ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY

Analytical Determination of Shock Response Spectra, for an Impulse-Loaded Proportionally Damped System

by R. David Hampton, Nathan S. Wiedenman, and Ting H. Li

ARL-RP-82 **September 2004**

A reprint from the *Proceedings of the ESDA04 7th Biennial Conference on Engineering Systems and Design Analysis*, Manchester, UK, 19–22 July 2004.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-RP-82 **September 2004**

Analytical Determination of Shock Response Spectra, for an Impulse-Loaded Proportionally Damped System

R. David Hampton and Nathan S. Wiedenman
Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering
U.S. Military Academy

Ting H. Li
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

A reprint from the *Proceedings of the ESDA04 7th Biennial Conference on Engineering Systems and Design Analysis*, Manchester, UK, 19–22 July 2004.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
September 2004	Reprint	July 2003–March 2004

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Analytical Determination of Shock Response Spectra, for an Impulse-Loaded Proportionally Damped System

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER

5b. GRANT NUMBER

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
R. David Hampton,* Nathan S. Wiedenman,* and Ting H. Li

5d. PROJECT NUMBER
622618.H80

5e. TASK NUMBER

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
U.S. Army Research Laboratory
ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MB
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
ARL-RP-82

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
* U.S. Military Academy, Mechanical Engineering Division, Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, West Point, NY 10928.
A reprint from the *Proceedings of the ESDA04 7th Biennial Conference on Engineering Systems and Design Analysis*, Manchester, UK, 19–22 July 2004.

14. ABSTRACT
Many military systems must be capable of sustained operation in the face of mechanical shocks due to projectile or other impacts. The most widely used method of quantifying a system's vibratory transient response to shock loading is called the shock response spectrum (SRS). The system response for which the SRS is to be determined can be due, physically, either to a collocated or to a noncollocated shock loading. Taking into account both possibilities, one can define the SRS as follows: the SRS presents graphically the maximum transient response (output) of an imaginary ideal mass-spring-damper system at one point on a flexible structure, to a particular mechanical shock (input) applied to an arbitrary (perhaps noncollocated) point on the structure, as a function of the natural frequency of the imaginary mass-spring-damper system. For a response point sufficiently distant from the impact area, many Army platforms (such as vehicles) can be accurately treated as linear systems with proportional damping. In such cases the output due to an impulsive mechanical-shock input can be decomposed into exponentially decaying sinusoidal components, using normal-mode orthogonalization. Given a shock-induced loading comprising such components, this report provides analytical expressions for the various common SRS forms. The analytical approach to SRS-determination can serve as a verification of, or an alternative to, the numerical approaches in current use for such systems. No numerical convolution is required, because the convolution integrals have already been accomplished analytically (and *exactly*), with the results incorporated into the algebraic expressions for the respective SRS forms.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
shock response spectrum (SRS), pseudo-velocity SRS, modal superposition, shock induced loading, vibration, shock, design

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
					Ting H. Li
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	UL	26	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (*Include area code*)
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED			(301) 394-2939

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Proceedings of ESDA04
7TH Biennial Conference on Engineering Systems and Design Analysis
July 19-22, 2004, Manchester, United Kingdom

ESDA2004-58024

ANALYTICAL DETERMINATION OF SHOCK RESPONSE SPECTRA, FOR AN IMPULSE-LOADED PROPORTIONALLY DAMPED SYSTEM

R. David Hampton & Nathan S. Wiedenman
Mechanical Engineering Division
Department of Civil & Mechanical Engineering
U. S. Military Academy
West Point, NY 10928

Ting H. Li
Ordnance Materials Branch
Weapons & Materials Research Directorate
U. S. Army Research Laboratory
Adelphi, MD 20783

ABSTRACT

Many military systems must be capable of sustained operation in the face of mechanical shocks due to projectile or other impacts. The most widely used method of quantifying a system's vibratory transient response to shock loading is called the shock response spectrum (SRS). The system response for which the SRS is to be determined can be due, physically, either to a collocated or to a noncollocated shock loading. Taking into account both possibilities, one can define the SRS as follows: the SRS presents graphically the maximum transient response (output) of an imaginary ideal mass-spring-damper system at one point on a flexible structure, to a particular mechanical shock (input) applied to an arbitrary (perhaps noncollocated) point on the structure, as a function of the natural frequency of the imaginary mass-spring-damper system. For a response point sufficiently distant from the impact area, many Army platforms (such as vehicles) can be accurately treated as linear systems with proportional damping. In such cases the output due to an impulsive mechanical-shock input can be decomposed into exponentially decaying sinusoidal components, using normal-mode orthogonalization. Given a shock-induced loading comprising such components, this paper provides analytical expressions for the various common SRS forms. The analytical approach to SRS-determination can serve as a verification of, or an alternative to, the numerical approaches in current use for such systems. No numerical convolution is required, because the convolution integrals have already been accomplished analytically (and *exactly*), with the results incorporated into the algebraic expressions for the respective SRS forms.

INTRODUCTION

Modern warfare calls for many military systems to be capable of sustained operation under extreme environmental conditions. The mechanical shocks from such sources as blast-waves and projectile impacts, and even vehicular motion over rough terrain, make high demands on military equipment. For design and analysis purposes, the vibratory, transient response of systems (or of system models) subject to mechanical shock is typically captured using two frequency-dependent ("spectral") tools [1, 2]: (1) the Fourier spectrum; and (2) the shock response spectrum (SRS).

A two-dimensional SRS [1] (typically termed simply an SRS) represents graphically the frequency content of a specified shock input $d(t)$ in terms of the maximum response $x(t)$ it would induce in a hypothetical, single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) mass-spring-damper (MSD) system, seismically subjected to the shock. (Refer to Figure 1.) The SRS plots a selected kinematic measure of the maximum time-domain motion-response (of mass m) against the SDOF-system natural frequency, with the frequency varied over some



Figure 1. Hypothetical SDOF MSD system, for SRS determination

range of interest. In producing the plot, both the shock input and the SDOF-system damping ratio are held constant across the entire range of natural frequencies. The shock input is typically a position input $[d(t)\text{ in Figure 1}]$. Some useful measures of the response include (1) relative displacement, $x(t)-d(t)$; (2) "pseudovelocity," $\omega_n[x(t)-d(t)]$; and (3) absolute acceleration, $\ddot{x}(t)$. As used in this report, the respective SRS's are designated and defined as follows [2]:

Spectral displacement (or relative-displacement SRS):

$$S_D(\omega_n) := |x(t) - d(t)|_{\max} . \tag{1}$$

Spectral velocity (or pseudovelocity SRS) [3, 4]:

$$S_V(\omega_n) := \omega_n |x(t) - d(t)|_{\max} = \omega_n S_D(\omega_n) . \tag{2}$$

Spectral acceleration (or absolute acceleration SRS):

$$S_A(\omega_n) := |\ddot{x}(t)|_{\max} . \tag{3}$$

Consider now the case of a mechanical shock $c(t)$ applied to an arbitrary point *C* of a generic system *S*. (Refer to Figure 2.) If a nonlinear finite-element model exists for *S*, the induced displacement $d(t)$ at some other point *D* on *S* can typically be determined numerically, and then used to calculate (again, numerically) the indicated spectral quantities at *D*. In general, though, *analytical* evaluation of S_D, S_V, or S_A is not possible for nonlinear systems. However, for a response point sufficiently distant from the impact area, many army platforms (e.g., vehicles) can be accurately treated as linear systems with proportional damping. In such cases analytical SRS determination proves possible.



Figure 2. Shock-loaded system *S*, with (hypothetical) attached MSD system for SRS determination

Consider the typical case of an undamped or underdamped, linear system, subjected to a mechanical-shock disturbance, at point *C*. In such cases, analysis by the method of modal superposition yields an expression for the induced displacement $d(t)$ (at *D*) as a linear combination of modal coordinates [5, 6]. These coordinates are the solutions to their respective decoupled scalar differential equations. The inputs to these scalar equations are modal forces, which are themselves scalar multiples of the mechanical-shock disturbance. If the mechanical shock can be approximated as an impulse, the induced displacement $d(t)$ will be a linear combination of damped sinusoids. Denoting the ith sinusoid by $d_i(t)$, one can express the displacement at *D* in the following form:

$$d(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} d_i(t), \tag{4}$$

where $$d_i(t) = D_i e^{-\alpha_i t} \sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i) u_{-1}(t) . \tag{5}$$

This displacement $d(t)$ serves as the input to the SDOF MSD system (see Figure 2). For the ith component $d_i(t)$, the constants D_i, α_i, ω_i, and ϕ_i represent the input amplitude, rate of exponential decay, oscillation frequency, and phase shift, respectively. Each component begins at time $t = 0$ (the time of impact), as indicated by the unit step function $u_{-1}(t)$. As documented below, if one assumes the induced displacement $d(t)$ to be given by Equations (4) and (5), one can find an analytical expression for the relative displacement

$$\delta(t) = x(t) - d(t), \tag{6}$$

and for the absolute acceleration $\ddot{x}(t)$, to use in evaluating $S_D(\omega_n)$, $S_V(\omega_n)$, and $S_A(\omega_n)$ for any ς in the range $0 \le \varsigma < 1$. These analytical expressions can either be used to plot the respective spectral quantities, or to check the plots found using alternative evaluation methods.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the linear, SDOF MSD system shown above in Figure 2. Assume the displacement $d(t)$ at point *D* to comprise a linear combination of ν exponentially decaying sinusoidal inputs (i.e., to the SDOF MSD system), as given by Equations (4) and (5). The objectives of this research effort are to develop analytical forms for the spectral quantities $S_D(\omega_n)$, $S_V(\omega_n)$, and $S_A(\omega_n)$, as defined by Equations (1), (2), and (3), respectively. The general case ($0 \le \varsigma < 1$) will be considered first, and then the special case of $\varsigma = 0$.

SOLUTION FOR THE GENERAL CASE ($0 \le \varsigma < 1$)

Basic approach

The system differential equation of motion (DEOM) can be expressed by

$$m\ddot{x} + c\dot{x} + kx = c\dot{d} + kd . \tag{7}$$

In standard form (i.e., in terms of the damping ratio ς and the natural frequency ω_n), the DEOM is

$$\ddot{x} + 2\varsigma\omega_n \dot{x} + \omega_n^2 x = 2\varsigma\omega_n \dot{d} + \omega_n^2 d . \tag{8}$$

Since $d(t)$ is known [Eqs. (4), (5)], one can find the relative displacement by solving Equation (8) for $x(t)$. Then Equations (1), (2), and (3) can be used to formulate the respective shock response spectra.

Response $x(t)$ to a generic induced displacement $d(t)$

Consider first the response $x(t)$ of the SDOF MSD system to an arbitrary (assumed Laplace-transformable) induced disturbance $d(t)$. Let the Laplace transforms of $x(t)$ and $d(t)$ be represented by $X(s)$ and $D(s)$, respectively. Then the Laplace transform of Equation (8) is

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 X(s) - sx(0+) - \dot{x}(0+) + 2\varsigma\omega_n [sX(s) - x(0+)] + \omega_n^2 X(s) \\ = 2\varsigma\omega_n [sD(s) - d(0+)] + \omega_n^2 D(s). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Rearrangement yields

$$X(s)=\frac{2\varsigma\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}{s^2+2\varsigma\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}D(s)+\frac{s+2\varsigma\omega_n}{s^2+2\varsigma\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}x(0+)$$
$$+\frac{1}{s^2+2\varsigma\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}\dot{x}(0+)-\frac{2\varsigma\omega_n}{s^2+2\varsigma\omega_n s+\omega_n^2}d(0+). \tag{10}$$

Taking the inverse Laplace transform of Equation (10), one obtains the following expression for the displacement response, in terms of induced displacement input $d(t)$:

$$x(t)=e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(A\cos\omega_d t+B\sin\omega_d t\right)$$
$$+\ C\left[e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi)\right]*d(t), \tag{11}$$

where
$$\omega_d=\omega_n\sqrt{1-\varsigma^2}\ , \tag{12}$$
$$A=x(0+), \tag{13}$$
$$B=\frac{\varsigma\omega_n}{\omega_d}x(0+)+\frac{1}{\omega_d}\dot{x}(0+)-\frac{2\varsigma\omega_n}{\omega_d}d(0+), \tag{14}$$
$$C=\frac{\omega_n^2}{\omega_d}\ , \tag{15}$$

and
$$\phi=\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\varsigma\sqrt{1-\varsigma^2}}{1-2\varsigma^2}\right). \tag{16}$$

The asterisk in Equation (11) indicates convolution, defined for arbitrary functions f and g as follows:

$$f(t)*g(t):=\int_{0+}^{t}f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau\ . \tag{17}$$

Convolution term, for modal (damped-sinusoid) induced-disturbance component $d_i(t)$

To proceed it will be necessary to evaluate the convolution term of Equation (11) for an exponentially decaying sinusoid $d_i(t)$ [Eq. (5)]. Expansion of the integrand and application of the trigonometric addition formula

$$\sin(\alpha+\beta)=\sin\alpha\cos\beta+\cos\alpha\sin\beta\ , \tag{18}$$

yields

$$C\left[e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi)\right]*d_i(t)$$
$$=CD_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left\langle\sin(\omega_i t+\phi_i)\int_{0+}^{t}e^{(\alpha_i-\varsigma\omega_n)\tau}\sin(\omega_d\tau+\phi)\cos\omega_i\tau\,d\tau\right.$$
$$\left.-\cos(\omega_i t+\phi_i)\int_{0+}^{t}e^{(\alpha_i-\varsigma\omega_n)\tau}\sin(\omega_d\tau+\phi)\sin\omega_i\tau\,d\tau\right\rangle. \tag{19}$$

Integrating [7]; and making the substitutions

$$\mu_i=\alpha_i-\varsigma\omega_n\ , \tag{20}$$
$$\nu_i=\omega_d-\omega_i\ , \tag{21}$$

and
$$\rho_i=\omega_d+\omega_i\ ; \tag{22}$$

one obtains the following algebraic result:

$$C\left[e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi)\right]*d_i(t)$$
$$=CD_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left\langle\sin(\omega_i t+\phi_i)\left\{\frac{e^{\mu_i t}\left[\mu_i\sin(\nu_i t+\phi)-\nu_i\cos(\nu_i t+\phi)\right]}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}\right.\right.$$
$$+\frac{e^{\mu_i t}\left[\mu_i\sin(\rho_i t+\phi)-\rho_i\cos(\rho_i t+\phi)\right]}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}$$
$$\left.-\frac{\mu_i\sin\phi-\nu_i\cos\phi}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}-\frac{\mu_i\sin\phi-\rho_i\cos\phi}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}\right\}$$
$$-\cos(\omega_i t+\phi_i)\left\{\frac{e^{\mu_i t}\left[\mu_i\cos(\nu_i t+\phi)+\nu_i\sin(\nu_i t+\phi)\right]}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}\right.$$
$$-\frac{e^{\mu_i t}\left[\mu_i\cos(\rho_i t+\phi)+\rho_i\sin(\rho_i t+\phi)\right]}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}$$
$$\left.\left.-\frac{\mu_i\cos\phi+\nu_i\sin\phi}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}+\frac{\mu_i\cos\phi+\rho_i\sin\phi}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}\right\}\right\rangle. \tag{23}$$

Define now the following variables, for convenience:

$$\kappa_{1i}=\frac{\mu_i}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}, \tag{24}$$
$$\kappa_{2i}=\frac{\mu_i}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}, \tag{25}$$
$$\kappa_{3i}=\frac{\nu_i}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\nu_i^2\right)}, \tag{26}$$

and
$$\kappa_{4i}=\frac{\rho_i}{2\left(\mu_i^2+\rho_i^2\right)}. \tag{27}$$

Upon substituting from Equations (24) through (27), Equation (23) can be simplified to the following form:

$$C\,e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi)*d_i(t)$$
$$=C\,D_i e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\kappa_{1i}\cos(\omega_d t+\phi+\phi_i)+\kappa_{2i}\cos(\omega_d t+\phi-\phi_i)\right.$$
$$\left.-\kappa_{3i}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi+\phi_i)+\kappa_{4i}\sin(\omega_d t+\phi-\phi_i)\right]$$
$$-C\,D_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[-\kappa_{1i}\cos(\omega_i t+\phi_i+\phi)+\kappa_{2i}\cos(\omega_i t+\phi_i-\phi)\right.$$
$$\left.-\kappa_{3i}\sin(\omega_i t+\phi_i+\phi)-\kappa_{4i}\sin(\omega_i t+\phi_i-\phi)\right]. \tag{28}$$

Recall [8] the trigonometric relationship:

$$A\cos\theta+B\sin\theta=\sqrt{A^2+B^2}\ \sin\left(\theta+\tan^{-1}\frac{A}{B}\right). \tag{29}$$

With the help of Equation (29), Equation (28) can now be expressed (after some algebraic simplification), as the following harmonic sum:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t} \sin(\omega_d t + \phi) * d_i(t) \\
& \quad = \; C D_i e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \quad - C D_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right];
\end{aligned} \tag{30}
$$

where

$$\delta_{1i} = \sqrt{\kappa_{1i}^2 + \kappa_{3i}^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2}}, \tag{31}$$

$$\delta_{2i} = \sqrt{\kappa_{2i}^2 + \kappa_{4i}^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu_i^2 + \rho_i^2}}, \tag{32}$$

$$\theta_{1i} = \tan^{-1}\frac{\kappa_{1i}}{\kappa_{3i}} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_i}{\nu_i}\right), \tag{33}$$

$$\theta_{2i} = \tan^{-1}\frac{\kappa_{2i}}{\kappa_{4i}} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_i}{\rho_i}\right), \tag{34}$$

and

$$\theta_{3i} = \tan^{-1}\frac{\kappa_{2i}}{-\kappa_{4i}} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_i}{-\rho_i}\right); \tag{35}$$

for C, μ_i, ν_i, and ρ_i as defined by Equations (15), (20), (21), and (22), respectively.

Position response $x(t)$ to modally componentiated $d(t)$

Substitution from Equation (4) into Equation (11), followed by application of Equation (30), yields the following equation for the SDOF MSD position $x(t)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) = {} & e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(A\cos\omega_d t + B\sin\omega_d t\right) \\
& + C\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. - \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}
$$

Equation (36) describes the response $x(t)$ to the total (composite) induced displacement given by Equations (4) and (5).

Relative displacement $\delta(t)$, for modally componentiated $d(t)$

Substitution from Equations (4), (5), and (36) into Equation (6), yields the following expression for relative displacement, of the SDOF MSD system mass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(t) = {} & e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(A\cos\omega_d t + B\sin\omega_d t\right) \\
& + C\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad \left. + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) \right. \\
& \qquad - \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right) \\
& \qquad \left. - \sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i\right)/C\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}
$$

Velocity response $\dot{x}(t)$ to modally componentiated $d(t)$

Differentiation of Equation (36) yields the following expression for the velocity response $\dot{x}(t)$ to the induced displacement $d(t)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) = {} & -\varsigma\omega_n e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(A\cos\omega_d t + B\sin\omega_d t\right) + \omega_d e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(B\cos\omega_d t - A\sin\omega_d t\right) \\
& + C\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ -\varsigma\omega_n e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + \omega_d e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) + \delta_{2i}\cos\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad - \alpha_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) - \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + \omega_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[\delta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) - \delta_{2i}\cos\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{38}
$$

Acceleration response $\ddot{x}(t)$ to modally componentiated $d(t)$

Differentiating Equation (38) with respect to time, and combining terms, yields an equation for the acceleration response $\ddot{x}(t)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x}(t) = {} & \left(\varsigma^2\omega_n^2 - \omega_d^2\right)e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(A\cos\omega_d t + B\sin\omega_d t\right) \\
& - 2\varsigma\omega_n\omega_d e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left(B\cos\omega_d t - A\sin\omega_d t\right) \\
& + C\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ \left(\varsigma^2\omega_n^2 - \omega_d^2\right)e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) + \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + 2\varsigma\omega_n\omega_d e^{-\varsigma\omega_n t}\left[\delta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_d t + \phi + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}\right) - \delta_{2i}\cos\left(\omega_d t + \phi - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + \left(\alpha_i^2 - \omega_i^2\right)e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[\delta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) - \delta_{2i}\sin\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right] \\
& \qquad + 2\alpha_i\omega_i e^{-\alpha_i t}\left[-\delta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \phi + \theta_{1i}\right) + \delta_{2i}\cos\left(\omega_i t + \phi_i - \phi + \theta_{3i}\right)\right]\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}
$$

Note that the number of terms in Equation (39) could be reduced by a factor of two, for computer implementation, by use of Equation (29).

Shock response spectra

Now it is possible to express the various desired shock response spectra, in analytical form. The spectral displacement and spectral velocity are defined, respectively, by Equations (1) and (2), where the relative displacement $\delta(t)$ is given by Equation (37). The spectral acceleration is defined by Equation (3), where the absolute acceleration $\ddot{x}(t)$ is given by Equation (39).

SOLUTION FOR THE UNDAMPED CASE ($\varsigma = 0$)

Position response $x(t)$, undamped case

For the special case where $\varsigma = 0$, the position response given by Equation (36) reduces to the following:

$$x(t) = A\cos\omega_n t + B\sin\omega_n t + \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \{ [-\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_n t - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}) + e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})]\} \quad ; \tag{40}$$

where

$$\delta_{1i} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_i^2 + (\omega_n - \omega_i)^2}}, \tag{41}$$

$$\delta_{2i} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha_i^2 + (\omega_n + \omega_i)^2}}, \tag{42}$$

$$\theta_{1i} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\omega_n - \omega_i}\right), \tag{43}$$

$$\theta_{2i} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{\omega_n + \omega_i}\right), \tag{44}$$

and

$$\theta_{3i} = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{-\omega_n - \omega_i}\right). \tag{45}$$

Relative displacement $\delta(t)$, undamped case

With no damping, the relative displacement given by Equation (37) reduces to the following:

$$\delta(t) = A\cos\omega_n t + B\sin\omega_n t + \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \{ -\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_n t - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}) + e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i}) - \sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i)/\omega_n]\}, \tag{46}$$

where the constants δ_{ij} and θ_{ij} can be appropriately simplified, as given in Equations (41) through (45).

Acceleration response $\ddot{x}(t)$, undamped case

Without damping, the absolute acceleration given by Equation (39) reduces (again, as above, with simplified constants) to the following form:

$$\ddot{x}(t) = -\omega_n^2 (A\cos\omega_n t + B\sin\omega_n t) + \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ -\omega_n^2 [-\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_n t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_n t - \phi_i + \theta_{2i})] + (\alpha_i^2 - \omega_i^2) e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})] + 2\alpha_i\omega_i e^{-\alpha_i t}[-\delta_{1i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})]\Big\}. \tag{47}$$

VERIFICATION AND MATLAB IMPLEMENTATION

An algebraic check of Equations (37) and (39)

In the limiting case, where $\varsigma = 0$, Equation (8) can be expressed by

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_n^2 \delta = 0, \tag{48}$$

where δ is defined by Equation (6). The expressions for δ and $\ddot{x}$ from Equations (37) and (39), respectively, should satisfy Equation (48) identically. Substituting, one obtains

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_n^2 \delta = -\omega_n^2 (A\cos\omega_n t + B\sin\omega_n t) + \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ \omega_n^2 [\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_n t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_n t - \phi_i + \theta_{2i})] + (\alpha_i^2 - \omega_i^2) e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})] + 2\alpha_i\omega_i e^{-\alpha_i t}[-\delta_{1i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})]\Big\} + \omega_n^2 (A\cos\omega_n t + B\sin\omega_n t) + \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \omega_n^2 \{ -\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) + \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_n t - \phi_i + \theta_{2i}) + e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i}) - \sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i)/\omega_n]\}, \tag{49}$$

which reduces readily to

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_n^2 \delta = \omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} D_i \Big\{ (\omega_n^2 - \omega_i^2 + \alpha_i^2) e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})] - 2\alpha_i\omega_i e^{-\alpha_i t}[\delta_{1i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{1i}) - \delta_{2i}\cos(\omega_i t + \phi_i + \theta_{3i})] - \omega_n e^{-\alpha_i t}\sin(\omega_i t + \phi_i)\Big\}. \tag{50}$$

Further expansion of terms yields the following:

$$\ddot{x}+\omega_n^2\delta =$$
$$\omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{v} D_i\, e^{-\alpha_i t} \times$$
$$\Big\{\left(\omega_n^2-\omega_i^2+\alpha_i^2\right)\left[\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)+\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)-\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\cos\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\right]$$
$$-2\alpha_{i\omega_i}\left[\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}\cos\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)-\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\cos\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)+\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\right]$$
$$-\omega_n\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\Big\}. \tag{51}$$

Combination of like trigonometric terms yields

$$\ddot{x}+\omega_n^2\delta =$$
$$\omega_n \sum_{i=1}^{v} D_i\, e^{-\alpha_i t}\Big\{\sin\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\left[\left(\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\right)\left(\omega_n^2-\omega_i^2+\alpha_i^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\right)\left(2\alpha_i\omega_i\right)-\omega_n\right]$$
$$+\cos\left(\omega_i t+\phi_i\right)\left[\left(\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\right)\left(\omega_n^2-\omega_i^2+\alpha_i^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\left(\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\right)\left(2\alpha_i\omega_i\right)\right]\Big\}. \tag{52}$$

In order for Equation (48) to hold nontrivially, it is necessary that the square-bracketed terms in Equation (52) be zero, for all indices i. In particular, it is necessary that

$$\left[\left(\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\right)\left(\omega_n^2-\omega_i^2+\alpha_i^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\left(\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\right)\left(2\alpha_i\omega_i\right)-\omega_n\right]=0, \tag{53}$$

and that

$$\left[\left(\delta_{1i}\sin\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\sin\theta_{3i}\right)\left(\omega_n^2-\omega_i^2+\alpha_i^2\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\left(\delta_{1i}\cos\theta_{1i}-\delta_{2i}\cos\theta_{3i}\right)\left(2\alpha_i\omega_i\right)\right]\Big\}=0. \tag{54}$$

From Equations (43) and (45), one can obtain the following:

$$\sin\theta_{1i}=\frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_i^2+\left(\omega_n-\omega_i\right)^2}}, \tag{55}$$

$$\cos\theta_{1i}=\frac{\omega_n-\omega_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_i^2+\left(\omega_n-\omega_i\right)^2}}, \tag{56}$$

$$\sin\theta_{3i}=\frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_i^2+\left(\omega_n+\omega_i\right)^2}}, \tag{57}$$

and

$$\cos\theta_{3i}=\frac{-\left(\omega_n+\omega_i\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha_i^2+\left(\omega_n+\omega_i\right)^2}}, \tag{58}$$

Substitution from Equations (41), (42), and (55) through (58), into Equations (53) and (54), proves the latter two equations to express valid identities. This in turn demonstrates that Equations (46) and (47) satisfy Equation (48) identically (Q.E.D.).

A numerical check of Equation (37)

To verify the results for the more general, underdamped case, the algebraic equation for relative displacement $\delta(t)$ [Equation (37)] was first implemented in MATLAB code. Then, for selected damping ratios (i.e., of the hypothetical SDOF MSD system), and for various induced-displacement inputs [Eqs. (4) and (5)], numerical evaluations were made of the spectral displacement, using Equation (1) with the algebraic results given by Equation (37). These results were compared with numerical evaluations of the same spectral quantity (using MATLAB) with the convolution integrals determined by direct numerical integration [Eqs. (1), (4), (5), and (11)]. The results from algebraic substitution and numerical integration are identical.

For a representative example, consider an induced-displacement input with components described by the parameters of Table 1. Table 2 displays the results of calculations of corresponding spectral displacement by the two methods described above. The two approaches are seen to give identical results.

Table 1. Parameters for Induced-Displacement Input Components $d_i(t)$

	$i=1$	$i=2$	$i=3$	$i=4$	$i=5$
D_i	0.009	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.006
α_i	0.50	0.25	0.15	0.95	0.75
ω_i	250	100	750	900	500
ϕ_i	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2. Comparison of Spectral Displacements Evaluated by Different Techniques (time step 0.001 s)

SRS Freq. ω_n ($\varsigma=0.1$)	$S_D(\omega_n)$ from Algebraic Substitution	$S_D(\omega_n)$ from Numerical Integration
1	0.01639525625157	0.01639525625157
2	0.01641587042504	0.01641587042504
3	0.01644317777833	0.01644317777833
4	0.01647694228270	0.01647694228270
5	0.01651690741770	0.01651690741770
6	0.01656279716325	0.01656279716325
7	0.01661431703708	0.01661431703708
8	0.01667115517439	0.01667115517439
9	0.01673298344652	0.01673298344652
10	0.01679945861516	0.01679945861516

Figure 3 presents plots of the spectral quantities, for this input $d(t)$ (Table 1), and for this damping ratio ς (Table 2), with zero initial conditions.



Figure 3. Shock-Response Spectra Using the Induced-Displacement Input of Table 1, for damping ratio 0.1.

CONCLUSION

This paper has presented analytical equations describing the spectral displacement (displacement SRS), the spectral velocity (pseudovelocity SRS), and the spectral acceleration (absolute acceleration SRS), for a proportionally damped, linear system. For such systems an impulsive mechanical-shock disturbance produces a vibratory response expressible analytically in terms of the system modes and modeshapes, using normal-mode orthogonalization. In particular, the response has the form of a linear combination of exponentially decaying sinusoids, of various amplitudes and phase shifts. A response of such a form can be represented by SRS's for which this paper has provided analytical descriptions. The displacement and pseudovelocity SRS's are defined, respectively, by Equations (1) and (2), where the relative displacement $\delta(t)$ is given analytically by Equation (37). The spectral acceleration is defined by Equation (3), where the absolute acceleration is given analytically by Equation (39).

Having these analytical expressions for the various SRS's permits the SRS's to be computed exactly for impulsive shock disturbances, without necessitating numerical evaluation of the convolution integral. The analytical expressions can be used for other, non-impulsive input loads, even those for which there is no simple analytical description, provided they can be approximated as impulses. The physical system itself serves as a modal filter of the shock input, to produce a vibratory response, known at any desired point on the system in terms of its exponentially decaying sinusoidal components. Each of these components is known in terms of four values: its frequency, its decay rate (time constant), its phase angle, and its amplitude. From these values the desired SRS can be determined by evaluating, at each point of a discretized continuum of frequencies (i.e., those of the conceptualized SDOF MSD systems), the maximum (or minimum) of a time function consisting of simple *algebraic* expressions involving simple *trigonometric* operations. No numerical convolution is required, because the integrations have already been accomplished analytically (and *exactly*, for impulse loading), with the results incorporated into the algebraic expressions. This method can provide for accurate SRS computation irrespective of the input shock's exact shape, provided the input is approximately impulsive. For linear systems the described method can be used as a benchmark to evaluate the accuracy of other methods of SRS determination. It can also be used to determine the minimum number of modes required, in a system's finite-element model, to produce an SRS of specified accuracy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Mathematical Sciences Center of Excellence, U.S. Military Academy, for partial funding of this work.

REFERENCES

[1] Harris, Cyril M., and Piersol, Allan G., editors, *Harris' Shock and Vibration Handbook, Fifth Edition*, McGraw-Hill, NY, 2002, chap. 23, pp. 1-26.

[2] Gaberson, H. A., and Pal, D., "Shock Spectrum Classification of Violent Environments that Cause Machinery Failure," *Proceedings of the 18th International Modal Analysis Conference*, Feb. 2000, pp. 1126-1135.

[3] Smallwood, David O., "The Shock Response Spectrum at Low Frequencies," Shock and Vibration Bulletin, N. 56, Part 1, Aug. 1986, pp. 279-288.

[4] Gaberson, H. A., and Chalmers, Richard H., "Reasons for Representing Shock Spectra with Velocity as the Ordinate," *Proceedings of the 66th Shock and Vibration Symposium*, 1995, pp. 181-191.

[5] Balachandran, B., and Magrab, Edward B., *Vibrations*, Brooks/Cole, Belmont, CA, 2004, pp. 387-407.

[6] ANSYS Theory Reference 001242, 11th Edition, SAS IP, Inc.

[7] Korn, Granino A., and Korn, Theresa M., *Mathematical Handbook for Scientists and Engineers, Second Edition*, McGraw-Hill, NY, 1968, p. 968.

[8] Tongue, Benson H., *Principles of Vibration, Second Edition*, Oxford, NY, 2002, p. 11.

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1 (PDF ONLY)	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CTR DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
1	US ARMY RSRCH DEV & ENGRG CMD SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS INTEGRATION AMSRD SS T 6000 6TH ST STE 100 FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-5608
1	INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN 3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400 AUSTIN TX 78759-5316
1	US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR EXCELLENCE MADN MATH THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB IMNE AD IM DR 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL CI OK TL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL CS IS T 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
1	DIR USARL AMSRD ARL CI OK TP (BLDG 4600)

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL SE L D SNIDER 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL SE DE R ATKINSON 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
5	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL WM MB A ABRAHAMIAN M BERMAN M CHOWDHURY T LI E SZYMANSKI 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	COMMANDER US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMXMI INT 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
2	PM MAS SFAE AMO MAS MC PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
3	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CC M PADGETT J HEDDERICH H OPAT PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR AE WW E BAKER J PEARSON PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR TD PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
13	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH A F ALTAMURA M NICOLICH M PALATHINGUL D VO R HOWELL A VELLA M YOUNG L MANOLE S MUSALLI R CARR M LUCIANO E LOGSDEN T LOUZEIRO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH P J LUTZ PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSF T C LIVECCHIA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA ASF PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T C J PAGE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR M D DEMELLA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
3	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSA A WARNASH B MACHAK M CHIEFA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR FSP G M SCHIKSNIS D CARLUCCI PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH C H CHANIN S CHICO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR QAC T D RIGOGLIOSO PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR WET T SACHAR BLDG 172 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	US ARMY ARDEC INTELLIGENCE SPECIALIST AMSTA AR WEL F M GUERRIERE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
10	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCH B P DONADIA F DONLON P VALENTI C KNUTSON G EUSTICE K HENRY J MCNABOC G WAGNECZ R SAYER F CHANG PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
6	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR CCL F PUZYCKI R MCHUGH D CONWAY E JAROSZEWSKI R SCHLENNER M CLUNE PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	PM ARMS SFAE GCSS ARMS BLDG 171 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC AMSTA AR WEA J BRESCIA PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	PM MAS SFAE AMO MAS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	PM MAS SFAE AMO MAS CHIEF ENGINEER PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	PM MAS SFAE AMO MAS PS PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
2	PM MAS SFAE AMO MAS LC PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY ARDEC PRODUCTION BASE MODERN ACTY AMSMC PBM K PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM PM COMBAT SYSTEMS SFAE GCS CS 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM AMSTA SF WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	DIRECTOR AIR FORCE RESEARCH LAB MLLMD D MIRACLE 2230 TENTH ST WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7817
1	OFC OF NAVAL RESEARCH J CHRISTODOULOU ONR CODE 332 800 N QUINCY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5600
1	US ARMY CERL R LAMPO 2902 NEWMARK DR CHAMPAIGN IL 61822

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM PM SURVIVABLE SYSTEMS SFAE GCSS W GSI H M RYZYI 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM CHIEF ABRAMS TESTING SFAE GCSS W AB QT T KRASKIEWICZ 6501 ELEVEN MILE RD WARREN MI 48397-5000
1	COMMANDER WATERVLIET ARSENAL SMCWV QAE Q B VANINA BLDG 44 WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050
1	TNG, DOC, & CBT DEV ATZK TDD IRSA A POMEY FT KNOX KY 40121
2	HQ IOC TANK AMMUNITION TEAM AMSIO SMT R CRAWFORD W HARRIS ROCK ISLAND IL 61299-6000
2	COMMANDER US ARMY AMCOM AVIATION APPLIED TECH DIR J SCHUCK FT EUSTIS VA 23604-5577
1	NSWC DAHLGREN DIV CODE G06 DAHLGREN VA 22448
2	US ARMY CORPS OF ENGR CERD C T LIU CEW ET T TAN 20 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20314

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	US ARMY COLD REGIONS RSCH & ENGRNG LAB P DUTTA 72 LYME RD HANOVER NH 03755
14	COMMANDER US ARMY TACOM AMSTA TR R R MCCLELLAND D THOMAS J BENNETT D HANSEN AMSTA JSK S GOODMAN J FLORENCE K IYER D TEMPLETON A SCHUMACHER AMSTA TR D D OSTBERG L HINOJOSA B RAJU AMSTA CS SF H HUTCHINSON F SCHWARZ WARREN MI 48397-5000
14	BENET LABS AMSTA AR CCB R FISCELLA M SOJA E KATHE M SCAVULO G SPENCER P WHEELER S KRUPSKI J VASILAKIS G FRIAR R HASENBEIN AMSTA CCB R S SOPOK E HYLAND D CRAYON R DILLON WATERVLIET NY 12189-4050
1	USA SBCCOM PM SOLDIER SPT AMSSB PM RSS A J CONNORS KANSAS ST NATICK MA 01760-5057

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	NSWC TECH LIBRARY CODE 323 17320 DAHLGREN RD DAHLGREN VA 22448
2	USA SBCCOM MATERIAL SCIENCE TEAM AMSSB RSS J HERBERT M SENNETT KANSAS ST NATICK MA 01760-5057
2	OFC OF NAVAL RESEARCH D SIEGEL CODE 351 J KELLY 800 N QUINCY ST ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660
1	NSWC CRANE DIVISION M JOHNSON CODE 20H4 LOUISVILLE KY 40214-5245
2	NSWC U SORATHIA C WILLIAMS CD 6551 9500 MACARTHUR BLVD WEST BETHESDA MD 20817
2	COMMANDER NSWC CARDEROCK DIVISION R PETERSON CODE 2020 M CRITCHFIELD CODE 1730 BETHESDA MD 20084
8	DIRECTOR US ARMY NGIC D LEITER MS 404 M HOLTUS MS 301 M WOLFE MS 307 S MINGLEDORF MS 504 J GASTON MS 301 W GSTATTENBAUER MS 304 R WARNER MS 305 J CRIDER MS 306 2055 BOULDERS RD CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22911-8318

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS CMD D LIESE 1333 ISAAC HULL AVE SE 1100 WASHINGTON DC 20376-1100
1	EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE DIV N85 F SHOUP 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20350-2000
8	US ARMY SBCCOM SOLDIER SYSTEMS CENTER BALLISTICS TEAM J WARD W ZUKAS P CUNNIFF J SONG MARINE CORPS TEAM J MACKIEWICZ BUS AREA ADVOCACY TEAM W HASKELL AMSSB RCP SS W NYKVIST S BEAUDOIN KANSAS ST NATICK MA 01760-5019
7	US ARMY RESEARCH OFC A CROWSON H EVERETT J PRATER G ANDERSON D STEPP D KISEROW J CHANG PO BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211
1	AFRL MLBC 2941 P ST RM 136 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7750
1	DIRECTOR LOS ALAMOS NATL LAB F L ADDESSIO T 3 MS 5000 PO BOX 1633 LOS ALAMOS NM 87545

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
8	NSWC J FRANCIS CODE G30 D WILSON CODE G32 R D COOPER CODE G32 J FRAYSSE CODE G33 E ROWE CODE G33 T DURAN CODE G33 L DE SIMONE CODE G33 R HUBBARD CODE G33 DAHLGREN VA 22448
1	NSWC CARDEROCK DIVISION R CRANE CODE 6553 9500 MACARTHUR BLVD WEST BETHESDA MD 20817-5700
1	AFRL MLSS R THOMSON 2179 12TH ST RM 122 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7718
2	AFRL F ABRAMS J BROWN BLDG 653 2977 P ST STE 6 WRIGHT PATTERSON AFB OH 45433-7739
5	DIRECTOR LLNL R CHRISTENSEN S DETERESA F MAGNESS M FINGER MS 313 M MURPHY L 282 PO BOX 808 LIVERMORE CA 94550
1	AFRL MLS OL L COULTER 5851 F AVE BLDG 849 RM AD1A HILL AFB UT 84056-5713
1	OSD JOINT CCD TEST FORCE OSD JCCD R WILLIAMS 3909 HALLS FERRY RD VICKSBURG MS 29180-6199

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
3	DARPA M VANFOSSEN S WAX L CHRISTODOULOU 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714
2	SERDP PROGRAM OFC PM P2 C PELLERIN B SMITH 901 N STUART ST STE 303 ARLINGTON VA 22203
1	OAK RIDGE NATL LAB R M DAVIS PO BOX 2008 OAK RIDGE TN 37831-6195
1	OAK RIDGE NATL LAB C EBERLE MS 8048 PO BOX 2008 OAK RIDGE TN 37831
3	DIRECTOR SANDIA NATL LABS APPLIED MECHS DEPT MS 9042 J HANDROCK Y R KAN J LAUFFER PO BOX 969 LIVERMORE CA 94551-0969
1	OAK RIDGE NATL LAB C D WARREN MS 8039 PO BOX 2008 OAK RIDGE TN 37831
4	NIST M VANLANDINGHAM MS 8621 J CHIN MS 8621 J MARTIN MS 8621 D DUTHINH MS 8611 100 BUREAU DR GAITHERSBURG MD 20899
1	HYDROGEOLOGIC INC SERDP ESTCP SPT OFC S WALSH 1155 HERNDON PKWY STE 900 HERNDON VA 20170

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
3	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR AMSRD ARL VS W ELBER MS 266 F BARTLETT JR MS 266 G FARLEY MS 266 HAMPTON VA 23681-0001
1	NASA LANGLEY RESEARCH CTR T GATES MS 188E HAMPTON VA 23661-3400
1	FHWA E MUNLEY 6300 GEORGETOWN PIKE MCLEAN VA 22101
1	USDOT FEDERAL RAILROAD M FATEH RDV 31 WASHINGTON DC 20590
3	CYTEC FIBERITE R DUNNE D KOHLI R MAYHEW 1300 REVOLUTION ST HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078
1	DIRECTOR NGIC IANG TMT 2055 BOULDERS RD CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22911-8318
1	SIOUX MFG B KRIEL PO BOX 400 FT TOTTEN ND 58335
2	3TEX CORP A BOGDANOVICH J SINGLETARY 109 MACKENAN DR CARY NC 27511
1	3M CORP J SKILDUM 3M CENTER BLDG 60 IN 01 ST PAUL MN 55144-1000

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	DIRECTOR DEFENSE INTLLGNC AGNCY TA 5 K CRELLING WASHINGTON DC 20310
1	ADVANCED GLASS FIBER YARNS T COLLINS 281 SPRING RUN LANE STE A DOWNINGTON PA 19335
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC D SHORTT 19105 63 AVE NE PO BOX 25 ARLINGTON WA 98223
1	JPS GLASS L CARTER PO BOX 260 SLATER RD SLATER SC 29683
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC R HOLLAND 11 JEWEL CT ORINDA CA 94563
1	COMPOSITE MATERIALS INC C RILEY 14530 S ANSON AVE SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670
2	SIMULA J COLTMAN R HUYETT 10016 S 51ST ST PHOENIX AZ 85044
2	PROTECTION MATERIALS INC M MILLER F CRILLEY 14000 NW 58 CT MIAMI LAKES FL 33014
2	FOSTER MILLER M ROYLANCE W ZUKAS 195 BEAR HILL RD WALTHAM MA 02354-1196

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	ROM DEVELOPMENT CORP R O MEARA 136 SWINEBURNE ROW BRICK MARKET PLACE NEWPORT RI 02840
2	TEXTRON SYSTEMS T FOLTZ M TREASURE 1449 MIDDLESEX ST LOWELL MA 01851
1	O GARA HESS & EISENHARDT M GILLESPIE 9113 LESAINT DR FAIRFIELD OH 45014
2	MILLIKEN RESEARCH CORP H KUHN M MACLEOD PO BOX 1926 SPARTANBURG SC 29303
1	CONNEAUGHT INDUSTRIES INC J SANTOS PO BOX 1425 COVENTRY RI 02816
1	ARMTEC DEFENSE PRODUCTS S DYER 85 901 AVE 53 PO BOX 848 COACHELLA CA 92236
1	NATL COMPOSITE CTR T CORDELL 2000 COMPOSITE DR KETTERING OH 45420
3	PACIFIC NORTHWEST LAB M SMITH G VAN ARSDALE R SHIPPELL PO BOX 999 RICHLAND WA 99352
1	SAIC M PALMER 1410 SPRING HILL RD STE 400 MS SH4 5 MCLEAN VA 22102

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC 4700 NATHAN LN N PLYMOUTH MN 55442-2512
1	APPLIED COMPOSITES W GRISCH 333 NORTH SIXTH ST ST CHARLES IL 60174
1	CUSTOM ANALYTICAL ENG SYS INC A ALEXANDER 13000 TENSOR LANE NE FLINTSTONE MD 21530
1	AAI CORP DR N B MCNELLIS PO BOX 126 HUNT VALLEY MD 21030-0126
1	OFC DEPUTY UNDER SEC DEFNS J THOMPSON 1745 JEFFERSON DAVIS HWY CRYSTAL SQ 4 STE 501 ARLINGTON VA 22202
3	ALLIANT TECHSYSTEMS INC J CONDON E LYNAM J GERHARD WV01 16 STATE RT 956 PO BOX 210 ROCKET CENTER WV 26726-0210
1	PROJECTILE TECHNOLOGY INC 515 GILES ST HAVRE DE GRACE MD 21078
1	HEXCEL INC R BOE PO BOX 18748 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84118
1	PRATT & WHITNEY C WATSON 400 MAIN ST MS 114 37 EAST HARTFORD CT 06108

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
5	NORTHROP GRUMMAN B IRWIN K EVANS D EWART A SHREKENHAMER J MCGLYNN BLDG 160 DEPT 3700 1100 WEST HOLLYVALE ST AZUSA CA 91701
1	HERCULES INC HERCULES PLAZA WILMINGTON DE 19894
1	BRIGS COMPANY J BACKOFEN 2668 PETERBOROUGH ST HERNDON VA 22071-2443
1	ZERNOW TECHNICAL SERVICES L ZERNOW 425 W BONITA AVE STE 208 SAN DIMAS CA 91773
1	GENERAL DYNAMICS OTS L WHITMORE 10101 NINTH ST NORTH ST PETERSBURG FL 33702
2	GENERAL DYNAMICS OTS FLINCHBAUGH DIV K LINDE T LYNCH PO BOX 127 RED LION PA 17356
1	GKN WESTLAND AEROSPACE D OLDS 450 MURDOCK AVE MERIDEN CT 06450-8324
2	BOEING ROTORCRAFT P MINGURT P HANDEL 800 B PUTNAM BLVD WALLINGFORD PA 19086

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
5	SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT G JACARUSO T CARSTENSAN B KAY S GARBO MS S330A J ADELMANN 6900 MAIN ST PO BOX 9729 STRATFORD CT 06497-9729
1	AEROSPACE CORP G HAWKINS M4 945 2350 E EL SEGUNDO BLVD EL SEGUNDO CA 90245
2	CYTEC FIBERITE M LIN W WEB 1440 N KRAEMER BLVD ANAHEIM CA 92806
2	UDLP G THOMAS M MACLEAN PO BOX 58123 SANTA CLARA CA 95052
1	UDLP WARREN OFC A LEE 31201 CHICAGO RD SOUTH SUITE B102 WARREN MI 48093
2	UDLP R BRYNSVOLD P JANKE MS 170 4800 EAST RIVER RD MINNEAPOLIS MN 55421-1498
1	LOCKHEED MARTIN SKUNK WORKS D FORTNEY 1011 LOCKHEED WAY PALMDALE CA 93599-2502
1	LOCKHEED MARTIN R FIELDS 5537 PGA BLVD SUITE 4516 ORLANDO FL 32839

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	NORTHRUP GRUMMAN CORP ELECTRONIC SENSORS & SYSTEMS DIV E SCHOCH MS V 16 1745A W NURSERY RD LINTHICUM MD 21090
1	GDLS DIVISION D BARTLE PO BOX 1901 WARREN MI 48090
2	GDLS D REES M PASIK PO BOX 2074 WARREN MI 48090-2074
1	GDLS MUSKEGON OPER M SOIMAR 76 GETTY ST MUSKEGON MI 49442
1	GENERAL DYNAMICS AMPHIBIOUS SYS SURVIVABILITY LEAD G WALKER 991 ANNAPOLIS WAY WOODBRIDGE VA 22191
6	INST FOR ADVANCED TECH H FAIR I MCNAB P SULLIVAN S BLESS W REINECKE C PERSAD 3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400 AUSTIN TX 78759-5316
1	ARROW TECH ASSOC 1233 SHELBURNE RD STE D8 SOUTH BURLINGTON VT 05403-7700
1	R EICHELBERGER CONSULTANT 409 W CATHERINE ST BEL AIR MD 21014-3613

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	SAIC G CHRYSSOMALLIS 8500 NORMANDALE LAKE BLVD SUITE 1610 BLOOMINGTON MN 55437-3828
1	UCLA MANE DEPT ENGR IV H T HAHN LOS ANGELES CA 90024-1597
2	UNIV OF DAYTON RESEARCH INST R Y KIM A K ROY 300 COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0168
1	UMASS LOWELL PLASTICS DEPT N SCHOTT 1 UNIVERSITY AVE LOWELL MA 01854
1	IIT RESEARCH CTR D ROSE 201 MILL ST ROME NY 13440-6916
1	GA TECH RESEARCH INST GA INST OF TCHNLGY P FRIEDERICH ATLANTA GA 30392
1	MICHIGAN ST UNIV MSM DEPT R AVERILL 3515 EB EAST LANSING MI 48824-1226
1	UNIV OF WYOMING D ADAMS PO BOX 3295 LARAMIE WY 82071
1	PENN STATE UNIV R S ENGEL 245 HAMMOND BLDG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16801

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
2	PENN STATE UNIV R MCNITT C BAKIS 212 EARTH ENGR SCIENCES BLDG UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802
1	PURDUE UNIV SCHOOL OF AERO & ASTRO C T SUN W LAFAYETTE IN 47907-1282
1	STANFORD UNIV DEPT OF AERONAUTICS & AEROBALLISTICS S TSAI DURANT BLDG STANFORD CA 94305
1	UNIV OF MAINE ADV STR & COMP LAB R LOPEZ ANIDO 5793 AEWC BLDG ORONO ME 04469-5793
1	JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV APPLIED PHYSICS LAB P WIENHOLD 11100 JOHNS HOPKINS RD LAUREL MD 20723-6099
1	UNIV OF DAYTON J M WHITNEY COLLEGE PARK AVE DAYTON OH 45469-0240
1	NORTH CAROLINA ST UNIV CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPT W RASDORF PO BOX 7908 RALEIGH NC 27696-7908
5	UNIV OF DELAWARE CTR FOR COMPOSITE MTRLS J GILLESPIE M SANTARE S YARLAGADDA S ADVANI D HEIDER 201 SPENCER LAB NEWARK DE 19716

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	DEPT OF MTRLS SCIENCE & ENGRG UNIV OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA CHAMPAIGN J ECONOMY 1304 WEST GREEN ST 115B URBANA IL 61801
1	UNIV OF MARYLAND DEPT OF AEROSPACE ENGRG A J VIZZINI COLLEGE PARK MD 20742
1	DREXEL UNIV A S D WANG 3141 CHESTNUT ST PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
3	UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN CTR FOR ELECTROMECHANICS J PRICE A WALLS J KITZMILLER 10100 BURNET RD AUSTIN TX 78758-4497
3	VA POLYTECHNICAL INST & STATE UNIV DEPT OF ESM M W HYER K REIFSNIDER R JONES BLACKSBURG VA 24061-0219
1	SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INST ENGR & MATL SCIENCES DIV J RIEGEL 6220 CULEBRA RD PO DRAWER 28510 SAN ANTONIO TX 78228-0510
1	BATELLE NATICK OPERS B HALPIN 313 SPEEN ST NATICK MA 01760
3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL WM MB A FRYDMAN 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
	ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND
1	US ARMY ATC CSTE DTC AT AC I W C FRAZER 400 COLLERAN RD APG MD 21005-5059
91	DIR USARL AMSRD ARL CI AMSRD ARL O AP EG M ADAMSON AMSRD ARL SL BA AMSRD ARL SL BB D BELY AMSRD ARL WM J SMITH H WALLACE AMSRD ARL WM B A HORST T KOGLER AMSRD ARL WM BA D LYON AMSRD ARL WM BC J NEWILL P PLOSTINS A ZIELINSKI AMSRD ARL WM BD P CONROY B FORCH M LEADORE C LEVERITT R LIEB R PESCE RODRIGUEZ B RICE AMSRD ARL WM BF S WILKERSON AMSRD ARL WM M B FINK J MCCAULEY AMSRD ARL WM MA L GHIORSE S MCKNIGHT E WETZEL AMSRD ARL WM MB J BENDER T BOGETTI L BURTON R CARTER K CHO W DE ROSSET G DEWING R DOWDING

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
	W DRYSDALE
	R EMERSON
	D HENRY
	D HOPKINS
	R KASTE
	L KECSKES
	M MINNICINO
	B POWERS
	D SNOHA
	J SOUTH
	M STAKER
	J SWAB
	J TZENG
	AMSRD ARL WM MC
	J BEATTY
	R BOSSOLI
	E CHIN
	S CORNELISON
	D GRANVILLE
	B HART
	J LASALVIA
	J MONTGOMERY
	F PIERCE
	E RIGAS
	W SPURGEON
	AMSRD ARL WM MD
	B CHEESEMAN
	P DEHMER
	R DOOLEY
	G GAZONAS
	S GHIORSE
	C HOPPEL
	M KLUSEWITZ
	W ROY
	J SANDS
	D SPAGNUOLO
	S WALSH
	S WOLF
	AMSRD ARL WM RP
	J BORNSTEIN
	C SHOEMAKER
	AMSRD ARL WM T
	B BURNS
	AMSRD ARL WM TA
	W BRUCHEY
	M BURKINS
	W GILLICH
	B GOOCH
	T HAVEL
	E HORWATH
	M NORMANDIA
	J RUNYEON
	M ZOLTOSKI

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
	AMSRD ARL WM TB
	P BAKER
	AMSRD ARL WM TC
	R COATES
	AMSRD ARL WM TD
	D DANDEKAR
	T HADUCH
	T MOYNIHAN
	M RAFTENBERG
	S SCHOENFELD
	T WEERASOORIYA
	AMSRD ARL WM TE
	A NIILER
	J POWELL

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	LTD R MARTIN MERL TAMWORTH RD HERTFORD SG13 7DG UK
1	SMC SCOTLAND P W LAY DERA ROSYTH ROSYTH ROYAL DOCKYARD DUNFERMLINE FIFE KY 11 2XR UK
1	CIVIL AVIATION ADMINSTRATION T GOTTESMAN PO BOX 8 BEN GURION INTRNL AIRPORT LOD 70150 ISRAEL
1	AEROSPATIALE S ANDRE A BTE CC RTE MD132 316 ROUTE DE BAYONNE TOULOUSE 31060 FRANCE
1	DRA FORT HALSTEAD P N JONES SEVEN OAKS KENT TN 147BP UK
1	SWISS FEDERAL ARMAMENTS WKS W LANZ ALLMENDSTRASSE 86 3602 THUN SWITZERLAND
1	DYNAMEC RESEARCH LAB AKE PERSSON BOX 201 SE 151 23 SODERTALJE SWEDEN

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
1	ISRAEL INST OF TECHLGY S BODNER FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGR HAIFA 3200 ISRAEL
1	DSTO WEAPONS SYSTEMS DIVISION N BURMAN RLLWS SALISBURY SOUTH AUSTRALIA 5108 AUSTRALIA
1	DEF RES ESTABLISHMENT VALCARTIER A DUPUIS 2459 BLVD PIE XI NORTH VALCARTIER QUEBEC CANADA PO BOX 8800 COURCELETTE GOA IRO QUEBEC CANADA
1	ECOLE POLYTECH J MANSON DMX LTC CH 1015 LAUSANNE SWITZERLAND
1	TNO DEFENSE RESEARCH R IJSSELSTEIN ACCOUNT DIRECTOR R&D ARMEE PO BOX 6006 2600 JA DELFT THE NETHERLANDS
2	FOA NATL DEFENSE RESEARCH ESTAB DIR DEPT OF WEAPONS & PROTECTION B JANZON R HOLMLIN S 172 90 STOCKHOLM SWEDEN

NO. OF COPIES	ORGANIZATION
2	DEFENSE TECH & PROC AGENCY GROUND I CREWTHER GENERAL HERZOG HAUS 3602 THUN SWITZERLAND
1	MINISTRY OF DEFENCE RAFAEL ARMAMENT DEVELOPMENT AUTH M MAYSELESS PO BOX 2250 HAIFA 31021 ISRAEL
1	TNO DEFENSE RESEARCH I H PASMAN POSTBUS 6006 2600 JA DELFT THE NETHERLANDS
1	B HIRSCH TACHKEMONY ST 6 NETAMUA 42611 ISRAEL
1	DEUTSCHE AEROSPACE AG DYNAMICS SYSTEMS M HELD PO BOX 1340 D 86523 SCHROBENHAUSEN GERMANY