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I. Abstract

This research will assess the nature and significance of the adjustment problems faced by families, particularly, next-of-kin of prisoners of war and of those who are killed or missing in action. The study will identify and examine the supporting services provided by the Department of the Army and civilian agencies in assisting the adjustment of next-of-kin and families and identify potentially useful modifications of and supplements to such services.

II. Background

The adjustment problems faced by next-of-kin of prisoners of war, and of those killed or missing in action have received little attention. Only rarely do their concerns come to the attention of the public, and when they do, they are often a source of embarrassment to the various military establishments.1 And yet the nature and extent of these problems and their possible amelioration is something which a modern military establishment cannot ignore. If the military establishment turns its back on the families of those men who are captives, or who have died in battle, or are missing, its reputation in the eyes of the public is likely to suffer. But more important, such a posture can have a deleterious effect upon the morale of its fighting men who are concerned about the welfare

1See for example, "Red Tape, Indifference Snarl POW's Families," The Evening Star, August 24, 1966, Washington, D. C.
of their families and what will happen to them if they are killed or become captives. The morale of prisoners of war and of those in combat can also suffer if they receive mail from their next-of-kin detailing economic and psychological problems brought about in part from their absence and from lack of support from the military. Maintenance of high morale is an essential task of military commanders. But while the needs of next-of-kin are somewhat tangential to the major mission of the military services, adequate provision for these needs is a morale-enhancing factor. It is evident also that the larger community believes that providing for the welfare of next-of-kin is an important ancillary task of the armed forces, for it has authorized the military establishment to provide an increasing number of welfare services for these dependents.

The military establishment, by law and by regard for its own effectiveness, must concern itself with the next-of-kin. The most direct expression of these responsibilities was enunciated by the Commander in Chief in the promulgation of the Code of Conduct when he said,

No American prisoner of war will be forgotten by the United States. Every available means will be employed by our government to establish contact with, to support and to obtain the release of all our prisoners of war. Furthermore, the laws of the United States provide for the support and care of dependents of members of the armed forces including those who become prisoners of war. I assure dependents of such prisoners that these laws will continue to provide for their welfare.2

In general, the military establishment has had responsibility to provide services for the next-of-kin and dependents of those soldiers who

---

are heads of households—the family of procreation. But the military cannot overlook the family of the unmarried soldier—the family of orientation. These soldiers are frequently young and not yet mature enough to have established psychological independence from their parents in spite of the fact that they are away from home. And the parents, on their part, are all too frequently unwilling to permit their sons to establish this psychological independence even if they wish to do so. Consequently, such parents have protective attitudes toward their offspring which makes them readily anxious and perturbed and their reactions are often reflected in problems for the son and for military agencies. These difficulties can be exacerbated by the limited or nonexistent communication between the military establishment and members of the soldier's family of orientation.

But in addition to the enhancement of morale, the military establishment must be concerned with the next-of-kin for another reason, and that is the possible attempted exploitation of next-of-kin of these three classes of soldiers mentioned above by foreign and domestic propagandists for purposes of international politics, the subversion of armed forces or civilian morale, or simply the arrogation of the public sympathy attached to these persons for ulterior personal or political purposes. Families of prisoners of war and detainees have been the subjects of notorious, ulteriorally-motivated exploitation during the entire cold war period.

III. Approach

It is proposed therefore, that a study be done to explore (1) the kinds of stresses and strains which face the next-of-kin of prisoners of war and of those killed in action or missing in action and (2) their
adaptation or adjustment to these facts. It is known in a general way that the prolonged absence of the soldier-head of the household from his family leads to a profound disturbance in the structure of the family. The removal of the husband or father disturbs the delicate balance of the family because the customary roles and relationships have been drastically altered. The remaining members must create new patterns of relationships which normally lead in time to a new state of equilibrium. It is during this initial phase—following the removal of the head of the household—that the family is most vulnerable. And then, if the head of the household returns, the new equilibrium is disturbed, and once again the family seeks to establish a new pattern, but frequently, the experience of the head of the household as a prisoner of war, or as a man in combat and the experience of the wife as a relatively independent person create serious adjustment problems. It is anticipated that the differences in family structure and functioning should appear as between families where the head of the household is missing, is a prisoner of war or is killed in action. This is anticipated because of the finality of the category "killed in action" as against the indeterminacy of the categories "missing in action" and "prisoner of war."

The proposed study should also examine the kinds of services which the armed forces provide to these next-of-kin, the utilization rate of these services by next-of-kin, and their perceptions and evaluation of such services. In addition, an inventory should be made of the kinds of services presently available to next-of-kin by civilian agencies such as

as the American Red Cross, the Veterans Administration, various veterans organizations, and so forth.

IV. Outline of Work to be Done

1. An historical survey will be made of social welfare programs—both military and civilian—which are available to the next-of-kin.

2. A literature review will be undertaken to determine what work has been done on the adjustment problems of families of war casualties. Attention will be given to work done in military settings as well as in environments containing comparable stresses.

3. Personal interviews will be conducted with matched groups of next-of-kin of (a) prisoners of war, (b) men killed in action, (c) men listed as missing in action; and control groups of (d) men serving in combat in Viet Nam, and (e) men in Zone-of-Interior combat units where families of married soldiers are intact.

4. Plans will be made for the possible event of an exchange of prisoners so that interviews can be obtained with next-of-kin to determine what changes occur and what, if any, problems develop following return of the absent partners.

V. Statement of Work

Phase I: Problem delineation.--The project staff will become thoroughly acquainted with available information concerning the planned and contemplated programs of the Department of the Army in family services. In addition, they will familiarize themselves with the contributions which civilian agencies make to these services.

Additional attention will be centered upon the organization for and the communication process that exists between the Army and next-of-kin
and especially those communications which tend to establish and shape the perceptions of families and next-of-kin regarding family services.

On the basis of the information thus collected, the study will systematically specify variables and conditions which will be the object of further study. This delineation of problems will involve review of pertinent documents, conferences with Army officials and other service personnel, and coordination with appropriate agencies within the Army.

**Phase 2: The literature review.**—A systematic and critical review will be conducted of relevant scientific literature pertinent to the stresses which are imposed upon the families and next-of-kin in situations (a) where the heads of the households are involved in potentially life-threatening work, such as test pilots, astronauts, race-car drivers, and coal miners, for example; or (b) where they are subject to prolonged absence of either a determinate or indeterminate nature as in the case of seamen, or fishermen, or those who are confined in institutions such as prisons or mental hospitals. Particular attention will be paid to the way these families cope with the uncertainties in their lives, the extent to which these families receive support from other relatives, friendship groups, or from the more formal institutions in the community.

The material to be covered will include studies from the fields of psychology, social psychology, sociology, medicine, and social work. Full use will be made of the Defense Documentation Center, the National Library of Medicine, the Library of Congress and other applicable sources of scientific information. The delineation of problems performed under Phase 1 will be further refined.

**Phase 3: Field visits and interviews.**—On or about the fourth month the project staff will begin to interview the next-of-kin and other
members of the families of (a) all soldiers classified as missing, captured or interned, (b) a matched population of soldiers killed in action in the fighting in Viet Nam, (c) a matched group of soldiers currently serving in combat units in Viet Nam, and (d) servicemen presently stationed within the continental limits of the United States. The groups will be matched for age, marital status, service specialty, date of casualty or time in theatre, component, and rank. Because of the present small number of cases involved, however, it may not be possible to match perfectly, but every attempt will be made to maximize the matching process.

Because of the sensitive nature of the kind of information to be sought, the interviewers will take every care to avoid offense to the sensibilities of the respondents. If in the course of the interviewing, unresolved family problems emerge, the interviewers will refer these problem cases to the appropriate military offices. The precise number of interviews cannot be specified at this time, primarily because of the changing number of persons classified as missing, captured, or interned. The Department of Defense Release on casualties, dated 15 October 1966, indicated that this number was 36. As the time draws nearer for the actual field work, precise specification of the number of interviews will be made. The interviews would be designed to describe the roles and relationships within the family units before separation, to determine what if any changes have occurred within the family units following separation; to ascertain the strengths and weaknesses of the postseparation families; to ascertain the support given to the family units by other relatives and friends, as well as by the military; to assess the availability of military services to the families, and the utilization of such services by the families, and their evaluation of such services.
During this phase of the research which is presumed to continue for approximately one year, various working papers will be prepared which will explore subjects of concern to the Surgeon General of the Army and to other offices within the Army. These papers will summarize the implications of various findings for possible use in modifying programs designed to provide services to families of missing personnel and prisoners of war.

**Phase 4: Interviews with repatriated prisoners of war and their families.** It is anticipated that some of the 36 soldiers now listed as missing or captured plus any additions will on cessation of hostilities be repatriated and returned to Army control. Standby plans (see Biderman, A. D. "Anticipatory Studies and Stand-By Research," page 278 in *Social Indicators*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1966) will be available for interviewing the prisoners, with a focus upon their experiences in captivity, and for interviewing the next-of-kin after a suitable passage of time to determine the nature and extent of family adjustment problems. Execution of Phase 4 will be dependent upon its feasibility from the military point of view, and the compatibility of the task with uncompleted phases of the research.

Furthermore, as a result of the field work, it may become desirable to interview an additional group of next-of-kin of married men who have completed their tour of duty in Viet Nam to explore anticipated family adjustment problems following return to the family of the absent partner.

**Phase 5: Integration of findings and final report.** The final report will integrate various working papers compiled in prior research into a document which reviews the general problems of family service for
missing personnel, which will contain specific recommendations for change or modification where indicated and which will identify those areas where additional specific scientific inquiry may be profitable.

In addition to the various working papers and reports, the research team will hold periodic meetings with the project monitor and representatives of other staff agencies with an interest and responsibility in the general area of family services. Such meetings should assure an informal exchange of observations relevant to policy, training, and the provision of informational materials.

PROJECTED WORK PLAN

| 12/15/66 | 6/15/67 | 1/1/68 | 6/1/68 |

Phase 1
(Problem Delineation)

Phase 2
(Review of Literature)

Phase 3
(Field Visits and Interviews of Next-of-Kin)

Phase 4
(Interviews with Repatriated POW)

Phase 5
(Integration of Findings and Reporting)
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VIII. Estimated Costs

1. Direct Costs

A. Personal Services

- Study Director - Biderman - 13 wks. $4,850
- Research Associates - Meyers - 78 wks. $21,325
- Monroe - 13 wks. $5,930
- Crawford - 9 wks. $8,985
- Research Analysts - Weir - 18 wks. $4,200
- Interviewers - 35 wks. $8,580
- Data Collection & Processing Personnel - Lyerly - 2 wks. $2,610
- Jones - 2 wks. $1,900
- Goldstein - 5 wks. $1,420
- Stat. clerk and coders - 7 wks. $1,020
- Technical typists - 35 wks. $4,200
- Project Secretary - 78 wks. $8,580

Fringe benefits $14,970

Total Direct Costs $71,450

B. Other

- Consultants - 10 days @ $75 McIntyre - 18 wks. @ $250 $5,250
- Telephone - $635
- Postage - $475
- Printing and reproduction - $1,900
- Machine processing - $850
- Supplies $150
- Travel - 10 trips @ $200 $9,330
- 360 man-days @ $16 (2.5 days/ interview, 144 interviews) Admin. and local travel - $1,000; Consultant travel - $570 $18,590

Total Other Costs $24,160

2. Indirect Costs

- Overhead (provisional rate 53% of l.A.) $37,870

Total Costs $127,910

3. Fee

- $10,740

Grand Total $138,650