This paper addresses the problem of obtaining for any given probability space \((\Omega, B, P)\) an extension to a probability space \((\Omega_0, B_0, P_0)\) such that for any given function \(f: [0,1]^n \rightarrow [0,1]\), suitably analytic, and any given finite collection of ordinary events \(a, b, c, ..., m\) in sample space \(B\), with possible relations among them, there is an event \(\alpha_f = f(a, b, c, ..., m)\) in \(B_0\) (not dependent upon \(P\)) such that for all possible choices of \(P\) and \(a, b, c, ..., m\),

\[ P_0(\alpha_f) = f(P(a), P(b), P(c), ..., P(m)) \]

provided the right-hand side is well-defined relative to \(f\). It is seen that the above procedure generalizes that of the problem of constructing “conditional event algebras” relative to all conditional probabilities. Applications to problems on data fusion and combination of evidence are also provided.
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EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Summary

In brief, this paper addresses the problem of obtaining for any given probability space
\((\Omega,\mathcal{B},P)\) an extension to a probability space \((\Omega_0,\mathcal{B}_0,P_0)\) such that for any given function
\(f:[0,1]^n \rightarrow [0,1]\), suitably analytic, and any given finite collection of ordinary events
\(a,b,c,...,m\) in sample space \(\mathcal{B}\), with possible relations among them, there is an event \(\alpha_T = \alpha_T(a,b,c,...,m)\) in \(\mathcal{B}_0\) (not dependent upon \(P\)) such that for all possible choices of \(P\) and
\(a,b,c,...,m\),

\[ P_0(\alpha_T) = f(P(a),P(b),P(c),...,P(m)), \]  

provided the right-hand side is well-defined relative to \(f\). It is seen that the above procedure
generalizes that of the problem of constructing "conditional event algebras" relative to all
conditional probabilities. Applications to problems of data fusion and combination of evidence
are also provided.

Motivations and More Details

Numerical modifications of individual probability expressions arise quite often based solely
on probability evaluations themselves, as, e.g., (1), in the expansion \(f(P(a \cdot b),P(b)) = P(a \lor b) = 1 - P(b) + P(a \cdot b)\) or, (2), when \(a\) and \(b\) are \(P\)-independent, \(f(P(a),P(b)) = P(a \cdot b) = P(a) \cdot P(b)\), where we note the first expression is an affine combination of \(P(b)\) and \(P(a \cdot b)\),
while the second expression is an arithmetic product of \(P(a)\) and \(P(b)\). They can also arise
when: (3), we wish to obtain weighted averages of calculated probabilities as in ,
\(f(P(a),P(b)) = w \cdot P(a) + (1-w) \cdot P(b)\), or perhaps (4), as an arithmetic division of \(P(a \cdot b)\) by
\(P(b)\), as in \(f(P(a \cdot b),P(b)) = P(a \cdot b) / P(b)\), which of course we recognize as \(P(ab)\), the
conditional probability of a given b. Further modifications to previously calculated probabilities can occur when: (5), linguistic changes are imposed as in the modeling of (meta) statements such as \( s = P(b) \), where b = "It is very probable that a", where a = "John will arrive tomorrow" and where by prior knowledge we can estimate \( P(a) \). Also, by prior knowledge, we conclude that the hedge "very probable" typically produces an exponentiation, of say 1.5, so that we obtain the estimate \( f(P(a)) = P(a)^{1.5} \), quite reminiscent of the well-known use of exponential or other hedges in fuzzy set modeling. Similarly, (6), we may wish to obtain more complicated compounds of known probabilities in modeling empirically outputs of physical systems as, e.g., in the relation \( f(P(a), P(a\&b), P(b)) = P(a)^{2.6} + ((3.2)\cdot P(b)\cdot P(a\&b)/(4\cdot P(b) + P(a))). \)

Except for the first two examples, in general for each of the remaining examples 3-6, there is no corresponding event \( \alpha_f \), some function (boolean or otherwise) of \( a, b, c, \ldots \) in the original sample space \( B \) of the basic events \( a, b, c, \ldots \) such that equation (1) is satisfied.

Thus, in general we cannot obtain probability evaluations of further logical combinations of expressions whose modified probability evaluations are known as in (1), such as in the obtaining of

\[
P(\alpha \lor \beta) = P(\alpha) + P(\beta) - P(\alpha \& \beta) = s + t - P(\alpha \& \beta),
\]

where analogous to (1)

\[
P(\alpha) = s, \quad P(\beta) = t,
\]

s, t known functions of \( P(a), P(b), P(a\&b), P(c), \ldots \).

On the other hand, a remedy has been developed for the type of problem arising in the fourth example: arithmetic division of probabilities resulting in conditional probabilities can now be treated via some choice of a conditional event algebra (out of many possible candidates). Even though in this case \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in eq.(3) (where here s and t are arithmetic divisions representing conditional probabilities) do not lie in \( B \), they do lie in a space naturally extending \( B \) - the conditional event algebra of choice. (See [1] for background and more details.)

In fact, a recent result [2] has indicated that the only mathematically desirable choice for a conditional event algebra is the one arising uniquely from the construction of the product space that has a countable infinity of independent marginal probability spaces, each identical to the one representing the original sample space of ordinary unconditional events [3]. In that space, typically, conditional events as \( \alpha_f \) satisfying eq.(1) for Example 4, where

\[
f(P(a\&b), P(b)) = P(a\&b)/P(b) = P(alb),
\]

take the form of the natural disjoint cartesian product counterpart to the expansion of arithmetic division in terms of an infinite power series:

\[
\alpha_f = (alb) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (b'\&...\&b')\&(a\&b)\&\Omega\&\Omega\&\ldots,
\]

where \( \Omega \) is the universal event in sample space (sigma-algebra) \( B \). Thus, for any given probability measure \( P \) over \( B \), letting \( P_0 \) denote the corresponding product space probability
measure extending \( P \), it follows that \( P_0 \) applied to \( a \) in (5) produces eq.(4), where \( P \) is identified with \( P_0 \).

It is the contention of this paper that a similar product space construction can be used to develop an algebra of numerical and linguistic modifications of probability statements. This is accomplished by generalizing the forms of \( \alpha f \) in eq.(5), relative to variability of coefficient factors and number of arguments. For example, utilize first the formal relation

\[
a^{1/2} = a \cdot (1-a')^{-1/2}
\]  

and the power series expansion of the second factor, producing the formal power series expansion

\[
a^{1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (a')^{j} \cdot a \cdot c_j \quad \text{where } \quad c_j = (\text{def}) \left( \begin{array}{c} 2j-1 \\ j \end{array} \right) \cdot 2^{-2j-1}, j=0,1,2,...
\]  

In turn, this yields the corresponding product space form

\[
a^{1/2} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a' \times ... \times a' \times a \times \gamma(c_j),
\]  

where \( \gamma(c_j) \) is a conditional event such that for all probability measures \( P \) over \( B \),

\[
P_0(\gamma(c_j)) = c_j.
\]  

As an example of such nontrivial constant-valued events, consider \( \gamma(1/3) \), representing 1/3 in probability for all \( P \) as

\[
\gamma(1/3) = (\text{def}) ( b \times b \times b' \mid b \times b \times b' \lor b \times b' \times b' \lor b' \times b \times b )
\]  

where \( b \neq \emptyset \), \( \Omega \) is any event in \( B \) - to standardize, preferably in limiting form approaching \( \Omega \), whence

\[
P_0(\gamma(1/3)) = P(b)P(b)P(b') / (P(b)P(b)P(b') + P(b)P(b')P(b) + P(b')P(b)P(b'))
\]

\[
= P(b)^2P(b') / 3P(b)^2P(b')
\]

\[
= 1/3.
\]
Finally, note that that $a^{1/2}$ is the appropriate appellation for the right-hand side of (8), since $P_0$ as a product probability measure yields in combination with the disjointness of the terms in eq.(8):

$$P_0(a^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} P(a')^j \cdot P(a) \cdot P(\gamma(c_j)) = P(a) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} P(a')^j \cdot c_j = P(a) \cdot (1 - P(a'))^{-1/2} = P(a)^{1/2}. \quad (12)$$
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