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The purpose of this infrastructure project is to develop a large database of digitized mammograms that will be distributed free of charge to researchers working in all aspects of digital mammography. This database will facilitate and promote rapid development in digital mammography research. The database will consist of 1000 cases subdivided into 5 categories, 4 containing different breast lesions — masses, microcalcifications, architectural distortions, asymmetric densities (both benign and malignant) — and one containing normal mammograms. The mammograms will be collected and digitized (0.05-mm pixel size) at two sites: the Universities of Chicago and North Carolina. The database will be stored at the two sites and will be available over internet, and by mail on CD, tape and magneto-optical disks. To date 384 case have been digitized. Each case consists of index and previous exams (each having four standard views) and up to two special-view mammograms (e.g., magnification views). The computer systems for the database have been assembled and are connected to the network. The first release of 50 cases with clustered microcalcifications will be made immediately. This trial release will be followed by another 50 cases of microcalcifications and 100 cases with masses.
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5. INTRODUCTION

This research is to develop a large database of digitized mammograms that will be distributed free of charge to interested researchers. It is being funded by the USAMRMC as an infrastructure award and as such it does not represent a research project per se. That is, there is no hypothesis that we are trying to prove. Therefore, this report is structured slightly different than a normal scientific research report -- heavy on the method and light on actual results. In this project, the procedure is the most important component, which is applied continuously in a straightforward manner to achieve the goal of creating the database of mammograms.

5.1 Nature of the Problem

In 1992, the National Cancer Institute identified digital mammography as an important area of research for reducing breast cancer mortality.[1] As a result, there has been a sharp increase in the number of researchers developing computerized methods for analyzing mammograms. This is due in part to the substantial potential benefit from developing an automated computerized system for assisting radiologists in interpreting mammograms. With a large number of investigators developing computerized analysis techniques, the likelihood of an accurate method being developed is high. Unfortunately, a major obstacle to rapid progress in developing a technique is that each investigator uses his or her own set of mammograms (database) to develop and evaluate the performance of his or her technique. As a result, it is not possible to compare the accuracy of different methods because the measured performance is dependent on the cases used for testing.[2] For example, by using "easy" cases for testing, a computer technique would apparently have a higher accuracy than if "hard" cases were used. A common database of mammograms that could be used by all investigators in the field would solve this problem.

5.2. Background: Previous work in the field

At a Biomedical Image Processing meeting held February 1993, in San Jose CA, 12 panelists discussed the design of a common database for research in mammographic image analysis.[3] Two of the panelists are investigators on this proposal. Important considerations in developing the database are: (a) the cases selected, (b) the digitizer used, (c) organization of the database, (d) associated information to be included with images, (e) "truth" for each case, (f) format of image files, (g) distribution of the database, and (h) rules on using the database.

There have been several small databases released for general use. However, all have several limitations due to insufficient spatial resolution, insufficient grey-scale resolution, and/or too small a number of cases. The database that we are developing will have none of these limitations. There is now underway the development of another mammographic database. This database differs in the one being developed in project because a smaller pixel size is being used and they are not including previous films as is being done in this project.

5.3. Purpose

The purpose of this proposal is to develop a database of digital mammograms that can be used by researchers who (1) are trying to determine the image quality requirements of detectors for digital mammography; (2) are developing image processing techniques to optimize the displayed digital mammogram; (3) are developing computerized methods for analyzing mammograms; (4) are studying the effects of image compression methods on image quality; (5) are developing methods for remote transmission of mammograms; and (6) are studying the relationship between image quality and diagnostic accuracy. This database also could be used as a resource for teaching radiology residents and for testing the performance levels of mammographers.
The specific aims of this proposal are:

1. Collect and digitize 200 cases in each of 5 different categories, mammograms exhibiting: (i) clustered microcalcifications, (ii) masses, (iii) architectural distortions, (iv) asymmetric densities, and (v) no lesions (i.e. normals).

2. Make these cases available to other researchers either over computer network (Internet) or by sending images on computer tape or CD. The database will be distributed as widely as possible so that comparisons of different computerized analysis techniques can be standardized.

5.4. Method of Approach

Task 1: Collect and digitize mammograms, Months 1-48. (See Figure 1.)
   a. Retrieve from film library cases with pathologically-proven lesions (clustered microcalcifications, breast masses, architectural distortion, asymmetric densities), 100 cases of each type and 100 normals (cases without lesions) from each site [University of Chicago (UC) and University of North Carolina (UNC)] for a total of 1000 cases during the entire funding period.
   b. At each site, digitize retrieved films and outline the location of the lesion in each abnormal image. The outline will be stored together with the images but in a separate file.
   c. Send normal cases and asymmetric density cases that were digitized at UC to UNC; and send cases containing masses, microcalcifications, and architectural distortion that were digitized at UNC to UC.
   d. Selectively randomize 200 cases for each lesion type into one of two sets (training and testing), based on lesion subtlety. Similarly, selectively randomize 200 normal cases into two sets based on breast density.
   e. Place testing set in off-line storage and training cases in on-line storage.
   f. On average 250 cases (2500 image -- see text for details) will be done per year for 4 years for a total of 1000 cases (10,000) images.

Task 2: Establish protocol for transmitting database. Months 1-24
   a. Test protocols for different modes of transferring data between the UNC and UC (FTP, 8-mm tape, and CD). A data structure designed for portability will be provided to contain the patient text data; this data structure will be made available along with the data to the requesting sites. Use of ACR/NEMA DICOM protocol will be investigated and incorporated as an optional transfer mechanism.

Task 3: Maintain database and distribute cases Months 12-48.
   a. Maintain computer, jukebox, and network connection including bug fixes and installation of vendor software updates.
   b. Distribute cases via computer network and by mass storage media (tape or CD) as requested.
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Figure 1. A flowchart of the steps required to collect, digitize, archive, and distribute the mammographic database. The 'Full Image' is the whole digitized mammogram at full resolution. The 'Reduced Image' is a minified version (reduced resolution) of the full image. The 'ROI Image' is a portion of the full image at full resolution.
6. PROGRESS TO DATE

Task 1.

We now have 384 cases digitized (see Table I, at end of report). We plan to release the first 50 cases of clustered microcalcifications immediately. We are currently verifying all the images and data before releasing these cases. We initially had planned to release 100 cases at a time, but for our initial release, we decided that a smaller release to work out any unexpected problems would be best. We will follow up the initial release with another 50 microcalcifications and 100 cases with masses. Further releases in batches of 100 will be done as cases accrue. We will accelerate our case accrual to meet our goal of 1000 cases.

All cases are archived on 4-mm or 8-mm tape.

Task 2.

We originally considered the ACR/NEMA (DICOM) image format for our database. However, the ACR/NEMA format does not have a module for mammography, and it would be an extensive project to develop one at this time. Currently, then, we are storing the images as a binary array of numbers with a simple 512-byte header. Recently, an effort has been made to establish a Digital Mammography working group in DICOM which would extend the DICOM standard to provide more specific support for digital mammographic images. One of the investigators on this project, Brad Hemminger, has volunteered to participate on proposed committee. When the DICOM committee mammography module becomes available, it will be easy to convert our files to that format.

Task 3.

Maintenance of the database and distribution of the database are at a minimum currently. These tasks will become important shortly as cases go “on-line”.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The development of a common database of mammograms for digital mammography research is underway. We are ready to release the first 50 cases of clustered microcalcifications. This will serve as a test release. We follow this initial release with an additional 50 cases of microcalcifications and 100 cases of masses. As more cases accrue, further releases will be made.

A database of mammograms would also be useful for investigators doing research in other areas of digital mammography, such as x-ray detector development, telemammography, image compression, and image processing. For example, questions such as the required spatial resolution of a digital mammogram can be answered in part by conducting observer studies using the mammograms from the database displayed at different resolutions. Furthermore, the database would provide an excellent source of cases that could be used for teaching purposes.
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Table I. Breakdown of cases in the database as of October 1/97.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Lesion</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th># of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcalcifications</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcalcifications</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric Density</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric Density</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Distortion</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Distortion</td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>