioreactor was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Dehydration and paraffin embedding were performed using an automated tissue processor (Citadel 2000, Thermo Electron Corp.). Five-μm sections were cut using a microtome (Model 2040; Leica Micro Systems, Bannockburn, IL) and mounted on glass cover slips. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed according to a standard protocol using automated staining equipment (Shandon Gemini, Thermo Electron Corp.), and the stained sections were observed under an optical light microscope (Olympus BX50, HiTech, Olympus America Inc.).

**Scanning and transmission electron microscopy**

Cultures were washed in buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2 sodium cacodylate) and fixed overnight with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer at 4°C followed by staining with 1% osmium tetroxide in cacodylate buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Fixed cells were dehydrated using graded series of ethanol concentrations, dried in a critical point dryer (BALTEC SCD030, Techno Trade Inc.) using dry CO2, mounted onto an aluminum stub, and sputter coated with 10 nm of gold/palladium in an automated sputter coater (BALTEC SCD030.). Cells were examined under a scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM 5400, JEOL, Peabody, MA) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Energy dispersive x-ray analysis was performed using image analysis software (IMIX-PC v.10, Princeton Gamma Tech Inc.). For TEM, primary fixation with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and secondary fixation with 1% osmium tetroxide were followed by en bloc staining with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 h. Dehydration was performed using a graded series of ethanol concentrations followed by impregnation and embedding in Spurr’s resin. Ultra-thin sections were cut with a diamond knife (Diatome Ultra 45) on a microtome (Ultracut UCT, Leica), placed on uncoated copper grids, and stained with 0.2% aqueous uranyl acetate and 0.2% lead citrate. The cross-sections were examined using TEM (JEM 1200 EXII, JEOL), and images were collected using an attached high-resolution camera (Tietz F224, Gauting).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Tables 1 and 2 compile semi-quantitative cell-growth data over 30 days for hFOB 1.19 and 120 days for MC3T3-E1 cells, respectively, in the bioreactor and compare them with data obtained in conventional TCPS. Neither cells grown on TCPS nor those grown in the bioreactor were subcultured over the entire culture interval (see Materials and Methods). For both hFOB 1.19 and MC3T3-E1, between 1 and 2 cell layers formed in TCPS, compared with 4 to 6 layers in the bioreactor over the 30-day culture interval (the exact number of layers varied with position in the bioreactor and how a cell layer was defined). ALP activity, which was positive for both cell lines in both culture devices, indicated evidence of a mature osteoblastic phenotype. Mineralization was especially evident using von Kossa and formation of nodules in the MC3T3-E1 case, but hFOB 1.19 did not mineralize as well in either culture device. Based on the data summarized in Tables 1 and 2, it is evident that performance of hFOB 1.19 and MC3T3-E1 in the bioreactor was similar to that of cells grown on TCPS in the first 15 days of culture. However, cell performance in the bioreactor clearly exceeded that for TCPS over a 15- to 30-day culture interval, with double the number of cell layers and greater ALP activity and alizarin red staining. TCPS controls were not maintained for more than 30 days because it was visually and quantitatively evident that these cultures were failing (see further below).

Fig. 2 compares attachment and growth dynamics of hFOB 1.19 in the bioreactor and with attachment and growth dynamics in TCPS over a 0- to 720-h culture period. In the short-term (0 < t < 3 h), there was approximately 2 times the

---

**Table 1. Human Fetal Osteoblasts 1.19 Cells in Conventional Culture Compared with Those in Compartmentalized Bioreactor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days in Culture</th>
<th>Conventional Culture</th>
<th>Compartmentalized Bioreactor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cell layers</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.26</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (μmol)</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Kossa&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralized nodules</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Measures of osteoblast maturity.<br>
<sup>b</sup>(nmol/mg protein/min).<br>
<sup>c</sup>Extent of mineralization.<br>
—, not observed.
attachment preference for TCPS over the polymer-film substrata used in the bioreactor (see inset expanding time axis and expressing % inoculum on a linear axis; see also Vogler and Bussian for more discussion of polymer-film cyto-compatibility). However, proliferation into confluent monolayer (on TCPS) from this sub-confluent cell density \( (3 < t < 72 \text{ h}) \) and post-monolayer growth into multilayers \( (72 < t < 720 \text{ h}) \) was nearly the same in TCPS and the bioreactor. In fact, actual growth rates \( k \) within \( 3 < t < 72 \text{ h} \) were statistically identical at 95% confidence intervals (TCPS \( = 0.23 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}; \) bioreactor \( = 0.29 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \)). Data within the 72- to 720-h interval were too sparse for statistical comparison, but it is interesting that the total cell number (at constant surface area \( = 25 \text{ cm}^2 \)) continued to increase significantly within the bioreactor and TCPS at approximately the same rate, achieving a 5 times increase in total cell number over this time span. The data show that the initial 2-fold cell-attachment preference for TCPS persisted through the exponential-growth \( (3 < t < 72 \text{ h}) \) and post-confluence expansion \( (72 < t < 720 \text{ h}) \) phases.

Further interpretation in terms of the data in Table 1, this persistence attachment preference implies that more cells are packed into fewer cell layers on TCPS than within the bioreactor, consistent with the general observation that cells on TCPS appear thinner than in the bioreactor (compare, for example, Fig. 3A, C), although a focused study would be required to be definitive in this regard.

Despite the initial advantage of TCPS, hFOB 1.19 began to appear less robust than in the bioreactor within 720 h (30 days), as evidenced by TEM images showing numerous apoptosis bodies (arrows) after 30-day culture in TCPS.

### TABLE 2. MC3T3-E1 CELLS IN CONVENTIONAL CULTURE COMPARED WITH THOSE IN COMPARTMENTALIZED BIOREACTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days in culture</th>
<th>Conventional Culture</th>
<th>Compartmentalized Bioreactor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cell layers</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase ( ^a,b )</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red ( ^c ) (µmol)</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.12</td>
<td>5.07 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Kossa ( ^c )</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralized nodules</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>+/—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Measures of osteoblast maturity.  
\( ^b \) nmol/mg protein per min.  
\( ^c \) Extent of mineralization.  
+ , observed; —, not observed; ND, not determined.

**FIG. 2.** Growth dynamics of human fetal osteoblasts on tissue-culture polystyrene (TCPS, filled triangles) controls, compared with those of the compartmentalized bioreactor (open squares; note logarithmic ordinate). Inset expands short-term attachment rates using a linear ordinate. The initial 2-fold cell-attachment preference for TCPS persists through the exponential-growth \( (3 < t < 72 \text{ h}) \) and post-confluence expansion \( (72 < t < 720 \text{ h}) \) phases.

**FIG. 3.** Comparison of human fetal osteoblasts (hFOB 1.19) on tissue-culture polystyrene (TCPS) (A, B) and in the compartmentalized bioreactor (C, D) by cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy. Cell layers are thicker in the bioreactor than on TCPS (compare A, B to C, D) and formation of apoptotic bodies (arrows) after 30-day culture in TCPS.
apoptotic bodies, cytoplasmic vesiculation, and chromatin margination (Fig. 3) and a distinct loss of insoluble ECM (Fig. 4). TUNEL assay results (summarized in Fig. 5) confirmed that, at 30 days, apoptosis was approximately 2 times as great in TCPS as in the bioreactor (37–47% in TCPS versus 16–23% in the bioreactor), although 30-day apoptosis levels were roughly 3 times 15-day levels in both devices. Examination of hFOB 1.19 tissue recovered from the bioreactor after 15-day culture by cross-sectional TEM (Fig. 6A, B) revealed 3 to 4 layers of cells with many cytoplasmic protrusions (arrowheads) and healthy-appearing nuclei showing no evidence of apoptosis. The general impression derived from an examination of many such TEM cross-sections is that cells in the layers closest to the substratum (presumably older cells) were flatter and more dish-shaped than cells within the upper layers (presumably younger cells) that had a more cuboidal shape. No effort was made to quantify this visual interpretation. Arrow annotations on Fig. 6C point to what appear to be osteocytic-like processes between 2 cells, and Fig. 6D shows close contact between cells. Exocytosis of vesicles is evident in Fig. 6D.

Results obtained with MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in TCPS and the bioreactor were similar to those seen for hFOB 1.19, with the notable exception that mineralization was much more striking with MC3T3-E1 cells. One of many large nodules (Fig 7A, SEM) that were found on and within MC3T3-E1 tissue after 70-day culture (see also Fig. 8A) was analyzed. Fig. 7B is a high-resolution cross-sectional view through a nodule similar to that shown in Fig. 7A. Nodules such as these proved positive for calcium and phosphorous according to energy-dispersive x-ray analysis (Fig. 7C, SEM/energy dispersive spectroscopy of a nodule taken from a 30-day bioreactor). These nodules were enmeshed in a fibrous, apparently collagenous, network that was evident in SEM preparations such as that shown in Fig. 8A. Histological preparations of MC3T3-E1 tissue recovered from 70-day bioreactors (Fig. 8B) confirm substantial matrix development, with osteoblasts oriented along sheets of matrix. TEM of von Kossa-stained 70-day tissue shown in Fig. 8C is consistent with collagen fibers running in (IP annotation) and along (OP annotation) the plane with interspersed mineral nodules (arrows). We estimated that tissue taken from a 120-day bioreactor was approximately 150 μm thick. After 120 days in culture, there was no indication that MC3T3-E1 tissue grown in the bioreactor was unstable and the absolute term of culture within the bioreactor is regarded as indefinite until experimentation finds an endpoint at which significant instability can be detected.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Evidence summarized in Fig. 2 and supporting micrographs (Figs. 3–8) show that long-term maintenance of hFOB 1.19 (ATCC CRL-11372) and MC3T3-E1 (ATCC CRL-2593) without subculturing leads to formation of cell multilayers within a thick, mineralized matrix that cannot be realized when cells are regularly passaged at confluence. Achievement and maintenance of such a 3-dimensional “tissue” that promotes cell-to-cell contact has been shown to be critical to the development of osteogenic cells into a...
fully differentiated osteoblast phenotype.\textsuperscript{19–22} We demonstrated that such tissue can be grown and maintained long-term (>120 days) in a bioreactor based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis with improved efficiency over conventional tissue-culture labware (TCPS). In fact, tissue maintained 30 days in TCPS with medium replacement every 3 days became unstable, with 2 times the apoptosis rates of cells grown in the bioreactor and loss of insoluble ECM, even though results obtained in TCPS and the bioreactor were similar in the first 15 days of culture.

**FIG. 6.** Ultra-morphology of human fetal osteoblast–derived tissue (15 days) recovered from the bioreactor by cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy. Arrows point to cell protrusions that occasionally connect 2 cells, as shown in (C). jNC, gap junction; MV, matrix vesicles; N, nucleus; rER, rough endoplasmic reticulum.

**FIG. 7.** Analysis of a mineral nodules taken from MC3T3-E1 cultured in a bioreactor. (A) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of a large nodule taken from a 70-day bioreactor. (B) High-magnification, cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of a similar nodule. (C) X-ray spectrum obtained using SEM/energy dispersive spectroscopy of a nodule taken from a 30-day bioreactor confirming Ca and P as major constituents.
Furthermore, morphology of cells grown in the bioreactor suggested development of an osteoid structure, with older cells taking on a flattened osteocytic-like phenotype with inter-cellular connections. The matrix surrounding up to 6 layers of cells was fibrous and mineralized. This comparison of the bioreactor with TCPS suggests that the eventual instability observed in TCPS was primarily due to the accumulated damage arising from periodic medium replacement that removes not only waste products, but also “luxury macromolecules” secreted by the cells. Hence, the standard cell-culture method tends to propagate, rather than mitigate, the effects of culture shock. By contrast, the simultaneous-growth-and-dialysis culture method maintained an extraordinarily stable pericellular environment. With neither subculturing steps to push cells closer and closer to the Hayflick limit nor the environmental stress of an ever-changing pericellular milieu, tissue grown with the bioreactor is apparently stable for long periods of time, measured at least in months. Thus the compartmentalized bioreactor shows promise as a tool for the in vitro study of osteogenesis and osteopathy.
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Abstract

Time-dependent phenotypic response of a model osteoblast cell line (hFOB 1.19, ATCC, and CRL-11372) to substrata with varying surface chemistry and topography is reviewed within the context of extant cell-adhesion theory. Cell-attachment and proliferation kinetics are compared using morphology as a leading indicator of cell phenotype. Expression of (α2, α3, α4, α5, αv, β1, and β3) integrins, vinculin, as well as secretion of osteopontin (OP) and type I collagen (Col I) supplement this visual assessment of hFOB growth. It is concluded that significant cell-adhesion events—contact, attachment, spreading, and proliferation—are similar on all surfaces, independent of substratum surface chemistry/energy. However, this sequence of events is significantly delayed and attenuated on hydrophobic (poorly water-wettable) surfaces exhibiting characteristically low-attachment efficiency and long induction periods before cells engage in an exponential-growth phase. Results suggest that a ‘time–cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle’ is at work wherein similar bioadhesive outcomes can be ultimately achieved on all surface types with varying hydrophilicity, but the time required to arrive at this outcome increases with decreasing cell–substratum–compatibility. Genomic and proteomic tools offer unprecedented opportunity to directly measure changes in the cellular machinery that lead to observed cell responses to different materials. But for the purpose of measuring structure–property relationships that can guide biomaterial development, genomic/proteomic tools should be applied early in the adhesion/spreading process before cells have an opportunity to significantly remodel the cell–substratum interface, effectively erasing cause and effect relationships between cell–substratum–compatibility and substratum properties.

Impact Statement: This review quantifies relationships among cell phenotype, substratum surface chemistry/energy, topography, and cell–substratum contact time for the model osteoblast cell line hFOB 1.19, revealing that genomic/proteomic tools are most useful in the pursuit of understanding cell adhesion if applied early in the adhesion/spreading process.
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1. Introduction

Adhesion and proliferation are fundamental eukaryotic (mammalian) cellular processes involved in embryogenesis, immune response, tissue maintenance, and wound healing [1]. Cell contact, attachment, and subsequent adhesion of anchorage-dependent cells are among the first phases of cell–material interactions [2,3] that profoundly influence integration with tissue and eventual success or failure of a broad range of implanted biomaterials. For these reasons, as well as a compelling need to understand prokaryotic adhesion and surface colonization, cell adhesion has been a focus of research for nearly 50 years. Cell-adhesion research has involved a unique collaboration between biologists and chemists/physicists specializing in material and surface sciences. As a consequence, a vast literature has arisen over these decades that has been the subject of a number of very good reviews (see, for examples, Refs. [2,4–13]). This literature attempts to find relationships between material properties (surface chemistry, energy, and morphology) and bioadhesive outcomes by integrating physicochemical and biological approaches to the problem. The widespread use of many different kinds of materials in biomedical, biotechnical, and engineering applications where cell adhesion is important bears witness to the significant progress that has been made in controlling cell adhesion. However, at a fundamental level, cell adhesion remains poorly understood. Cellomic, proteomic, and genomic tools offer new insights into changes in the regulation of the cell machinery responsible for observed adhesive outcomes in contact with different materials. This information will revolutionize our understanding of cell adhesion at the intra-cellular level. How this information might help interpret cell-substratum-compatibility (a.k.a. cytocompatibility) is a particular focus of this review.

As a means of making our objectives tractable, we focus on the specific case of osteoblast adhesion to different materials, which is of significance to the fast-growing fields of orthopedic biomaterials and musculoskeletal tissue engineering [14]. Rapid growth of these fields can be traced to the demographic facts that extended human life span and higher-activity levels at older age have greatly increased need for orthopedic healthcare [15–18]. Improved hard-tissue repair, augmentation, or replacement has thus become a very significant challenge for orthopedic biomaterials and orthopedic surgery [19–21]. Meeting these challenges depends, in part, on establishing firm relationships between implant success and orthopedic biomaterial properties (so-called structure–property relationships) that can guide the design process. These structure–property relationships critically depend on a thorough understanding of the adhesion of hard-tissue cells (osteoblasts, osteoclasts, chondrocytes, etc.) to artificial materials.

Various model osteoblasts have been introduced and used to gain insight into the bone-cell response to candidate orthopedic biomaterials in vitro. The most widely used model cells are primary cultures derived from normal human and rodent bone fragments, or osteosarcoma cell lines generated from human bone tumors. Each of these cell sources has strengths and limitations for studying the cell adhesion of osteoblasts in vitro [22–25]. For example, primary-human osteoblasts have a normal osteoblastic phenotype; but these cells are typically quite fastidious in vitro, grow very slowly, and have a limited life span when successfully brought into culture. Cultures derived from rodent generally circumvent these problems but may not be appropriate models for humans due to trans-species differences in phenotypic characteristics. Osteosarcoma cell lines derived from spontaneous tumors are readily grown in vitro, proliferate endlessly, but do not exhibit a normal phenotype. Worse perhaps, osteosarcoma cells respond abnormally to various hormones and cytokines compared to normal, differentiated human osteoblasts. In effort to overcome these limitations, Harris et al. [24] established a conditionally immortalized, human fetal-osteoblast cell line, hFOB 1.19 that was stably transfected with a gene coding for a temperature-sensitive mutant (tsA58) of the SV40 large T antigen. Resultant hFOB cells express osteoblast-specific phenotypic markers and mineralize extracellular matrix (ECM). Later, Subramaniam et al. [25] characterized hFOB 1.19 as an immortalized, but non-transformed cell line with minimal chromosome abnormalities and normal spectrum of matrix proteins. Because of these inherent qualities, we have chosen to restrict this review to the behavior of hFOB 1.19 in contact with substrata with different surface-chemical and topological features. This restriction has the obvious benefit of sharply focusing scope of the review to only a few investigators, but is at the acknowledged expense of excluding a burgeoning literature describing the behavior of other osteoblast cell types; especially the popular murine calvaria cell line MC3T3-E1 (ATCC, CRL-2593). We hope that these omissions do not seriously compromise utility of the work, which is as much aimed at finding new directions in cell adhesion as summarizing/condensing knowledge acquired over the last decade or so on osteoblast interactions with biomaterials.

We begin this review by broadly categorizing experimental and theoretical approaches to the cell-adhesion problem that have been taken over the years, attempting to place how genomics and proteomics can best provide new information in the prospective design of orthopedic biomaterials. Specific studies of osteoblast interactions with materials are then summarized with the objective of extracting insights into the short- and long-term influence surface properties can have on model osteoblasts. A general conclusion drawn from data at hand is that gene regulation responsible for adhesion selectivity among surface chemistries/energies is incisive at early stages (<3 days) of cell–surface interactions and will require focused experimental strategies to clearly observe.
2. A reflection on cell adhesion

2.1. Cell-adhesion theories

Fig. 1 coarsely categorizes different approaches that have been taken to the problem of cell adhesion, along with some early (but not necessarily first) literature citations that, in the authors’ view, are archetypes for work that was to follow along the same theme. In the early years, say 1960s to mid 1980s, there was enthusiasm that cell adhesion could be substantially understood using colloid science, surface chemical, and surface thermodynamic principles (the pioneering 1924 work of Mudd and Mudd [26,27] in bacteria adhesion was possibly the first application of surface thermodynamics to cell adhesion). A number of imaginative physicochemical theories were developed to explain the cell-adhesion process [4–8,28–33] with the goal of establishing a predictive basis for optimizing biocompatibility—or at least a rational basis for explaining how substrate surface properties so profoundly affect cell–material interactions. Although some of these theories have been useful in separating and weighing the relative importance of various material properties (such as charge, wettability, surface density of cell-binding ligands, etc.), it seems clear now that cell–substratum interactions ranging from cell–surface contact through proliferation to chronic cell–material interaction are far too complex to be meaningfully embraced by relatively simple mathematical models.

Also in these early years, experiment revealed a strong dependence of cell adhesion/proliferation on substratum surface chemistry, giving rise to the expectation that cell adhesion could be understood using surface-chemical principles. Rappaport’s [34] early 1970s work was among the earliest studying surface-chemical effects on mammalian cell adhesion. Soon after, a variety of surface-synthesis strategies were explored, ranging from use of liquid-phase chemical oxidants [35,36] to application of gas-discharge treatments [37] now commonly employed in the commercial production of disposable plastic cultureware [38]. Evidence mounted supporting the idea that a particular surface functional group—hydroxyl or carboxyl for example—was particularly stimulatory to cell adhesion and proliferation [39–43] over other functional groups. However, it has proven difficult in subsequent research to clearly separate cause and effect in the cell-adhesion/proliferation process, especially in the ubiquitous presence of proteins, and by doing so, unambiguously separate surface chemistry from all other influences (such as surface energy/water wettability) [44]. The most general rule connecting material properties with cell–substratum-compatibility emerging from decades of focused research is that anchoragedependent mammalian cells (those requiring anchorage-dependent mammalian cells—was particularly stimulatory to cell adhesion and proliferation—are particularly involved properties because it is the hydrogen bonding of water to surface functional groups that most profoundly influences wettability (see, as examples, Refs. [38,46,48]).

Viewed from a purely biological perspective, cell adhesion is all about how cells respond to different surfaces as measured by various morphological and/or phenotypic markers. Grinnell’s [2] classic published in International Review of Cytology traced cell morphology and ultrastructure through different stages of adhesion and dependence on substratum properties. The role of various receptors and adsorbed ligands became evident in this era, ultimately identifying a pantheon of ‘adhesins’ that rather quickly dominated cell-adhesion thought [49–51]. Interest in, or even remembrance of, physicochemical and surface-science theories faded very quickly; even though it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches to Bioadhesion</th>
<th>Early Literature Citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Fig. 1. Different approaches to cell adhesion with some archetypical literature citations. Each of these approaches effectively probe different phases of cell adhesion and describe cell adhesion in different terms.
is self-evident that biology, biochemistry, and physics are simultaneously operative at some level. Perhaps Hammer and Tirrell [11] captured it best with the words “...specific recognition between reactive biomolecules or receptors occurs against a backdrop of polymeric and long-range nonspecific forces.”

Different approaches to cell adhesion captured in Fig. 1 effectively study different facets of a multi-faceted cell-adhesion problem that become more-or-less important at various stages of cell adhesion. For example, there can be little doubt that surface chemistry, colloid forces, and surface thermodynamics are more important earlier in the process than later. Thus, an unsolved problem in cell adhesion is to integrate these separated temporal views in a way that establishes how preceding stages of cell–surface interaction influence succeeding stages. Pursuing the example mentioned above a bit further to illustrate this latter point, it is evident that colloid science considers only forces between cell and surface that occur in close proximity—but not contact—whereas surface thermodynamics contemplates the energetics of interface formation and destruction commensurate with intimate cell–surface contact [38]. Thus, colloidal principles might speak volumes about the forces that bring cells to within a few tens of nanometers of a surface but colloid science is silent about the adhesion process itself. Conversely, surface thermodynamics might address cell–surface adhesivity but says nothing about getting the cell close enough to the surface to actually form a cell–surface interface. A connecting theory is required to bring these parts of the problem together and explain how the surface-contact step can influence final adhesion. Modified colloid and surface-thermodynamic theories might indeed build such a bridge [31,33], but the span between the physics and biology appears much, much broader. Worse, it is not yet apparent what kind of information can fill this physics–biology gap or how closure can be accomplished in terms that relate materials properties to cell–substratum–compatibility.

2.2. Cell attachment and proliferation kinetics

Cell biologists view the adhesion of anchorage-dependent mammalian cells to a substratum surface as occurring in four major steps that precede proliferation: protein adsorption, cell–substratum contact, cell–substratum attachment, and cell adhesion/spreading [2,9,31,33]. Protein adsorption is complex in its own right, involving molecular-scale interactions with a hydrated surface that no doubt transpire nearly instantaneously relative to the timeframe of cell adhesion (see, as examples drawn from many, Refs. [52,53]). Cell contact and attachment involve gravitation/sedimentation to within 50 nm or so of a surface whereupon physical and biochemical forces conspire to close the cell–surface distance gap. Initial cell contact with the substratum presumably occurs by extension of filopodia that penetrates an electrostatic barrier between cell and substratum surfaces that usually bears similar net-negative charges [2,38]. Filopodia attach firmly to the substrate and play an important role in orienting cells on the surface and begin the process of customizing the substratum for improved cell adhesion (see Refs. [2,54] for reviews). Time required to complete contact and attachment steps in a simple, stagnant culture-dish arrangement is usually of the order of 30 min for typical soft-tissue cells [45] (see also Fig. 2), but clearly depends on a complex interplay between cell, surface, and suspending fluid-phase composition [38] in a manner that has been only partially described by aforementioned colloid and surface-thermodynamic theories. Adherent cells then slowly (typically within hours) spread over the surface, depending on compatibility with the surface, expressing a strong ‘biological component of adhesion’ [38] that includes secretion of ECM and results in the flattening of cells on the substratum [2] (spread-cell length is about 3–10 times height [9]). Needless to say, (protein) composition of the fluid phase can greatly affect the entire cell-adhesion process [31,33,55–59]. Thus, it is apparent that the short-term (<3 days) cell-adhesion/proliferation process spans a broad range of time and length scales. As a consequence, the biophysics of cell adhesion is very complex and any successful model of cell adhesion must address this multi-scale aspect of the problem.

Fig. 2 sketches a generalized kinetic profile representing short-term cell adhesion/proliferation typically observed for the adhesion of mammalian cells to planar surfaces from a stagnant fluid phase (e.g. plating cells into petri dishes or tissue-culture flasks). Fig. 2 plots attached cell number (expressed as % of originating cell inoculum) as a function of time [14,45,46,60,61]. Annotations on Fig. 2...
indicate a number of quantitative parameters than can be extracted from experimental data comprising such a kinetic analysis. These parameters include time-to-half-maximal attachment $t_{1/2}$ and maximal attachment $\%I_{\text{max}}$. $\%I_{\text{max}}$ is defined by a steady-state attachment plateau that has been interpreted as either a pseudo-equilibrium partitioning between attached and suspended cells [38,45,62] or a kinetic-saturation phenomenon [63–66]. This steady state precedes cell spreading and, after a dwell time (which can also be quantified), adherent cells replicate with proliferation rate $k$ and a characteristic population doubling time $t_d$. As will be discussed subsequently, each of these attachment/proliferation parameters is quite sensitive to surface chemistry and protein/surfactant composition of the fluid phase [31,33], as well as being diagnostic of cell–substratum–compatibility [45,46].

The whole kinetic process sketched in Fig. 2 is not frequently measured, especially the early attachment phase characterized by $t_{1/2}$ and $\%I_{\text{max}}$ because cell-enumeration protocols are quite labor intensive (there are a variety of cell-enumeration methods available including dye techniques [67–74], autoradiography [75], light and electron microscopy [76,78], Coulter counting [79], hemocytometry [80], spectrometry [81,82], nuclei number [83], total DNA [84], total protein concentration [78,85] that may or may not give similar results, depending on cell number and specific experimental conditions). Instead, a variety of experimental short cuts are taken, such as measuring attached cell number after some arbitrary cell–surface contact time [86–89]. In many instances, the fluid-phase composition is changed mid-way through the cell-attachment assay, as by discarding unattached cells or changing protein composition, which can completely change the biophysics of the adhesion process. The wide variety of methods used makes it very difficult to compare results from different protocols or research groups. It is thus not always clear how measured cell-adhesion parameters correlate, or even if these different parameters are at all related. This complexity in the literature is exacerbated by the fact that there are a number of methods of assessing cell–surface interaction that do not fall in the category of cell-attachment kinetics. These include measurement of cell spreading [90–92], cell interfacial energy [93], forces required to dislodge adherent cells [94–96], and use of detachment indices [97].

### 2.3. The cell morphological response to materials

The most obvious and striking difference in cell behavior on different materials is cell shape (morphology). Variations in cell morphology can be observed by light and electron microscopy assisted with various cytoskeletal stains such as actin and vinculin stains [61,77,89,98–102]. Morphological changes can be quantified by using image analysis that reports dimensional parameters such as cell area, perimeter, Feret’s diameter, circularity, and coverage per unit surface area.

Anchorage-dependent cells attached to a surface that supports cell growth undergo a progressive process of flattening from a very-nearly spherical shape to discoid, as was well described in Grinnell’s [2] 1978 review. During this shape change, adhesion to the surface is mediated by formation of focal adhesions and plaques constructed from an assembly of transmembrane integrins that anchor the cytoskeleton to ECM secreted by surface-bound cells. Related to Fig. 2, these events occur well after steady-state adhesion has been achieved but before the exponential-growth phase. Vogler [38] has emphasized that this ‘biological component of the work of adhesion’ expresses itself much later than the operative timeframe of physical forces that bring cells from suspension in media to the substratum surface. Using detergent solutions (Twee-80; polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleate) to vary liquid-phase interfacial tension or to match that of serum-containing medium, it has been shown that all phases of cell contact through attachment observed in cell-culture medium could be observed in absence of proteins [31,33]. Of course, attached cells ultimately die in the absence of serum (or defined-media) proteins, but cells attached from detergent solutions apparently grow quite normally if the detergent solution is replaced with serum-containing medium soon after cells reach the attachment steady state (Vogler, unpublished work). All of this suggests that the early-attachment phase does not include significant ECM production but rather is dominated by physical forces.

Cell-attachment time is clearly an important variable in the correlation of cell morphology to substratum characteristics—chemical or topological. Our experience with hFOB summarized in the next section suggests that the general sequence of events, from round cells to flat, is substantially independent of the overall cell–substratum–compatibility. On surfaces exhibiting poor cell–substratum–compatibility (typically hydrophobic, see Figs. 3–5), cells remain rounded for an extended period of time compared to more compatible surfaces (typically hydrophilic). But if cells on poorly compatible surfaces survive, even if just barely, flattening and eventual population of the surface occur. Thus, expression of morphological traits may be viewed as delayed on poorly compatible surfaces, a kind of time–cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle that suggests cells are engaged in an extended process of secreting ECM to compatibilize the surface.

### 2.4. Genomic and proteomic tools in the study of cell adhesion

Modern genomic and proteomic tools offer unprecedented opportunity to directly measure changes in the cellular machinery that lead to the observed cell response to different materials. Perhaps, these powerful methods will provide new information that can fill the physics–biology gap mentioned above, or at least yield insights into how closure can be accomplished in terms that relate materials properties to cell–substratum–compatibility. The objective...
here is to systematically relate material properties to the regulation of important genes that ultimately control cell vitality and evolution of phenotype. But, before the genomic/proteomic revolution can transform our basic understanding of cell–substratum–compatibility, especially as it relates to material properties, we need to know which of the plethora of genes and proteins should be monitored and over what time frame.

Time quite clearly plays an important role in cell adhesion of mammalian cells. It seems safe to guess that gene regulation during these different phases of adhesion would be likewise quite different, leading directly to the expectation that the outcome of genomic/proteomic analysis will strongly depend on when in the cell-adhesion process these tools are used. For the purpose of illustrating this important point, it seems useful to speculate when genomic/proteomic studies might yield results that would correlate most strongly with substratum surface properties. According to the preceding discussion, biophysical chemistry dominates the initial phases of cell–surface contact and attachment, at least as it occurs in the highly simplified case of cell adhesion to a culture dish from a sessile fluid phase. Perhaps, cell machinery is in idle during this phase awaiting the signal to manufacture ECM and integrins that will mediate/moderate adhesion in subsequent stages of cell adhesion. If this is the case, little-or-no useful information from genomic/proteomic tools would be anticipated at this very early stage of cell adhesion (to say nothing of the experimental difficulties associated with data acquisition). Later, say within the first 4 h of attachment to a cell-compatible surface such as ordinary tissue-culture labware, strong up-regulation of genes responsible for production of ECM and various integrins would be anticipated. Perhaps, the extent of up-regulation would correlate with substratum surface properties in a manner that might suggest cause and effect relationships that can serve as the basis of material design. Still later, say within 4 $t < 72$ h when cells begin to proliferate and are consequently preoccupied with mitosis, substratum-specific gene regulation might be quite challenging to detect. But data summarized in Section 3.1 show there are significant differences in proliferation rates, at least for hFOB 1.19, suggesting that this phase of cell adhesion would nevertheless be a likely target for the application of genomic/proteomic tools. Finally, in the post-mitotic period ($t > 72$ h), when adherent cells begin to express normal physiological processes (such as mineralization of the surrounding ECM) surface properties might only weakly correlate with substratum surface properties. Here too, the time–cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle mentioned in preceding section should be borne in mind because timing of these phases of adhesion are accordingly shifted to longer times on poorly...
cytocompatible surfaces and so gene regulation would presumably be shifted in time as well. Of course, all of this discussion is highly speculative because focused studies have not yet been carried out with any particular material, let alone with materials bearing systematically varied surface properties. Studies reviewed in the next section just begin to provide some of this information.

3. Influence of substratum surface chemistry/energy and topography on the adhesion of human fetal osteoblastic cell line hFOB 1.19

Time-dependent phenotypic response of hFOB 1.19 (ATCC, CRL-11372) to substrata with varying surface chemistry and topography is reviewed in this section in light of the cell-adhesion theory outlined in the preceding section. Osteoblasts exhibit many, if not all, of the general bioadhesive characteristics of other mammalian (soft tissue) cells, plus some interesting peculiarities presumably specific to osteoblasts. As a consequence, an implicit assumption prevailing in the literature is that surface-engineering methods applied to improve soft-tissue–cell adhesion (modification of surface chemistry, surface energy/wettability) can be likewise used to improve osteoblast adhesion to, and proliferation on, orthopedic biomaterials in vivo. In addition to these standard surface-engineering methods, precision engineering of surface topography is an alternative receiving considerable interest in orthopedic biomaterials because of the contemporaneity among nanoeengineering, nanomedicine [103–105], and the demand for improved orthopedic healthcare mentioned in the introduction. In principle, surface topography and surface chemistry can be varied independently but, in practice, this is difficult to do and even more difficult to

![Fig. 5. Variation in hFOB morphology on different surfaces after 4, 24, 48, and 96 h of culture as assessed by SEM (hydrophilic surfaces: PTQ = plasma-treated quartz, PTG = plasma-treated glass, TCPS = tissue-culture grade polystyrene; hydrophobic surfaces: BGPS = bacteriological grade polystyrene, STQ = silane-treated quartz). Scale bar = 10 μm. Note that variation in cell morphology abates with time, especially for hydrophobic specimens.](image-url)
prove that chemistry and topography are actually independent parameters. This latter issue has become a matter of academic interest in the emerging field of nanomedicine. Pragmatically, it may not be so important how cell–substratum–compatibility is influenced as long as this surface engineering reproduces leads to improved material characteristics. But, for the purpose of prospective (rather than accidental) design of orthopedic biomaterials, it is critical to evaluate which of the aforementioned surface characteristics are most influential. Careful evaluation of cell-adhesion kinetics, morphology, and application of genomic/proteomic tools outlined in the preceding section are key to understanding osteoblast response to orthopedic biomaterial characteristics.

3.1. Short-term adhesion and proliferation of hFOB on different surfaces

Lim et al. [14,60,61] found that hFOB adhesion efficiency (%I\textsubscript{max} indicated in Fig. 2) strongly correlated with substratum wettability, with high rates of cell attachment on relatively hydrophilic surfaces ($t^o > 30$ dyne/cm or a nominal contact angle $\theta < 65^\circ$) and low-attachment rates on hydrophobic surfaces ($t^o < 30$ dyne/cm or a nominal contact angle $\theta > 65^\circ$); where $t^o \equiv \gamma^o_{lv} \cos \theta$ is the adhesion tension of pure water with interfacial tension $\gamma^o_{lv}$ subtending a contact angle $\theta$ on the substratum surface; see Refs. [38,48] for a discussion of biomaterial wetting properties and Ref. [106] for use of hydrophilic/hydrophobic terminology applied to biomaterials). A variety of materials were examined, including silane-treated glass and quartz (STG, STQ), polylactide/glycolide-based degradable polymers, bacteriological-grade polystyrene cultureware (BGPS), and tissue-culture grade polystyrene cultureware (TCPS). Lim et al. made no overt attempt to control surface texture in these studies, or any particular effort to characterize adventitious surface rugosity. It is probably safe to assume that these materials were rough at the sub-micron level and surface texture was more-or-less random. Lim’s work has been confirmed by subsequent analysis that focused in the hydrophilic end of the wetting scale. Fig. 3 compiles unpublished results (Vogler) showing that incremental increase in PTPS wettability (by air-plasma-discharge treatment) over the range $42 < t^o \leq 72.8$ dyne/cm range did not measurably increase hFOB attachment efficiency over TCPS (Corning TCPS control surfaces exhibited an advancing water contact angle $\theta_a = 55^\circ$ and receding contact angle $\theta_r = 45^\circ$). In this regard, hFOB results mirror those obtained with epithelioid and fibroblastic soft-tissue cells [31,33,45]. However, a noticeable attachment preference of hFOB for fully water-wettable quartz (air-plasma treated, PTQ) and discrimination against fully water-wettable glass (air-plasma treated, PTG) relative to TCPS seems to be unique for hFOB compared with soft-tissue cells [60] and possibly generic to osteoblasts. This significant difference in hFOB attachment efficiency to surfaces exhibiting the same nominal surface wettability might be due to cytotoxicity of ordinary SiO$_2$ glass [107,108] that inhibits early stages of cell adhesion. But the cause of the attachment preference for the quartz surface chemistry ($> 99.9\%$ SiO$_2$) remains unresolved. Nevertheless, this glass/quartz difference is an example where substratum chemistry apparently plays an important role in cell adhesion, quite independent of water wettability.

Interestingly, cell-proliferation rates also correlated with substratum surface wettability, as shown in Fig. 4. This correlation suggests that the cellular machinery responsible for replication is affected by surface chemistry/energy, well after the contact and attachment phase occurring within the $0 \leq t \leq 2h$ timeframe (see also Fig. 2). No doubt gene regulation associated with proliferation is in high gear, leading to the speculation that many interesting differences in the cell–substratum–compatibility of various materials could be detected using genomic/proteomic tools within this early phase of cell–surface interaction, as discussed in Section 2.4. The word “speculation” is purposefully chosen here because, although it makes intuitive sense that gene regulation at this stage in cell–substratum interaction should be quite different from that observed in confluent cells, to our knowledge no such measurements have actually been made. The reward for such experimentally challenging research should be observation of large differences among cells on different materials that is not observed at later times; even at the extremes of surface energy. That is to say, the opportunity to correlate material properties with expression of proteins important in the adhesion process may be in the exponential-growth phase that follows steady-state attachment (see Fig. 2).

Returning to Fig. 4, it is interesting to note that whereas the proliferation rate $k$ on PTQ was measurably faster than TCPS, reflecting differences observed in attachment rate discussed above, proliferation on PTG was approximately the same as TCPS. Perhaps, this suggests that attachment efficiency %I\textsubscript{max} and proliferation rate $k$ are independent parameters in certain circumstances measuring different aspects of cell–surface compatibility. Stepping back from these details momentarily, it is quite striking from Figs. 3 and 4 that hFOB response to surface energy pivots near $t^o \sim 30$ dyne/cm ($\theta \sim 60^\circ$), as has been observed for a variety of soft-tissue cells (and some prokaryotes, see Refs. [46,47]). Vogler attributes this to the behavior of water at surfaces [47] that profoundly influences protein adsorption, among other important physicochemical phenomena. Perhaps, vicinal water is the medium through which cells sense physical properties of artificial surfaces in forced contact: surface chemistry/energy affects the aqueous pericellular milieu immediately contacting attached cells and cells respond accordingly (see Ref. [47] for more discussion). Clearly, this will remain only so much speculation until we can measure the intra-cellular response to different materials to see if there is any correlation with contacting surface energy and behavior of water at these surfaces [46,47].
Hendrich et al. [74] were among the first to measure osteoblast response to purposely textured surfaces. They found measurably higher hFOB proliferation on a relatively smooth titanium surface and lower cell proliferation on relatively rough CoCrMo alloy surfaces. However, hFOB was found to proliferate at similar rates on CoCrMo and stainless steel surfaces, even though these two surfaces had significantly different roughness. Hao et al. [109] studied hFOB proliferation on Ti–6Al–4V titanium alloy surfaces with different roughness, modulated either mechanically or with a high-power diode laser. Cell growth increased considerably on the laser-treated titanium alloy surfaces and slightly on the mechanically roughed surface (compared to untreated surfaces). However, it was observed that contact angles decreased (water wettability increased) with either laser or mechanical treatment (presumably due to an increase in oxygen content on treated surfaces), indicating both topographic and surface-chemical modification. Lim et al. [110,111] recently reported studies of hFOB adhesion and proliferation on polymer systems with varying surface texture, chemical composition, and wettability. As surfaces varied from smooth to textured with different topographic feature scale, hFOB adhesion was observed to exhibit statistically significant differences in cell-substratum-compatibility; although the full range in adhesion efficiency varied only about 20% among surfaces studied. Authors noted that “…various biomaterial characteristics (topography, surface, and chemistry/energy) are intercorrelated”, emphasizing the point made early in this section that it is difficult to deconvolve impact of topography from surface chemistry/energy on cell-adhesion/cell–substratum–compatibility.

3.2. Morphological response of hFOB to different surfaces

Lim et al. [61] examined differences in cytoskeletal features of hFOB cultured on surfaces with different surface energy at different culture intervals (3 h, 1 day, 3 days) by actin/integrin immunofluorescence staining. This work demonstrated remarkable morphological difference between cells on hydrophilic and hydrophobic substratum at equivalent times. Cells cultured on plasma-treated quartz (PTQ, hydrophilic) displayed distinct, large plaques of integrins (α, and β3 subunits) co-localized with actin stress fibers whereas there was much less development of these adhesion structures on silane-treated quartz (STQ, hydrophobic). These observations motivated further examination of cell morphology on substrata with varying surface energy and as a function of time in an attempt separate surface energy and time-in-culture effects. Fig. 5 compares hFOB cultured on PTQ, PTG, TCPS (relatively hydrophilic), BGPS, and STQ (relatively hydrophobic) for 4, 24, 48, and 96 h (compare to cell attachment kinetics of Figs. 2 and 3). Initially attached cells observed by light microscopy were distributed randomly on all surfaces (not shown). After 4 h, hFOB reached maximal attachment on all surfaces and were found to be significantly more spread on PTQ and PTG than on TCPS, BGPS, and STQ. Notice from Fig. 5 that attached-cell shape is dramatically affected by surface energy, increasing in size with hydrophilicity from a dimension similar to that of a cell in suspension (< 10 μm) on STQ. Filopodia extend in all directions from hFOB on PTQ, PTG, and TCPS but there were relatively fewer filopodia extending from hFOB on hydrophobic BGPS and STQ that were more directionally oriented as if emanating from a single point of attachment. Examination of a large number of such micrographs revealed that hFOB morphology on PTG was more variable than on other surfaces, as illustrated in Fig. 6, possibly correlating with the observed cytotoxicity of glass mentioned in Section 3.1. After 24 h, hFOB on all surfaces became more elongated and flattened. Cells were fully spread and in close contact by extended filopodia on PTQ, PTG, and TCPS, but some cells on BGPS remained rounded and not fully extended. Fig. 7 uses image analysis (ImageJ, National Institute of Health) of Coomassie-blue-stained cells to quantify this visual assessment of hFOB morphology on PTQ and STQ and TCPS. In our hands, % coverage and occupied area were the two most sensitive parameters that seemed to correlate with SEM.

Cells on STQ were the most extended and longitudinally oriented of the group, and retained a spindle-like shape up to 48 h. Within 48 h, cell rounding associated with cell division was observed on all surfaces except on STQ, indicating delayed cell proliferation on STQ consistent with the reduced hFOB doubling time on hydrophobic surfaces reported by Lim et al. [60]. Morphological differences among cells on the various surfaces all but disappear after 48 h contact, except perhaps hFOB on STQ that retained a spindle-shaped morphology up to 96 h. Nevertheless, it is clear that extremes in surface energy (that quite nearly lead to life-to-death differences in short-term viability) were substantially remediated after a relatively short culture period. In this regard, it is interesting to note that evolution of morphology on hydrophobic surfaces is slower, but otherwise not remarkably different than that observed for hFOB on more hydrophilic surfaces—an example of the time-cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle mentioned previously. For example, images of hFOB on BGPS at 48 h or on STQ at 96 h might well be traded with that of hFOB on TCPS for 24 h without significantly changing perception of trends.

Fig. 8 expands on the work of Lim et al. [61] discussed above using actin and vinculin immunostaining to visualize evolution of cytoskeleton and focal adhesions of hFOB on TCPS, PTQ and STQ by comparing 3 and 24 h culture intervals. hFOB on PTQ and TCPS displayed actin bundles at 3 h, whereas actin was much more diffuse in hFOB on STQ. This is quite consistent with the gross morphology observed using SEM (Fig. 5) from which it appeared that hFOB on STQ was attached only at a single point. Vinculin plaques were also distinct at the leading edge of hFOB on PTQ and TCPS, which was not at all the case of STQ. At 24 h of cell culture, differences among surfaces were even
Fig. 6. Variation in hFOB shape on plasma-treated glass (PTG) after 4 h culture as assessed by SEM showing widely varying morphological response to apparently cytotoxic SiO$_2$ glass. Scale bar = 10 μm.

Fig. 7. Dimensional analysis by image analysis (Image J, NIH) of Coomassie-blue-stained hFOB cultured on hydrophilic surfaces (PTQ = plasma-treated quartz, TCPS = tissue-culture grade polystyrene) and hydrophobic surfaces (STQ = silane-treated quartz) for 24 h. Statistical significance indicated by *$(p<0.05)$, **$(p<0.01)$ and ***$(p<0.001)$.
more evident. hFOB on PTQ and TCPS displayed a well-spread and interconnected morphology with well-developed actin stress fibers and vinculin plaques, whereas cells on STQ became more spindle-like shape with less-developed actin and vinculin structures. Perhaps, the most pronounced effect was co-localization of actin fiber ends and vinculin adhesion structures on TCPS and PTQ that were conspicuously absent in hFOB culture on STQ. In one sense, these results are not surprising because it is well known that cell adhesion and spreading is accomplished by significant changes in cytoskeleton and maturation of adhesion plaques. However, there are relatively few studies that compare gross morphology to cytoskeletal changes over time on substrata with varying surface energy. In fact, reports by Lim et al. are to our knowledge the only comprehensive studies for hFOB in the literature at this writing.

3.3. Integrin and extracellular matrix protein expression by hFOB on different surfaces

Lim et al. [61] compared integrin (integrin α2, α3, α4, α5, αv, β1, β3) and vinculin protein expression by hFOB cultured on hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces (PTQ/STQ and PTG/STG: hydrophilic/hydrophobic contrast) for 3 and 6 days. Steady-state levels of osteopontin (OP) and type I collagen (Col I) mRNA were also quantified, providing a sense of ECM protein production. In brief summary, Lim found that hFOB cultured on hydrophobic surfaces expressed significantly lower levels of αv and β3 subunits than on hydrophilic surfaces and that this difference decreased with time in culture. These results are generally consistent with expectations outlined in the previous section, including the proposed time–cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle.

To amplify on these trends relative to TCPS as reference surface, Lim’s quantitative data have been normalized to TCPS and reported in Table 1 in the form of a simple +/−0 rating emphasizing significant trends (+) = higher than TCPS, (−) = lower than TCPS, (0) = not different than TCPS). Inspection of Table 1 reveals that the most significant differences from hFOB behavior on TCPS occurred by day 3 (p < 0.01) at which time integrin αv expression on STG and STQ was significantly lower and OP mRNA levels on STQ were significantly higher. By day 6, however, integrin αv and OP expression was only slightly different on STQ (p < 0.05) and were not different on STG. β3 was slightly different on STQ than TCPS on both day 3 and 6 at the p < 0.05 level. Vinculin was down-regulated at STQ on day 3 but recovered by day 6. The other integrin subunits (α2, α3, α4, α5, and β1) and Col I were not significantly different on glass or quartz relative to TCPS. Integrin and ECM protein expression data correlated with SEM and other morphological analyses presented in the preceding section, confirming that hFOB had substantially, but not fully, recovered from poorly cytocompatible hydrophobic surface characteristics within 6 days. In particular, we note that a significant down-regulation of

Fig. 8. Actin, vinculin, and composite immunofluorescent images (400 x) of hFOB cultured for 3 and 24 h on hydrophilic substratum (PTQ = plasma-treated quartz, TCPS = tissue-culture grade polystyrene), and hydrophobic surfaces (STQ = silane-treated quartz).
\(\alpha_v\) integrin (which was uncorrelated with \(\beta_3\)) and up-regulation of OP correlated with retention of spindle-shaped cell morphology on hydrophobic surfaces as compared to on hydrophilic counterparts.

Stepping back from these details momentarily (which are already highly simplified relative to Lim’s quantitative analysis), Table 1 suggests that hFOB discrimination between hydrophobic (STG and STQ) and hydrophilic surfaces (PTG and PTQ) was substantially over within 3 days if TCPS is used as the standard of reference. Nearly all of the entries are null or only slightly different in a statistical sense. By day 3, and certainly by day 6, hFOB on both hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces were confluent with no difference in Col I synthesis among surfaces. Based on the speculation of Section 2.4, it is reasonable to suggest that analysis at earlier culture intervals would be required to sense differences in cell–substratum–compatibility hFOB experienced on the surfaces, at least for the factors listed in Table 1. In other words, effects of the life and death struggle to populate poorly compatible hydrophobic surfaces were all but erased within 3 days of cell–surface contact during which time hFOB substantially remodeled STG and STQ surfaces.

### 3.4. Long-term viability of hFOB in culture

The primary focus of this review has been the phenotypic progression of hFOB on different substrata over relatively short culture intervals. However, growth of isolated osteoblasts into a mature osteogenic-cell monolayer that significantly mineralizes the surrounding matrix is a slow process, at least for hFOB that generally requires a week or more of continuous culture to accrue significant production of mineral nodules. Also, for the purpose of complete cell–substratum–compatibility testing of orthopedic biomaterials in vitro, it may be very desirable to culture osteoblasts in contact with candidate materials for extended periods measured in weeks or months, not days.

Thus, it is of interest to end this review with a brief examination of the viability of hFOB in long-term culture.

Dhurjati et al. [112] recently compared hFOB culture in TCPS for up to 30 days to that obtained in a specialized bioreactor. TCPS cultures were maintained with medium exchanges every 2 days but without subculture. The bioreactor design was based on the ‘simultaneous growth and dialysis’ method pioneered by Rose in the early 1960s [113,114] and avoided both subculture and periodic media exchanges, so that the pericellular environment was extremely stable within the bioreactor. Fig. 9 quantifies cell attachment, proliferation, and post-confluent population expansion phases (inset expands time axis and expresses % inoculum on a linear axis; compare to Fig. 2).

Table 2 compares 15- and 30-day culture characteristics, including alizarin red staining that suggests cultures were mineralizing (although no mineral nodules were evident by

### Table 1

Integrin, vinculin, osteopontin, and type I collagen expression in hFOB on different glass and quartz surfaces (relative to TCPS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>PTG</th>
<th>PTQ</th>
<th>STG</th>
<th>STQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Vin = vinculin; OP = osteopontin; Col I = type I collagen; (0) = not significantly different than TCPS; (−) = significantly lower than TCPS; (+) = significantly higher than TCPS; (−−) = much lower than TCPS; (+ +) = much higher than TCPS; 0/−, 0/+ = modestly lower or higher. *p<0.05; **p<0.01, respectively. Integrin and Vin was measured by immunoblotting. OP and Col I measured by RT PCR.

---

Fig. 9. Short- and long-term growth dynamics of hFOB on tissue-culture grade polystyrene (TCPS) spanning 30 days in continuous culture without subculture. Inset expands short-term attachment rates using a linear ordinate.
SEM and von Kossa staining was negative). Somewhat surprisingly, TCPS cultures remained robust in appearance until about 30 days when cell rounding and debris formation indicated viability issues. TEM and apoptosis assays (see Fig. 10) confirmed loss of culture integrity. Evidently, hFOB cannot be maintained in standard TCPS without subculture for longer than about 30 days. By contrast, Dhurjati reports indefinite culture intervals longer than 4 months (10 months for MC3T3-E1 in unpublished work), suggesting that long-term maintenance of an osteogenic tissue is possible in a relatively simple bioreactor setup.

### 4. Concluding remarks

Time-dependent phenotypic response of a model osteoblast (hFOB 1.19, ATCC, CRL-11372) to substrata with varying surface chemistry and topography has been reviewed in the context of cell-adhesion theory. The general sequence of events—contact, attachment, spreading, and proliferation—appears to be very similar among all surfaces, independent of surface chemistry. However, this sequence of events is delayed and attenuated on poorly cytocompatible hydrophobic substrata. Poorly cytocompatible surfaces exhibit characteristically low attachment.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture time</th>
<th>15 days</th>
<th>30 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase activity (nmol/mg pr./min)</td>
<td>4.39 ± 0.26</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alizarin red (μmol)</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 10.** Variation in long-term hFOB morphology on tissue-culture grade polystyrene (TCPS) assessed by cross-sectional TEM (Panels A: scale bar = 5 μm; B: scale bar = 10 μm) showing formation of multiple cell layers. Note that apoptotic bodies were clearly evident after 30 days of culture. Apoptotic cells (green) among normal cells (red, Sytox Orange) visualized using confocal microscopy confirm an increase in apoptosis with culture age (Panels C, D: Scale bar = 50 μm). Percent apoptotic bodies noted in lower right of Panels C, D were estimated by image analysis (see Ref. [112] for experimental details).
efficiency and long induction periods during which cells are apparently engaged in a life-or-death struggle to improve the pericellular environment by excretion of matrix proteins. A kind of time–cell–substratum–compatibility–superposition principle seems to be in play here in which similar bioadhesive outcomes can be ultimately achieved on all surfaces, but the time required to arrive at this outcome increases with decreasing cell–substratum–compatibility.

Modern genomic and proteomic tools offer unprecedented opportunity to directly measure changes in the cellular machinery that lead to the observed cell response to different materials. This information is key to bridging the gap between a purely physical–chemical and a purely biological understanding of cell adhesion in a way that promises to yield structure–property relationships so critical to the prospective engineering of biomaterials. For this purpose, timing is critical. Genomic/proteomic tools must be used during a stage of cell adhesion when cell–surface interactions most profoundly affect cell physiology. Applied too early, little information will be gained because cells have not yet sensed the interfacial environment in which they find themselves immersed. Applied too late, little information will be gained because cells have had time to remodel the pericellular environment. We intuit from the information reviewed in this paper that the most sensitive stage of cell adhesion for application of genomic/proteomic tools is within spreading and proliferation phases. Thus, the implication for cell-adhesion research is that genomic and proteomic tools should be applied early in the adhesion/spreading process before cells have an opportunity to significantly remodel the cell–substratum interface, effectively erasing cause and effect relationships between cell–substratum–compatibility and substratum properties.
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Metastatic breast cancer cells colonize and degrade three-dimensional osteoblastic tissue in vitro

Ravi Dhurjati · Venkatesh Krishnan · Laurie A. Shuman · Andrea M. Mastro · Erwin A. Vogler

Abstract Metastatic breast cancer cells (BCs) colonize a mineralized three-dimensional (3D) osteoblastic tissue (OT) grown from isolated pre-osteoblasts for up to 5 months in a specialized bioreactor. Sequential stages of BC interaction with OT include BC adhesion, penetration, colony formation, and OT reorganization into “Indian files” paralleling BC colonies, heretofore observed only in authentic pathological cancer tissue. BCs permeabilize OT by degrading the extracellular collagenous matrix (ECM) in which the osteoblasts are embedded. OT maturity (characterized by culture age and cell phenotype) profoundly affects the patterns of BC colonization. BCs rapidly form colonies on immature OT (higher cell/ECM ratio, osteoblastic phenotype) but fail to completely penetrate OT. By contrast, BCs efficiently penetrate mature OT (lower cell/ECM ratio, osteocytic phenotype) and reorganize OT. BC colonization provokes a strong osteoblast inflammatory response marked by increased expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6. Furthermore, BCs inhibit osteoblastic bone formation by down-regulating synthesis of collagen and osteocalcin. Results strongly suggest that breast cancer disrupts the process of osteoblastic bone formation, in addition to upregulating osteoclastic bone resorption as widely reported. These observations may help explain why administration of bisphosphonates to humans with osteolytic metastases slows lesion progression by inhibiting osteoclasts but does not bring about osteoblast-mediated healing.

Keywords Bone metastases · Breast cancer · Colonization · Inflammation · Invasion · Osteoblast · Three-dimensional cell culture model

Introduction

Skeleton is a favored site for the metastatic spread of breast, prostate, lung, and multiple myeloma cancers [1]. Metastatic cancer in bone is particularly pernicious because, once bone colonization occurs, the cure rate is almost zero [1–3]. Cancers in bone progress with significant morbidity related to bone loss (lytic cancer) or gain (blastic cancer), hypercalcemia, pathological fractures, and spinal compression [3]. Specific aspects of cancer cell growth in bone such as dormancy [4, 5] contribute to a protracted disease progression with intervals of remission that can sometimes last up to decades [6]. Metastatic colonization of bone is the culmination of a sequence of steps beginning with migration of cancer cells to bone, survival and adaptation to the bone environment, proliferation to form micrometastases, and finally development of vascularized...
tumors [7]. Successful progression through these different stages requires reciprocal interactions between cancer cells and the bone microenvironment [8]. Of the cancer cells that reach bone, only a small percentage of cells develop into clinically detectable tumors; the remaining either die, persist as solitary dormant cells, or develop into pre-angiogenic micrometastases that fail to develop into overt tumors [7, 9].

The specific cellular and molecular mechanisms responsible for the variable fate of cancer cells in bone are incompletely understood [7]. Investigations of metastasis suppressor genes [10] and cell trafficking studies using intravital videomicroscopy [11, 12] have revealed that early stage, pre-angiogenic interactions between the cancer cells and the bone environment are crucial regulators of cancer cell growth and disease progression. Evidence from these two independent lines of investigation suggest that early stages of metastatic colonization constitute a rate-limiting step in disease progression that can be an effective target for therapeutic intervention [13]. Consequently, a full appreciation of the mechanistic basis of metastatic colonization can greatly enhance discovery of drugs aimed at the arrest of cancer cell growth that will limit disease progression to a minimal residual, asymptomatic stage [14].

One difficulty encountered in drug development is that early stage detection of cancer cell colonization is difficult, both in the clinic and laboratory, because of the refractory nature of whole bone and lack of relevant in vitro models, respectively. Excised tissue [15] faithfully captures end stages of cancer in bone associated with fully-developed tumors, but the critical initial stages of disease remain largely inaccessible in this surrogate. Effective in vitro bone models must strike a balance between experimental efficiency and retention of biological complexity. In particular, the model must recapitulate the in vivo bone microenvironment to the greatest extent possible. Three-dimensional (3D) tissue models have become a focus of recent investigation for this reason [16]. Herein, we report use of a multiple-cell layer (3D) mineralizing osteoblastic tissue (OT) grown from isolated osteoblasts in a specialized bioreactor as an effective surrogate for studies of cancer colonization of bone. By challenging OT with metastatic breast cancer cells (BCs) known to invade the skeleton, important hallmarks of the metastatic process including cancer cell/tissue adhesion, tissue penetration, and ultimate degradation of the osteoblast-derived extracellular matrix were directly observed in vitro.

Materials and methods

Bioreactor design and implementation

Bioreactors based on the principle of simultaneous-growth-and-dialysis [17, 18] were implemented using a two-compartment bioreactor design described previously [19, 20]. One of the compartments was a cell growth chamber (5 ml total volume) that was separated from a 30-ml medium reservoir compartment by a dialysis-membrane (6–8 KDa cutoff). Cells were inoculated into the growth chamber in complete medium including serum. The reservoir was filled with basal medium consisting of nutrients such as glucose and amino acids but no proteins. The entire vessel was ventilated through transparent, gas-permeable but liquid-impermeable films that offered optimum cell adhesion and delivered requisite oxygen tension to the cells. The bioreactor was specifically designed to enable non-invasive, live-cell analysis with an inverted (phase-contrast, fluorescence, or confocal) microscope. Completely assembled units used in this work had a cell growth space of 25 cm² total area emulating a standard T25 flask. Bioreactors were sterilized by gamma radiation at the Breazeale Nuclear Reactor on the campus of The Pennsylvania State University.

During culture, cells were bathed in pH-equilibrated and oxygenated medium that continuously dialyzed from the medium reservoir. At the same time, metabolic waste products such as lactic acid dialyzed out of the growth compartment, maintaining low pericellular concentrations. The medium reservoir was periodically replenished to provide additional nutrients and remove accumulated waste products without disturbing the cell growth chamber. Serum constituents or macromolecules synthesized by cells with molecular weights in excess of the dialysis membrane cutoff (8–10 KDa) were retained and concentrated within the growth compartment. This simple-to-use bioreactor design was integrated into conventional tissue culture protocols. The system conferred an extraordinarily stable pericellular environment that improved cell recovery from “culture shock” [21], and resulted in development of OT with a normal phenotype over extended, uninterrupted culture intervals tested for as long as 10 months with no sign of necrosis [19, 20]. In the course of defining the experimental bioreactor system, we have cultured MC3T3-E1 for various times from 1 week to 10 months. Cocultures with BCs were carried out at three ratios of cancer cells to osteoblasts (1:10, 1:100, and 1:1,000). Tissue with up to 5 months maturity was utilized in this work. Data from other times of culture is shown as indicated for individual experiments.

Cells and cell culture

Murine calvarial pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) were a gift from Dr. Norman Karin at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories (ATCC CRL-2593 presumptive equivalent). MC3T3-E1 were inoculated into the growth chamber of the bioreactors at a sub-confluent density (10⁴ cells/cm²) and...
cultured in alpha minimum-essential medium (a-MEM) (Mediatech, Herdon, VA) supplemented with 10% neonatal FBS (Cansera, Roxdale, Ontario) and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The medium reservoir of the bioreactor was filled with a-MEM supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin but no serum. Once the cells reached confluence, usually 4–5 days, the medium in the growth chamber was replaced with differentiation medium containing the additional ingredients of 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). This change to differentiation medium was the only time during the course of the experiment that medium in the cell chamber was replaced. Subsequent medium changes involved only the basal medium within the medium reservoir that was refreshed every 30 days. Bioreactors were maintained in a water-jacketed 5% CO2 incubator (Model 3110, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) held at 37°C. In this way, MC3T3-E1 cultures have been maintained continuously in the bioreactor without subculture for extended intervals up to 10 months, generating a multiple-cell layer tissue with controllable age (maturity), herein referred to as OT.

Human metastatic BCs (MDA-MB-231, ATCC-HTB 26 presumptive equivalent) genetically engineered to produce green fluorescent protein (GFP), were a gift from Dr. Danny Welch, University of Alabama at Birmingham, and herein referred to as BCs. Derived from a pleural effusion [22], MDA-MB-231GFP cells are known to invade the murine skeleton [23]. MDA-MB-231GFP cells were cultured in standard tissue culture dishes in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (Mediatech, Herdon, VA) containing 5% neonatal bovine serum and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin for 3–4 days before coculture with bioreactor-derived OT.

Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

Relative quantitative PCR was performed on RNA isolated from MC3T3-E1 cells cultured in the bioreactor for various intervals (7, 22, 30 or 60 days) to determine expression levels of osteopontin, osteonectin, type I collagen, osteocalcin, MMP-13, E11, and β-actin. Total RNA was isolated from the cells using the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) with on-column DNase treatment. Five hundred nanograms of total RNA was reverse transcribed from an oligo dT primer using the RETROscript kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Two microliters of the cDNA were used in PCR reactions that had been previously optimized by varying the cycle number to determine the linear range of amplification. PCR reactions for each of the proteins of interest were performed using the primer pairs, annealing temperatures and cycle numbers listed in Table 1. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel in Tris-borate EDTA buffer and stained with ethidium bromide. Gel documentation was performed on the Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) and band volume quantitation was done by ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). Expression levels were normalized by determining the ratio of the band volume for each message relative to the band volume for β-actin for the same cDNA.

Bioreactor cocultures

BCs were inoculated into bioreactor cell growth chambers containing OT at maturities between 15 and 145 days of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primers (F = Forward; R = Reverse)</th>
<th>Annealing temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>F: 5'-CAA GTC CCA CAC AGC AGC TT-3'</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-AAA GCC GAG CTG CCA GAG TT-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteonectin</td>
<td>F: 5'-CTG CCT GCC TGT GCC GAG AGT TTC-3'</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-CCA GCC TTC AGG CGC TTC TCA TTC-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I collagen</td>
<td>F: 5'-TCT CCA CTC TTC TAG TTC CT-3'</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-TTG GGT CAT TTC CAC ATG-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-13</td>
<td>F: 5'-GAT GAC CTG TCT GAG GAA G-3'</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-ATC AGA CCA GAC CTT GAA G-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E11</td>
<td>F: 5'-TCCAACGAGACCAAGATGTG-3'</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-AGTCTTITAGGGCGAGAATCT-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>F: 5'-ACA CTT TCA CTC CAA TCG TTCC-3'</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-TTC TCT TTC CTT TGT TTC CC-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>F: 5'-CGT GGG CCG CCC TAG GCA-3'</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R: 5'-TTG GCC TTA GGG TTC AGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
culture at an estimated 1:10, 1:100, or 1:1,000 breast cancer-to-osteoblast cell ratio; corresponding to $10^5$, $10^4$, or $10^3$ BCs/bioreactor, respectively. BC challenged bioreactors were monitored microscopically for 7 days. On day 7, bioreactors were dismantled and substratum film with adherent tissue was carefully cut into pieces for various assays, avoiding loss of OT that was conspicuously degraded by BC challenge. Medium from the cell growth space of the bioreactor was collected and used for various analyses. Tissue was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (in cacodylate buffer) and stained for actin filaments with Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Osteoblasts were optionally stained with Cell Tracker Orange™ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for live in situ confocal imaging to monitor cell growth dynamics, prior to staining with actin stain.

Conditioned media experiments

BCs were grown to 90% confluency in standard tissue culture plates and growth medium was removed. Adherent BCs were rinsed with PBS and the original growth medium replaced with fresh α-MEM (20 ml in a T-150 flask, ~1.3 x $10^5$ cells/cm²). Cultures were incubated for an additional 24 h, the medium collected and centrifuged (300 x g for 10 min) to remove cellular debris resulting in “BC-conditioned medium”. At desired OT maturity, medium in the growth chamber of the bioreactor was completely replaced with a mixture of 50% breast cancer conditioned medium and 50% differentiation medium as previously described [24]. Osteoblast tissue in the bioreactor was exposed to BC-conditioned medium for 2 weeks.

Confocal microscopy

In situ laser-scanning confocal microscopy of cocultures in the bioreactor was performed using Olympus FV-300 laser-scanning microscope (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA). Sections were observed with a 40X Olympus UPlanF1 objective with an 0.85 numerical aperture. Cell Tracker Orange™ was excited using a 543 nm line from a helium-neon laser and collected through a 565 nm long-pass filter. GFP was excited using a 488-nm argon laser and collected through 510 nm long-pass and 530 nm short-pass filters. A 570 nm dichroic long-pass filter was used to split the emission. Serial optical sections were taken at 1 μm intervals throughout the tissue. Confocal images were processed using image processing software (Fluoview 300, Version 4.3b, Olympus, Center Valley, PA). 3D optical reconstructions of 2D serial sections were obtained using AutoQuant, AutoDeblur and AutoVisualize software (Version 9.3, Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD). Number of cell layers within the tissue was determined visually by counting and by following the sub-volumes of cells in the 3D-reconstructed Z-stack images.

Biochemical and immunochemical assays

Soluble collagen (indicative of collagen synthesis) was quantified using Sircol Assay (Biocolor, Carrickfergus, UK). Prior to running the assay following manufacturer’s protocol, the collagen was extracted/precipitated from cell culture supernatants by addition of 4 M NaCl. Supernatants were centrifuged and the resulting collagen pellet was resuspended in 0.5 M acetic acid. Levels of osteocalcin and IL-6 secreted into the medium were quantified using multiplex ELISA kit (LINCOplex™ Mouse Bone Panel 2B, Millipore, Billerica, MA). Alkaline phosphatase activity was quantitated using the QuantiChrom™ Alkaline Phosphatase Assay Kit (DALP-250), BioAssay Systems, Hayward, CA according to manufacturers protocol. Briefly, cells were lysed in a solution of 100 mM glycine, 0.1% Triton-X 100, 1 mM MgCl₂, pH 10, and the lysate was added to 96-well plates coated with tartrazine standard working solution containing the pNPP substrate. The 96-well plate was gently tapped to mix all components, and immediately read at 405 nm. The plate was read again after 4 minutes at 405 nm and the optical densities from the two readings were used to calculate the alkaline phosphatase enzyme activity. Each sample was tested three times.

Results

Osteoblast tissue model

Murine MC3T3E-1 pre-osteoblasts maintained in the bioreactor developed into a 3D osteoblast tissue (OT, Panel A of Fig. 1) comprised of 6–8 layers of differentiated cells (Panels B, top layer; Panel C, bottom layer) that stained positive for alkaline phosphatase activity and for mineralization by von Kossa (not shown). Continuous culture in the bioreactor resulted in transformation of spindle shaped pre-osteoblasts (Fig. 2, Panel A) to cuboidal osteoblasts (Panel B) that secreted and mineralized an extensive, collagenous extracellular matrix (Panel C) that completely enveloped the cells (Panel D). Examination of numerous histological and ultra-structural (not shown), and confocal sections of tissue from bioreactor cultures at different times revealed reproducible and continuous transformation of tissue in which cells were initially closely packed (high cell/ECM ratio; Fig. 2 Panel A) to a more mature phenotype characterized by lower cell density (low cell/ECM ratio; Fig. 2 Panels C, D) with intercellular contacts maintained by a network of cellular processes [20]. Growth and maturation of OT in the bioreactor thus recapitulated
the normal sequence of bone development characterized by stages of proliferation, matrix maturation, and mineralization. This phenotypic progression was also reflected in the characteristic expression of genes such as Type I collagen, osteonectin, osteocalcin and osteopontin (Fig. 2, Panel E) [25]. Up-regulation of matrix-metallo protease (MMP)-13 (indicative of extracellular matrix remodeling) and the protein E11 (indicative of osteocytic transformation) occurred in mature cultures (Fig. 2, Panel E).

Breast cancer cell challenge to osteoblast tissue

Interaction of cancer cells with OT were followed by fluorescence microscopy after injecting MDA-MB-231 GFP human BCs directly onto 5-month OT stained with Cell Tracker Orange™ to clearly differentiate osteoblasts from cancer cells. BCs adhered to OT (Fig. 3 Panel A) in the first 24 h. By the second day, the BC cells penetrated tissue (Panel B), apparently through the agency of cellular processes extended by BCs (see Fig. 3). Within 3 days of coculture, BCs proliferated and organized into lines of cells (Panels C). Close inspection of 2D optical sections (Fig. 4, Panel A, Day 3) and 3D reconstructions (Fig. 4, Panel D) revealed concomitant re-organization of OT. Before cancer cell challenge, osteoblasts exhibited a cuboidal morphology. Over 3 days of BC coculture, osteoblasts took on a definitively elongated appearance and aligned with cancer cells which also became spindle shaped. In particular, osteoblasts paralleled the BC cells, as though marshaled into an order that seemed to permeabilize OT structure. The BC alignment in the bioreactor was reminiscent of the classical “Indian filing” pattern that is one of the defining characteristics of breast cancer invasion [26–28].

Breast cancer cell conditioned medium effects on osteoblast tissue

Prior work determined that exposure of MC3T3-E1 cells to conditioned medium (CM) from MDA-MB-231 cells caused a change in osteoblast morphology and adherence to the substrate under standard tissue culture conditions [29]. In order to determine if this effect occurred with 3D OT, 16 day OT in the bioreactor was maintained in CM for 2 weeks (see Materials and methods). Exposure of OT to BC-conditioned medium induced significant cytoskeletal reorganization in response to factors secreted by BCs, as revealed by actin stress-fiber reorganization (Fig. 3, compare control Panel D to test Panel E). Control OT were
Fig. 2 Maturation of MC3T3-E1 derived OT within the bioreactor (see also Figs. 1, 5). Panels (A–D) are confocal images of actin-stained cells over several months of continuous culture (scale bar = 50 μm, annotations give culture age). Spindle shaped pre-osteoblasts (Panel A) progressively transformed into cuboidal osteoblasts (Panel B) that became enmeshed in a collagenous matrix (Panel C appearing black) that eventually buried cells exhibiting an osteocytic-like morphology (Panel D). Relative quantitative PCR was performed on RNA isolated from MC3T3-E1 cells cultured in the bioreactor for various intervals (7, 22, 30 or 60 days) to determine expression levels of osteopontin, osteonectin, type I collagen, osteocalcin, MMP-13, E11 and β-actin (Panel E). Insets show ethidium bromide stained bands for the genes indicated for 22, 30 and 60 days. The gene expression for the 7 day cultures was very faint, and is not shown. Samples from replicate bioreactors at days 22 and 30 were also tested with similar results. Expression levels were normalized by determining the ratio of the band volume for each message relative to the band volume for β-actin for the same cDNA. The data are expressed as percent of maximum expression following the work of Lian et al. [25]. Changes in gene expression were consistent with progression of the osteoblast phenotype through the stages of proliferation, matrix maturation and mineralization as indicated by the shaded vertical bars in Panel E. Two bioreactors were tested but data from only one of each age are shown.
characterized by smooth, long actin stress fibers (Panel D), whereas, F-actin stress fibers were clumped and punctate in OT exposed to conditioned medium (Panel E). These cytoskeletal changes correlated with the observation that OT from coculture experiments was consistently more fragile than OT not exposed to cancer cells. In fact, very careful processing was required to prevent wholesale cell sloughing during the wash steps involved in preparation of specimens for histology and electron microscopy. It was plainly evident from these latter observations that OT structure and adhesion to the bioreactor substratum film was significantly eroded by BC exposure. Details of BC interaction with OT were followed using the confocal microscopy study outlined in the legend to Fig. 4 and as detailed in the section Discussion.

Effect of osteoblast tissue maturity on breast cancer cell interactions

The interaction of BC cells with OT depended on the stage of OT maturity. As the OT matured, there was decrease in the number of cell layers with increasing culture time (Fig. 5, left-hand axis of graphic portion) that translated into a linear-like decrease in cell layer/tissue-thickness ratio (Fig. 5, right-hand axis). Qualitative aspects of BC interactions were correlated with OT characteristics (Fig. 5,
table portion), suggesting that declining rates of BC colonization and increasing efficiency of tissue penetration, filing, and colony formation were related to OT maturity.

The effect of BCs on OT was further assessed by measuring the changes in levels of secreted factors representing primary osteoblast functions of extracellular matrix production (secreted collagen) and mineralization (osteocalcin). Introduction of BCs led to reduced production of new collagen (Fig. 6, Panel A) and to less secretion of osteocalcin (Fig. 6, Panel B) at all tested OT maturities. Alkaline phosphatase activity deceased as the cultures aged (Fig. 6, Panel C). This effect has been reported previously [30]. Nevertheless, at each time tested, the alkaline phosphatase in the presence of the cancer cells was less than in the OT alone. Furthermore, BCs stimulated increased production of IL-6, indicative of an inflammatory stress response (Fig. 6, Panel D) [24].

Discussion

Osteoblastic tissue model

A relatively simple bioreactor was used to grow a 3D OT from murine MC3T3E-1 pre-osteoblasts for culture periods up to 5 months. This extended culture interval allowed maturation of OT through successive stages of phenotypic development, up-to-and-including osteocyte-like cells. A morphologically stratified tissue developed within the first month of culture (Fig. 1; compare top layer Panel B to bottom layer Panel C). Over successive months of culture, cuboidal osteoblastic cells underwent continued morphological changes (Fig. 2) accompanied by characteristic expression of genes such as Type I collagen, osteonectin, osteocalcin and osteopontin; as well as up-regulation of
BCs adhered to and penetrated OT (Figs. 3, 4). Confocal microscopy indicated that MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cell challenge to osteoblast tissue so that osteoblast biology could be clearly observed. Osteoblasts were purposely excluded from the bioreactor-based model excluding osteoclast-mediated remodeling. Osteoclasts phenotypic development of native bone-tissue in vivo, MC3T3-E1 cells in the bioreactor recapitulated growth and collectively interpreted to mean that continuous culture of formation of natural bone [31]. These observations were consistent with the process of bone-tissue maturation that resulted in transformation of proliferating pre-osteoblasts into non-dividing osteoblasts that become engulfed in mineralized matrix and mature into osteocytes through a process of phenotypic transformation marked by increased osteoblast apoptosis [31]. The data presented in the summary table suggested that declining rates of BC colonization with the increased osteoblast apoptosis observed in the extracellular matrix in a way that creates a path for other BCs [32], leading to the chains of cells as discussed above. This progressive process marshals OT into a pattern that paralleled the lines of breast cancer cells.

Unlike conventional cell culture wherein continuous or scheduled medium exchanges lead to loss of cell secreted growth factors and cytokines, the compartmentalized bioreactor retains all factors secreted into the cell growth compartment that have molecular weight greater than 6–8 KDa dialysis-membrane cutoff (see Materials and methods). We believe this attribute is critical to simulating the bone microenvironment because osteoblasts are known to secrete a number of growth factors and cytokines in a spatially and temporally ordered sequence that is closely aligned with the specific stages in osteoblast development [25]. Likewise, BC coculture introduces growth factors and cytokines that would presumably concentrate in the microenvironment in physiological conditions. For these reasons, we maintain that the OT model is a relevant model for cancer colonization of bone.

Breast cancer cell challenge to osteoblast tissue

Confocal microscopy indicated that MDA-MB-231 human BCs adhered to and penetrated OT (Figs. 3, 4). BCs penetrated OT by extending long cellular protrusions that were enriched in filamentous actin and formed chains of cells similar to "Indian files" described for infiltrating lobular or metaplastic breast carcinomas [26, 27]. Migration of cancer cells along tracks of remodeled ECM produced by preceding invading cell(s) results in characteristic cell alignment patterns [28]. Invasion by chains of tumor cells linked together by cell–cell contacts is considered to be an effective penetration mechanism conferring high metastatic capacity and commensurately poor prognosis [26, 28]. Observation of BC invasion "Indian files" in the OT model suggests a considerable degree of physiological relevance.

BC attachment and penetration varied significantly with OT maturity (Fig. 5). BCs failed to penetrate immature OT (less than 30 days in culture); instead forming colonies substantially on, not in, OT. Significant penetration, remodeling, and characteristic cancer cell alignment patterns were observed only in relatively mature OT. We speculate that BC penetration is inhibited by close contacts among osteoblasts comprising immature OT and becomes more efficient as the cell/ECM ratio decreases, creating a more permeable tissue. The first penetrating BC remodels the extracellular matrix in a way that creates a path for other BCs [32], leading to the chains of cells as discussed above. This progressive process marshals OT into a pattern that paralleled the lines of breast cancer cells.

MMP-13 (suggestive of active matrix turnover) and protein E11 (indicative of osteocytic transformation). The number of cell layers comprising OT and tissue-thickness decreased with culture time (Fig. 5) in a manner consistent with the increased osteoblast apoptosis observed in the formation of natural bone [31]. These observations were collectively interpreted to mean that continuous culture of MC3T3-E1 cells in the bioreactor recapitulated growth and phenotypic development of native bone-tissue in vivo, excluding osteoclast-mediated remodeling. Osteoclasts were purposely excluded from the bioreactor-based model so that osteoblast biology could be clearly observed.

Osteoblast inflammatory response

Inflammation is linked closely with the progression of many cancers [33]. Osteoblasts are able to mount an inflammatory response independent of immune cells [34]. Inflammation appears to play a critical role in bone loss in osteomyelitis due to bacterial infection in the bone [34] and in debris-mediated bone loss associated with titanium implants [35]. Previous studies in conventional culture have shown that exposure to BC-conditioned medium produced a profound osteoblastic inflammatory stress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Parameter @ BC-OB=1:10</th>
<th>Months of Bioreactor Culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Colonization</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Penetration</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC Filing</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor Formation</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 Qualitative aspects of MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cell (BC) interaction correlate with MC3T3-E1 derived OT maturity. An exponential-like decrease in the number of cell layers with time (left-hand axis, graph) translated into a linear-like decrease in cell layer/tissue-thickness ratio (right-hand axis, graph). This observation was consistent with the process of bone-tissue maturation that resulted in transformation of proliferating pre-osteoblasts into non-dividing osteoblasts that become engulfed in mineralized matrix and mature into osteocytes through a process of phenotypic transformation marked by increased osteoblast apoptosis [31]. The data presented in the summary table suggested that declining rates of BC colonization and increasing efficiency of tissue penetration, cell organization into chains, and colony formation were related to OT maturity.
response that included increased expression of the inflammatory cytokines, IL-6, IL-8 and MCP-1 [36]. These cytokines are known to attract and activate osteoclasts, and are likely to contribute to the tumor-host microenvironment in vivo. In particular, IL-6 a pleiotropic cytokine [37], has been implicated in pathogenesis of osteolysis associated with Paget’s disease [38], Gorham-Stout syndrome [39], and multiple myeloma [40]. IL-6 levels in breast cancer patients have been found to correlate to the clinical stage of the disease [41, 42] as well to the rate of recurrence [43]. High IL-6 serum levels in breast cancer patients have been found to be an unfavorable prognosis indicator [44–46]. We thus interpret pronounced IL-6 production by OT in coculture experiments (Fig. 6, Panel D) as a strong osteoblast inflammatory provoked by the presence of BCs. The concomitant decrease in collagen and osteocalcin secreted by OT cocultured with BCs confirms that BC suppress osteoblast function in a manner consistent with inflammation-induced bone loss observed in bone pathologies.

Breast cancer induced bone loss

Cancer-related bone loss appears to occur through multiple pathways. Evidence for osteoclast-mediated resorption is indeed very strong [47]. In addition, destruction of devitalized bone directly by cancer cells has also been reported [48], especially late in metastasis when bone-degradation rate is highest and osteoclast cell numbers are in decline [49]. These lines of evidence support the idea that osteoclasts are not solely responsible for excessive bone-degradation and that cancer cells may directly contribute to bone loss. Degradation of the osteoblast tissue by coculture with BCs observed in the bioreactor model (that purposely excludes osteoclasts) strongly suggests that yet another mechanism of bone loss is related to disruption of the bone-accretion process by destruction of osteoblastic tissue. There is clinical and experimental literature to support this concept. For example, quantitative histomorphometric analyses of bone biopsies from patients with hypercalcemia due to bone metastasis indicated a dramatic decrease in osteoblast activity [50]. Histomorphometric analysis of rodents inoculated with lytic human BCs (MDA-MB-231) indicated that, even through administration of risedronate (a bisphosphonate) reduced the number of osteoclasts, slowed bone lysis, and significantly reduced tumor burden, there was no evidence of new bone deposition or repair [51]. Similarly, administration of bisphosphonates to humans with osteolytic metastasis slowed lesion progression but...
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A specialized bioreactor based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis permits growth of three-dimensional (3D), multiple-cell-layer osteogenic tissue from isolated osteoblasts over long, continuous-culture intervals (tested up to 10 months with no sign of necrosis). The resulting tissue recapitulates the stages of bone development observed in vivo, including phenotypic maturation of cobblestone-shaped osteoblasts into stellate-shaped osteocytes interconnected by many intercellular processes. Gene expression profiles parallel cell-morphologic changes with time, ultimately leading to increased expression of osteocyte-associated molecules such as E11, DMP1, and sclerostin. Contiguous, cm²-scale macroscopic mineral deposits that form within the bioreactor are consistent with bone hydroxyapatite. The simple to use bioreactor system provides an in vitro model that permits the study and manipulation of cancer cell interactions with bone tissue in real time. Metastatic human breast cancer cells, MDA-MB-231GFP, introduced into the model grow and colonize osteogenic tissue in a manner reflecting various characteristics of pathologic tissue observed in the clinic. Specifically, MDA-MB-231GFP cells are observed to penetrate the thick extracellular matrix in which osteoblasts are embedded and to form chains reminiscent of "Indian files," described for infiltrating lobular or metaplastic breast carcinomas. Osteoblasts appear to be marshaled into a parallel alignment with cancer cells, followed by erosion of extracellular matrix structural integrity. Tissue degradation appears to be accompanied by increased expression of osteoblast inflammatory cytokines. [Cancer Res 2009;69(10):4097–100]
occurs in natural bone; i.e., from proliferation and differentiation to
engulfment of osteoblasts in a thick cell-secreted mineralized matrix,
followed by subsequent phenotypic maturation into a network of
osteocytes with a distinctive stellate shape (Fig. 1A and B). In some
cultures, contiguous, cm²-scale macroscopic mineral deposits were
formed that proved consistent with bone hydroxyapatite, as shown by
X-ray scattering and infrared spectroscopy. In addition, the gene-
expression profiles of characteristic osteoblast proteins such as Type
1 collagen, alkaline phosphatase, osteonectin, osteopontin, and
osteocalcin mirrored that observed in vivo. After several months,
the cultures also expressed molecules indicative of osteocytes, i.e., E11,
DMP1, and sclerostin. RNA recovery from these mature cultures was
low compared to less mature bioreactors, consistent with decreased
cell density (due to apoptosis) and the reduced metabolic activity
attributed to osteocytes. To our knowledge, these are the first in vitro
observations of massive osteoblast-mediated ossification and
phenotypic transformation into osteocytes reported in the literature.
The compartmentalized bioreactor thus presents itself as an in vitro
model for studies of bone biology and pathology.

The bioreactor is amenable to real-time live-cell analysis by
fluorescence confocal microscopy. We have labeled osteoblasts with
Cell Tracker Orange, for example, and followed cell morphology
over time. At the end of the culture period, the tissue can be fixed,
and the cells can be stained for alkaline phosphatase or with
phalloidin, and/or labeled with other fluorescent molecule probes
(Fig. 1). Tissue can be further processed by using conventional
histologic methods, including conventional light and electron
microscopies (13). We have combined fluorescence with TEM by
using fluorescent, electron-dense Quantum Dots (In Vitrogen-
Molecular Probes). Following a protocol similar to that discussed
below, we introduced MDA-MB-231 metastatic cancer cells that had
internalized Q Tracker 655 dots (10 nm nominal diameter with
cadmium cores) into osteoblast cultures and followed cancer cell-
osteoblast interactions by confocal microscopy before processing
the tissue for TEM. The electron-dense dots were observed to
collect within perinuclear vacuoles only in the cancer cells and did
not transfer to the osteoblasts. In this way, we were able to
distinguish cancer cells from osteoblasts and study cell-cell
interactions at the TEM level. Finally, all or part of the culture can
be released from the membrane for RNA isolation suitable for gene
expression by PCR or lysed for protein expression by western blot.

Introduction of MDA-MB-231GFP human metastatic breast
cancer cells (genetically engineered to produce green-fluorescent
protein, GFP, and known to invade the murine skeleton 14) onto
MC3T3-E1 osteoblastic tissue grown in the compartmentalized
bioreactor to various stages of phenotypic maturity allowed us to
follow early stages of cancer cell colonization in real time by
confocal microscopy (Fig. 1C–E). In this way, we observed cancer
cell-osteoblast tissue adhesion, cancer cell proliferation, tissue
penetration, the formation of nonvascularized micrometastases,
and the ultimate degradation of osteoblast-derived extracellular matrix
(ECM). Cancer cells proliferated and formed into columns of cells
that penetrated the collagenous tissue matrix and organized into
rows similar to the "Indian files," described for infiltrating lobular
or metastatic breast carcinomas (Fig. 1E and F) (ref. 15). Migration of cancer cells along tracks of remodeled ECM produced
by a preceding invading cell(s) apparently results in characteristic
cell-alignment patterns (16). Invasion by chains of tumor cells
linked together by cell-cell contacts is considered to be an effective
penetration mechanism (17), conferring high metabolic capacity and
commensurately poor prognosis (15, 16). The observation of
filing in the osteoblastic tissue model suggests a considerable
degree of physiologic relevance. Indian filing is common in soft
tissue, but it is also found in bone metastasis (Fig. 1G–I).

We also observed an increased expression of inflammatory
cytokines such as IL-6 in the presence of the breast cancer cells, as
well as a decrease in secretion of soluble (newly formed) collagen
and osteocalcin (a marker of osteoblast maturity) (ref. 6). A strong
osteoblastic stress response and a decrease in collagen production
correlated with the loss of ECM integrity seen after 7 days of
coculture with MDA-MB-231GFP. These results parallel previous
studies in conventional culture showing that exposure of
osteoblasts to MDA-MB-231GFP-conditioned medium produced an
osteoblastic inflammatory stress response with sharply increased
expression of the inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-8, and MCP-1
(18). These cytokines are known to attract and activate osteoclasts,
and they are likely to contribute to the tumor-host microenviron-
ment in vivo. In particular, IL-6, a pleiotropic cytokine, has been
implicated in the pathogenesis of osteolysis associated with Paget's
disease, Gorham-Stout syndrome, and multiple myeloma. IL-6
levels in breast cancer patients have been found to correlate to
the clinical stage of the disease as well as to the rate of recurrence.
High IL-6 serum levels in breast cancer patients have been found to
be an unfavorable prognosis indicator (see [6] and citations
therein). IL-8 and the murine-related molecules KC and MIP-2 have
also been found to correlate with increased bone metastasis in vivo
and with stimulation of osteoclast differentiation followed by bone
resorption. Interestingly, MCP-1, a principal chemokine involved
in normal bone remodeling, is produced primarily by osteoblasts and
not by the metastatic MDA-MB-231GFP cells upon interaction.
Thus, the osteoblastic response to the cancer cells, even in the
absence of osteoclasts, changes the tumor microenvironment to
favor osteolysis in this particular cancer cell model (6).

Interestingly, we found that the above-described pattern of
cancer colonization was dependent on osteoblastic tissue maturity
(Fig. 1B, table portion), MDA-MB-231GFP cells failed to penetrate
immature osteoblast tissue (less than 30 days in culture), instead
forming colonies substantially on, not in, tissue. Significant
penetration, remodeling, and characteristic cancer-cell-alignment
patterns were observed only in relatively mature osteoblastic tissue.
Cancer-cell-induced changes in osteoblast shape and in the
production of inflammatory cytokines were seen after as few as 3
days of coculture. We speculate that cancer cell penetration was
slowed by close contacts among osteoblasts comprising immature
tissue and that it becomes more efficient as the cell/ECM ratio
decreases, creating a more permeable tissue.

Comparison of results obtained with tissue grown in the
bioreactor to cells grown with conventional tissue culture clearly
showed that 3D tissue was superior in modeling details of cancer
cell colonization. In the first place, osteoblasts do not grow into
more than 1-2 cell layers in conventional cell culture. Furthermore,
these cultures usually did not remain healthy for more than about 1
month before showing signs of necrosis or sharply increased
apoptosis (13). These substantially 2D cultures never achieved the
phenotypic maturity observed in the bioreactor, and, in particular,
there was no evidence of an osteoblast-to-osteocyte transition. In
the second place, although the MDA-MB-231GFP cells proliferated
in contact with 2D osteoblast monolayers and formed colonies,
these cancer cells did not penetrate the osteoblast monolayers and
did not form cell files. It is thus apparent that the 3D osteoblastic
tissue model is a better tool for the discovery of therapeutic
interventions to cancer colonization of bone.
A, osteogenic tissue maturation in the bioreactor recapitulates development of native bone by systematic and reproducible phenotypic maturation of preosteoblasts through mineralizing osteoblasts to terminally differentiated osteocytes. B, MC3T3-E1 cells produce and mineralize a thick, engulfing extracellular matrix (ECM) that slowly decreases in thickness and number of cell layers through progressive apoptosis to a final stable state exhibiting no sign of tissue necrosis over 10 months of continuous culture (graph). C-F, interaction of MDA-MB-231GFP human cancer cells (green, GFP) with osteogenic tissue (red, osteoblasts; black, ECM) depends on tissue maturity (B, table) and exhibits stages of cancer cell adhesion (C), penetration (D), and alignment of cancer cell into files (E) that are reminiscent of events observed in pathologic tissue. F, filing is especially evident in corresponding 3D confocal reconstructions. G-I, for comparison, Indian Filing is shown in a section from bone (solid pink) with metastatic breast cancer (rows of cells with dark purple nuclei). The scale bars in A, C, and D represent 50 μm, the scale bar in H represents 200 μm, and the scale bars in I represent 50 μm.
In summary, we have found that the easy to use bioreactor design based on the principles of simultaneous growth and dialysis permitted in vitro culture of 3D, multiple-cell-layer osteoblastic tissue from isolated cells and their maintenance for much longer periods than in conventional culture (demonstrated up to 10 months with no indication of tissue necrosis). This osteoblastic tissue exhibited important hallmarks of the osteoblast-to-osteocyte phenotypic transition and deposition of macroscopic bone. We conclude that the resulting tissue is a relevant in vitro model of osteoblasts within regions of growing bone, such as the metaphysial areas of long bone that are otherwise difficult to access in vivo. Challenge with breast cancer cells known to invade skeleton permitted, for the first time, direct and real-time observation of cancer cell colonization of the osteoblast tissue. Important pathologic events such as cancer cell filing and colony (microtumor) formation observed clinically were reproduced in vitro. These studies have revealed that breast cancer cell colonization strongly depends on osteoblastic tissue maturity, and point to a potentially important point of therapeutic intervention for cancer metastases in bone. Comparison of breast cancer cell interactions with osteoblasts in conventional culture to interactions with osteoblastic tissue in the bioreactor strongly suggested that monolayer cell culture is not the optimal model for studying the cancer cell colonization of bone.

In the future, we plan to use primary osteoblasts (especially human) as source cells for the growth of osteogenic tissue. In addition, we plan to increase the biological complexity of the system by adding other cell types, including osteoclasts. We have already successfully cultured primary osteoblasts isolated from mouse calvaria in the bioreactor. After 3 months, these cells formed a multilayer complex that expressed characteristic osteoblast-differentiation proteins, in a manner similar to that obtained with the pure MC3T-E1 osteoblast cell line. Once we have a human-derived 3D osteogenic tissue model in hand, we plan to study interactions with other metastatic bone cancers (e.g., blastic prostate cancer cells or osteolytic multiple myeloma cells) to determine if human cancer colonization of human osteoblastic tissue parallels pathogenesis in vivo. We intend to explore the development of an in vitro bone-remodeling unit by coculture of primary osteoclasts with osteogenic tissue. Challenging such a mimic with cancer cells should permit the close examination of how cancer cells influence osteoblast-osteoclast interactions and upset normal bone remodeling. Toward the goal of ultimate biological complexity in an in vitro bone model, we aim to recreate the hematopoietic-cancer cell niche by culturing osteoblastic tissue in the presence of mesenchymal stromal cells from bone marrow cells. There is strong evidence in the literature that osteoblasts provide the endosteal niche for hematopoietic stem cells (19), and that this niche both receives and harbors metastatic cancer cells early in the colonization process. Finally, although this bioreactor system is not an appropriate tool for rapid drug screening, it can serve as an efficient system by which to test therapeutics at a level above that of 2D cell culture but below costly and slow animal testing. Although the described compartmentalized device is not commercially available, engineering plans have been published (11), and the bioreactor is straightforward enough to make in a standard engineering shop.
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Abstract Murine calvariae pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1), grown in a novel bioreactor, proliferate into a mineralizing 3D osteoblastic tissue that undergoes progressive phenotypic maturation into osteocyte-like cells. Initially, the cells are closely packed (high cell/matrix ratio), but transform into a more mature phenotype (low cell/matrix ratio) after about 5 mo, a process that recapitulates stages of bone development observed in vivo. The cell morphology concomitantly evolves from spindle-shaped pre-osteoblasts through cobblestone-shaped osteoblasts to stellate-shaped osteocyte-like cells interconnected by many intercellular processes. Gene-expression profiles parallel cell morphological changes, up-to-and-including increased expression of osteocyte-associated genes such as E11, DMP1, and sclerostin. X-ray scattering and infrared spectroscopy of contiguous, square centimeter-scale macroscopic mineral deposits are consistent with bone hydroxyapatite, showing that bioreactor conditions can lead to ossification reminiscent of bone formation. Thus, extended-term osteoblast culture (≤10 mo) in a bioreactor based on the concept of simultaneous growth and dialysis captures the full continuum of bone development otherwise inaccessible with conventional cell culture, resulting in an in vitro model of osteogenesis and a source of terminally differentiated osteocytes that does not require demineralization of fully formed bone.

Keywords Osteocyte · Osteoblast · Bone formation · Bioreactor · Three-dimensional cell culture model

Introduction

Diseases of bone such as osteoarthritis, osteomalacia, and osteoporosis negatively affect the quality of life for millions and cause commensurate socioeconomic burden (Rodan and Martin 2000; Service 2000). Likewise, cancers in bone are pernicious diseases with characteristically high levels of morbidity and mortality (Rubens 1998; Rubens and Mundy 2000). Resolution of these healthcare issues, as well as development of therapeutic approaches to bone restoration (Rodan and Martin 2000), depends, in part, on a firm understanding of the cellular-and-molecular basis of osteogenesis.

Osteoblasts, cells of mesenchymal origin, are responsible for bone accretion (Ducy et al. 2000) through a tightly regulated, spatiotemporal sequence (Lian and Stein 1992) that includes proliferation of pre-osteoblasts, differentiation...
into functional osteoblasts capable of depositing collagenous matrix, and progressive mineralization of that matrix. In the final stage of bone development, mature osteoblasts either undergo apoptosis, become bone lining cells or terminally differentiate into osteocytes that inhabit lacunar spaces within hard bone (Knothe Tate et al. 2004). Throughout this sequence, cells maintain extensive intercellular networks through gap junctions (osteoblasts) or intercellular processes (osteocytes; Doty 1981). Thus, bone tissue is a functional syncytium that transduces mechanochemical stimuli through dynamic cell–cell contacts (Palumbo et al. 1990). This syncytium is disrupted by bone diseases (Knothe Tate et al. 2002), including metastases of cancers in bone (Dhurjati et al. 2008), emphasizing the importance of 3D tissue organization in osteopathologies.

Conventional cell culture has proven to be a valuable tool for studying the physiology of bone-forming cells. In particular, enzymatic isolation of osteoblasts has yielded reproducible and widely applied methods for studying osteoblast biology in vitro (Nijweide and Burger 1990). However, osteoblasts grown by conventional-culture methods are limited to a 2D monolayer and do not emulate the 3D network that characterizes bone tissue. Also, conventional culture is not generally suitable for maintaining cells over the long period required for completion of all stages of bone development. Importantly, the pericellular microenvironment, perturbed by the periodic replacement of the medium, prevents development of chemical gradients (cytokines and other factors) thought to mediate phenotypic development (Chaudhuri and Al-Rubeai 2005). As a consequence, differentiation of osteoblasts into osteocytes has not been reproduced under in vitro conditions conducive to probing with modern genomic and proteomic tools.

We have developed a novel bioreactor (Vogler 1989; Dhurjati et al. 2006) based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis (Rose 1966) that permits extended-term, uninterrupted growth of a 3D mineralizing osteoblastic tissue (Dhurjati et al. 2006). This system permits phenotypic

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** MC3T3E-1 pre-osteoblasts grown for 15 d within the bioreactor. *(A, B)* SEM images of a dense 3D tissue about 22 μm thick. *(C, D)* Cross-sectional TEM images showing six to eight layers of actively mineralizing and densely packed cells with close cell contacts *(Nu nucleus, rER rough endoplasmic reticulum).*
maturation of pre-osteoblasts into terminally differentiated osteocytes. Bioreactors have occasionally exhibited mineralization yielding contiguous, square centimeter-scale mineral deposits that prove to be consistent with bone hydroxyapatite. Simultaneous-growth-and-dialysis culture has thus provided unprecedented access to osteocyte biology.

Materials and Methods

Bioreactor. Bioreactors based on the principle of simultaneous growth and dialysis (Rose 1966) were implemented as described previously (Vogler 1989; Dhurjati et al. 2006, 2008; see also Supplementary Figure 1). Briefly, the cell-growth compartment (5 mL) was separated from a 30-mL medium reservoir by a dialysis membrane. Cells were inoculated into the growth chamber in complete medium including serum. The reservoir was filled with basal medium without serum. Serum constituents or macromolecules synthesized by cells with molecular weights in excess of the dialysis membrane cutoff (6–8 kDa) were retained and concentrated within the growth compartment.

Cells and cell culture. Murine calvarial pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1), a gift from Dr. Norman Karin, Pacific Northwest National Laboratories, Richland, WA were inoculated into the growth chamber (10⁴ cells/cm²) and cultured with growth medium [alpha-minimum essential medium (Mediatech, Herdon, VA), 10% neonatal fetal bovine serum (Cansera, Roxdale, Canada), 100 U/mL penicillin 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO)]. The reservoir contained the same medium but without serum. Once the cells reached confluence, usually 4–5 d, the medium in the growth chamber was replaced with differentiation medium containing 50 µg/mL ascorbic acid and 10 mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma Aldrich). Every 30 d, the basal medium within the medium reservoir was refreshed. This medium change prevented the buildup of metabolic wastes. Bioreactors were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator.

Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. After indicated times, MC3T3-E1 were harvested and RNA isolated (RNeasy, Qiagen) as described previously (Dhurjati et al. 2008). All RNA samples had an A260/A280 ratio >1.8. CDNA was generated from 0.5 µg RNA using the SuperScript® VILO™ kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). PCR was carried out with a thermo cycler (DeltaCycler 1™ System, Ericomp). PCR reactions were run on a 2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and imaged under UV illumination. Gel documentation was performed on the Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) and band volume quantitation was done by ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA)

Table 1 Temporal gene expression of differentiation markers in MC3T3-E1 cultured in the bioreactor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Months in culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>0.7, 1, 2, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteonectin</td>
<td>0.54ᵃ, 0.14, 0.55, 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>0.53, 0.53, 0.34, 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I collagen</td>
<td>0.21, 0.054, 0.51, 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP13</td>
<td>1.49, 1.36, 1.21, 0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-11</td>
<td>0.11, 0.23, 1.46, na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP-1</td>
<td>1.15, 0.93, 1.01, 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerostin</td>
<td>0.09, 0.04, 0.63, 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of RNA</td>
<td>1,100 ng/µL, 160 ng/µL, 180 ng/µL, 12 ng/µL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in the bioreactor for various intervals (22, 30, 60 d, 10 mo). Cells were harvested and RNA isolated (RNeasy, Qiagen) as described in the “Materials and Methods” section. PCR was carried out on a thermocycler (DeltaCycler 1™ System, Ericomp). PCR reactions were run on a 2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and imaged under UV illumination. Gel documentation was performed on the Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) and band volume quantitation was done by ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA)

ᵃ Shown are expression levels of each gene normalized by determining the ratio of the band volume for each message relative to the band volume for β-actin for the same cDNA
ᵇ Detected at faint levels that could not be quantified

Confocal microscopy. The cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in cacodylate buffer and stained with Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen). In situ laser scanning was performed using an Olympus FV-300 laser scanning microscope (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA; Dhurjati et al. 2008).

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and X-ray analyses. A bone chip from the bioreactor or from bovine bone was placed in a stainless steel vial along with a large ball bearing and then put in a Wig-L-Bug vibrating mill. The bone was ground for 30 s. A small amount of oven-dried KBr powder (International Crystal Laboratories, Garfield, NJ) was added, the ball bearing removed, and the materials mixed for 30 s. A translucent 7-mm pellet was pressed (Quick-Press, Buck Scientific, East Norwalk, CT) and analyzed in transmission mode using a Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectrometer (Bruker IFS 66/s, Bruker Optics, Billerica, MA). Other bone chips were dried with hexamethyldisilazane and ground in a ball mill into a powder. The X-ray diffraction pattern of the powder was collected using a Phillips MPD theta-2-theta powder
diffractometer (PANalytical Inc., Westborough, MA) and compared to that of authentic bone.

Scanning and transmission electron microscopy. Tissue from the bioreactor was fixed overnight with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer at 4°C and processed for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) as described previously (Dhurjati et al. 2008). For scanning electron microscope (SEM), the tissues were further incubated with 1% osmium tetroxide in cacodylate (Dhurjati et al. 2008).

Results

Osteoblastic tissue growth and maturation under continuous long-term culture. MC3T3E-1, developed into 3D tissue about 22 μm thick within 15 d (Fig. 1A, B), comprised of six to eight layers (Fig. 1C, D) of actively mineralizing (positive for alkaline phosphatase activity and for mineralization by von Kossa stain), differentiated osteoblasts (Dhurjati et al. 2006, 2008). TEM showed that this tissue

Figure 2. Phenotypic maturation of MC3T3E-1 within the bioreactor over 10 mo continuous culture. An exponential-like decrease in the number of cell layers with time (left-hand axis) translated into a linear-like decrease in cell-layer/tissue-thickness ratio (right-hand axis). This finding was consistent with the process of bone maturation that resulted in transformation of proliferating pre-osteoblasts into nondividing osteoblasts that become engulfed in mineralized matrix and mature into osteocytes (Eriksen et al. 2007). Data within gray box were previously reported in (Dhurjati et al. 2008).

Figure 3. Progression in cell morphology monitored by confocal microscopy of Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin stained MC3T3E-1 within the bioreactor over 10 mo of culture (compare with Fig. 2). (A) "Cobblestone"-shaped osteoblast-like cells matured from fibroblastic pre-osteoblasts within 3 wk. (B) Elongated cells appeared with development of many cellular processes within 1.2 mo. (C) Density of cells enmeshed in a dense collagenous matrix (appears black and see Figs. 4 and 8 in Dhurjati et al. (2006)) decreased over 2 mo. (D) One to two layers of stellate cells with many intercellular contacts after 2–10 mo of continuous culture. Scale bar represents 50 μm.
was densely packed with close cell contacts (Fig. 1C, D). Continuous culture reproducibly resulted in transformation of spindle-shaped pre-osteoblasts into cobblestone-shaped osteoblasts that secreted and mineralized an extensive, collagenous extracellular matrix that completely enveloped the cells (Dhurjati et al. 2006, 2008).

Quantitative evaluation of ~30–50 histological and ultrastructural sections taken from bioreactor cultures at different times (Fig. 2) revealed continuous transformation of tissue. Initially, the cells were closely packed (high cell/matrix ratio) but transformed into a more mature phenotype (low cell/matrix) after about 5 mo. Confocal microscopy (Fig. 3A–D) further revealed that this transformation was associated with a progression in cell morphology from cuboidal to stellate with many intercellular contacts (indicated by arrows).

SEM images of a bioreactor after 10 mo of continuous culture (Fig. 4A, B) showed that tissue was about 50 μm thick and was comprised of stellate cells interconnected with many processes with different lengths ranging from about one cell diameter ("short") to many cell lengths ("long"). Examination of many TEM images (e.g., Fig. 4D) revealed that intercellular connections terminated in overlapping protrusions (see arrows). From these images and molecular biology (Table 1), we concluded that the entire tissue was substantially comprised of osteocytic cells. However, we cannot discount the possibility that there was a mixed population of osteoblasts and osteocytes at various stages of genotypic and phenotypic transition.

We counted 36 osteocytes in a 4-μm² confocal images field (Fig. 4C), suggesting that there were about $9 \times 10^4$ osteocytes/cm². This value further translated into two million osteocytes/bioreactor; assuming that the 27-μm tissue shown was uniform across the entire 25-cm² growth space (see Fig. 5D). We further estimated that there were about $3.4 \times 10^4$ osteocytes/mm³, which compared favorably with $1.3 \times 10^4$ osteocytes/mm³ measurements on human bone (Mullender et al. 1996; Sugawara et al. 2005).

Gene expression. The phenotypic progression suggested by cell morphology was reflected in the characteristic expression of genes such as type I collagen, osteonectin, osteocalcin, and osteopontin (Table 1; Lian and Stein

---

**Figure 4.** Osteocyte-like cells mature within the bioreactor over 10 mo continuous culture. (A, B) SEM image of ~23-μm-thick tissue showing closely packed stellate cells interconnected with many cellular processes. (C) Confocal image of Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin stained osteocytes showing one to two cell layers with many intercellular processes. (D) High-magnification TEM image of overlapping cell protrusions (arrows) typical of osteocytes.
Upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-13 (indicative of extracellular matrix remodeling) and the proteins E11, DMP-1, and sclerostin, indicative of osteocytic transformation (Franz-Odendaal et al. 2006), occurred in more mature cultures. However, these results do not guarantee that the culture contained mature osteocytes exclusively, possibly accounting for the low yield of sclerostin detected. Moreover, in spite of the fact that there were numerous viable cells within the bioreactor after 10 mo, RNA recovery was lower than that of younger bioreactors (Table 1), consistent with fewer cells and perhaps related to the relatively low metabolic activity attributed to osteocytes (see “Discussion” section).

Formation of macroscopic bone. After about 2 mo of continuous culture, bioreactors occasionally exhibited contiguous, square centimeter-scale macroscopic mineral deposits on the growth chamber side of the dialysis membrane (see Supplementary Figure 1). Dialysis membranes from bioreactors without visually apparent mineral were found by FTIR spectroscopy to produce weak signals consistent with hydroxyapatite (data not shown), suggesting that osteoblasts may have passed too quickly through the mineral-deposition phase to produce visually apparent aggregates. Too few bioreactors have been studied to date to discover the exact conditions that led to bioreactor ossification, but there was no doubt that unknown experimental factors (e.g., inoculum concentration, precise timing of media replacement, etc.) occasionally led to deposition of macroscopic bone-like mineral. This material did not appear in bioreactors kept with differentiation medium alone in the absence of cells. X-ray diffraction of a mineral “chip” (Fig. 5A) also was consistent with bovine bone (see inset of Fig. 5A). SEM of the chip revealed that the deposit was comprised of many nodules (Fig. 5B), consistent with a nucleation-and-growth deposition phenomenon. Comparison of FTIR spectra of chips recovered from 5 to 10 mo bioreactors suggested an evolution of chemical composition with deposition time (Fig. 5C), although the FTIR spectra were not further interpreted in detail.
Discussion

Osteoblastic tissue growth and phenotypic maturation under continuous long-term culture. Cell and tissue morphological evidence (Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 4) combined with gene expression data (Table 1) has led us to conclude that growth and maturation of MC3T3-E1 derived osteoblastic tissue over 10 mo of continuous culture in the bioreactor recapitulated the normal sequence of bone deposition characterized by stages of proliferation, matrix maturation, mineralization and terminal differentiation into osteocytes (Lian and Stein 1992). In particular, the tissue progressed through these stages (Fig. 2) showing no apparent signs of necrosis. In fact, microscopy revealed that tissue recovered from 10 mo bioreactors was quite similar to 5 mo tissue (not shown), suggesting that viability through the phenotypic transition stage (Fig. 2) was nearly constant. We therefore speculate that osteoblastic tissue can be sustained indefinitely within the bioreactor with only occasional refreshment of basal media in the reservoir, but we have not yet experimentally examined cultures longer than 10 mo. Gene expression was likewise consistent with phenotypic maturation into osteocytes indicated by the expression E-11, DMP-1, and sclerostin.

Osteocytes developed to densities similar to that seen in calvarial bone (Sugawara et al. 2005). If this osteocytic tissue has an architecture similar to authentic bone (Fig. 4A), then at least calvarial osteocytes are quite closely packed in bone in a way that is different from osteocytes in shafts of long bone where they are separated by several cell diameters interconnected by long processes (Eriksen et al. 2007). Textbook images convey the idea that osteocytes are rather sparse in bone. Neither our data nor measurements of osteocyte density in authentic bone (Mullender et al. 1996; Sugawara et al. 2005) support such a perspective for calvaria-derived bone. Since carrying out this work, we became aware of a paper by (Murshid et al. 2007) comparing primary chicken osteoblasts to MC3T3-E1 grown in a 3D matrigel matrix. The cells that grew in both cultures were similar but not identical. This group did not assay for osteocyte proteins, i.e., sclerostin, DMP-1, or E-11, so we cannot compare our work directly with theirs.

Formation of macroscopic bone. Deposition of contiguous, square centimeter-scale macroscopic mineral is, to our knowledge, unprecedented in the culture of bone cells in vitro. Failure to routinely reproduce bioreactor ossification suggests uncontrolled variable(s) in the culture that once discovered may help understand critical variables involved in bone restoration after trauma or disease. We were struck by the observation that this cell-mediated mineral deposition formed on the cell side of the dialysis membrane (see Supplementary Figure 1). Osteoblasts exocytose vesicles containing enzymes responsible for mineral formation (Anderson et al. 2005) which were observed in TEM sections of osteoblastic tissues (e.g., Fig. 1C). Presumably, these secreted enzymes caused nucleation of mineral nodules on the dialysis membrane that aggregated into a contiguous layer with time. This mineral deposit had the X-ray and FITR characteristics of bone and did not appear to be due to the microcrystalline apatite deposition described by Cisar et al. (2000). Clearly, more research is required to fully trace steps involved in formation of macroscopic mineral deposits by osteoblasts in the environment of the bioreactor.

Implications for osteobiology. We suggest that the ability to monitor and control the maturation of osteoblastic tissue in vitro is useful to the study of osteogenesis and osteopathologies. Foremost is the ability to create readily accessible osteocytes that are otherwise accessible only by extraction from bone using rigorous extraction protocols (Nijweide and Burger 1990). Growth of osteocytes from isolated preosteoblasts offers the distinct advantage that demineralization is not required. Furthermore, in the bioreactor, cells can be directly observed by microscopy. Moreover, the conditions of culture can be controlled and modified to better understand the process of osteogenesis. We have already used the bone model to study the affect of metastatic breast cancer known to invade skeleton on osteoblastic tissue (Mastro and Vogler 2009). We directly observed cancer cell adhesion, penetration, colony formation, and osteoblast reorganization heretofore only inferred in 2D culture models. This result encourages use of 3D tissue models in the study of other pathologies, such as osteoarthritis, osteomalacia, and osteoporosis.

The bioreactor employed in this work is probably only one of many that can produce bone tissue with varying phenotype ranging from pre-osteoblast to terminally differentiated osteocytes. However, a critical attribute of this method is creation of a pericellular microenvironment that mimics growing bone. The simultaneous-growth-and-dialysis method is crucial in this regard because it allows concentration gradients to form that guide cell maturation.
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to characterize the effect of zoledronic acid (a bisphosphonate used clinically to treat bone metastasis) on osteoblasts and a model of breast cancer metastasis to bone \textit{in vitro}. Murine calvarial pre-osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) were grown to various stages of maturity in tissue culture and continuously treated with zoledronic acid (ZA) at 0.05, 0.50 or 5.00 $\mu$M concentrations. Drug effects on osteoblast proliferation, differentiation and mineralization were assessed. Additionally, mineralized 3D osteoblastic tissue was grown in a specialized bioreactor based on the principle of simultaneous-growth-and-dialysis. This 3D bone model provides a unique test system in which cancer cell interactions with osteoblastic tissue at controlled phenotypic maturities can be monitored in real time. Using this system, human metastatic breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) were co-cultured for 6 days with osteoblastic tissue in the actively-mineralizing phase (120 days of continuous culture). Without added ZA, cancer cells were observed to attach, penetrate, and colonize osteoblastic-tissue in a continuous process that ultimately marshaled osteoblasts into linear files similar to that observed in authentic pathological tissue. A single dose of ZA (at 0.50 $\mu$M and 0.05 $\mu$M administered 3 days after co-culture was initiated) delayed cancer-cell penetration and colony formation, with osteoblasts retaining the characteristic cuboidal shape observed in controls for the first 2 days of co-culture. Thereafter, cancer-cell colonization progressed to the filing stage.

INTRODUCTION

\textit{Breast Cancer in Bone}
Breast cancer is the second most commonly diagnosed cancer in women in the United States, with an estimated 192,370 new cases of invasive breast cancer in 2009 [1]. Breast cancer also ranks second as the cause of cancer deaths, with an expected 40,610 breast cancer deaths this year [1].

Breast cancer may progress to become invasive, i.e. cancer cells spread throughout the breast tissue, or metastatic, i.e. cancer cells spread to other organs in the body [2]. Breast cancer frequently metastasizes to bone [3], where it disrupts the normal balance between the function of osteoblasts (bone-forming cells) and osteoclasts (bone-resorbing cells), favoring osteolysis [4]. Tumor cell production of parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP) signals osteoblasts to increase expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor κB ligand (RANKL), which activates osteoclasts to begin bone resorption [5]. Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) is released from the bone matrix as it is degraded, which further stimulates cancer cell production of PTHrP [6], generating a “vicious cycle” between breast cancer and the bone environment.

Osteoblasts also contribute to bone loss during metastasis. Breast-cancer cells disrupt normal osteoblast function [7, 8] and suppress the production of matrix proteins. In addition they elicit an osteoblast stress response in which osteoblasts release inflammatory cytokines known to activate osteoclasts [9].

**Bisphosphonate Therapy**

A family of drugs called bisphosphonates has been widely used clinically for the management and prevention of skeletal complications from bone metastases [10]. Bisphosphonates are chemically stable synthetic analogues of inorganic pyrophosphate (P-O-P), a molecule that inhibits calcification [11], in which the oxygen atom has been replaced by a carbon atom (P-C-P). The third-generation, nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, such as zoledronic acid, target the “vicious cycle” of breast cancer metastasis to bone in two ways – by reducing osteoclast activity and exhibiting direct antitumor effects on cancer cells. Bisphosphonates bind avidly to bone mineral, where they are internalized by osteoclasts during dissolution [12]. Once internalized, nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates inhibit the enzyme farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) synthase in the mevalonate pathway and interfere with functions essential for osteoclast survival [12, 13]. Bisphosphonates also directly affect tumor cells by inhibiting proliferation, inducing apoptosis, and interfering with adhesion, invasion, and angiogenesis [14].

The effects of bisphosphonates on tumor cells and osteoclasts have been well documented, but reported effects on osteoblasts vary among studies. Proliferation and differentiation of human fetal osteoblasts (hFOB 1.19) was reportedly enhanced by pamidronate coated cellulose scaffolds [15], while direct treatment of hFOB cells with pamidronate and zoledronate was found to decrease cell proliferation but enhance differentiation [16]. Treatment of human osteoblast-like cells derived from trabecular bone explants with zoledronic acid (ZA) promoted differentiation and mineralization but induced apoptosis at concentrations of 0.5 μM or greater [17]. The proliferation of primary human osteoblasts cultured on ZA-coated implants was not affected by concentrations up to 100 μM, while cells directly treated with 50 μM ZA were significantly reduced in number [18]. The proliferation and osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow
stromal cells (BMSC) were enhanced by $10^{-8}$ M concentrations of alendronate, risedronate and zoledronic acid [19]. Zoledronic acid (1 μM – 1 nM) treatment of human mesenchymal stem cell (hMSC)-derived osteoblasts reportedly has little effect on differentiation but inhibits mineralization in a dose-dependent manner [20]. Studies using MG63 human osteoblast-like cells indicate that alendronate and pamidronate promote both proliferation and differentiation [21, 22], but zoledronic acid decreases proliferation in a dose-dependent manner [23].

In vitro studies using murine cell lines also produce various results. Treatment of MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts in tissue culture plates with 0.1-50 μM concentrations of zoledronic acid decreased cell proliferation [23], while the viability and mineralization of MC3T3-E1 cells cultured on calcium phosphate discs were unaffected by the same concentrations [24]. Researchers using aminobisphosphonates on primary rat osteoblasts and primary mouse osteoblasts found that nanomolar concentrations of each inhibited osteoblast mineralization while micromolar concentrations were toxic to the cells [25, 26]. Cells cultured on dentine substrates responded similarly to bisphosphonate treatment but at higher concentrations [25].

The results of these studies suggest that the effects of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates on osteoblasts vary according to tissue complexity. To study the effects of these drugs on osteoblasts in vitro in a manner relevant to clinical applications, a sophisticated model of bone tissue is required.

Compartmentalized bioreactor

Modeling the bone environment to gain an understanding of the mechanisms underlying breast cancer colonization of bone is difficult. Cell systems must create an environment that provides biological relevance as well as experimental control [27]. The previous lack of a sophisticated bone model has not only hindered breast cancer metastasis research, but also has hindered the development and understanding of therapeutic treatments for bone metastases.

This research utilizes a compartmentalized cell culture device [28] based on the concept of simultaneous growth and dialysis pioneered by G.G. Rose [29] to grow and develop three-dimensional osteoblastic tissue for extended culture [30]. This compartmentalized cell culture device, hereafter referred to as the “bioreactor”, consists of a cell growth chamber separated from a larger medium reservoir by a dialysis membrane. Waste from the growth compartment and nutrients from the medium reservoir are capable of dialyzing, while macromolecules synthesized by the cells as they develop are maintained in the cell growth space. Media is exchanged in the medium reservoir, thereby reducing drastic environmental changes cells experience in conventional tissue culture. The bioreactor creates an extraordinarily stable cellular environment that allows for the growth of multiple-cell layer osteoblastic tissue. Three-dimensional osteoblastic tissue has been maintained for over 10 months of continuous culture using this device [30].

The 3D osteoblastic tissue grown in the bioreactor can be challenged with metastatic breast cancer cells to model the initial stages of breast cancer colonization of bone. Human metastatic breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) introduced into existing cultures of murine osteoblasts (MC3T3-E1) were observed to adhere to and penetrate osteoblastic tissue and form colonies
within the cultures [31]. Furthermore, breast cancer cells were observed to align into “Indian files”, chains of single cancer cells, characteristic of cancer invasion [31, 32]. This system allows for the real time monitoring of breast cancer colonization of osteoblastic tissue.

The purpose of this study was to characterize the effect of a nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate, zoledronic acid, on osteoblasts in conventional tissue culture and osteoblasts challenged with metastatic breast cancer cells in the compartmentalized bioreactor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cells and tissue culture conditions**

Murine calvaria pre-osteoblast (MC3T3-E1) cells were a gift from Dr. Norman Karin at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratories (ATCC CRL-2593). MC3T3-E1 were cultured in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and maintained in alpha minimum-essential medium (α-MEM) (Mediatech, Herdon, VA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated neonatal fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Cansera, Roxdale, Ontario) and 1% 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), hereafter referred to as growth medium. MC3T3-E1 were passaged every 3-4 days using 0.002% pronase in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Cells were not used above passage 20. Growth medium further supplemented with 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid and 10mM β-glycerophosphate (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), hereafter referred to as differentiation medium, was used to develop mineralized, differentiated osteoblasts.

Human metastatic breast cancer (MDA-MB-231) cells genetically engineered to produce green fluorescent protein (GFP) were a gift from Dr. Danny Welch at the University of Alabama at Birmingham (ATCC-HTB 26). MDA-MB-231GFP cells are capable of forming bone metastases [33]. MDA-MB-231GFP were cultured at 37°C with 5% CO₂ and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Mediatech, Herdon, VA) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated neonatal FBS and 1X non-essential amino acids.

**Zoledronic acid**

Zoledronic acid (2-(imidazol-1-yl)-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-bisphosphonic acid, disodium salt) was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals, North York, Ontario and dissolved in 0.1 N NaOH to make a 5 mM stock solution.

**Tissue culture**

MC3T3-E1 were plated at a sub-confluent density (10⁴ cells/cm²) onto 24-well plates (Corning, Corning, NY). Differentiating cells were maintained with periodic media changes every 3-4 days.
Bioreactor design and implementation

Compartmentalized bioreactors based on the principal of simultaneous growth and dialysis were constructed as described previously [30]. Briefly, 316L stainless steel stock rings were tightly secured together by stainless steel screws to create the body of the device. Two compartments – a cell growth chamber (5 ml volume) and a larger (30 ml volume) medium reservoir – were formed with two outer gas-permeable and liquid-impermeable films and an inner dialysis membrane. The films forming the outer barriers were approximately 3 mm thick and made by hot pressing Surlyn 1702 resin (DuPont, Wilmington, DE) using simultaneous application of heat (220°C) and pressure (245 Pa) in a laboratory hot press. The inner film was cellulose-dialysis membrane (Spectrapor-13266; Spectrum Medical Industries, Rancho Dominguez, CA) and was hydrated in de-ionized water for 2 hours prior to assembly of the device. Assembled bioreactors had a cell-growth area of 25 cm². Once assembled, bioreactor chambers were filled with 0.1% sodium azide in PBS, packaged in plastic bags, and sterilized using 10 Mrad γ-ray irradiation at the Breazeale Nuclear Reactor Facility at the Pennsylvania State University. Sterile bioreactors were rinsed 3 times with PBS and incubated overnight with basal medium (aMEM, 1% penicillin-streptomycin) prior to cell inoculation.

MTT assay for cell proliferation

MC3T3-E1 were plated at 10⁴ cells/cm² in growth medium. Following overnight incubation, zoledronic acid was added at 0.05, 0.50 and 5.00 μM concentrations for 24, 48, and 72 hours, upon which cell viability was assessed with an MTT assay. MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) at 5 mg/ml in PBS was added to the cultures equivalent to 1/10th of the culture volume (50 μl/500 μl per well). Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 2 hours. Cells were then rinsed once with PBS and 1 ml solubilization solution (0.1 N HCl, 1% Triton X-100 in isopropanol) was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. Samples were read at 570 nm on a spectrophotometer with 650 nm background subtraction. Viable cell numbers were used to determine proliferation over time.

Alkaline phosphatase activity

MC3T3-E1 were plated at 10⁴ cells/cm² in differentiation medium and maintained with periodic media changes for 17 days. After 17 days, differentiation medium was exchanged with zoledronic acid in concentrations of 0.05, 0.50 and 5.00 μM diluted from a 5 mM stock concentration with differentiation medium. Cells were cultured an additional week in the presence of zoledronic acid with two medium changes containing the drug. Following exposure to zoledronic acid for 7 days, MC3T3-E1 were stained for alkaline phosphatase activity. Culture medium was removed and the cells were rinsed twice with PBS. The cells were fixed for 10 minutes with 10% formaldehyde in PBS and then rinsed three times with PBS. Cells were
stained for alkaline phosphatase with a solution consisting of napthol, pre-warmed dH₂O, 0.2 M Tris (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and Fast Blue RR Salt (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and then incubated at 37°C (no CO₂) for 30 minutes.

**Von Kossa stain for mineralization**

MC3T3-E1 were plated at 10⁴ cells/cm² in differentiation medium and maintained with periodic media changes exactly as described for the alkaline phosphatase assay except the cells were grown for 28 days. After 28 days, differentiation medium was exchanged with zoledronic acid in concentrations of 0.05, 0.50 and 5.00 µM. Following exposure to zoledronic acid for 7 days, MC3T3-E1 were stained for calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate salts. Culture medium was removed and the cells were rinsed twice with PBS. The cells were fixed for 10 minutes with 10% formaldehyde in PBS and then rinsed three times for five minutes each with dH₂O. A 5% silver nitrate solution (diluted in dH₂O) was added to the cells, and they were incubated in the dark for 30 minutes at room temperature. The cells were rinsed three times with dH₂O, a final volume of 0.5 mL dH₂O was added to the cells, and they were incubated for 2 hours under a fluorescent lamp.

**Bioreactor co-cultures**

MC3T3-E1 were inoculated into bioreactor cell growth chambers at 10⁴ cells/cm² and were cultured for 120 days with medium changes to the medium reservoir every 30 days. After 120 days, osteoblast tissue was stained with Cell Tracker Orange™ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for live confocal imaging to monitor osteoblast morphology throughout the experiment. MDA-MB-231GFP cancer cells were inoculated into the cell growth chambers containing osteoblast tissue at a 1:10 ratio of breast cancer cells to osteoblasts (10⁵ cancer cells/bioreactor). Cancer cells were observed using confocal microscopy. Zoledronic acid was added to bioreactor cell growth chambers in concentrations of 0.05 and 0.5 µM after 72 hours, a time when the cancer cells and the osteoblasts were re-organizing into files. Cultures were monitored for an additional 72 hours using confocal microscopy. On day 6, bioreactors were disassembled and the film containing adherent osteoblast tissue was carefully cut into pieces for various assays. Medium from the cell growth space and medium reservoir was also collected for analysis.

**Confocal microscopy**

Cultures maintained in bioreactors were observed daily using an Olympus FV-300 laser-scanning microscope (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA) at 20x and 40x magnifications. Cell Tracker Orange™ was excited using a 543 nm helium-neon laser and collected through a 565 nm long-pass filter. GFP was excited using a 488 nm argon laser and collected through 510 nm long-pass and 530 nm short-pass filters. The emission was split through a 570 nm dichroic
long-pass filter. Adherent osteoblast tissue from disassembled bioreactors was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS and stained for actin filaments with Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin stain (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for further image analysis.

RESULTS

Zoledronic acid effects on osteoblast proliferation.

Osteoblast proliferation was measured by quantifying mitochondrial activity using an MTT assay. MC3T3-E1 were continuously treated with zoledronic acid in 0.05, 0.50 or 5.00 μM concentrations for 24, 48 or 72 hours. Results are reported as average optical density at 570 nm with a background subtraction of 650 nm, plus or minus standard deviation between triplicate samples (Figure 1). Continuous exposure to zoledronic acid at 0.50 and 5.00 μM concentrations for 48 and 72 hours inhibited osteoblast proliferation. Treatment with low concentration (0.05 μM) zoledronic acid, however, did not result in the same inhibition; the optical densities at all times were similar to the untreated controls.

Zoledronic acid effects on osteoblast differentiation and mineralization.

Effects of zoledronic acid on osteoblast differentiation were measured by staining for alkaline phosphatase production. Alkaline phosphatase is an enzyme linked to osteoblast differentiation. Cells were cultured in differentiation medium for 17 days and then continuously treated with zoledronic acid in 0.05, 0.50 or 5.00 μM concentrations for an additional 7 days. The culture dish was scanned to generate images of the tissue (Figure 2, A-D). Zoledronic acid at 5.00 μM (D) exhibited relatively strong inhibitory effects on alkaline phosphatase production.
Drug effects on mineralization were assessed by a Von Kossa stain for calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate salt deposits. Von Kossa’s stain indirectly measures calcium in mineralized tissue. MC3T3-E1 were cultured in differentiation medium for 28 days and continuously treated for 8 days with zoledronic acid in 0.05, 0.50 or 5.00 μM concentrations. Images of the stained tissue were taken with a digital camera (Figure 2, E-H). All concentrations of zoledronic acid disrupted osteoblast mineralization (F-H) when compared to controls (E).

Effects of zoledronic acid on breast cancer colonization of osteoblast tissue.

MC3T3-E1 were cultured in the bioreactor for 120 days with medium changes to the medium reservoir every 30 days. The osteoblast tissue was then challenged with metastatic breast cancer cells. Cancer cells were observed to colonize the tissue and organize into linear files after 48 hours, at which time zoledronic acid was added to the cultures in 0.05 and 0.5 μM concentrations. Cultures were maintained and monitored using confocal microscopy for an additional 72 hours.

Confocal images show breast cancer cells expressing green fluorescent protein (green) and osteoblasts stained with Cell Tracker Orange or Alexa Fluor 568 phalloidin (red) (Figure 3). Treatment with zoledronic acid reduced the formation of breast cancer cell colonies (Figure 3, compare A with B,C) and disrupted the alignment of breast cancer cells within the osteoblast tissue (Figure 3, compare D with E,F). Zoledronic acid also inhibited the penetration of breast cancer cells through the multiple-layer osteoblast tissue (Figure 3, table). Osteoblasts in cultures treated with zoledronic acid retained the characteristic cuboidal shape observed in the control (untreated) culture.
FIGURE 3. Qualitative analysis of the effects of zoledronic acid (ZA) on MDA-MB-231 metastatic breast cancer cell (BC) colonization of osteoblast (OB) tissue. Cancer cells (green) were observed to penetrate and colonize osteoblast tissue (red) in the bioreactor (A,D,H). Addition of ZA to co-cultures in the bioreactor resulted in reduced breast cancer colony formation (B – 0.05 μM ZA, C – 0.50 μM ZA, 24 hr exposure) and disruption of cancer cell alignment with osteoblast tissue (E – 0.05 μM ZA, F – 0.50 μM ZA, 48 hr exposure). ZA delayed breast cancer cell penetration of osteoblast tissue (table) and osteoblasts retained characteristic cuboidal shape (I – 0.05 μM ZA, 72 hr exposure) consistent with controls (G). Scale bar = A-F: 100μM, G-I: 50 μM.
DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this study, zoledronic acid was observed to have a notable effect on osteoblast proliferation, differentiation and mineralization. Treatment of sub-confluent MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts with zoledronic acid yielded a dose-dependent effect on proliferation (Figure 1), with higher concentrations of zoledronic acid inhibiting cell proliferation. Treatment with 0.50 μM zoledronic acid for 72 hours resulted in an approximate 25 percent reduction in osteoblast number, while treatment with 5.00 μM zoledronic acid for the same time inhibited proliferation by approximately 50 percent of the control. Exposure to a lower dosage (0.05 μM) of zoledronic acid had no statistically significant effect on osteoblast proliferation. However, these studies were carried out with cells grown in conventional tissue culture conditions.

Continuous treatment of 17- and 28-day old differentiated osteoblasts with zoledronic acid for one week resulted in decreased alkaline phosphatase production and calcium deposition, respectively (Figure 2). A continuous dose of zoledronic acid at 5.00 μM led to a reduction in alkaline phosphatase (Figure 2, D), while lower concentrations had little effect (Figure 2, B-C). All tested concentrations of zoledronic acid inhibited mineralization (Figure 2, F-H). Again, these tests were carried out with cells grown in tissue culture.

Exposure of three-month old osteoblasts grown in the bioreactor and challenged with metastatic breast cancer cells delayed the progression of cancer cells within the osteoblast tissue and temporarily maintained osteoblast tissue integrity (Figure 3). ZA treatment reduced the formation of breast cancer colonies (Figure 3, A-C) and inhibited breast cancer cell penetration of osteoblast tissue (Figure 3, table). Exposure of cancer cells to zoledronic acid also disrupted cancer cell alignment within the osteoblast tissue (Figure 3, D-F).

These results indicated that concentrations of zoledronic acid that minimally affect osteoblast function are capable of delaying breast cancer progression to bone. These data should be interpreted with caution, however. Other studies indicate that low concentrations (10 nM) of ZA do not significantly inhibit osteoblast proliferation until after 7 days of continuous culture [25]. Additionally, little is known about the adsorption kinetics of bisphosphonates. It is unclear whether ZA treatment of osteoblasts challenged with cancer cells in the bioreactor resulted in a true delay of breast cancer progression or occurred due to diffusion of ZA from the growth chamber to the medium reservoir. To address these concerns, future work will ensure that osteoblast proliferation is measured over an extended growth period and zoledronic acid is added to both bioreactor chambers.

Further analysis of the effects of zoledronic acid on breast cancer colonization of osteoblast tissue in the bioreactor is also required. RNA isolated from the bioreactor cultures will be
analyzed for expression of osteocalcin, Type I collagen, and other bone proteins. In addition, increases or decreases in cytokine production will be measured using medium collected from the bioreactor compartments.

Further studies will introduce the chemotherapeutic drug docetaxel into the bioreactor system, as literature suggests that zoledronic acid enhances the effects of docetaxel [34-39]. This study has shown that the bioreactor is a useful device for the study of drug effects on the early stages of breast cancer cell interactions with bone tissue.
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