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Abstract
Database systems for real-time applications must satisfy timing constraints associated with transactions, in addition to maintaining data consistency. Recently, interests in object-oriented databases have been growing for non-traditional applications of database systems, and several real-time applications are being developed using an object-oriented paradigm. The object-oriented approach seems promising for developing complex real-time database applications. However, it is not clear whether object-oriented database systems would be superior than relational database systems for supporting real-time applications. In this paper, we address issues that must be investigated in order to design and develop an object-oriented database system for real-time applications. Also, we present a model that integrates features for scheduling real-time transactions with the traditional object-oriented database model.

1. Introduction
A real-time database system (RTDBS) is a transaction processing system where transactions have explicit timing constraints. Typically, a timing constraint is expressed in the form of a deadline, a certain time in the future by which a transaction needs to be completed. A deadline is said to be hard if it cannot be missed or else the result is useless. If a deadline can be missed, it is a soft deadline. With soft deadlines, the usefulness of a result may decrease after the deadline is missed. In RTDBS, the correctness of transaction processing depends not only on maintaining consistency constraints and producing correct results, but also on the time at which a transaction is completed. Transactions must be scheduled and processed in such a way that they can be completed before their corresponding deadlines expire. Real-time database systems are being used for a variety of applications such as process control, mission critical applications in command and control systems and radar systems, computer integrated manufacturing systems, and air traffic control systems, among others.

Conventional data models and databases are not adequate for time-critical applications, since they are not designed to provide features required to support real-time transactions. They are designed to provide good average performance, while possibly yielding unacceptable worst-case response times. Very few of them allow users to specify or ensure timing constraints. During the last few years, several research and development efforts on RTDBSs have been reported [19, 21, 22, 26]. However, almost all of them are based on relational data model. Although object-oriented database systems have received a lot of attention for last several years, not much work has been done in investigating how object-oriented model can benefit database systems for real-time applications. Only recently, object-oriented data models have attracted the attention of researchers in RTDBSs [5, 6, 13, 14, 15].

2. Preliminary Questions
There are several questions to be answered, before object-oriented database can be considered for real-time applications. First, do we need object-oriented data models to satisfy real-time database requirements? Related questions are: Are the features of object-oriented data models helpful/necessary to satisfy timing constraints? Or do they interfere with timely execution of transactions? Why do we need to consider object-oriented models for real-time database systems? In general, using object-oriented data models for an RTDBS does not directly help the system to improve timeliness or to guarantee deterministic behavior of transaction execution, because none of the object-oriented data model's features provides active pursuit of timely/deterministic processing of transactions. In addition, poor performance of current
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object-oriented database systems partly due to the lack of efficient implementation techniques will have a negative impact on satisfying timing constraints. Two major benefits of object-oriented data models are (1) better support of advanced data-intensive applications by providing the capabilities for modeling, storing and manipulating complex objects, and (2) better software engineering in building large and complex application systems providing support for encapsulated objects. The need for supporting real-time database requirements with object-oriented data models may arise because real-time applications may require modeling complex encapsulated objects.

Is there any inherent problem in object-oriented data models in satisfying timing constraints of real-time applications? It is obvious that the basic features of object-oriented data models (objects, attributes, methods, messages, classes, class hierarchy, and inheritance) do not directly help timely processing of transactions. It is also true, however, that none of them particularly interferes with active pursuit of timely processing of transactions, except for the potential lack of efficient implementation techniques. Thus, issues in supporting real-time requirements with an object-oriented data model lie in extending the object-oriented data model to include specification of timing constraints on objects (more specifically on attributes/methods), and to actively pursue timely processing of transactions, rather than in combating any incompatibility between object-oriented data models and real-time requirements.

3. An Extended Object-Oriented Database Model

In this section, we first describe the traditional model of object-oriented databases [3, 8]. Since the notion of nesting is natural in object-oriented databases [1, 8, 20], the model allows objects to include methods that are not necessarily atomic and may invoke other methods. Then, we briefly discuss features required for scheduling transactions with real-time requirements, and extend the model of object-oriented databases to include policies for scheduling real-time transactions.

An object-oriented database is a collection of classes and instances of these classes. Both classes and instances are referred to as objects. A class defines a set of attributes for its instances and procedures through which instances can be manipulated. The procedures associated with a class are referred to as methods, and a method may invoke other methods on other objects in the database. In this model, we allow inheritance of properties (attributes and methods) between classes, i.e., the class is structured as a hierarchy. All subclasses of a class inherit all properties defined for the class and have additional properties local to the subclass.

Users of an object-oriented database access the instance objects by executing methods. Since multiple users often may need to access several classes and instances, the traditional transaction model for database systems can be used to ensure atomicity of user interactions. Users access the database by executing transactions, where a transaction is a partially ordered set of operations on class and instance objects. We use commutativity as the basis for determining whether a particular operation invocation can be allowed to execute concurrently with those in progress [24]. Two operations commute if the order in which they execute does not affect the results of the operations, i.e., results returned by the operation as well as the resulting state of the objects accessed. Two operations in different transactions conflict with each other if they do not commute.

To include nested transactions in this object model, we assume that a method execution is a transaction which may invoke atomic operations or invoke other methods on other objects. Namely, an operation in a transaction may be an atomic operation or another transaction, and now the transaction imposes a tree structure. Hadzilacos and Hadzilacos [8] established an analogue to the classical serializability theorem to prove the correctness of nested transaction execution in object-oriented databases. As in the classical serializability theorem, the correctness of a history $H$ over a set of nested transactions can be determined by constructing the serialization graph of $H$ denoted as $SG(H)$. $SG(H)$ is a directed graph whose nodes correspond to the transactions in $H$ and whose edges capture orderings of transactions that must be obeyed by an equivalent serial history. In [8], it was shown that if $SG(H)$ is acyclic then $H$ is serializable on the basis of view serializability. To synchronize the concurrent execution of nested transactions, a concurrency control protocol, namely nested two-phase locking (N2PL) has been proposed [17]. In [8], the protocol has been modified to synchronize nested transactions in object-oriented databases, and its correctness was argued by using the notion of serialization graphs for nested transactions. We use this protocol as the basic synchronization mechanism for transaction execution in object-oriented databases.

In order for an object-oriented database to support real-time applications, we need to integrate features for scheduling real-time transactions with the conventional object-oriented database model. There are four major policies for scheduling transactions in real-time requirements: (1) priority assignment, i.e., a policy for assigning priorities to transactions, (2) eligibility test, i.e., a policy to determine which transactions are eligible for service, (3) concurrency control, i.e., a basic synchronization mechanism and (4) conflict-resolution, i.e., a policy for resolving conflicts between two (or more) transactions that access the same data object. Each
scheduling policy should work cooperatively to maximize the number of transactions that meet their deadlines.

Transaction scheduling in an RTDBS can be studied from several different perspectives. This largely depends on how the system is specified in terms of data consistency requirements and timing constraints. In this study, we assume that data consistency is defined by the correctness notion of serializability (i.e., a relaxation of serializability is not considered for improving timeliness.), and that the timing constraints associated with transactions are firm deadlines (i.e., transactions which miss their deadlines are useless and need to be discarded from the system.). In addition, we assume that transactions arrive sporadically with unpredictable arrival times, and that the data and resource requirements of each transaction is unknown to concurrency control beforehand.

Our model of an object-oriented database for scheduling real-time transactions is an extension of the traditional the object-oriented database model to include the four features for scheduling real-time transactions. Considering the assumptions we made regarding real-time requirements for transactions, we choose to use the following strategies for each of the four scheduling policies. First, to assign priorities to transactions, we use the Earliest Deadline First (EDF) algorithm. Since the real-time scheduling theory ensures that the EDF algorithm, which assigns the highest priority to the transaction with the earliest deadline, is optimal for dynamic priority assignment [12], EDF is a plausible choice for the given transaction model.

Second, to maintain data consistency, we employ the nested two-phase locking protocol. As mentioned in the previous section, the correctness of the protocol has been proven for the execution of nested transactions in object-oriented databases.

Third, for conflict resolution, we employ the high priority and wait promote [2] schemes to be incorporated into the basic concurrency control mechanism. i.e., N2PL. We also consider a conditional conflict resolution scheme discussed in [9], which switches between the two schemes using the information of the lock holding transaction’s current state.

Finally, we abort transactions that have missed their deadlines by using eligibility test. Due to the firm deadline assumption, the aborted transactions are discarded from the system. One salient point about the eligibility test used in this model is that it can screen out transactions that not only have missed but also are about to miss their deadlines. To decide for the eligibility of transactions, we use the minimal execution times of methods defined on objects. The minimal execution times of methods may be relatively easy to compute by empirically measuring their running times under no contention for objects among transactions. Note that the nested structure of transaction execution helps the computation and use of the minimal execution times of component methods in a nested transaction. The details of this extended model of object-oriented databases for scheduling real-time transactions and related concurrency control protocols are given in [13].

4. Concurrency Control Issues

In this section, we consider the difficulties in synchronizing concurrent execution of transactions on objects are natural since a method may invoke another method on some other objects. Second, instead of simple read and write operations on database objects, object-oriented databases permit arbitrary operations on objects. Finally, inheritance in object-oriented databases allows class hierarchies. These properties often make the problem of ensuring data consistency in object-oriented databases more difficult, because objects of arbitrary complexity become the unit of locking (and thus less concurrency in transaction execution is resulted), and sometimes concurrency control requires to lock not only the object accessed by a transaction, but also several other objects not directly accessed by the transaction. Specifically, due to inheritance, (1) while a transaction accesses instances of a class, another transaction should not be able to modify the definition of any of the super classes of the class, and (2) while a transaction is evaluating a query, a set of class sub-hierarchies must not be modified by a conflicting transaction [11].

In order to overcome the inefficiency in ensuring data consistency in object-oriented databases, an extensive study on improving concurrency in transaction execution in object-oriented databases has been done. Three major approaches are: (1) exploiting the structure of complex objects for enhanced concurrency or reduced overhead, (2) exploiting the semantics of operations on encapsulated objects to enhance concurrency, and (3) automating the process of extracting possible concurrency from the specification of objects.

Examples of approach (1) include the concurrency control mechanisms of Orion [7] and O2 systems [4]. Orion uses locking on three orthogonal types of hierarchy: granularity locking (to minimize the number of locks to be
eight lock modes used in Orion only consider read and write
operations, and require a complex lock compatibility table
without considering operation semantics. Approach (2) is
related to work on concurrency control for abstract data
types (ADTs), and the use of fine and ad hoc commutativity
relation of operations in such ADTs as sets, maps, stacks,
and counters [10, 23, 24]. Examples of previous work
applying this approach for concurrency control in object-
oriented databases include [1, 18, 20]. Finally, an example
of approach (3) can be found in [16]. The degree of
concurrency that can be extracted from this static analysis of
operation specification at the stage of compilers seems
limited.

Difficulties in managing transactions caused by
objects of arbitrary complexity and their hierarchical
relationship also make the implementation of an object-
oriented database system complicated, and have an adverse
impact on its capability to support real-time applications. In
order for an object-oriented database system to efficiently
support real-time applications, the system needs to be
carefully designed to mitigate the complexity in transaction
management. Now we describe a simple object-oriented
database system model that is designed taking this
consideration into account.

Two key concepts of this model are atomic objects
and class manager. Atomic objects are basic entities for
ensuring atomicity of transactions in this model, and the
class manager is the major vehicle that lessens the
complexity involved in transaction management in the
object-oriented database system. The notion of atomic
objects was studied in a number of papers, including [10,
23, 24, 25], and was first used in the context of real-time
object-oriented databases in [5]. Atomic objects are ones
that provide appropriate synchronization and recovery.
Encapsulating the synchronization and recovery needed to
support atomicity in the implementations of the shared
objects is feasible because methods defined in an object
provide the only means to access the object's data, and data
contention can occur only among method invocations
within the object. With atomic objects, we can enhance
modularity; in addition, we can increase concurrency among
transactions by using information about the specifications of the shared objects.

For an efficient support of transaction management
in an object-oriented database system, we believe that the
task of managing class hierarchies and method
commutativities should be performed by a single module.
Thus, our model uses a class manager to maintain the
definition of classes, and the information of class
hierarchies due to inheritance and composition. The class
manager uses this information to maintain commutativity
relation among methods of each class, and provides
concurrency control with its information when requested.
Note that in this model, the commutativity of method
invocations is statically determined and maintained by the
class manager, while concurrency control which uses the
information of method commutativity, is dynamically
performed by each atomic object.

In [5, 6], DiPippo and Wolfe proposed a
comprehensive model for real-time object-oriented
databases and flexible approaches to processing real-time
transactions in such a model. To determine compatibility
relation of methods, their approach considers not only a
broad domain of semantic information affecting logical
consistency, but also temporal consistency constraints. In
addition, the approach allows a wide range of correctness
criteria for logical consistency that relax serializability. Our
work (described in this paper and [13]) is different from
theirs in a number of aspects. First, we use the correctness
notion of serializability to define data consistency
requirements, but do not consider any relaxation of
serializability for improving timeliness. The work in [5, 6]
proposed a concurrency control technique that allows
imprecision to accumulate in data values and in transactions
as a result of trading off logical consistency and temporal
consistency.

Second, in our system, commutativity of methods
(and method invocations in case of the range of parameter
values being discrete) is determined a priori at compile-
time, and run-time checking of commutativity is as efficient
as for compatibility. We believe that the simple and efficient
run-time checking is beneficial to support real-time
transactions, because that may increase predictability in
transaction execution. One drawback of this scheme is that
concurrency level may be relatively limited, because it does
not exploit dynamic information about objects. In [5, 6],
granting of locks is controlled by run-time evaluation of a
set of preconditions and compatibility functions defined on
every ordered pair of methods. This approach seems to
increase concurrency level among transactions at the cost of
run-time overhead.

Finally, in [13] we proposed a synchronization
mechanism for scheduling real-time transactions in an
object-oriented database, which uses the minimal execution
time estimates of methods to decide eligibility of
transactions for service. Note that our system model helps to
accurately estimate the minimal execution times due to its
run-time efficiency. The minimal execution times of
methods are useful in scheduling real-time transactions in
an object-oriented database, while in general the worst
execution time estimates may not be helpful due to large
variance of transaction execution time in typical database
systems.
5. Conclusion

In summary, object-oriented data models do not directly help the database systems to improve timeliness or to guarantee deterministic behavior of real-time applications. They do not provide features to support active pursuit of timely and deterministic processing of transactions. However, since object-oriented database models allow better support for managing complex objects and encapsulation, real-time systems that need to handle large and complex applications would require an object-oriented approach. Considering the implications of inherent complexity of concurrency control in object-oriented paradigms, we need to start from a simple model that can be easily extended to support real-time transactions and temporal constraints of real-time data. The model outlined in this paper can be one candidate for the development of a complete object-oriented database for real-time applications.
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