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### Abstract

Silicon Carbide is an attractive material for development of high voltage and high frequency devices. The critical electric field of Silicon Carbide is more than 10 times higher than that of Silicon and hence a larger breakdown voltage can be supported in a smaller drift length as compared to Si devices. From Si studies it is known that the breakdown voltage is low for very high and very low doses and high for intermediate doses and that it increases linearly with the RESURF length till it reaches a maximum value limited by breakdown at the epi-substrate junction. This work deals with simulations on 4H SiC RESURF diode. From our simulations, the maximum breakdown voltage that we obtained was 2240V at a dose of \(1 \times 10^{13}\) cm\(^{-2}\) which is around 94% of the ideal parallel plane breakdown voltage. Electric field in the oxide at such high voltages can become quite high and can lead to premature breakdown of the device. To solve this problem, we used nitride as the dielectric. The optimum dose in this case, was found to be 7 \times 10^1\) the 12th power per cm square which gave the maximum breakdown voltage of 2100 V. The electric field in nitride does not exceed 3.5 \times 10^6\) V/cm which is much less than the nitride rupture field of 1 \times 10^7\) V/cm. For both oxide and nitride cases, we obtained a good range (7x10 to the 12th power/cm square -2 \times 10 to the 13th power/cm square) of dose where the breakdown voltage is quite high (2000V and above), which is not seen in Si RESURF devices.
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Introduction

Silicon Carbide is an attractive material for development of high voltage, high temperature and high frequency devices. The critical electric field of Silicon Carbide is more than 10 times higher than that of Silicon. This implies that lateral RESURF (REduced SURface Field) devices made in SiC can support the same breakdown voltage in a much smaller drift length, as compared to silicon devices [1]. Extensive work has been done on RESURF devices in Si [2-4], and though some work has been done in SiC [5], a more comprehensive analysis still remains to be done. The goal of the rest of the report is to show simulation results for RESURF diodes in SiC. The breakdown of a RESURF device depends on the RESURF layer dose and the RESURF layer length. From Si studies it is known that the breakdown voltage is low for very high and very low doses and is high for intermediate doses and that it increases linearly with the RESURF length till it reaches a maximum value limited by breakdown at the epi-substrate junction. Therefore, the RESURF layer has to be optimized for dose and length to achieve high breakdown voltages in SiC devices. In this work, the optimization was performed for the 4-H polytype.

Device Structure 1

Fig. 1 shows the cross-section of a lateral single zone RESURF diode. The basic structure consists of two p-n junctions: a vertical P'N_res junction and a horizontal P'N_res junction. Considering these parts as one-dimensional junctions, the vertical junction has a lower breakdown voltage compared to the horizontal junction. The doping of the P'epi layer determines the voltage that can be supported by the device when breakdown occurs at the horizontal junction shown in Fig. 1.
Due to the RESURF action, the depletion of the vertical P^N_res junction is reinforced by the horizontal junction. Consequently, for the same applied voltage, the depletion stretches along the surface over a much longer distance than would be expected according to simple one-dimensional calculations. As a result, the electric field at the surface is reduced and surface breakdown can be eliminated [6]. The total charge in the drift region needs to be adjusted to an optimum value in accordance with the RESURF principle to achieve maximum possible breakdown voltage. Therefore, simulations were carried out for various RESURF layer doses and for different RESURF lengths. These simulation results are discussed next.

Simulation Results

To start with a 1 dimensional simulation was done, on the device simulator, MEDICI, to determine the ideal parallel plane breakdown voltage of vertical N'N_resPP^+ diode formed at the cathode end as seen from Fig. 1. The epi-layer thickness, RESURF layer thickness and the dopings are as shown in Fig. 1. This is the maximum breakdown voltage that we can expect for our device. From the 1D simulations, the breakdown voltage was found to be 2380V. Also for the optimum case, the RESURF layer has to be fully depleted when the electric field reaches the critical electric field for breakdown at the horizontal p-n junction. Assuming a uniform electric field profile in the RESURF layer, using Gauss's law, we get the optimum charge to be

\[ Q = (qN_D t) = \varepsilon_0 E_c \]  

(1)
where $N_0$ is the doping of the RESURF layer, $t$ is the thickness of the layer, $\varepsilon_r$ is the permittivity of SiC and $E_c$ is the critical electric field in SiC. The critical electric field is hard to determine for our structure, but as an approximation we took $E_c$ the same as that for a $n^+p$ junction of the same doping [7] For a $p$ doping of $6 \times 10^{15}/\text{cm}^3$, $E_c$ is $2 \times 10^6$ V/cm. For this value of $E_c$ we get an optimum dose of $Q = 1.07 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$.

Therefore, if the RESURF phenomena is found to work for SiC, we expect a maximum breakdown voltage of 2380V which should occur at a dose of approximately $1.0 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$.

The Single Zone RESURF diode was simulated for various doses, using the 2-D numerical simulator, MEDICI. The RESURF phenomena can be better understood by analysis of the operation in 3 regions:

Region 1: When the dose is very high, the RESURF layer does not fully deplete on the application of a high reverse bias. In this case, therefore, electric field crowding occurs at the anode end and the device breaks down due to high fields under the anode field plate much before the parallel plane breakdown is reached. The potential distributions and the electric field profile for a high dose of $3 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$ is shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

![Fig 2 Potential Contours at breakdown for RESURF dose of $3 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2$](image-url)
The electric field along the surface in SiC is shown in Fig. 4. The plot clearly shows a very high electric field peak at the anode end. This is responsible for the early breakdown of the device. The 3-D potential distribution plot is shown in Fig 5. From the plot, we can see that most of the potential drop occurs at the anode end.

Fig 3 3-D Plot of the Electric field in the diode for a dose of $3 \times 10^{13}$/cm$^2$

Fig 4 Electric Field Profile in the RESURF layer for a dose of $3 \times 10^{13}$/cm$^2$
Region 2: At very low doses, the depletion reaches the cathode end. However, due to the curvature of the N'-N$_{res}$ junction, electric field crowding occurs and high fields are formed at the cathode end. In this case too, the breakdown voltage is less than the optimum value. The potential distributions and the electric field profile for the low dose of 1x10$^{12}$/cm$^2$ are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 where the field crowding at the cathode end dominates the field crowding at the anode end.
Fig 6 Potential Contours at breakdown for RESURF dose of $1 \times 10^{12}$ /cm$^2$

Fig 7 3-D Plot of the Electric field in the diode for a dose of $1 \times 10^{12}$ /cm$^2$

The electric field at the surface is shown in Fig. 8. In this case, the peak electric field occurs at the cathode end where most of the potential drops as seen from Fig. 9.
Region 3: At more optimum doses, the field crowding at the anode and the cathode end are comparable. In this case, therefore, a more uniform field profile is obtained in the RESURF layer. Here again, the breakdown occurs at the drain end but if the RESURF length is sufficiently large, then the breakdown can occur at the horizontal P'N res junction and one can achieve the maximum breakdown voltage possible. The potential distributions and the electric field profile for this case (for a dose of $7 \times 10^{12} / \text{cm}^2$) are shown in Fig. 10 and Fig 11.
The 1-D electric field profile at the surface in SiC can be seen in Fig. 12. For this optimum dose, two almost equal peaks are formed at the anode and cathode end. This kind of profile can support a higher breakdown voltage than other profiles seen in previous cases. Fig 13 shows the 3-D potential distribution for this case.
The potential distribution along the substrate at the cathode end is shown in Fig 14. The depletion extends all the way to the epi layer and punches through to the P+ substrate. Therefore, a higher breakdown voltage can be obtained if a thicker epi layer is chosen. However due to the current unavailability of epi layers thicker than 10 microns, we did not simulate for thicker epi layer devices.
The breakdown voltage vs. RESURF layer dose, then follows the curve shown in Fig. 15. For very high doses, the breakdown voltage is limited by the breakdown due to field crowding at the anode end and hence is quite low. At intermediate doses, better breakdown voltages are obtained due to more uniform electric field in the RESURF layer. For very low doses, the breakdown is again limited by the field crowding at the cathode end. This is again lower than the ideal parallel plane breakdown though not as low as for high doses.
From our simulations, the maximum breakdown voltage that we obtained was 2240V at a dose of $1 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^2$ which is around 94% of the ideal parallel plane breakdown voltage. For comparison, the ideal parallel plane breakdown voltage for the same epi layer doping of $6 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^3$ is around 300V for Si.

Simulations were also performed for different lengths of the RESURF layer. As the length is increased, the breakdown voltage increases linearly till it reaches the ideal parallel plane breakdown voltage, after which it saturates. Further increase in the length of the RESURF layer does not increase the breakdown voltage appreciably. This can be seen from Fig. 16, from which the optimum length is found to be 15μ. All the simulations for this were done for the dose of $1 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^2$.

For the dose of $1 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^2$, where maximum breakdown occurs, the breakdown occurs at the cathode end. The breakdown voltage can be expected to be affected by the position of the cathode field plate position. Therefore, we ran simulations for various field plate lengths. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 17. From the simulation results, we find that in this case, the field plate length does not play a critical role in determining the breakdown voltage of the device.

Fig 16 Breakdown Voltage obtained for different RESURF lengths ($L_R$)
Another point of concern in fabricating these devices is the electric field in the oxide. Since the critical electric field of SiC is higher than Si, the field in oxide can become quite high and the device might fail due to oxide rupture. The field profile in the oxide is shown in Fig. 18 for the dose of $1 \times 10^{13} / \text{cm}^2$ at the bias of 2240V. The maximum field that we observe in the oxide is around $7 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$. -
Though this field is less than the oxide rupture field, it is still high enough to make these devices unreliable. One of the solutions to this problem is to use an insulator with a dielectric constant higher than that of oxide. For instance, Silicon Nitride has a dielectric constant of 7.5 as compared to oxide whose dielectric constant is 3.9. This means that a field of \(7 \times 10^6\) V/cm in oxide would correspond to a field of around \(3.7 \times 10^6\) V/cm in nitride. The nitride rupture field being the same as that of oxide, this would mean that more reliable devices can be fabricated using nitride rather than oxide. Consequently, simulations were done using nitride as the dielectric and these results are discussed next.

**Simulations using Nitride as the dielectric**

Simulations were repeated for the structure shown in Fig. 1 with nitride in place of oxide. All other parameters were kept the same. The RESURF diode exhibits similar regions of operations as in the oxide case. In region 1, when the dose is very high, breakdown occurs at the anode end due to electric field crowding under the anode. The potential distribution for this case is shown in Fig 19.

![Potential Contours at breakdown for RESURF dose of 3x10^{13} /cm^2](image)

*Fig. 19 Potential Contours at breakdown for RESURF dose of 3x10^{13} /cm^2*

The electric field profile in the device and along the surface are shown in Fig. 20 and Fig. 21. A very high peak can be observed in the anode region which is responsible for an early breakdown of the device.
Fig 20  3-D Plot of the Electric field in the diode for a dose of $3 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^2$

Fig 21 Electric Field Profile in the RESURF layer for a dose of $3 \times 10^{13}$ /cm$^2$

Fig 22 shows the potential distribution in the device and once again we see a large potential drop at the anode end.
Region 2 shows the other extreme with electric field crowding at the cathode end for a very low dose. The breakdown voltage is again less than optimal as expected. The potential distributions and the electric field distribution in the device are shown in Fig. 23 and Fig. 24.
The 1-D electric field profile for this case is shown in Fig. 25 and the potential distribution in the device is shown in Fig. 26. Both the plots indicate high electric fields at the cathode end which leads to an early breakdown of the device.
Region 3 corresponds to the optimal case, where the electric field is more uniformly distributed in the RESURF layer. Two peaks of the electric field occur at both the anode and the cathode end. Due to the more uniform electric field profile, the breakdown voltage is higher in this case as explained before. The potential distributions and the electric field profile for this region of operation are shown in the following figures.
Both Fig 28 and Fig 29 clearly show 2 electric field peaks at both the anode and the cathode end. As in the oxide case, this kind of profile gives a better breakdown voltage. The potential distribution in the device is shown in Fig. 30 for this case.
For the nitride simulations, the optimum dose was found to be $7 \times 10^{12} \text{ /cm}^2$ which gave the maximum breakdown voltage of 2100V. This is around 150V lesser than the maximum breakdown voltage that was obtained for the oxide simulations. The breakdown voltage for different RESURF doses is shown in Fig. 30.

**Fig. 30** 3-D Plot of the Potential distribution in the diode for a dose of $7 \times 10^{12} \text{ /cm}^2$

**Fig. 31** Dose vs. Breakdown Voltage for the RESURF diode with nitride as dielectric
For the optimum case where we get the maximum breakdown voltage, the corresponding electric field in the nitride is shown in Fig. 32. In this case, the maximum electric field in the nitride is less than half the field in the oxide case. The electric field in nitride doesn’t exceed $3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$ which is much less than the nitride rupture field of $1 \times 10^7 \text{ V/cm}$. Therefore, devices made with nitride as the dielectric should turn out to be more reliable than devices made in oxide for very high voltage devices.

![Electric Field in nitride for a RESURF dose of $7 \times 10^{12} /\text{cm}^2$](image)

**Fig. 32 Electric Field in nitride for a RESURF dose of $7 \times 10^{12} /\text{cm}^2$**

**Conclusions**

Extensive numerical simulations were performed to study the breakdown voltage of the single zone RESURF diode with both oxide and nitride as the dielectric. For the oxide, we obtained the maximum breakdown voltage of 2240V which was at a dose of $2 \times 10^{13} /\text{cm}^2$. The maximum electric field at this dose in the oxide was around $7 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$. This is a reasonably high electric field, even though it is less than the oxide rupture field of $1 \times 10^7 \text{ V/cm}$. This could possibly make devices unreliable. To solve the problem of high fields in oxide, we decided to replace the oxide with nitride which has a lower dielectric constant. Simulations with nitride as the dielectric indicate the maximum electric field in nitride to be less than $3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$, which is much less than the nitride rupture field of $1 \times 10^7 \text{ V/cm}$. The maximum breakdown voltage that we obtained for the nitride case was 2100V at a dose of $7 \times 10^{12} /\text{cm}^2$. For both oxide and nitride cases, we obtained a good range ($7 \times 10^{12} /\text{cm}^2 - 2 \times 10^{13} /\text{cm}^2$) of dose where the breakdown voltage is quite high (2000V and above). A high breakdown voltage at a high dose of $2 \times 10^{13} /\text{cm}^2$ implies that devices like RESURF MOSFETs can be fabricated, which will exhibit a high breakdown voltage and a low specific on-resistance.
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