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Jazz Toolkit

Application developers rely on User Interface (UI) toolkits such as Microsoft’s MFC and .NET Windows Forms, and Sun’s Swing and AWT to create visual user interfaces. However, while these toolkits are effective for traditional forms-based applications, they fall short when the developer needs to build a new kind of user interface component – one that is not bundled with the toolkit. These components might be simple widgets, such as a range slider, or more complex objects, including interactive graphs and charts, sophisticated data displays, timeline editors, zoomable user interfaces, or fisheye visualizations.

Developing application-specific components usually requires large amounts of custom code to manage a range of features, many of which are similar from one component to the next. These include managing which areas of the window need repainting (called region management), repainting those regions efficiently, sending events to the internal object that is under the mouse pointer, managing multiple views, and integrating with the underlying windowing system.
Writing this code is cumbersome, yet most standard 2D UI toolkits provide only rudimentary support for creating custom components – typically just a set of methods for drawing 2D shapes, and methods for listening to low-level events.

Jazz is a new general-purpose toolkit for creating ZUI applications using zooming object-oriented 2D graphics. Jazz is built entirely in Java and runs on all platforms that support Java 2.

Jazz uses the Java2D renderer, and is organized to support efficient animation, rapid screen updates, and high quality stills. While we could have written Jazz using other rendering engines, such as OpenGL, we picked Java2D because of its clean design and focus on high-quality 2D graphics. As previously mentioned, OpenGL does not support business graphics well. In addition, using Java2D allows us to support embedded Swing widgets, which would be impossible with OpenGL.

Jazz borrows many of the structural elements common to 3D scene graph systems, such as Sun's Java3D [1] and SGI's OpenInventor [5]. By using a basic hierarchical scene graph model with cameras, Jazz is able to directly support a variety of common as well as forward-looking interface mechanisms. This includes hierarchical groups of objects with affine transforms (translation, scale, rotation and shear), layers, zooming, internal cameras (portals), lenses, semantic zooming, and multiple representations.

We and others have used Jazz extensively in a range of projects – with the ones relevant to this contract described below.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/jazz

- Unique accessors total: **135,700**
- Unique accessors weekly average: **750**
- Unique downloads total: **10,300**
- Unique downloads weekly average: **60**

**Piccolo Toolkit**

Piccolo is a Java toolkit based on Jazz. We are also currently porting Piccolo to C#. It supports essentially the same core feature set (except for embedded Swing widgets), but its design is monolithic rather than polythetic. This design change came from our experience building applications with Jazz. We found that the polythetic approach in Jazz met our original design goals of being easy to understand, maintain and extend. But, managing all of the node types was too big a burden for the application programmer.

We call the concrete design approach adopted by most 2D toolkits monolithic, because these toolkits have a few large classes containing all the core functionality likely to be used by applications. We call the Piccolo-toolkit design approach polythetic, because it consists of many small classes, each representing an isolated bit of functionality where several are often linked together to represent one semantic unit.

Monolithic toolkits suffer from a common problem: the toolkit classes tend to be complex and have large numbers of methods, and the functionality provided by each class is hard to reuse in new widgets. To support code reuse, toolkit designers often place
large amounts of generally useful code in the top-level class that is inherited by all of the widgets in the toolkit. This decision leads to a complex hard-to-learn top-level class. In addition, application developers are forced to accept the functionality provided by the toolkit’s top-level class – they often cannot add their own reusable mechanisms to enhance the toolkit.

Polylithic designs on the other hand, can potentially offer both reusability and customizability, because they compose functionality through runtime instantiation rather than through sub-classing. This promise of better toolkit maintainability and extensibility led us to the polylithic design of Jazz.

Piccolo gives up on the idea of separating each feature into a different class, and instead puts all the core functionality into the base object class, \texttt{PNode}. Piccolo also eliminates the separation between “node” and “visual component” types. Instead, every node can have a visual characteristic. In practice, this nearly halves the number of objects since most nodes ended up having a visual representation in Jazz, requiring two objects.

The Piccolo \texttt{PNode} class is thus bigger than Jazz’s \texttt{ZNode} class, having 140 public methods compared with Jazz’s 64 public methods. Piccolo also uses a scene graph and supports hierarchies, transforms, layers, zooming, internal cameras, etc. as does Jazz. The “Hello World” program in Piccolo looks very similar to the Jazz version.

```java
import edu.umd.cs.piccolo.nodes.*;
import edu.umd.cs.piccolox.*;

public class PHelloWorld extends PFrame {
    public void initialize() {
        PText text = new PText("Hello World!");
        getCanvas().getLayer().addChild(text);
    }

    public static void main(String args[]) {
        new PHelloWorld();
    }
}
```

The Piccolo object hierarchy is also similar to Jazz, but again, is greatly simplified since many node types are merged into the core class. There are also fewer visual node types because they have been generalized.
Partial Piccolo object hierarchy showing the core classes needed to create a visual scene graph.

Run-time object structure in a typical Piccolo application. This is the same scene that is represented by the Jazz scene graph of Figure 6.

As with Jazz, Piccolo caches bounds of objects and their children, and has a very careful implementation of the core scene graph traversal and modification mechanisms. It also supports region management which automatically redraws the portion of the screen that corresponds to objects that have changed.

We released Piccolo in mid-2002, and the Jazz user community is currently switching over to it. Some people continue to use Jazz for historical reasons, but all new projects (both ours and others) are using Piccolo.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/piccolo
- Unique downloads total: 1,050
- Unique downloads weekly average: 45

PhotoMesa Image Browser

PhotoMesa is a zoomable image browser that uses a novel treemap algorithm to present large numbers of images grouped by directory, or other available metadata. It uses a new interaction technique for zoomable user interfaces designed for novices and family use that makes it straightforward to navigate through the space of images, and impossible to get lost.

PhotoMesa groups images using one of two new algorithms that lay out groups of objects in a 2D space-filling manner. *Quantum treemaps* are designed for laying out images or...
other objects of indivisible (quantum) size. They are a variation on existing treemap algorithms in that they guarantee that every generated rectangle will have a width and height that are an integral multiple of an input object size. Bubblemaps also fill space with groups of quantum-sized objects, but generate non-rectangular blobs, and utilize space more efficiently.

Image browsing is important for a number of reasons. First of all, no matter what information retrieval system is being used, the user has to browse the results of the search. It is certainly important to build query systems that help users get results that are as close to what is wanted as possible. But there will always be images that need to be browsed visually to make the final pick.

PhotoMesa: A Zoomable Image Browser

Most image browsing systems present the images as a grid of thumbnails that the user can scroll through with a vertical scrollbar, and see a high resolution version of the image with some mouse interaction. There are also a few alternative designs, such as manually constructed digital photo albums, and one commercial zoomable image browser.

A second reason for needing new image browsers is more subtle, and was actually my primary motivation for doing the present work. Sometimes, people browse images just for the pleasure of looking at those images, and they often do it with other people. This is especially true for personal photos. As people take more digital family pictures, we need better tools to support users in home settings as they look at those pictures together on a computer screen. Looking at home photos has a lot of overlap with traditional retrieval
systems. People still want to be able to find photos of particular people and events, etc. However, they are less likely to be time pressured to find a particular photo, and more likely to be interested in serendipity – that is, finding photos they weren’t looking for.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/photomesa
- Unique accessors total: **75,400**
- Unique accessors weekly average: **875**
- Unique downloads total: **31,700**
- Unique downloads weekly average: **370**
- Total uses of PhotoMesa (by JNLP tracking): **24,200**

**CounterPoint Presentation Tool**

Most current commercial slide show presentation tools consist of a linearly ordered set of ‘slides’ that can be shown in sequence to an audience. There are also special mechanisms for moving back and forth in the sequence, jumping to a slide out of order (based on its title), and authoring hyperlinks in advance from any one slide to another.

Through the authors’ experience, it has been found that zooming presentations naturally address several common problems with these presentation tools. These problems include: navigating to slides outside of a direct linear sequence during presentation delivery, maintaining multiple versions of very similar presentations, and differentiating levels of detail in presentation content. Although aware of workarounds in current tools to solve these problems, the authors believe that the zooming paradigm offers more elegant solutions.

Zoomable User Interfaces address these problems in several ways. First, because it stores presentations in a single contiguous space, a ZUI can help make jumping out of a linear presentation sequence possible through animated spatial navigation. Second, ZUIs support multiple presentation versions by allowing multiple paths through a single zoomable space. These multiple paths are possible since navigation is not directly tied to the presentation content. Third, ZUIs intrinsically provide for differentiated levels of detail by allowing information to be displayed at varying zoom levels.

The authors have created a tool called CounterPoint to simplify the authoring, management, and delivery of ZUI presentations. CounterPoint has facilities for hierarchically organizing presentation content to help automate spatial arrangement and assist in visually distinguishing levels of detail. CounterPoint also offers techniques for creating and managing paths through a populated presentation space. Lastly, CounterPoint augments standard controls for delivering a linear presentation by providing simplified navigations to support improvisation. Through use of CounterPoint and ZUIs for presentations the authors have found that these tools offer tremendous freedom for creativity. However, as with any creative medium, this flexibility introduces the possibility for bad design. Consequently, the authors have also begun to identify principles, from related work such as concept maps and their own experience, for the design of ZUI presentations.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/counterpoint
- Unique accessors total: **6,900**
OZONE Ontology Navigator

Information on the World Wide Web (WWW) has expanded enormously during the last several years. Searching the Web by string matching and link analysis has been one of the most popular ways of finding information in the vast quantity of data. But since these approaches rely on syntactic information, the search result of such schemes is often limited and ineffective. The Web has been designed for direct human processing. It is humans that write most of the web pages. Therefore, for accurate knowledge extraction, it is crucial to identify embedded semantic knowledge in them.

To address these issues, we built OZONE (Zoomable Ontology Navigator), a visual interface for the exploration of semantic information that is defined in DAML. OZONE reads ontology information from the Web and rearranges it visually with its context information so that ontology information can be queried and browsed easily and effectively. OZONE is implemented in pure Java using the Parka knowledgebase, the Jazz zoomable interface toolkit, and the API for XML Processing (JAXP) XML parser from Sun Microsystems.
Fisheye Menus

We created “fisheye menus” which apply traditional fisheye graphical visualization techniques to linear menus. This provides for an efficient mechanism to select items from long menus, which are becoming more common as menus are used to select data items in, for example, e-commerce applications. Fisheye menus dynamically change the size of menu items to provide a focus area around the mouse pointer. This makes it possible to present the entire menu on a single screen without requiring buttons, scrollbars, or hierarchies.

A pilot study with 10 users compared user preference of fisheye menus with traditional pull-down menus that use scrolling arrows, scrollbars, and hierarchies. Users preferred the fisheye menus for browsing tasks, and hierarchical menus for goal-directed tasks.
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