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5. Introduction:

The overall project objective is to determine if there are fundamental immunologic abnormalities in Gulf War veterans which might be associated in a case control study with their service in the Gulf. In order to determine this, the following specific goals will be addressed: 1) evaluate Persian Gulf Veterans with symptoms to determine if there are in vitro abnormalities of immunologic tests; 2) evaluate Persian Gulf War veterans to determine if there is evidence to suggest increased exposure to mycoplasma.

6. Body:

This study will determine whether specific in-vitro immunological abnormalities or evidence of differing exposure to mycoplasma can be detected in GW participants who are symptomatic, but in whom no specific diagnosis has as yet been made. As controls, we are assessing GW veterans who are asymptomatic and non-theater veterans who have applied for disability.

To date: 1,541 Persian Gulf War veterans have been evaluated in this study who were also enrolled in the Birmingham registry. Charts have been available for 1,347 and they have been carefully reviewed and have had second physician review. One hundred and seventy-seven have illnesses involving more than two organ systems that have not been accounted for with a defined illness. Samples have been obtained from 50 of these.

Second, we have received and have categorized the list of veterans who served in the Persian Gulf from the Birmingham catchment area. This is the group of individuals who will represent our in-theater, asymptomatic individuals and will be drawn from a list of 1,383 in theater Alabama veterans. There are 193 in the Birmingham area, of whom we have evaluated 28 samples.

Third, we identified individuals in the Birmingham catchment area who were not in theater, but who have applied for disability. These individuals represent the second control group we have identified as a listing of 312 veterans and we have obtained samples from 12.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells, throat swabs and urines have been evaluated for the presence of mycoplasma or ureaplasma. Each sample was evaluated by a) direct culture; b) direct PCR; and c) by PCR with a universal mycoplasma primer. Mycoplasma or ureaplasma were detected frequently in urine and throat swabs, however no significant differences have been noted between the three groups.

Data are expressed as number positive/evaluable samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>Asymptomatic</th>
<th>Symptomatic</th>
<th>Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PB mononuclear cells</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0/42</td>
<td>0/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct PCR</td>
<td>0/27</td>
<td>1/49</td>
<td>1/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR with primer</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>0/45</td>
<td>0/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Throat</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>14/26</td>
<td>14/46</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct PCR</td>
<td>0/24</td>
<td>0/47</td>
<td>0/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR with primer</td>
<td>22/26</td>
<td>32/47</td>
<td>2/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>7/26</td>
<td>13/47</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct PCR</td>
<td>0/26</td>
<td>0/49</td>
<td>0/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR with primer</td>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>5/47</td>
<td>2/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Testing has been done and is in progress on a cohort of Gulf War veterans and controls for the following *in vitro* immunological functions:

1) NK cell activity as a measure of primitive capacity to respond to foreign stimuli;
2) lymphocyte proliferative capacity using T-cell polyclonal activators (concanavalin A [Con A] and phytohemagglutinin [PHA]);
3) primary immune responses using allogeneic stimulator cells in mixed leukocyte reactions (MLRs); and
4) secondary immune response using the recall antigens tetanus toxoid, *Candida albicans* extract, and the Anthrax Vaccine.
5) B-cell polyclonal activator pokeweed mitogen-induced immunoglobulin (Ig) production; and
6) cytokine expression in order to detect functional abnormalities in antigen presenting cells, type-1 T-helper cells, and type-2 T-helper cells.

Blood has been collected and processed on 90 subjects and controls in the immunology laboratory. Immune function tests numbered 1 through 4 above were attempted on all subjects; however, *n* values less than 90 indicate that the volume of blood was not sufficient for all tests to be performed on a given patient(s), the white blood cell (WBC) yield was not sufficient on a given patient(s), or a lab error resulted in no reportable data for a given patient(s). Samples (blood and/or stimulated cell supernatants) for immune function tests numbered 5 and 6 above are being stored at -70°C pending batch analysis.

Information required to interpret attached spreadsheets is as follows:

**NK cell function**: activity is reported in lytic units derived from dose-response analysis

**Mitogen tests**: Con A 1, 2, and 3 are Concanavalin A at 10, 5, and 2.5 μg/ml, respectively, and PHA 1, 2, and 3 are Phytohemagglutinin at 10, 5, and 2.5 μg/ml, respectively.

**Mixed leukocyte reactions**: Auto MLR 1, 2, and 3 are 4 x 10^6, 2 x 10^6, and 1 x 10^6 subject or control PBMCs, respectively, cultured without further additions, whereas Allo MLR 1, 2, and 3 are 4 x 10^6, 2 x 10^6, and 1 x 10^6 subject or control PBMCs, respectively, co-cultured with 2 x 10^6 mitomycin C-treated pooled allogeneic stimulator cells.

**Antigen tests**: TT 1, 2, and 3 are Tetanus Toxoid antigen at 5, 2.5, and 1.25 μg/ml, respectively; AV 1, 2, and 3 are Anthrax Vaccine antigen (in the form of alum-free Protective Antigen) at 2.0, 1.0, and 0.5% of supplied stock, respectively; and C.Alb 1, 2, and 3 are *Candida albicans* antigen at 1.25, 0.625, and .031 KPU/ml, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Symptomatic number</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
<th>Asymptomatic number</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
<th>Disability number</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>Std Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NK cell</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con A 1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>126.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>150.5</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con A 2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con A 3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA 1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>206.2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>176.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>205.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA 2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>177.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>149.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>189.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA 3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutoMLR1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutoMLR2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AutoMLR 3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlloMLR1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlloMLR2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlloMLR3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT 1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT 2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT 3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV 2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV 3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.Alb 1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.Alb 2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.Alb 3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When immunological parameters measured in blood samples taken from symptomatic subjects are compared with those from asymptomatic controls or disability controls, no statistical differences are found using unequal variance T tests and correcting for multiple comparisons.

An interesting note is that the rationale for inclusion of a disability control group in these comparisons was supported by the finding that the response to *Candida albicans* antigen was originally significantly different (p=0.036 for C.Alb 1, and p=0.044 for C.Alb 2) between the symptomatic and asymptomatic Gulf War veterans groups. However, when these comparisons are adjusted for multiple comparisons, the data are no longer significantly different. Furthermore, when the symptomatic Gulf War veterans results were compared with the disability control group, the data were not significantly different (p=0.833 for C.Alb 1, and p=0.942 for C.Alb 2) with or with adjusting for multiple comparisons.
7. **Key Research Accomplishments:**
- Our study has not documented any significant differences in symptomatic GW veterans when compared to controls in evidence of exposure to mycoplasma.
- Our study has not documented any significant differences in *in-vitro* immunological testing in symptomatic GW veterans when compared to controls.

8. **Reportable Outcomes:**

*Presented as an abstract in Germany, summer 1999:

9. **Conclusions:** Study is still ongoing and we are continuing to collect samples.

10. **References:** N/A.
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A correlation was found between the expression of a specific *Mycoplasma fermentans* surface antigen (Pra, proteinase-resistant antigen) and the site of isolation of the organism from the infected host. Strains which expresses Pra were most frequently associated with cells of bone marrow origin, and strains which lacked expression of Pra were most commonly isolated from the respiratory tract, genital tract, and arthritic joints, i.e., epithelial cell surfaces. Pra was previously shown to be resistant to degradation by proteinases and was hypothesized to play a protective role at the organism surface and perhaps to influence which host tissue site was colonized by the organism. The methods used for this phenotyping scheme required isolation and growth of the mycoplasma in quantities sufficient for immunoblot analysis using monoclonal antibodies. We wanted to determine a more rapid and less cumbersome technique to supplement this method for determining the Pra phenotype directly in clinical specimens. Here we describe PCR studies to investigate the movement of a previously identified *M. fermentans* insertion sequence (IS)-like element. These data showed a correlation between a specific IS genotype and the Pra phenotype. Production of a 160-bp product using a single set of IS-based primers was associated with expression of Pra. The genomic IS location resulting in the 160-bp product was determined by using Southern blot analysis and was found to be a stable insertion site characteristic of genotype I strains. Additional analyses of sequences within and flanking the IS insertion sites revealed another pair of PCR primer sites which resulted in the consistent production of a 450-bp amplicon. The stability of this site was dependent on the absence of the IS-like element between the primer sites. The production of this 450-bp amplicon correlated with the Pra mutant phenotype and was characteristic of genotype II strains. The data showed that the sequence within the IS may be unstable and that reliable genotyping sequences are more easily found in the stable genomic sites which flank the IS element.

First mistakenly identified as a novel AIDS-associated virus (18), *Mycoplasma fermentans* incognitus, during the ensuing years, was considered to be a possible cofactor contributing to acceleration of the progression of this immune disorder (8, 16, 20-22, 29). Immediately following the first reports, several laboratories began probing into this question, but to date, the hypothesis of a mycoplasma-AIDS association remains unproved. However, these studies have added much to our basic knowledge of mycoplasmas. It has been documented that *M. fermentans*, as well as some other mycoplasmas, can occur intracellularly, which was only an occasionally reported and unproved observation prior to these studies. The ability of specific subpopulations of these organisms to survive within host cells could account, at least in part, for the characteristic chronicity of mycoplasmal disease, as well as for the frequent difficulty of isolation by culture. Additionally, an impressive volume of literature is accumulating which describes the induction of various cytokines by mycoplasma infection (3, 5, 15, 27, 28, 30, 37). The potential to alternately stimulate or suppress the immune system would impart a distinct advantage to any pathogen (or commensal organism) attempting to survive in the hostile and changing environment of an infected host. Subsequent to the initial isolation of strain incognitus, *M. fermentans* was identified as the likely etiologic agent of an acute fatal disease in otherwise healthy adults (17). No other infectious agents were found. A similar wasting syndrome leading to death was reported in silvered leaf monkeys after experimental infection with this same agent (19). Many years prior to these recent studies, *M. fermentans* was isolated from bone marrow of leukemic patients (24) and other reports associated it with rheumatoid arthritis (2, 36). These reports prompted further investigations, including some experimental studies with animal models (9, 10, 26). None of these studies resulted in data proving a cause-and-effect relationship between *M. fermentans* infection and human disease. In fact, early serologic studies provided evidence that antibodies to this organism are common in adolescents and young adults (32).

Therefore, *M. fermentans* has been tentatively associated with disease throughout its history but the precise etiologic role of *M. fermentans* in disease remains unclear. This is, in part, due to the frequently unsuccessful attempts to isolate mycoplasmas in general by routine culture methods (6) and to the presence of individuals harboring the organism without signs of disease. Even though many cases have resulted in isolation of *M. fermentans* and each isolate has been assigned a new strain designation, there has been no attempt to assign molecular or functional characteristics to these strains which might assist in determining if there is a characteristic or group of characteristics which associate with specific diseases, or at least with sites of isolation.

In the present study, we were interested in defining methods to determine if specific strains exhibit characteristics which are more frequently associated with particular tissue sites within an infected host. We tested whether monoclonal antibodies (MABS) developed against *M. fermentans* antigens could dis-
Proteinase Resistant Phenotype

FIG. 1. PrA phenotypes of representative M. fermentans strains. Organism proteins were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then transferred to nitrocellulose. Reactivity with MAb 1A2.6 was visualized by using peroxidase conjugates. Strains Incognitus and MT2 show the characteristic PrA pattern, while the PrP strains show no reaction with the MAb. Results for all strains are summarized in Table 1.

**TABLE 1. Relationships among the genotypes, phenotypes, and sources of isolation of different M. fermentans strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain*</th>
<th>PCR product size (bp)$^b$</th>
<th>Genotype determined by Southern blotting$^c$</th>
<th>Immunoblotting result$^d$</th>
<th>Specimen origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M51</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M39</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M52</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M64</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT2</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M70</td>
<td>&lt;80</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis, joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL5</td>
<td>&gt;860</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis, joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL4</td>
<td>&gt;860</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis, joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG18</td>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Human genital tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12406</td>
<td>&gt;1,100</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Human genital tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Leukemic patient, blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16700</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Human genital tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOU</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>AIDS patient, blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z62</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Leukemic patient, blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incognitus</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Kaposi's sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMSO</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Human lymphocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT2</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Human lymphocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliman</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Hybridoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPB</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>AIDS patient, blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48429</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K7</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Leukemic patient, blood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Materials and Methods for descriptions.
$^b$ PCR was performed with the RS primers shown in Fig. 4.
$^c$ Southern blotting was performed with ORF-1 and ORF-2 probes.
$^d$ Immunoblotting was performed with MAb 1A2.6. +, positive; -, negative.

insertion sequence transposable gene and open reading frame 1 (ORF-1). Hybridization was performed at 42°C for 1 h in Rapid hybridization buffer and followed by one washing in 5x SSC (1x SSC is (1.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) -0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) for 20 min at room temperature and two washes in 0.5x SSC-0.1% SDS for 15 min each at 45°C. DNA hybrids were visualized by autoradiography using Kodak XAR-5 film (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, N.Y.).

PCR. After incubation and sufficient growth, the 21 strains were processed with primers K combined with buffer A (1 M Tris-HCl [pH 8.0]. 1 M KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, Milli-Q distilled H₂O) and buffer B (1 M Tris-HCl [pH 8.0]. 1 M MgCl₂, Tris-X-100, Tween 20, Milli-Q distilled H₂O). One milliliter of culture was centrifuged for 20 min at 4°C, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in proteinase K lysin buffer. The samples were incubated at

**FIG. 2. Schematic diagram of the M. fermentans IS-like element and its flanking regions. The locations of all of the primer pairs used in this study are indicated, as are the sizes, in base pairs, of the respective amplicons. ORF-2 is the putative transposase. ORF-1 and ORF-3 have no assigned putative functions.**
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotyping of M. fermentans strains and isolates. The most frequently colonized sites in a mycoplasma-infected host are epithelial cell surfaces (7, 12, 14, 23, 31). In the case of M. fermentans, the second most frequent association is with blood cells (17, 21). Are there characteristics that make some species or some strains within a species uniquely qualified for survival in one site as opposed to another? We previously identified an M. fermentans surface antigen (Pra) that can be divided into two distinct domains based on the immunoblot pattern obtained with MAbs, i.e., a domain that is resistant to degradation by trypsin, chymotrypsin, V-8 protease, and proteinase K and a second domain that is sensitive to these same proteinases (40). Our preliminary studies suggest that Pra is a complex surface network consisting of acylated proteins, but the nature of the membrane anchor and the noncovalent forces that mediate the interaction between the two domains have not been fully characterized (39). Nonetheless, we hypothesized that a correlation exists between the expression of the proteinase-resistant domain, which may play a protective role at the organism surface, and the association of the organism with particular cell types. Results in Fig. 1 show the variable expression of the Pra* phenotype and the distinctive, diffuse immunoblot pattern of the Pra* phenotype which correlated with isolation of the organism associated with cells of bone marrow origin and frequently from immunocompromised patients (Table 1). This broad distribution of the electrophoretic mobility of identical epitopes seen for the Pra* phenotype is not uncommon for mycoplasmal antigens (38). The single exception to the above correlation was isolate 16700, which was isolated from the urethra of a patient with nongonococcal urethritis. Organisms lacking expression of the proteinase-resistant phenotype were most commonly isolated from the respiratory tract, from the genital tract, and from arthritic joints (Fig. 1 and Table 1). These Pra mutants were presumably epithelial cell associated. If the Pra* phenotype does, in fact, provide the organism with protection from proteolytic degradation, then the above correlations support the possibility, although they certainly do not prove, that the Pra* phenotype resides in a hydrolase-rich
intracellular compartment. This niche may be represented by
the professional-phagocyte-rich cellular environment found
in the circulation.

Genomic distribution of the IS element. Development of a
statistically sound proof of the association suggested above
requires a large number of isolates characterized with respect
to Pra expression and sites of isolation. Therefore, if a genetic
marker also existed (preferably associated with Pra in a non-
dissociable genotype-phenotype relationship) which correlated
with the site of isolation, then it might be possible to develop
a more sensitive and simple system for discerning the Pra
phenotype of new isolates. We initially looked for a correlation
between Pra expression and the genomic location of the pre-
viously published M. fermentans IS-like element. Disruption or
activation of cryptic promoters is not an uncommon result of IS
movement (1, 4). Although it is unproved, M. fermentans may
use this system to accommodate its surface properties for sur-
vival in its current environment (13). Figure 2 is a schematic
showing the basic structure of the previously described IS-like
element as well as the locations of the genotyping PCR prim-
ners and probes used in the current study. Figure 3 shows the
distribution of IS-associated ORF-1 and ORF-2 (transposase
gene) in HindIII genomic digests of the different M. fermentans
strains. These restriction patterns are consistent with those
previously shown for strain incognitus (13). Figure 3 shows the
distribution of IS-associated ORF-1 and ORF-2 (transposase
gene) in HindIII genomic digests of the different M. fermentans
strains. These restriction patterns are consistent with those
previously shown for strain incognitus (13). Based on the dis-
tribution of these two ORFs, the M. fermentans strains can be
grouped into two basic genotypes (I and II). The published
sequence of the incognitus strain IS-like element contains no
HindIII site (13). If the IS-like elements in the other M. fer-
mentans strains are sufficiently similar to the IS-like element of
strain incognitus and are intact, then ORF-1- and ORF-2-
specific probes should cohybridize to the same HindIII restric-
tion fragments. Examination of Fig. 3 by using this criterion
(i.e., identification of fragments common to Fig. 3a and b)
demonstrated that genotype I has at least 10 copies of the intact IS
and genotype II has only 3. These are minimal estimates, since
a single fragment could contain multiple probe sites. Identifi-
cation of fragments that are not common to Fig. 3a and b
indicate that (i) all genotype I strains have one copy of ORF-1
which is not associated with an IS (Fig. 3a, location I); (ii) two
do of the genotype I strains, incognitus and 16700, have one ad-
ditional non-IS-associated ORF-1 (Fig. 3a, location 2); (iii) 7
of the 10 genotype II strains have one non-IS-associated ORF-1
(Fig. 3a, location 3); and (iv) 6 of the latter 7 genotype
II strains also have a non-IS-associated ORF-2 (Fig. 3b, loca-
tion 4). The apparent non-IS-associated ORFs in these exper-
iments may be the result of a common mutational event pro-
ducing a HindIII site between ORF-1 and ORF-2. Strains KL4
and KL8 are unusual, since no IS elements are detectable in
Fig. 3. Even though the latter two strains may actually consis-
tute a third genotype, here we have placed them into genotype
II based on additional parameters to be discussed below.

Table 1 shows genotypes I and II in the context of Pra
expression and site of isolation. All strains in genotype I were
Pra+, and all genotype II strains were Pra mutants.

Genotyping of M. fermentans strains by PCR. The pattern of
distribution of the IS-like element genomic insertion sites was
a reliable reflection of Pra expression but required very cum-
bersome methodology. The asymmetric hybridization of the
ORF-1 and ORF-2 probes seen in Fig. 3 indicated the pres-
ence of some differences within the IS-like element which may
provide useful sequence markers for determining genotypes by
using PCR. An M. fermentans-specific PCR primer pair has
been previously described (34). Amplification with this primer
pair, located within ORF-2 of the IS-like element (Fig. 2,
RW005 and RW004), resulted in a 206-bp amplicon for the
strains evaluated in that study. In the current study, amplification with these same primers produced a 206-bp amplicon for all of the strains listed in Table 1 (Fig. 4a). Although these primers performed as predicted, they do not allow discrimination of the two genotypes. Strains KL4 and KL8 showed no indication for the presence of either ORF-1 or ORF-2 (Fig. 3), even though a typical 206-bp product was obtained with the RW005-RW004 primer pair (Fig. 4a). This suggested that even though the RW005 and RW004 primer sites were present, the intervening sequence was sufficiently different to disallow hybridization of the RW006 probe. This may result in a dysfunctional transposase, which could explain the absence of multiple insertion sites in these two strains.

In the same previous study as that described above, another primer pair located immediately upstream of the IS-like element (Fig. 2, RS47 and RS49) produced a 160-bp product for only three of the six strains tested. The RS47-RS49 primer pair was not further evaluated, since those investigators were interested in defining PCR primers for detection of all strains of M. fermentans. When we evaluated the strains listed in Table 1 with the RS47-RS49 primer pair, the most common product was 160 bp (Fig. 4b). Other products ranged from ≤80 bp (M39 and M70) to over ≥860 bp (KL8, KL4, and 12406). Some strains also gave no distinct product (M51, M52, M73, and PG18). These results are recorded in Table 1, and there is a complete correlation between genotype I, Pra expression, and the IS-like element location resulting in a 160-bp product with the RS primers. PCR amplification of all genotype II strains resulted in different-sized amplicons or no amplicon. The lack of an RS product for strains M51, M52, M73, and PG18 implies that either one or both primer sites are missing (or lack sufficient homology) or that there is an insertion between the primer sites resulting in a template which was too large to amplify efficiently.

Various PCR primer combinations (Fig. 2) were used to determine if there were any differences in the sequence within the IS-like element which might allow the detection of a stable PCR product that would be representative of the genotype II strains. Amplification with the primers MF-1 and MF-2 suggested that ORF-1 was not significantly different among the strains (Fig. 5a and b). Strains KL4 and KL8 gave no product, and strain 16700 consistently produced a weak amplicon. Similarly, by using primers MF-1 and RW004, we found no differences in the linkage between ORF-1 and ORF-2 among the strains (Fig. 5c and d). Once again, strains KL4 and KL8 gave no product and strain 16700 was amplified poorly. The linkage between the RS genomic site and the ORF-1 IS site was evaluated by using primers RS-47 and MF-2 (Fig. 5e and f).
plification of all genotype I strains resulted in a 546-bp amplification, reaffirming the stability of this particular insertion site for the genotype I strains. The genotype II strains were inconsistently amplified with this primer pair, indicating the instability of this insertion site in these strains.

Since Fig. 4b, 5e, and 5f suggested that the genotype II strains do not have an IS-like element consistently present near the RS site, we used primers RS-47 and MF-4, which flank the putative insertion site, to ascertain if the IS was consistently absent from this site. Figure 6 shows a stable 450-bp product for all genotype II strains, indicating that the genotype II strains have a stable linkage between the RS site and ORF-3 with no intervening IS-like element.

These analyses indicate that the two M. fermentans genotypes consistently differed in the sites of insertion of the IS-like element but not in the sequence of the element itself. Also, due to potential sequence instability within the IS-like element, the only ratable genotyping markers reside outside the IS-like element and in the genomic sequences immediately upstream and downstream of the IS junctions. Therefore, the method of choice for species detection appears to be direct detection of specific sequences within the IS-like element. In contrast, for genotype distinction, detection of stable genomic insertion sites is required, i.e., with primers RS47 and RS49 for genotype I and primers RS47 and MF-4 for genotype II. These primer pairs should allow easy and rapid genotyping of M. fermentans in clinical samples and thus obviate the need for the frequently unsuccessful isolation and culturing of this organism.

Aside from the immediate usefulness for diagnostic genotyping, these data also will help to understand how this species may adapt for survival in a particular host population. At this time, we have only a suggestive link between the sites of insertion of the IS-like element and Pra expression, and as previously stated by Lo et al. (13), there is no proof that this element is mobile. Conclusive evidence will have to await a more direct connection between the pra gene sequence and a specific insertion site. We have not analyzed a sufficient number of isolates to say that proteinase resistance is always associated with isolation from cells of a blood-related compartment or from immunocompromised patients, but completion of these analyses will determine if M. fermentans uses the mobility of this IS-like element coupled to Pra expression as a primary means of maintaining a specific niche in its host.
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ABSTRACT: The role of stress and immunological abnormalities, as well as the neuroendocrine regulation of these two variables, in illnesses in Gulf War veterans (GWVs) is unknown. Many GWV patients complain of skin and joint problems, that is, disorders that may have a common immunological basis. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), an anxiety disorder associated with chronic stress, is diagnosed in approximately 10% of the Alabama GWVs. Chronic stress can lead to a reduced capacity to resist disease. Recent work suggests that a dysregulated balance of cytokines produced by T helper cells of the immune system can play a significant role in stress-related illnesses. Indeed, a balanced immune response (cell-mediated and humoral immunity) is an important defense mechanism, and cytokines can regulate this balance. It is therefore plausible that stress-induced changes in hormones (such as cortisol and catecholamines) and cytokines, both of which have been implicated in altering levels of cellular or humoral immunity, may play a role in GWV illnesses.

Approximately 697,000 United States military personnel were deployed to the Persian Gulf to participate in Operation Desert Shield/Desert Storm during the latter months of 1990 and early months of 1991. After returning from the Gulf War, many Gulf War veterans began reporting a variety of symptoms which they suspected to be associated with military service during the Gulf War. These symptoms included fatigue, headaches, gastrointestinal distress, skin problems, musculoskeletal complaints, sleep disturbances, and cognitive dysfunction. These are the same types of symptoms that have been compiled in the Department of Defense (DoD) and Veterans Affairs (VA) Gulf War registries.1 Kroenke et al. recently reported that in 18,495 Gulf War veterans, joint pain, fatigue, rash, and sleep disturbances were among the most common symptoms reported, that is, disorders which may have a common immunological basis and/or a rheumatic component. To explain the etiological basis for these complaints, many hypotheses have been put forth including exposure to infectious agents, chemical warfare agents, vaccines, and environmental pollutants. To date, none of these hypotheses has been proven.

An alternate hypothesis to explain these undiagnosed conditions in Gulf War veterans is that they are stress-related illnesses that are associated with the physiological consequences of stressful combat and/or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). In-
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Many Gulf War veterans complain of a variety of symptoms including skin rashes and joint pain which may have a common immunological basis. Other Gulf War veterans have post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), an anxiety disorder associated with chronic stress. Chronic stress maybe associated with a reduced capacity to resist disease, and recent work suggests that a dysregulated balance of cytokines produced by T helper cells of the immune system can play a significant role in stress-related illnesses. It is known that a balanced immune response (cell-mediated and humoral immunity) is an important defense mechanism. Although the mechanism by which a change in immune system balance occurs is not clear, it may be secondary to stress-induced changes in hormones such as cortisol and catecholamines, both of which have been implicated in altering levels of cellular or humoral immunity. For these reasons, we are investigating the function of both the cellular and humoral arms of the immune system as well as the cytokine patterns associated with these different functions.

The goal of our study is to determine whether Gulf War veterans with a variety of symptoms, some of which are rheumatic in nature, have alterations in their immunological status. The study groups are symptomatic Gulf War veterans with unexplained symptoms involving two or more organ systems and two control groups consisting of asymptomatic Gulf War veterans and non-Gulf War veterans who have applied for disability compensation, i.e., have symptoms but did not participate in the Gulf War. Gulf War veterans with a PTSD diagnosis or other diagnosis which could explain their symptoms have been excluded from this analysis as a confounding variable.

In vitro immune function studies were used to compare the symptomatic Gulf War veterans and control groups. We have tested 3 doses of PHA and Con A as mitogenic stimulants and 3 doses of tetanus toxoid, anthrax vaccine, and Candida albicans as recall antigenic stimulants in proliferative cultures and recorded stimulation indices for each stimulant. We also tested natural killer activity using a non-radioactive procedure. Statistical comparisons were performed using analysis of variance and post-hoc analysis for pairwise comparisons. Natural killer cell activity was lower in symptomatic patients and disability controls relative to asymptomatic controls but these data did not reach statistical significance. No statistical differences (p >0.05) were found between the groups when dose-response data for mitogens and antigens were analyzed or when magnitude of mitogenic or antigenic responses were analyzed. These data suggest that the proliferative response of T lymphocytes to non-specific mitogenic signals and specific antigenic signals is not impaired in symptomatic Gulf War veteran patients relative to asymptomatic and disability controls. We are currently preparing samples for batch cytokine analyses. It is expected that the cytokine data may yield further information relative to the neuroendocrine immune loop in these veterans.