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### 14. ABSTRACT
Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) strives to overcome the obstacles presented in conventional 2D mammography by taking multiple projections over a fixed angle and reconstructing volumetric data isolates overlying anatomy from in-plane structures and amplify the conspicuity of lesions. Temporal subtraction automates the process of comparative analysis by using two images taken sequentially and subtracting them in order to find temporal discrepancies. The purpose of this project is to determine the feasibility of using temporal subtraction on DBT phantom images to allow for easier and earlier detection of breast cancer than with either technique alone. The investigator acquired initial tomosynthesis images with the compressible and deformable breast phantom using materials to simulate the breast parenchyma. This was a first step to see if the physical breast phantom originally conceived in theory would work in practice. Unfortunately, the materials used for the breast tissues did not provide a realistic enough breast simulation. Further work must be done to find different materials to use for the physical breast phantom so that realistic images can be used for the observer study. The investigator found that a 3D computer simulated breast phantom needs to provide a realistic and accurate representation of the breast parenchyma in order to offer a compelling argument for the technique. This can be accomplished through either mathematical methods using geometrical primitives or voxelizations of real patient data. The investigator has decided to use an approach combining empirical breast CT data with subdivision surfaces (SD) and non-uniform rational b-splines (NURBS) in the future for the computer breast simulation.
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Introduction
This project is to decrease the number of breast cancers that are missed in conventional mammography by combining two methods developed to increase the sensitivity of breast cancer imaging: digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) and temporal subtraction. A major limitation of traditional mammography is the confluence of overlying structures resulting from a two-dimensional (2D) projection of three-dimensional (3D) anatomy. DBT is an exciting new modality for breast imaging which strives to overcome the obstacles presented in conventional 2D mammography by taking multiple projections over a fixed angle and reconstructing volumetric data which serves to isolate overlying anatomy from in-plane structures. Such section images serve to amplify the conspicuity of lesions, particularly in dense breasts. DBT has been shown in several studies to increase the sensitivity of mammography for breast cancer detection. One of the primary methods used by radiologists to detect developing tumors is comparative analysis. This is the process of visually analyzing the temporal change between a current and prior mammogram, utilizing perception to perform the requisite geometric transformation between images. Temporal subtraction is not dissimilar from comparative analysis. It automates the process by using two images taken sequentially and subtracting them in order to find temporal discrepancies. The purpose of this project is to determine the feasibility of using temporal subtraction on DBT phantom images to allow for easier and earlier detection of breast cancer than with either technique alone. A computer simulated phantom will be developed to generate tomosynthesis data sets which can be used for the development and evaluation of an automated registration technique which will then be applied to physical phantom tomosynthesis images in a graphical user interface for the purpose of performing an observer study to assess the developed technique.

Body
Task 1. To generate tomosynthesis datasets of simulated and physical breast phantom, Months 1-12:

1a. Develop a realistic computer simulated breast phantom simulation and generate up to 50 simulated tomosynthesis projection data with the phantom undergoing simulated tissue deformation.

After investigating different types of computer simulated breast phantoms, the investigator believes that a combination of a mathematical and voxelized breast phantom would be the best path to pursue for the computer simulated phantom development. The investigator’s colleagues have access to high resolution breast CT datasets that may be used in the phantom development. After seeking the proper institutional approval (e.g. IRB) the investigator plans to segment the breast CT data with an automated segmentation algorithm. The lab of the investigator has a technique which enables the combination of multiple CT datasets into a computer phantom that is flexible in its size and shape called the four dimensional (4D) non-uniform rational b-splines (NURBS) based Cardiac-Torso (NCAT). The segmented data will be utilized to create a detailed 3D computer generated breast phantom based on empirical data using a combination of non-uniform rational b-splines (NURBS) and subdivision surfaces (SD). The phantom will be applicable to many different types of breast imaging research and will be adjustable in size and deformable using finite-element methods. For the purpose of this research project, the 3D computer simulated phantom will provide a realistic and flexible breast phantom for the purpose of registration method development by incorporating simulated compression and the generation of simulated tomosynthesis breast acquisitions.

Issues: The investigator needs to acquire institutional approval to work with human subject data before continuing with this part of the project. After approval, the investigator plans to use some form of adaptive region growing in addition to filtering and thresholding in order to overcome any noise issues and make the algorithm more robust.
1b. Acquire up to 30 tomosynthesis projections of a compressible and deformable physical phantom with physically simulated anatomy and under different simulated temporal discrepancies.

The investigator used a compressible and deformable physical breast phantom shown in Figure 1. Inside of the breast phantom the investigator inserted a number of objects to simulate breast parenchyma: acrylic sponge and yarn (shown in Figure 2) to simulate fibroglandular tissue, differently sized beans and acrylic spheres to simulate nodules (shown in Figure 3), small pieces of egg shell to simulate calcifications, and mineral oil to simulate adipose tissue. The investigator took multiple tomosynthesis exams using 32 kVp, 125 mAs, and with the breast compressed to ~7cm with a slight rotation in-between image acquisitions. There were three scans taken in total: one in the original orientation and original number of simulated lesions; the second with the breast slightly rotated with the original number of simulated lesions; and the third with the breast rotated in a different way and without some of the simulated lesions. Example projections from the compressed phantom are shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 1: Compressible and deformable breast phantom](image1.png)

![Figure 1: Top – Sponge; Bottom – Acrylic yarn](image2.png)

Issues: The materials used for the physical phantom were not ideal and the tomosynthesis images reconstructed from the acquisition do not look realistic enough to use for the observer study. Further research must be done to match up materials with acquisition parameters to create more realistic images.
The lab of the investigator has access to a set of DBT human subject images which contain pairs of temporally sequential data. In addition to pursuing the physical phantom pathway, the investigator plans to acquire institutional approval (e.g. IRB) to work with the human subject data. This will give the investigator human data to work with for this project and perhaps lend the technique developed a more compelling clinical and practical implementation.

Issues: IRB approval must be acquired prior to using the temporally sequential human subject DBT images.

The investigator has investigated a simulated dose reduction technique for use on tomosynthesis images using anthropomorphic chest phantom images. This research was performed on chest data in order to continue with prior research and validate the technique on images the investigator had already acquired. We experimentally determined the NPS of the tomosynthesis acquisition system and utilize it to filter an image of random noise. After some further modifications to adjust the noise variance, this resultant noise image is added to the original image and the procedure culminates in an image which simulates an image acquired at a reduced exposure. This technique is easily applicable to the breast tomosynthesis data that the investigator will acquire in the course of this project and can be used to additionally evaluate the dose of breast tomosynthesis images on lesion detectability. Please see Appendix 1 for further details.

Issues: The dose reduction technique that was developed for the chest images must be altered to be used on breast tomosynthesis images.

1c. Utilize up to 3 different tomosynthesis reconstruction algorithms (Filtered Back Projection, Matrix Inversion Tomosynthesis, and Gaussian Frequency Blending) to create tomosynthesis data sets of the simulated and physical phantom.

The DBT images were reconstructed using the Filtered Back Projection algorithm. As mentioned previously the images do not appear to realistically resemble actual breast tomosynthesis images from human subjects. Please see Figure 5 for examples of tomosynthesis slice through the reconstructed volume.

Issues: None.
Key Research Accomplishments

- Identification of simulation model to use combining real human data and geometric primitives
- Physical breast phantom tomosynthesis images have been acquired and processed
  - New materials must be identified for use in physical breast phantom for more realistic images
- Tomosynthesis simulated dose reduction technique has been developed
  - Needs to be applied to breast images

Reportable Outcomes


Conclusions

The identification of the proper computer simulation method is an important milestone and will provide a research tool, not only for the scope of this project, but also for use by other institutions who are working on breast imaging. Although further work must be performed towards choosing the correct materials for the physical breast phantom, it was important to show that images could be acquired and they can still be used for the development of the registration algorithm while the computer simulated phantom is being created. DBT has been shown to increase the specificity and sensitivity of lesion detection; however dose reduction is an important addition for clinical implementation of DBT to constrain the radiative dose to the patient during screening. The methodology developed during this project for dose reduction will provide a good simulation technique for evaluating the dose limitations for DBT.
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Appendix 1
Methodology for Determining Dose Reduction for Chest Tomosynthesis
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Digital tomosynthesis is an imaging technique that reconstructs tomographic planes in an object from a set of projection images taken over a fixed angle1. Preliminary results show that this technique increases the detectability of lung nodules2. Current settings acquire images with approximately the same exposure as a screen-film lateral. However, due to the increased detectability of lung nodules from the removal of overlying structures, patient dose may be reduced while still maintaining increased sensitivity and specificity over conventional chest radiographs. This study describes a simulation method that provides realistic reduced dose images by adding noise to digital chest tomosynthesis images in order to simulate lower exposure settings for the purpose of dose optimization. Tomosynthesis projections of human subjects were taken at dose levels which were specified based on either patient thickness or a photo-timed digital chest radiograph acquired prior to tomosynthesis acquisition. For the purposes of this study, subtle nodules of varying size were simulated in the image for demonstration purposes before the noise simulation in order to have a known truth for nodule location and to evaluate the effect of additive noise on tumor detection. Noise was subsequently added in order to simulate ¾, ½, and ¼ of the original exposure in each projection. The projections were then processed with the MITS algorithm to produce slice images. The subjective assessment of the resulting tomosynthesis slice images show a potential decrease in dose level by 25-50%. This method will be applied to a study of dose reduction in the future using human subject cases.

Keywords: digital x-ray imaging (DX), tomosynthesis (RECON), x-ray tomosynthesis (TSYN), simulation (SIM)
Preferred Presentation Type: Oral Presentation
Conference Code: MI03 - Physics of Medical Imaging.

Introduction

There has been much interest in dose optimization for radiography in order to reduce patient exposure while maintaining adequate image quality for diagnosis. However, to our knowledge, this subject has not yet been addressed for digital chest tomosynthesis imaging. Much of the misdiagnosis in projection radiography is due to anatomic noise from overlying structures. Digital tomosynthesis is a method which reconstructs longitudinal planes within a patient from a set of digital projection images taken over a limited angle. Tomosynthesis increases detectability of abnormalities by removing the overlying anatomy and improving the conspicuity of in-plane structures. Although tomosynthesis has been around for many decades, it has only recently become clinically practical with the advent of flat-panel detector technology. In a pilot study performed in our lab, 50% of CT confirmed nodules were found in the PA chest radiograph versus 81% in a tomosynthesis image set2. The current default dose setting for our tomosynthesis imaging system is roughly equivalent to a screen-film lateral. This was chosen because of the similarity in image quality of tomosynthesis images taken at this exposure to conventional chest radiographs. There is potential to lower tomosynthesis exposures because the conspicuity of nodular opacities in the tomosynthesis images at the current default dose setting is not likely limited by x-ray quantum noise. Thus, the increased detectability of abnormalities provided by tomosynthesis may decrease the need for the default dose setting which gives the appearance of a traditional exposure. In previous studies, the addition of computer simulated noise to real human data has been implemented as a way to investigate the diagnostic accuracy as a function of dose reduction3-8. The methodology discussed in this work provides a realistic simulation of lower exposure by adding stochastic noise that has been filtered by the characteristic noise power spectrum (NPS) of the system to the projection images prior to tomosynthesis reconstruction. For the purpose of this study, the use of additional simulated lung nodules serves to provide a larger sample size than available with CT confirmed nodules as well as the ability to place them in obscured and un-obscured regions in order to evaluate the effect of exposure on nodule detection.
Methods
The ultimate purpose of this methodology is to simulate an image acquired at a reduced dose with our imaging system.
In order to simulate noise on previous acquired data, which realistically emulates the actual noise of the system, many
steps had to be taken. The noise power spectrum (NPS) is one of the most common metrics which describe the noise
properties of imaging system. We experimentally determined the NPS of the tomosynthesis acquisition system and
utilize it to filter an image of random noise. This noise is added, after some further modifications, to the original image
and the procedure results in an image which simulates an image acquired at a reduced exposure.

NPS measurement:
In order to characterize the noise properties of the tomosynthesis system, the noise power spectrum (NPS) was
determined experimentally. In the spatial frequency domain, the NPS is the variance per frequency bin of a stochastic
signal. In order to correct for the gain of the system, the NPS is divided by the square of the mean pixel value of the area
under analysis. This is commonly called the normalized noise power spectrum (NNPS) \[^{10,11}\].

\[
NNPS(u,v) = \frac{NPS(u,v)}{\text{large area signal}^2}
\] (1)

A previously published method was utilized to calculate and determine the NNPS\[^{10,11}\].

At each dose specified, we acquired ten tomosynthesis image sets which gave us 10 flat field image projections for each
angle. At each angle, the ten flat field images were averaged together in order to create an image which contained the
structural noise inherent in the imaging system. This averaged image set was subtracted from one of the ten original
image sets in order to remove any artifactual background shading which would influence the NPS measurement. This
background subtracted image set was utilized for all NPS measurement. In order to account for the alteration in
variance due to the image subtraction, the resultant NNPS from this methodology was multiplied by \(N/N-1\).

A 640×640 pixel area in the center of each background subtracted image, segmented into 128×128 overlapping regions
of interest (ROI) was used for analysis. In order to account for small variations in regional exposure over the flat field
image, each ROI was scaled by a ratio of its mean pixel value and the mean value of a designated ROI.

According to Saunders et al.\[^{10}\] the NNPS is defined according to the following relation:

\[
NNPS = \frac{dA}{M \cdot N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left\{ \frac{\langle ROI_i \rangle}{\langle ROI_1 \rangle} \right\} \left( \text{FFT} \left[ \frac{1}{\langle ROI_i \rangle} (ROI_i - \langle ROI_i \rangle) \right] \right)^2 \] (2a)

Where \(dA\) is the pixel area, \(M\) is the number of regions used for analysis, \(N\) is the number of pixels along one edge of an
ROI, \(ROI_i\) is a region of interest in the area under analysis, \(ROI_1\) is the ROI in the top left corner of the area under
analysis, and \(<ROI_i>\) was the mean of \(ROI_i\).

Since we found experimentally that the NNPS did not vary greatly between the different projections, we averaged all of
the projections in order to give the final NNPS measurement for the dose under analysis. For the tomosynthesis NNPS
measurement, the NNPS was calculated as above for each projection image and the average NNPS was used for the rest
of our routine.

\[
NNPS_r = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} NNPS_j
\] (2b)

NNPS\(_r\) is the NNPS from a single projection image, and \(K\) is the number of projections.
Noise simulation

In order to create additive noise which has the same noise properties as the true projection images, the texture of the noise must be adjusted according to the experimentally determined NNPST. The NNPST from only one dose was used to filter the noise for all dose levels because the shape of the NNPST was found to be relatively consistent at the range of exposures measured. A polynomial curve fit was applied to the NNPST and this was utilized to create a radially symmetric estimated NNPST ($\langle$NNPST$\rangle$). The square root of this two dimensional $\langle$NNPST$\rangle$ is multiplied by the two-dimensional FFT of an uncorrelated Gaussian noise array with zero mean and unit variance. The noise image is then converted back into the spatial domain by taking the inverse FFT. The resulting filtered noise now has frequency content consistent with the tomosynthesis imaging system. Before adding the filtered noise image to the original image ($I_{original}$), the variance of the noise has to be adjusted so that the resultant image ($I_{simulated}$) has a signal to noise ratio (SNR) consistent with a reduced dose image.

As radiation dose decreases, the SNR of the image also decreases. However, since we are utilizing previously acquired clinical data, there is no way to decrease the dose experimentally in order to find the SNR of a reduced dose image. Therefore, we added an image containing filtered noise ($I_{noise}$) to the original projection image ($I_{original}$) that decreased the SNR appropriately to achieve the target reduced SNR ($SNR_{reduced}$). The result is an image which simulates a reduced exposure ($I_{simulated}$).

$$I_{simulated} = I_{original} + I_{noise}$$ (3)

The target SNR cannot be achieved without considering the noise already present in the original image ($I_{original}$). Therefore, because variances add for sums of uncorrelated random variables, the required variance to simulate a lower exposure can be calculated.

$$\sigma_{simulated}^2 = \sigma_{original}^2 + \sigma_{noise}^2$$ (4)

The relationship between variance in the image ($\sigma^2$) and the mean pixel intensity ($<I>$) was experimentally determined in order to account for the spatial variation in photon flux. From this relationship, we derived that SNR varies as a function of exposure ($snr(E)$). This was done assuming that the expected pixel value of the image ($<I>$) is proportional to exposure in a linear, quantum-limited detector.

$$SNR_{original} = \frac{<I_{orig}>}{\sigma_{orig}} = snr(E_{original})$$ (5a)

$$SNR_{reduced} = snr(E_{reduced})$$ (5b)

Because we are adding noise to the original image in order to attain a reduced SNR, we can solve for the additive noise variance.

$$\frac{<I_{orig}>}{\sigma_{simulated}} = SNR_{reduced}$$ (6)

$$\left(\frac{<I_{orig}>}{SNR_{reduced}}\right)^2 = \sigma_{original}^2 + \sigma_{noise}^2$$ (7)
\[
\sqrt{\left( \frac{\langle I_{\text{orig}} \rangle}{\text{SNR}_{\text{reduced}}} \right)^2} - \sigma^2_{\text{original}} = \sigma_{\text{noise}}^2
\]

The filtered noise is multiplied by the variance determined from Equation 8. This process adjusts \(I_{\text{noise}}\) for a spatial variance consistent with the local pixel intensity in the original image in order to account for varying attenuation from different anatomical structures. This process results in a final noise image \(I_{\text{noise}}\) which contains the same frequency content as the inherent system noise and is also adjusted for the local spatial variations in exposure. This final \(I_{\text{noise}}\) is added to \(I_{\text{original}}\) to create the reduced exposure projection image \(I_{\text{simulated}}\) which has and SNR equal to \(\text{SNR}_{\text{reduced}}\).

**Nodule Simulation:**

In each set of projections, five subtle lung nodules ranging from 4 to 8 mm in diameter were simulated at different locations in the left lung on the original projection images. The locations of the nodules were chosen carefully to evaluate the effect of exposure level on the detectability of nodules under various anatomical conditions: mediastinum, vessel crossings, and behind ribs. The location of each simulated nodule on each projection image was calculated using the fractional angular displacement from horizontal (0° is located at projection 36). The resulting projections with five simulated nodules were then passed into the aforementioned noise simulation routine. Nodule simulation is for demonstration purposes only. It is utilized to have a known truth of tumor location and to evaluate the effect of dose reduction on nodule detection; but is not necessary for the typical application of this methodology to assess the clinical detection performance of reduced dose tomosynthesis images.

**Acquisition method**

A prototype system constructed in our laboratory with a commercial-grade 41×41 cm a:Si/CsI flat-panel radiographic detector (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) and rapid acquisition hardware was utilized for all measurements and image acquisition. NPS measurement was done in accordance with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard of measurement (IEC 61267 Ed. 2.0 b:2005; RQA9 technique) slightly adjusted for tomosynthesis image acquisition. The antiscatter grid, system faceplate, and 0.2 mm of Cu filtration were kept in place for system calibration and NPS measurement. Beam quality was achieved with 120 kV and 39.9 mm Al filtration (half-value layer of 11.5 mm). All flat field image sets and exposure measurements were made with the standard tomosynthesis acquisition procedure which utilizes 71 projection images over 20 degrees and acquired at 6.4 frames per second with the manufacturer supplied gain and offset corrections applied. All images were acquired with 39.9 mm of Al and 0.2 mm of Cu filtration in place. Measurements were made at four exposure levels using: 0.32, 0.4, 0.64, and 1.25 mAs/projection.

Due to the geometry of the system, it was not possible to place the ionization chamber (MDH Model 1015, 10X5-6 ionization chamber, Radcal, Monrovia, CA) in the beam during acquisition of the NPS images. Therefore, the cumulative exposure at each dose level for all 71 projections was measured at the plane of the detector utilizing a small field of view, after the NPS image acquisitions for each dose were completed.

In order to give examples of how this dose reduction simulation method performs, tomosynthesis datasets were acquired of real human subjects at 120 kVP and an mAs setting which was determined based upon either subject thickness or a photo-timed value using the Automatic-Exposure Control (AEC) from a clinical digital chest radiograph unit (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee WI). The range of mAs values was 0.32 to 1 mAs per projection.

After nodule and noise simulation, 69 planes were reconstructed from each set of the projections with simulated noise and nodules using the MITS (Matrix Inversion Tomosynthesis) algorithm developed in our lab, with 5 mm plane spacing. A sliding average of 7 adjacent planes was used to improve image noise and resulted in the final image set which was utilized for the analysis of the simulated dose reduction method.
Results

The normalized NPS (NNPS) from four different dose levels, which are representative of what are used during clinical acquisition, were analyzed: 0.32, 0.4, 0.64, and 1.25 mAs per projection, with the cumulative exposure from a full tomosynthesis acquisition of: 1.83, 2.35, 3.85, and 7.8 mR respectively. Figure 1a. shows that the shape NNPS did not vary greatly at different projections and therefore we averaged them to form the composite NNPS ($NNPS_T$) for each dose. A fifth order polynomial curve fit was utilized to estimate an equation for the $NNPS_T$. The resultant fit is shown in Figure 1b. along with the $NNPS_T$. Figure 1c shows the NNPS from one projection angle (0°) for each of the dose levels. Figure 1d demonstrates that the system has a very low level of electronic noise because the product of NNPS and exposure does not vary greatly over the range of exposures evaluated.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1**: (a) Ensemble of normalized NPS (NNPS) curves from one dose acquisition of all 71 projection images. (b) The averaged NNPS curve from one dose acquisition with the associated curve fit. (c) Example NNPS of all four exposures. (d) The NNPS multiplied by the exposure level (curves would all be the same if the detector was quantum-limited).

The linearity of the detector was found by computing the spatial mean and variance of the flat field projections utilizing the central 80% of the image for each exposure level. (Note: Variance was determined from flat field projections with the background subtracted and corrected with N/N-1). Using this relationship, the amount of noise in the reduced dose image is computed for each pixel. In our methodology, we utilize the characteristic response curves of the system shown in Figure 2a in order to estimate the relative exposure level in each pixel of the clinical image set. From this relationship, we utilize the relationship between the SNR and exposure level and can determine the SNR at the target dose level. Figure 2 (a,c,e) demonstrate these empirically derived relationships. From Figure 2 (b,d) it can be seen that these relationships are not highly dependent on projection angle. We averaged the mean and variance over all projection angles in order to derive the relationships for the system performance due to exposure.
Figure 2: (a) Response of acquisition system relating mean pixel value (MPV) and exposure. (b) MPV as a function of projection angle. (c) Empirical relationship between variance and exposure. (d) Variance as a function of projection angle. (e) SNR as a function of exposure.
Figure 3: Simulated nodules which are added to the projection image prior to noise simulation and reconstruction.

Figure 4: (a) Full dose projection image at 0°. (b) Simulated half dose projection image at 0°. (c) Full dose reconstructed tomosynthesis image (plane14). (d) Simulated half dose reconstructed tomosynthesis image (plane14).
Nodule simulation was performed on each projection image prior to noise simulation. An example of the simulated nodules that were added to the original image is shown in Figure 3.

The resultant projection images, after the noise simulation method was applied, approximate the correct SNR for an image taken at a reduced exposure. This noise propagates through the tomosynthesis reconstruction algorithm and simulates how reduced dose would affect nodule conspicuity and detection accuracy. Figure 4 shows the effect of adding noise to simulate half the exposure of the original image in both the original projections and the resultant tomosynthesis reconstructions.

Figure 5 demonstrates the nodule conspicuity dose response under different conditions. Each ROI shown is 16 cm$^2$ with the simulated nodule located in the center. The same ROI location was used for each row. The first row shows a 4 mm simulated nodule placed in the lower left lung; the second row shows a 6 mm simulated nodule placed behind a rib; and the third row shows an 8 mm simulated nodule placed in the mediastinum.

Initial subjective analysis of the simulated reduced dose images suggest that this method adequately emulates a tomosynthesis image acquired at a reduced dose. This method will be used in a future study to determine the optimum reduced exposure for tomosynthesis image acquisition.
Discussion
In this study, we made the assumption that the shape of the NNPS would be independent of exposure. There is, nevertheless, some variation due to exposure and an additional step can be implemented which may slightly improve the performance of the method presented in this work. However, with the small amount of variation at these low exposure levels, only a minor improvement can be expected.

Conclusions:
The methodology described, generates tomosynthesis images subjectively equivalent to images acquired at reduced dose. When coupled with simulated nodules, this approach may be used with human observers to conduct ROC studies of observer performance for nodules in both obscured and un-obscured lung.

Future Work:
Clinical subject data with simulated noise and nodules will be used in an ROC study with chest radiologists in order to more accurately determine the detection accuracy in tomosynthesis images at reduced dose levels for dose optimization.
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