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ABSTRACT

The problem of loss of stability of marine vehicles under cross track error control in the presence of mathematical versus actual system mismatch is analyzed. Emphasis is placed on studying the response of the system after initial loss of stability of straight line motion. Center manifold reduction and integral averaging techniques are used in order to study the bifurcations to periodic solutions and stability of the resulting limit cycles. Numerical integrations are utilized to confirm the theoretical results and to establish regions of asymptotic stability. The methods used in this work demonstrate the significance of nonlinear terms in assessing the final response of the system.
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# TABLE OF SYMBOLS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>dummy independent variable, or yaw rate coefficient in Nomoto’s model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>equivalent spring restoring moment coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_3$</td>
<td>slowing down effect of rudder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>linearized system matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>rudder angle in Nomoto’s model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>parameter for variance of gain and hydrodynamic coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{ent}$</td>
<td>bifurcation value of $c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_D$</td>
<td>drag coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_x$</td>
<td>vehicle mass moment of inertia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>cubic stability coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_e, K_r, K_y$</td>
<td>controller gains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>vehicle mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>yaw moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_a$</td>
<td>derivative of $N$ with respect to $a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PAH$</td>
<td>Poincare’-Andronov-Hopf Bifurcation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>yaw rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>polar coordinate of transformed reduced system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>matrix of eigenvectors of $A$, or limit cycle period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>sway velocity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
X  state variables vector
xG  body fixed coordinate of vehicle center of gravity
y  deviation off the commanded path
Y  sway force
Y'  derivative of Y with respect to a
z  stable variables vector in canonical form
z1, z2  critical variables of z
z3  stable coordinate of z
α0, α1, α2  coefficients of desired characteristic equation
β  real part of critical pair of eigenvalues
β'  derivative of β with respect to c evaluated at c_{crit}
δ  rudder angle control law
δ0  linearized rudder angle control law
δ_{sat}  saturation limit of rudder angle
ε  critical difference c - c_{crit}
θ  polar coordinate of transformed reduced system
ψ  vehicle heading angle
ωn  natural frequency
ω  imaginary part of critical pair of eigenvalues
ω'  derivative of ω with respect to c evaluated at c_{crit}
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I. INTRODUCTION

Accurate path control of ships and underwater vehicles along prescribed geographical paths is a fundamental problem which is becoming increasingly important, particularly as the missions of ocean vehicles become more sophisticated with strict requirements for performance. In order for a control law to be able to perform its mission in a realistic operational scenario it has to be robust enough so that it can maintain stability and accuracy of operations in the presence of modeling errors and environmental uncertainties. The robustness properties of the design are particularly important due to the unpredictable nature of the ocean environment and the changes in the hydrodynamic characteristics of the vehicle during turning, changes in the forward speed, or operations in proximity to other objects in the area. For these reasons, there exists a need for the analysis of the robustness characteristics of a particular control law design and the establishment of a rational operational envelope based on stability and performance criteria. Previous studies (Parsons & Cuong, 1977) showed that gain adaptation is highly desirable due to changes in the linearized vehicle hydrodynamics with different operating conditions, such as depth under keel. The resulting adaptation scheme (Parsons & Cuong, 1980) required significant vehicle motion which may be undesirable when operating in restricted water, or in object recognition and localization tasks. Integral control techniques (Parsons & Cuong, 1981) proved quite effective, but neglected the behavior of the vehicle which becomes very important at low speeds and
hover operations. Model based compensators exhibit robust behavior under conditions of parameter uncertainty which is as good as the classical linear quadratic regulators for linear output feedback systems (Healey, 1992). Alternatively, sliding mode controllers exhibit very robust characteristics given an estimate of the parameter uncertainty and/or disturbances (Papoulias & Healey, 1992), (Yoeger & Slotine, 1985). Sliding mode control, however, does not offer an infinitely robust design, and it suffers from a series of bifurcation phenomena and loss of stability unless proper care is exercised (Papoulias, 1991).

In this work we analyze the problem of loss of stability of a path keeping control law under conditions far from nominal. We assume that the autopilot has been designed based on a nominal model, whereas the actual system is different. For demonstration purposes we employ a linear full state feedback control law, but the methods are quite general and can be used for other designs as well. The main loss of stability cases analyzed here occur in the form of generic bifurcations to periodic solutions (Guckenheimer & Holmes, 1983). We use center manifold reduction techniques and averaging in order to capture the stability properties of the resulting limit cycles (Chow & Mallet-Paret, 1977). Particular emphasis is placed on the control gains as the primary bifurcation parameters, since they are related to gain margins in linear control theory (Friedland, 1986). We make extensive use of numerical integrations in order to confirm the theoretical results. All computations in this work are conducted for the NPS autonomous underwater vehicle (Bahrke, 1992), and all results are presented in standard
dimensionless quantities with respect to vehicle length, 7.3 ft. and nominal forward speed, 2 ft/sec.
II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. INTRODUCTION

The equations of motion of an ocean vehicle in the horizontal plane are presented in this chapter. A linear feedback control law is designed based on the linearized equations in yaw, sway, and rate of change of heading angle and lateral deviation error to provide path keeping. Loss of stability is examined for small changes from nominal in feedback gains, and system properties.

B. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The mathematical modeling of a steering system of a vehicle consists of the nonlinear sway and yaw equations of motion. Newton's equations of motion in a moving coordinate frame fixed at the ships geometrical center are

\[ m(\dot{v}+x_G) = Y_f + Y_r + Y_s + Y_{\delta_s} + Y_{\delta_b} \]
\[ \dot{Y}_r = \int C_D h(\zeta) (v-\zeta r) |v-\zeta r| d\zeta \]

\[ I_\zeta \dot{\zeta} + m x_G (v+r) = N_{\delta_s} + N_{\delta_b} + N_s \delta_s + N_b \delta_b \]
\[ \dot{\zeta} = \int C_D h(\zeta) (v+\zeta r) |v+\zeta r| d\zeta \]

---

1 Equations are nondimensionalized with constant forward speed \( u \), ship length \( L \), and the dimensionless time being \( t u/L \).
where only nonzero terms are kept in the model and the symbols are explained in the nomenclature. The cross flow integral drag terms in the equations of motion are very important in low speeds, but for higher speeds their effect is much smaller and the steering response predominantly linear. Also for maximum maneuverability, the vehicle bow rudder is deflected at the same amount and opposite to the stern rudder.

Equations (1), and (2) can be written in the form

\[ \dot{v} = a_{11}v + a_{12}r + b_1 \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ r = a_{21}v - a_{22}r - b_2 \delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
Da_{11} &= (I_z - N_r) Y_v - (m X_G - Y_r) N_v, \\
Da_{12} &= (I_z - N_r)(m - Y_v) - (m X_G - Y_r)(n_v - m X_G), \\
Da_{21} &= (m - Y_v) N_v - (m X_G - N_v) Y_v, \\
Da_{22} &= (m - Y_v)(N_r - m X_G) - (m X_G - N_v)(Y_r - m). \\
Db_1 &= (I_{2N}) (Y_{b_1} - Y_{b_2}) - (m X_G - Y_r)(N_{b_1} - N_{b_2}), \\
Db_2 &= (m - Y_v)(N_{b_1} - N_{b_2}), \\
D &= (I_z - N_r)(m - Y_v) - (m X_G - Y_r)(N_r - m X_G).
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The transfer function between rudder angle \( \delta \) and yaw angular velocity \( r \) is obtained from Equations (3) and (4).

\[
\frac{r}{\delta} = \frac{b_2 S - (a_{21}b_1 - a_{11}b_2)}{S^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22}) S + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

For low frequency maneuvering motions this second order equation can be approximated by expanding in Taylor series and keeping only the first order terms only.
\[ \frac{r}{\delta} = \frac{b}{s-a} \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{r} = ar - b\delta \]  \quad (7)

where

\[ a = \frac{(a_1a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})(a + 21b_1 - a_{11}b_2)}{(a_{11} + a_{22})(a_{21}b_1 - a_{11}b_2) - b_2(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})} \]  \quad (8)

\[ b = \frac{(a_2, b_1 - a_{11}b_1)^2}{(a_{11} + a_{22})(a_{21}b_1 - a_{11}b_2) - b_2(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})} \]

Equation (7). Nomoto's Equation is very useful in control system design since no sway velocity feedback necessary, and it gives the fundamental turning performance. At steady state, Equation (6) turns out to be

\[ r = 0 \]
\[ ar - b\delta = 0 \]  \quad (9)
\[ r = \frac{b\delta}{a} \]

From Equation (9) it can be seen that the relationship between yaw rate and rudder control angle are linear with a slope \( b/a \). Experimental results show that the actual relationship is not linear. Large increases in rudder angle fail to increase the yaw rate according to the amount predicted from Equation (9).

The linear change in the time derivative of yaw rate due to rudder angle can be augmented by a nonlinear term of the form \( 'a_4r^3' \). This is introduced to model the appropriate speed loss during turning. The term \( 'a_4' \) has the same sign as \( 'a' \). The new yaw equation is therefore
Figure 1 Difference between Nomoto's equations and experimental results.

The model becomes complete with the expression of yaw rate and the inertial deviation rate from the commanded path. Therefore the coupled nonlinear equations of motion for the marine vehicle in the horizontal plane are

\[ r = ar + a_3 r^3 + b\delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ r = \sin \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[ \dot{r} = ar - a_1 r^3 + b\delta \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ y = \sin \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

This system of equations forms the basis for the controller design.
C. CONTROL LAW

Equations (11), (12), (13) govern the steering control of the model used in this section. The control law can be expressed as,

$$\delta = \delta_{sat} \tanh\left(\frac{\delta_o}{\delta_{sat}}\right)$$

(14)

where in the vicinity of $\psi = r = y = 0$ we have
\[ \delta_0 = K_\psi \psi + K_r r + K_y y \]  

(15)

\[ \delta \] is the rudder angle and \( K_\psi, K_r, K_y \) are the control gains of the system. The linear control law is \( \delta_0 \). The rudder angle \( \delta \) is defined by a hyperbolic tangent function to include the saturation to our problem as shown in Figure (3). Saturation occurs at \( \delta_{\text{sat}} \) which is the saturation limit generally can be taken as 0.4 rad. The linearized form of equation of motions in the vicinity of \( \psi = r = y = 0 \) are

\[ \psi = r \]  

(16)

\[ \dot{r} = ar + b\delta_0 \]  

(17)

\[ \dot{y} = \psi \]  

(18)

![Figure 3](image)

These equations can be expressed in space state form as

\[ \dot{X} = AX \]  

(19)
A is the Jacobian matrix of the system and $X$ is the state vector

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
 bK_x & a+bK_r & bK_y \\
 1 & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(20)

$$
X = [ \psi, r, y ]^T
$$

(21)

The characteristic equation of the matrix $A$ is

$$
\lambda^3-(a+bK_r)\lambda^2-bK_x\lambda-bK_y=0
$$

(22)

The desired characteristic equation has the form

$$
\lambda^3+\alpha_2\lambda^2+\alpha_1\lambda+\alpha_0=0
$$

(23)

The gains can be generated in terms of the coefficient of actual and desired characteristic equation from Equations (22) and (23),

$$
K_x = -\frac{\alpha_1}{b}
$$

(24)

$$
K_r = -\frac{\alpha_2-a}{b}
$$

(25)

$$
K_y = -\frac{\alpha_0}{b}
$$

(26)

The desired characteristic equation can be written with respect to the desired natural frequency and some optimum coefficients. The ITAE criterion for a third order equation is (Dorf, 1992)
\[ S^3 + 1.75 \omega_n S^2 + 2.15 \omega_n^2 S + \omega_n^3 = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

Therefore the control gains can be calculated for a given natural frequency, as

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_0 &= \omega_n^3 \\
\alpha_1 &= 2.15 \omega_n^2 \\
\alpha_2 &= 1.75 \omega_n
\end{align*} \hspace{1cm} (28)
\]

D. LOSS OF STABILITY

1. Introduction

The control law guarantees stability if all real parts of eigenvalues of the jacobian matrix are negative. Equation (23) is stable with the chosen control gains. A small perturbation in the control gains or coefficients of the system affects its stability. In this section we presented the computations for the critical value for change in the gains and the coefficients of the system.

2. Stability Considerations

For a system with a third order characteristic equation, the Routh Hurwitz criterion (Dorf, 1992) requires for stability

\[ \alpha_2 \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0 \] \hspace{1cm} (29)

a. Perturbation in \( K_i \)

The change in \( K_i \) is defined by a coefficient \( \epsilon \), where \( \epsilon \) is any real number. The linearized equations of the system for a small change in \( K_i \), are
\[ \psi = r \]
\[ \dot{r} = ar + b \delta_0 \]
\[ \dot{y} = \psi \]

(30)

where the control law is

\[ \delta_0 = cK_y \psi + K_r r + K_y y \]

(31)

Therefore the characteristic equation is

\[ \lambda^3 - (a + bK_r) \lambda^2 - c b K_y \lambda - bK_y = 0 \]

(32)

Equation (32) should meet the Routh Hurwitz criterion for stability.

\[ (a - bK_r) c b K_y z - bK_y \]

(33)

The critical value of \( c \) where a stability change occurs in the system is presented in Equation (34)

\[ c_{crit} = \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \]

(34)

Using the coefficients, the critical \( c \) is

\[ c_{crit} = 0.2658 \]

(35)
b. Perturbation In $K_r$

Similarly for perturbation in $K_r$, the characteristic equation is

$$\lambda^3 - (a + cbK_r)\lambda^2 - bK_r\lambda - bK = 0$$

(36)

The critical value of $c_r$,

$$c_{crK_r} = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1a}{\alpha_1(\alpha_2 + a)}$$

(37)

or

$$c_{crK_r} = \frac{\omega_n \cdot 2.15a}{215(1.75 \omega_n + a)}$$

(38)
c. Perturbation In $K_r$

The results for changes in $K_y$ are presented similarly. The characteristic equation, is

$$\lambda^3 - (a + bK_y) \lambda^2 - bK_y \lambda - bcK_y = 0$$

The critical value of $c$ is

$$c_{crit_y} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_0}$$

$$c_{crit_y} = 3.7625$$
$d$. Perturbation in $a$

After similar calculations the critical value of $c$ is

$$c_{crit} = \frac{(\alpha_2 - a) \alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{a \alpha_1}$$

or

$$c_{crit} = \frac{1.28484 \omega}{a} + 1$$

Figure 6 $c_{crit}$ versus natural frequency for $K_y$. 
Figure 7 $c_{\text{crit}}$ versus natural frequency for $a$

e. Perturbation in $b$

After similar calculations as with the other cases the characteristic equation is,

$$\lambda^3 + (c(a_2 + a) - a)\lambda^2 - c\lambda + c\alpha_0 = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

and the critical values are

$$c_{\text{crit}} = \frac{\alpha_0 + a}{\alpha_1(a_2 + a)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)$$

or
Examining Equation (46), we note that all the coefficients of polynomial
must have the same sign, if all the roots are in the left hand plane. The necessary
conditions for stability are,

\[ c \geq 0 \]

\[ c(\alpha_2 + a) - a \geq 0 \] (47)

The system is unstable for all values of the natural frequency if \( c \) is less than zero. When
the natural frequency is in the interval \( 0 \leq \omega_n \leq |a/1.75| \) stability changes from stable
to unstable with an increase in \( c \) (Figure (8)) for positive values of \( c \). In the interval
\( \omega_n \geq |2.15a| \) stability changes from unstable to stable while \( c \) crosses the critical point
again for positive values of \( c \). In both cases a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues
crosses the imaginary axis. Stability is also lost when \( c \) becomes negative, but this is
associated with a real eigenvalue crossing zero. In this work we concentrate on the \( c > 0 \)
case since in applications it is unlikely that a change in the sign of \( b \) will occur.
Figure 8  $c_{\text{crit}}$ versus natural frequency for b. The $c_{\text{crit}}$ goes to infinity asymptotically at $\omega_n = -a/1.75$. 
III. HOPF BIFURCATION

A. INTRODUCTION

Hopf bifurcation is the simplest bifurcation, in which under the variation of a single control parameter a stable focus equilibrium bifurcates into an unstable focus surrounded by a growing limit cycle. As $c$ crosses the critical value one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues of the linear system matrix crosses transversely the imaginary axis. In this generic Poincare- Andronov-Hopf bifurcation a family of periodic solutions coexists with the stable unstable nominal equilibrium state. Locally as $c$ approaches $c_{cr}$ the periodic solutions are located on the two dimensional Euclidean plane spanned by the eigenvectors of Jacobian matrix of the system which corresponds to the critical pair of eigenvalues. In this chapter stability properties of the periodic solutions are established. In order to establish those properties the main nonlinear terms that dominate the system are isolated. Center manifold theory is used to reduce the flow to a two dimensional manifold. The method of averaging is applied to the reduced system. Hopf bifurcations are examined for small changes in each gain and system dynamics coefficients.
B. THIRD ORDER EXPANSION OF THE SYSTEM EQUATIONS

1. Perturbation in \( K \)

In this case the equations of motions are

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= r \\
\dot{r} &= ar + a_3 r^3 + b \delta \\
\dot{\psi} &= \sin \psi
\end{align*}
\]  

(48)

where

\[
\delta = \delta_{sat} \tanh \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_{sat}} \right)
\]

(49)

\[
\delta_0 = c K \psi - K_r r + K_y y
\]

The trivial equilibrium state is characterized by \( \psi = r = y = 0 \). Taylor expansion of the nonlinear terms about the equilibrium gives

\[
\sin \psi = \psi - \frac{\psi^3}{3!} - O(5)
\]

\[
\delta = \delta_0 - \frac{\delta_0^3}{3 \delta_{sat}^2} - O(5)
\]

(50)

The system equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= r \\
\dot{r} &= ar + a_3 r^3 + b \delta_0 - \frac{b \delta_0^3}{3 \delta_{sat}^3} \\
\dot{\psi} &= \psi - \frac{\psi^3}{6}
\end{align*}
\]  

(51)
In state space form they are written as

\[ \dot{X} = AX + g^3(X) \]  

(52)

where \( A \) is the linearized system matrix, and \( g^3(x) \) contains the nonlinear terms,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\text{c}bK_y & \text{a} + \text{b}K_y & \text{b}K_y \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(53)

\[
g_1^3(x) = 0 \\
g_2^3(x) = -\frac{b}{3\delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \delta_0^3 \\
g_3^3(x) = -\frac{\psi^3}{6}
\]

(54)

where the \( \delta_0^3 \) term is computed as

\[
\delta_0^3 = \text{c}K_y^3\psi^3 + \text{K}_y^2r^3 + \text{K}_y^3y^3 \\
3\text{c}^2K_y^2(K_yr + K_yy) + 3K_y^2r^2(\text{c}K_y\psi + K_yr) \\
3K_y^2y^2(\text{c}K_y^2 + K_yr) + 6\text{c}K_yK_y\psi \text{r}r
\]

(55)

With the computed gains from equations (24), (25), (26), Jacobian matrix is

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\text{c}\alpha_1 & -\text{a}_2 & -\text{a}_0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(56)

The eigenvalues of matrix \( A \) are computed at the bifurcation point \( c_{\text{crit}} \) from equation (34) where a pair of complex conjugate roots with real parts are obtained.
\[ \lambda_1 = j \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \]  
\[ \lambda_2 = -j \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \]  
\[ \lambda_3 = -\alpha_2 \]  

For the above system of equations a transformation matrix of eigenvectors can be introduced,

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} & -\alpha_2 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0}} & -1/\alpha_2 
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(58)

The transformation

\[ x = Tz \quad , \quad z = T^{-1}x \]  

(59)

transforms the system into its normal coordinate form.

\[ \dot{z} = T^{-1}ATz + T^{-1}g^3(Tz) \]  

(60)

where.
The transformation of physical variables to normal coordinates is

\[ x = T z \]

\[ \psi = \alpha \sqrt{z_1 + z_2} \]

\[ r = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{z_2}} \sqrt{z_2 - \alpha_2 z_1} \]

\[ y = \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{z_2}} \sqrt{1 + z_2} \]
The coordinate $z_1$ corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$, which is real and negative. $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$ denote the complex conjugate pair with zero real parts. At the critical point two distinct eigenspaces are spanned by the eigenvectors associated with the two sets of eigenvalues. These eigenspaces can be viewed as local approximations of the invariant manifolds the center and the stable manifold. The system exhibits its essential bifurcational behavior on the centre manifold associated with $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$. Centre manifold theory reduces the flow to a two dimensional manifold (Hopf bifurcation). According to centre manifold theory, the coordinate $z_1$ is expressed in terms of $z_1$ and $z_2$, but this expression is higher order. Equation (64) can be written as.

\[ \psi = z_1 \]

\[ r = \alpha \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2}} z_2 \]

\[ y = \alpha \frac{\alpha_1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2}} z_2 \]  

Substituting the equations (65) to (60) the system equations in normal coordinates become
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} z_2 - r_{11} z_1^3 + r_{12} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{13} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{14} z_2^3 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} z_1 + r_{21} z_1^3 - r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 - r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 - r_{24} z_2^3
\end{align*}
\]

(66)

The terms \( r_{ij} \) are computed later from our equations at the bifurcation point \( c_{\text{crit}} \). For values of \( c \) in the neighborhood of the critical point, equation (66) becomes.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_1 &= \beta' \epsilon z_1 - \left( \omega' \epsilon - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \right) z_2 - r_{11} z_1^3 + r_{12} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{13} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{14} z_2^3 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= \left( \omega' \epsilon - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \right) z_1 + \beta' \epsilon z_2 + r_{21} z_1^3 + r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{24} z_2^3
\end{align*}
\]

(67)

The parameter \( \epsilon \) is the difference between \( c_{\text{crit}} \) and \( c \) or, \( c = c_{\text{crit}} + \epsilon \). The terms \( \beta' \) and \( \omega' \) are the derivative of the real and imaginary parts of eigenvalues with respect to \( c \) evaluated at \( c = c_{\text{crit}} \), and computed from a perturbation series approach. The perturbation is expressed as follows from equation (34).

\[
c = \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} + \epsilon
\]

(68)

The characteristic equation can be written as follows for a small change for \( K_\ast \) in the neighborhood of \( c_{\text{crit}} \).

\[
\lambda^3 + \alpha_2 \lambda^2 - \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2} + \alpha_1 \epsilon \right) \lambda + \alpha_0 = 0
\]

(69)
The solutions of equation (69) are presented in equation (70)

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = b_1 e^{+j\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} + c_1\epsilon\right)}
\]

\[
\lambda_3 = -\alpha_2 + a_1 \epsilon
\]

The variables \( b_1 \) and \( c_1 \) can be found by substituting equation (70) to (69) and neglecting the successive powers \( \epsilon^2, \epsilon^3 \).

\[
b_1 = -\frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_1}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2^3)}
\]

\[
c_1 = \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2} \frac{\alpha_1}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2^3)}
\]

\( \beta' \) and \( \omega' \) can be expressed by the definition of the derivative where \( \epsilon \) is zero in the limit.

\[
\beta' = \frac{b_1 e^{-0}}{\epsilon} = b_1
\]

\[
\omega' = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} + c_1\epsilon\right) - \sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\epsilon} = c_1
\]

Equation (72) can be written in terms of the desired natural frequency.

\[
\beta' = -0.6841 \omega_n
\]

\[
\omega' = 0.5171 \omega_n
\]
a. Calculations of \( r_{ij} \) Terms

The terms \( r_{ij} \) are generated by the last term of equation (60), where

\[ T^{-1}g^3(Tz) \text{ is the third order nonlinear part. From equations (61) and (54)} \]

\[
T^{-1}g^3(Tz) = \frac{a_0 3^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}}{a_0 \alpha_2^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_0^{3\alpha_2}}{a_0 \alpha_2^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}} & \frac{a_0}{a_0 \alpha_2^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}} & 0 \\ -1 & a_2 & \frac{a_0}{a_0 \alpha_2^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}} \\ -1 & a_2 & \frac{a_0}{a_0 \alpha_2^{\alpha_2 (a_2 + a_0)}} \end{bmatrix}
\]

(74)

where,

\[
\delta_0^3 = \frac{1}{b^3} \left( \left( \frac{a_0}{a_2} \right)^3 z_1^3 + 3 \left( \frac{a_0}{a_2} \right)^{5/2} a z_1^2 z_2 + 3 \left( \frac{a_0}{a_2} \right)^2 a^2 z_1 z_2^2 + a^3 \left( \frac{a_0}{a_2} \right)^{3/2} z_2^3 \right)
\]

(75)

After expanding the first row of equation (74) and organizing the terms, we get

\[
r_{11} = \frac{a_2}{a_0} \left( a_2 + a_0 \right) \left( \frac{1}{3} b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{6} \right)
\]

(76)

\[
r_{12} = \left( \frac{a_0}{a_2} \right)^{1/2} \left( a_2^3 + a_0 \right) \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2}
\]

(77)

\[
r_{13} = \left( a_2^3 + a_0 \right) \frac{a^2}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2}
\]

(78)
\[ r_{14} = -\left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0} \right) (a_3 + \frac{a^3}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}}) \]  

(79)

Similarly after expanding the second row of equation (74) and organizing, we get

\[ r_{21} = -\left( a_2^3 + a_0 \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{a_2^{5/2}}{\alpha_0^{3/2}} \right\} \]  

(80)

\[ r_{22} = \frac{a_0}{a_2^2} \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \]  

(81)

\[ r_{23} = -\frac{a_0^{1/2}}{a_2^{3/2}} \left( a_2^3 + a_0 \right) \frac{a^2}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \]  

(82)

\[ r_{24} = \frac{a_2^3 + a_0}{\alpha_2} \left( a_3 + \frac{a^3}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \right) \]  

(83)
b. Averaging

Equation (67) can be written in a simpler form.

\[
\dot{z}_1 = \beta'e z_1 - \left( \omega' e + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \right) z_2 + F_1(z_1, z_2) \\
\dot{z}_2 = \left( \omega' e + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} \right) z_1 + \beta'e z_2 + F_2(z_1, z_2)
\]

(84)

where \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) contain the third order expansion terms. The use of polar coordinates makes it possible to decouple equation (84). We use the polar transformation

\[
z_1 = R \cos(\theta) \quad \quad z_2 = R \sin(\theta)
\]

After some algebra, equation (83) can be expressed in terms of \( R \) and \( \theta \).

\[
\dot{R} = \beta'e R + F_1(R \cos(\theta), R \sin(\theta)) \cos(\theta) + F_2(R \cos(\theta), R \sin(\theta)) \sin(\theta)
\]

(85)

\[
R \dot{\theta} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha_2} + \omega' e \right) R + F_2(R \cos(\theta), R \sin(\theta)) \cos(\theta) - F_1(R \cos(\theta), R \sin(\theta)) \sin(\theta)
\]

(86)

Equation (85) can be written as follows,

\[
\dot{R} = \beta'e R + P(\theta) R^3
\]

(87)

where \( P(\theta) \) is \( 2\pi \) periodic in the angular coordinate \( \theta \).

\[
P(\theta) = r_{11} \cos^4(\theta) + r_{12} \cos^3(\theta) \sin(\theta) + r_{13} \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\theta) + r_{14} \cos(\theta) \sin^3(\theta) + 2r_{15} \cos^3(\theta) \sin(\theta) + r_{16} \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\theta) + r_{17} \cos(\theta) \sin^3(\theta) + r_{18} \sin^4(\theta)
\]

(88)

Equation (87) is averaged over one cycle to obtain an equation with constant coefficients.
\[
\dot{R} = \beta'e R + KR^3
\]

where \( K \) is defined by.

\[
K = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(\theta) d\theta
\]

Equation (90) is simplified as equation (91) after evaluation of the integral.

\[
K = \frac{1}{8} [3r_{11} + r_{13} + r_{22} + 3r_{24}]
\]

Equation (91) can be expressed in terms of the natural frequency.

\[
K = 0.452 \left[ 3a^2 \omega_n^2 + \frac{\omega_n^2}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \left( \omega_n^3 + \frac{a}{1.75} \omega_n + a^3 \right) - \frac{1.75^2}{2} \omega_n \right]
\]

Equation (87) can be expressed as.

\[
\dot{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} + \omega'e - F(\theta) R^2
\]

where.

\[
F(\theta) = r_{21} \cos^4(\theta) + r_{22} \cos^3(\theta) \sin(\theta) + r_{23} \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\theta) + r_{24} \cos(\theta) \sin^3(\theta) - r_{11} \cos^3(\theta) \sin(\theta) - r_{12} \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2(\theta) - r_{13} \cos(\theta) \sin^3(\theta) - r_{14} \sin^4(\theta)
\]

After averaging equation (93), a constant coefficient \( M \) is formed.
\[ \dot{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}} - \omega' \epsilon - MR^2 \]  

(95)

where \( M \) is defined by,

\[ M = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi}{0} F(\theta) d\theta \]  

(96)

or in terms of natural frequency,

\[ M = 0.7949 \omega^3_n \left( \frac{3.9686}{\omega_n} - \frac{2.0256}{\omega_n} + \frac{1}{b^2/\delta_{sat}^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1.75 \omega_n^2}} \right) \]  

(97)

2. **Perturbation in \( K_r \)**

The calculation of the formulas for the parameters \( K_r, K_s, a \) and \( b \) is similar to the calculation for \( K_\psi \). Therefore in the following sections only the main results are presented. The system equations for a change in \( K_r \) are

\[ \psi = r \]

\[ \dot{r} = ar + a_3 r^3 + b \delta \]  

(98)

\[ \dot{\psi} = \sin \psi \]

\[ \delta = \delta_{sat} \tanh \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_{sat}} \right) \]  

(99)

\[ \delta_0 = K_\psi \psi + cK_r r + K_s \psi \]

The Jacobian matrix is
The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are calculated at the bifurcation point from equation (38).

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = \pm j \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \]

\[ \lambda_3 = -\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \]

The state vector is presented in normal coordinates where \( z_1 \) corresponds to \( \lambda_1 \).

\[ \psi = z_1 \]

\[ r = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_2}} z_2 \]

\[ v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} z_2 \]

The system equation in normal coordinates are.

\[ \dot{z}_1 = \beta' e z_1 - (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) z_2 - r_{11} z_1^3 - r_{12} z_1 z_2 + r_{13} z_1^2 z_2 - r_{14} z_1 z_2^2 - r_{15} z_2^3 \]

\[ \dot{z}_2 = (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) z_1 - \beta' e z_2 - r_{21} z_1^3 - r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 - r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 - r_{24} z_2^3 \]

where,
The coefficients of the third order expansion terms are.

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &= \frac{\alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2 - a)}{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2)} = -0.45428(1.75 \omega_n - a) \quad (104) \\
\omega &= \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2 - a)}{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2)} = -0.1441(1.75 \omega_n - a)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta &= \frac{\alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2 - a)}{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2)} = -0.45428(1.75 \omega_n - a) \\
\omega &= \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_2 - a)}{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2)} = -0.1441(1.75 \omega_n - a)
\end{align*}
\]

The coefficients of the third order expansion terms are.

\[
\begin{align*}
r_{11} &= \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2} \left[ \frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}} - 1 \right] \quad (105) \\
r_{12} &= \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2 \alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2} \quad (106) \\
r_{13} &= \frac{a^2}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2 \alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2} \quad (107) \\
r_{14} &= \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2} \left[ \frac{a^3}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}} - a_1 \right] \quad (108) \\
r_{21} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2} \left[ \frac{a_1^{1/2}}{3 b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} - \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^{1/2}}{6} \right] \quad (109) \\
r_{22} &= \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2 \alpha_0 - \alpha_1^2} \quad (110)
\end{align*}
\]
The cubic coefficients $K$ and $M$ are obtained after averaging.

$$K = 0.052824 \left[ 13.8675 a_3 \omega_n^3 - 0.5 \omega_n^2 + \frac{2.15}{b^2 a_{sat}^2} (\omega_n^3 + 2.15 a \omega_n^2)(2.15 \omega_n^2 + a^2) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (113)

$$M = 0.01142 \left[ 20.3337 a_3 \omega_n^2 - 0.7331 \omega_n^2 + \frac{20.9727 \omega_n^4}{b^2 a_{sat}^2} (a - 4.6225 \omega_n)(2.15 \omega_n^2 + a^2) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (114)

3. Perturbation in $K_y$

The formulas for perturbations in $K_y$ are presented in this section. The equations of motion are,

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi &= r \\
\dot{r} &= a r + a_3 r^3 + b \delta \\
y &= \sin \psi
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (115)

\[
\delta = \delta_{sat} \tanh \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_{sat}} \right) \hspace{1cm} (116)
\]

\[
\delta_0 = K_y \psi - K_y r + c K_y y
\]
The eigenvalues at the bifurcation point,

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = \pm j \sqrt{\alpha_1} \]
\[ \lambda_2 = \alpha_2 \]  

The state equations in normal coordinates,

\[ \psi = z_1 \]
\[ r = -\sqrt{\alpha_1} z_2 \]
\[ y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} z_2 \]  

The normalized equations of motion,

\[ \dot{z}_1 = \beta' e z_1 - (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) z_2 + r_{11} z_1^3 + r_{12} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{13} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{14} z_2^3 \]
\[ \dot{z}_2 = (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) z_1 + \beta' e z_2 + r_{21} z_1^3 + r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{24} z_2^3 \]  

where \( \beta' \) and \( \omega' \) are given by,

\[ \beta' = \frac{\alpha_0}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} = 0.0959 \omega_n \]  
\[ \omega' = \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_2}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}} = 0.1145 \omega_n \]  

The coefficients for the third order terms,
\[ r_{11} = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2} \left( \frac{1}{6} - \frac{\alpha_1^2}{3b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}} \right) \]  
(122)

\[ r_{12} = -\frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \frac{\alpha_1^5 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \]  
(123)

\[ r_{13} = \frac{a^2}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \frac{\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \]  
(124)

\[ r_{14} = -\frac{\alpha_1^3 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \left( \frac{a_3 + \alpha_3^3}{3b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \right) \]  
(125)

\[ r_{21} = -\frac{\alpha_2 \sqrt{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_1} \left( \frac{1}{6} \frac{\alpha_1^3}{3b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \right) \]  
(126)

\[ r_{22} = \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \]  
(127)

\[ r_{23} = -\frac{a^2}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \frac{\alpha_1^{5/2}}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \]  
(128)

\[ r_{24} = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2^2} \left( \frac{a^3}{3b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} + \alpha_3 \right) \]  
(129)

The cubic coefficients $K$ and $M$. 
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\[ K = 0.05155[6.45 \omega_n^2 - 0.875 \omega_n \frac{2.15 \omega_n^2}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2}(1.75 \omega_n - a)(2.15 \omega_n - a^2)] \]  \hspace{1cm} (130)

\[ M = 0.3969 a_i \omega_n^2 + \frac{0.075}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \omega_n (1.75 a \omega_n - 2.15 \omega_n)(2.15 \omega_n - a^2) \]  \hspace{1cm} (131)

4. Perturbation in \( a \)

The equations of motion.

\[ \psi = r_c \]
\[ r = e a r + a r + b \]
\[ y = \sin \psi \]  \hspace{1cm} (132)

where:

\[ \delta = \delta_{sat} \tanh \left[ \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_{sat}} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (133)

\[ \delta_0 = K \psi - K r - K y \]

The Jacobian matrix.

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_1 & a(c-r) & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (134)

The eigenvalues at the bifurcation point.

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{a_1}{2} \pm \frac{a_1}{2} \sqrt{a_1^2 - 4a_2} \]
\[ \lambda_3 = a_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (135)

and the state equations in normalized coordinates.
\[ \psi = z_1 \]
\[ r = -\sqrt{\alpha_1} \, z_2 \]  
\[ y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} \, z_2 \]  
\[ (136) \]

The normalized equations of motion in the neighborhood of the bifurcation point.

\[ \dot{z}_1 = \beta' e z_1 - (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) \, z_2 + r_{11} z_1^3 + r_{12} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{13} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{14} z_2^3 \]
\[ \dot{z}_2 = (\omega' e + \sqrt{\alpha_1}) \, z_1 - \beta' e z_2 + r_{21} z_1^3 + r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{24} z_2^3 \]  
\[ (137) \]

\[ \beta' = -\frac{a}{2} \frac{\alpha_1^3}{\alpha_1^3 - \alpha_0^2} = -0.454a \]  
\[ (138) \]
\[ \omega' = -\frac{a}{2} \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^4}{\alpha_1^3 - \alpha_0^2} = -0.1441a \]

The coefficients of nonlinear terms.

\[ r_{11} = \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^4}{\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1^3} \left( \frac{1}{3 b_1^2 \delta_{sat}} \alpha_1^{5/2} - \sqrt{\alpha_1} \right) \]  
\[ (139) \]

\[ r_{12} = \frac{1}{b_1^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^4}{\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1^3} \left( \alpha_1 (a_2 \cdot a) - \frac{\alpha_0}{\sqrt{\alpha_1}} \right) \]  
\[ (140) \]

\[ r_{13} = \frac{1}{b_1^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^4}{\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1^3} \left( (a_2 \cdot a)^2 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_0^2 + 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_0 (a_2 \cdot a) \right) \]  
\[ (141) \]
\[ r_{14} = \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^{3/2}}{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^3} \left( -a_3 \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_1} \right) \left( (\alpha_2 + a)^2 \alpha_1^{3/2} \right) \]
\[ - 3(\alpha_2 + a)^2 \alpha_1^{1/2} \alpha_0 + 3 \alpha_0^2 \alpha_1^{-1/2} (\alpha_2 + a) - \frac{\alpha_0^3}{\alpha_1^{3/2}} \]  

\[ r_{21} = \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^{3/2}}{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^3} \left( -\frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_0} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_1^4}{\alpha_0} - \frac{\alpha_0}{6 \alpha_1} \right) \]  

\[ r_{22} = \frac{1}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^3} \left( (\alpha_2 + a) - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1} \right) \]  

\[ r_{23} = \frac{1}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^3} \left( -(\alpha_2 + a)^2 \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_0^2 + 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_0 (\alpha_2 + a) \right) \]  

\[ r_{24} = \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^{3/2}}{\alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^3} \left[ \alpha_3 \alpha_1^{5/2} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_0} + \frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_0} \right] \]  

\[ \left((\alpha_2 + a)^3 \alpha_1^{3/2} - 3(\alpha_2 + a)^2 \alpha_1^{1/2} \alpha_0 + 3 \alpha_0^2 \alpha_1^{-1/2} (\alpha_2 + a) - \frac{\alpha_0^3}{\alpha_1^{3/2}} \right) \]  

The cubic coefficients K and M are

\[ K = 0.03603 \left[ -0.7331 \omega_n + 6.7779 a_3 \omega_n^2 \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 / \alpha_0} \left( 6.7779 \omega_n^5 + \frac{1}{1.4662 \omega_n} + 14.5725 \omega_n^4 \right) \left( -\omega_n^6 - (4.3 \omega_n^3 - 1)(1.75 \omega_n + a) \left( \frac{\omega_n^2}{1.884 \omega_n^2 + 1.4663 a \omega_n} \right) \right) \]  

\[ + \frac{0.7166}{\omega_n} \left( \omega_n^2 + 1.4663 a \omega_n \right) \]  

\[ (147) \]
\[ M = 0.03603 \left[ -\frac{\omega_n}{4.3} + 6.45a_3 \omega_n^2 \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{b^2 \delta_{\text{sat}}^2} \left[ -21.3675 \omega_n^5 + 2.15 \omega_n^3 (-7.6314 \omega_n^6 - 11.8787 a \omega_n^4 + 2.15^2 a^2 \omega_n^4) \right] \]
\[ - 2.15^2 \omega_n^4 (1.884 \omega_n^2 + 2.1510^2 a \omega_n) \]
\[ \left. + \frac{1}{4.3988 \omega_n} (1.884 \omega_n^2 + 2.1510^2 a \omega_n^3) \right] \]

5. Perturbation in \( b \)

The equations of motion are,

\[ \psi = r \]
\[ \dot{r} = ar + a_3 r^3 + cb^5 \]
\[ \dot{\psi} = \sin \psi \]

where,

\[ \delta = \delta_{\text{sat}} \tanh \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_{\text{sat}}} \right) \]

\[ \delta_0 = K_a \psi + K_r r + K_y y \]

and the Jacobian is,

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -c \alpha_1 & (1-c)a - c \alpha_2 & -c \alpha_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

The eigenvalues are.
The normal coordinate transformation is,

\[ \psi = z_1 \]

\[ r = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a}} z_2 \]

\[ y = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2 + a}{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}} z_2 \]

The normalized equations of motion are,

\[ \dot{z}_1 = \beta' e z_1 - \left( \omega' e + \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} \right) z_2 + r_{11} z_1^3 + r_{12} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{13} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{14} z_2^3 \]

\[ \dot{z}_2 = \left( \omega' e + \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} \right) z_1 + \beta' e z_2 + r_{21} z_1^3 + r_{22} z_1^2 z_2 + r_{23} z_1 z_2^2 + r_{24} z_2^3 \]

where \( \beta' \) and \( \omega' \) are,

\[ \beta' = -\frac{\alpha_2^2 (\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1) (\alpha_2 + a)}{2 (\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1) \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_0^2 (\alpha_2 + a)} = -\frac{2.15^2 (\omega_n + a) (1.75 \omega_n + a)}{10.995 \omega_n + 20.8767 a} \]
\[
\omega' = \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2 + a}{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_0 (\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 + a)}{(\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1) \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_0^2 (\alpha_2 + a)} \right) 
\]

(156)

\[
= 1.075 \frac{\sqrt{1.75 \omega_n + a}}{\omega_n + 2.15 a} \left( 1 - \frac{(\omega_n + 2.15 a)(1.75 \omega_n + a)}{\omega_n (6.3725 \omega_n + 10.9384 a)} \right)
\]

The coefficients of third order terms are given in terms of the dummy coefficient \( \Omega \),

\[
\Omega = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_0 (\alpha_2 + a)^{1/2} (\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1)^{1/2}}{\alpha_0^2 (\alpha_2 + a) + \alpha_1^2 (\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1)}
\]

(157)

\[
r_{11} = \Omega \left( -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} + \frac{\alpha_1^3}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a}} \right)
\]

(158)

\[
r_{12} = \Omega \frac{a}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \alpha_1^3
\]

(159)

\[
r_{13} = \Omega \frac{a^2 \alpha_1^3}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2 + a}{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}}
\]

(160)

\[
r_{14} = \Omega \left( -a_3 \left( \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} \right) + \frac{(a \alpha_1)^3}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \left( \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} \right)^3 \right)
\]

(161)

\[
r_{21} = -\Omega \left[ \frac{\alpha_0}{6 \alpha_1} + \frac{1}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \alpha_0 \left( \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a} \right) \right]
\]

(162)
\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{22} &= \Omega \frac{c_{cr} a \alpha_1^4}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 \alpha_0} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 + a}} \\
    r_{23} &= -\frac{a^2 \alpha_1^4}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \\
    r_{24} &= \Omega \left( a_1 \left( \frac{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1} \right)^{3/2} + \frac{a^3 \alpha_1^4}{3 b^2 \delta_{sat}^2 \alpha_0} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2 + a}{\alpha_0 + a \alpha_1}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

The cubic coefficients $K$ and $M$ are expressed in terms of the natural frequency $\omega$, 

\[
K = \frac{\omega_n^2}{8(6.3725 \omega_n^2 + a \omega_n + 2.15^2 a)} \left[ 2.15 a^3 \omega_n \left( \omega_n + 2.15 a \right)^2 + 1.075 \left( \omega_n + 2.15 a \right) \right] - 1.075 (\omega_n + 2.15 a) + \\
\frac{2.15^3 \omega_n^2}{a} \left[ 2.15 \omega_n^3 (\omega_n + 2.15 a)^2 + a (1.75 \omega_n + a) (1 + 2.15^2 a^2 \omega_n) \right]
\]

\[
M = \frac{0.2688 \sqrt{(1.75 \omega_n + a)(\omega_n + 2.15 a)}}{(2.9 \omega_n + 6.625 a)} \left[ 3 a_3 \frac{\omega_n + a 2.15}{1.75 \omega_n + a} - \frac{\omega_n}{4.3} + \frac{1}{b^2 \delta_{sat}^2} \left[ 2.15^4 \omega_n^4 \left( \omega_n + 2.15 a \right) \omega_n \frac{1.75 \omega_n + a}{2.15 a^4 \omega_n^5} - (2.15 a^4 \omega_n^5 \right. \right.
\]

\[
- 2.15^3 a \omega_n^6 + 2.15^3 a^3 \omega_n^{12} \left( \frac{\omega_n + a 2.15}{1.75 \omega_n + a} \right) \left. \right] \]

(167)
C. RESULTS

Before we present the results of analytic study of Hopf bifurcation we have to assess the effect of the \( a_3 \) term. The \( a_3 \) term plays a role in equation (12), and from the nature of the equation we can see that \( a_3 \) has to have a negative value. This is because of the softening spring characteristic of the steady state \( r - \delta \) curve, as shown in Figure 10.

![Figure 10](image-url)  

\( \delta \)  

**Figure 10** \( r - \delta \) graph for different \( a_3 \) values.

In the previous sections expressions for \( \beta' \) and \( K \) were found for equation (89). The value of \( K \) depends on only the nonlinear terms and since the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix cross the imaginary axis with nonzero speed the term \( \beta' \) is nonzero. Equation (89) has two steady state solutions, one at \( R=0 \) which corresponds to the trivial equilibrium solution at zero and one at...
This equilibrium solution corresponds to a periodic solution (limit cycle) in the cartesian coordinates $z_1, z_2$. From equation (168) we can conclude that.

1. If $\beta' > 0$ then,
   
a. If $K > 0$, then unstable period solutions coexist with the stable equilibrium for $\epsilon < 0$, and
   b. If $K < 0$, then stable period solutions coexist with the unstable equilibrium for $\epsilon > 0$,

2. If $\beta' < 0$ then,
   
a. If $K > 0$, then unstable period solutions coexist with the stable equilibrium for $\epsilon > 0$, and
   b. If $K < 0$, then stable period solutions coexist with the unstable equilibrium for $\epsilon < 0$.

The stable periodic solutions form the supercritical Poincare' - Andronov - Hopf (PAH) bifurcation, while the unstable periodic solutions form the subcritical PAH bifurcation. The period of the limit cycles is computed by substituting equation (168) in (94)

$$R_0^2 = -\frac{\beta'}{K}$$

(168)

$$T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_n + \omega'e + MR_0^2} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_n} (1 - \frac{\omega'K - \beta'm}{\omega_nK} \epsilon) - O(\epsilon^2)$$

(169)
Figure 11 The two general types of Hopf bifurcation.

The existence and stability of periodic solutions in our cases is examined in the following subsections. The graphs of $\omega^\prime$ and $\beta^\prime$ are presented in figures (12) and (13). We note that for $b$ and for natural frequency in the range $|a| 1.75 < |\omega_n| < 2.15a$ the system does not have a complex pair of eigenvalues. Hopf bifurcation does not occur in that interval.
Figure 12 $\beta^\prime$ versus $\omega_n$.

Figure 13 $\omega^\prime$ versus $\omega_n$. 
1. Perturbation in $K_\phi$

The graph of $\beta'$ for $K_\phi$ is shown in figure (13). $\beta'$ is always negative for $K_\phi$, and the solutions of equation (91) is presented in figures (14), (15), (16). $K$ is less than zero for low natural frequencies. For those frequencies we get a supercritical Hopf bifurcation and a stable periodic solution exists. However when the natural frequency increases the bifurcation shifts to a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. Figure (14) is obtained for $a_3 = 0$ and various $\delta_{sat}$. Figure (15) is obtained for $a_3 = 3$ and various $\delta_{sat}$. Figure (16) is obtained for $\delta_{sat}=0.4$ and various $a_3$. When $|a_3|$ increases the domain of the natural frequencies, which causes supercritical Hopf bifurcation, increases as well.

![Figure 14 $K_\phi$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = 0$ and various $\delta_{sat}$.]
Figure 15 $K_{K\psi}$ versus $\omega_p$ for $a_3 = -3$ and various $\delta_{sat}$.

Figure 16 $K_{K\psi}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{sat} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$. 
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2. Perturbation in $K_r$

The term $\beta'$ for $K_r$ changes its sign at $\omega_n = |a/1.75|$ and can be observed from Figure (12). Therefore, for values of $K<0$ there exists a supercritical Hopf bifurcation whereas subcritical Hopf bifurcation forms when $K$ changes its sign. From Figure (17) it is observed that $\delta_{sat}$ does not affect the domain of the natural frequency for supercritical Hopf bifurcation. However, an increase in the $|a_3|$ term increases the domain of supercritical Hopf bifurcation, as can be seen from Figures (18) and (19).

![Figure 17](image)

**Figure 17** $K_{Kr}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3=0$ and various $\delta_{sat}$.
Figure 18  $K_{Kr}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3=-3$ and various $\delta_{sat}$.

Figure 19  $K_{Kr}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{sat}=0.4$ and various $a_3$.  
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3. Perturbation in $K_Y$

For this case $\beta'$ is always positive and for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an unstable equilibrium, therefore for $K < 0$ a supercritical Hopf bifurcation exists. Similarly for $K > 0$ a subcritical Hopf bifurcation exists. An increase in $\delta_{sat}$ increases the domain of the supercritical Hopf bifurcation over the natural frequency, see Figure (20). Also an increase in $|a_3|$ increases the domain of the supercritical Hopf bifurcation, see Figures (21), (22).

![Figure 20](image)

**Figure 20** $K_{K_Y}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = 0$ and various $\delta_{sat}$
Figure 21  $K_{Ky}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = -3$ and various $\delta_{sat}$.

Figure 22  $K_{Ky}$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{sat} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$. 
4. Perturbation in \( a \)

\( \beta' \) is always positive for this case. For \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( K < 0 \) there exists a stable period solution coexisting with the unstable equilibrium. When \( a_3 = 0 \), a change in \( \delta_{sat} \) does not affect the supercritical Hopf bifurcation region of the natural frequency, Figure (23). If \( a_3 \) is non zero, an increase in \( \delta_{sat} \) increases the domain of the natural frequency which causes the supercritical Hopf bifurcation. An increase in \(|a_3|\) also increases the domain of the natural frequencies for supercritical Hopf bifurcation, see Figures (24), (25).

\[ \text{Figure 23} \quad K_s \text{ versus } \omega_n \text{ for } a_3 = 0 \text{ and various } \delta_{sat} \]
Figure 24  $K_s$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = -3$ and various $\delta_{st}$. 

Figure 25  $K_s$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{st} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$. 
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5. Perturbation in $b$

Previously it was shown that there is no Hopf bifurcation in the interval, $|1.75/a| < \omega_n < |2.15/a|$ $K$ can be examined in two regions, $0 < \omega_n < |1.75/a|$ and $\omega_n > |21.15/a|$. In the first region $\beta'$ is always negative, $\epsilon > 0$, and $K$ is always negative. In this region only supercritical Hopf bifurcation occurs. Changes in $\delta_{sat}$ and $|a_3|$ have no effect in this region, see Figures (26), (27), (28). For the second region $\beta' < 0$, $\epsilon < 0$, $K < 0$ initially and supercritical Hopf bifurcations exist. For higher natural frequencies, subcritical Hopf bifurcation is observed. Figures (29) and (30) show that changes in $\delta_{sat}$ or $a_3$ have no significant effect.

Figure 26 $K_b$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{sat} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$ for the first region.
Figure 27 $K_b$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = 0$ and various $\delta_{in}$ for the first region.

Figure 28 $K_b$ versus $\omega_n$ for $a_3 = -3$ and various $\delta_{in}$ for the first region.
Figure 29 $K_b$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{\text{int}} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$ for the second region.

Figure 30 $K_b$ versus $\omega_n$ for $\delta_{\text{int}} = 0.4$ and various $a_3$ for the second region.
IV. SIMULATIONS

To illustrate the dynamics of the Hopf bifurcation we simulated the case in which there is a change in the gain $K_y$. We compared the simulations with the analytic studies presented in previous chapters. In our case, loss of stability occurs at $c=3.7625$ from stable to unstable while $c$ increases its value, as can be observed from Figure (6). In the following simulations we use the typical saturation angle for the rudder of 0.4 radians. The $a_3$ term is assumed to be zero.

$K$ is less than zero for the natural frequency $0.4$ in Figure (20), therefore a supercritical Hopf bifurcation exists in this region. The behavior of this supercritical Hopf bifurcation is simulated in Figures (31), and (32). In Figure (31) $c_{K_y}=3.5$ is simulated for two different initial lateral deviations ($y_0 = 0.05$ and $y_0 = 0.5$). Regardless of the initial conditions both curves converge to zero for this value of $c$. There is a unique stable steady state solution for this value of $c$. Figure (32) is simulated for $K < 0$ and $\omega_n = 0.4$ and the same initial condition of $y_0 = 0.5$. When $c = 3.5$ the simulation converges to zero as explained in Figure (31). When $c$ is increased above the critical value (here $c = 4.0$) we observe that the solution converges to a limit cycle. This stable limit cycle coexists with the unstable equilibrium solution.

Figures (33) and (34) are presented to show the effects of a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. For this purpose $\omega_n = 1.2$ is simulated for Figures (33), and (34). $\omega_n = 1.2$ is in the region where $K > 0$ in Figure (20). The initial condition for this case is
When $c$ is set to 3.5 the solution converges to zero, but for the case where $c$ is set to 4.0 the simulations converge to a stable limit cycle. This is the case in Figure (11-b). The simulation misses the unstable limit cycles and converges to the outer limit cycle shown in Figure (11-b). In Figure (34) the effects of the initial conditions are further illustrated. In Figure (34) for $y_0=0.05$, the simulations converge to zero, but for $y_0=0.5$ the simulations converge to a limit cycle. For this simulation the initial condition was large enough to shift the results to a limit cycle rather than the zero steady state solution.

From the simulations we observe that in subcritical bifurcations the magnitude of the stable limit cycle is greater than the magnitude of the limit cycle in supercritical bifurcations. Similar results can be obtained for the other cases of variation in parameters $a$, $b$, and gains $K_x$ and $K_y$. 
Figure 31 Supercritical Hopf bifurcation for $\omega_0 = 0.4$, $c = 3.5$ for two simulations with $y_0 = 0.05$ and $y_0 = 0.5$.

Figure 32 Supercritical Hopf bifurcation for $\omega_0 = 0.4$, $y_0 = 0.5$ for two simulations (stable equilibrium) and $c = 4$ (unstable equilibrium).
Figure 33 Subcritical Hopf bifurcation for $\omega_n = 1.2$, $y_0 = 0.5$ for two simulations $c = 3.5$ (stable equilibrium) and $c = 4$ (unstable equilibrium).

Figure 34 Subcritical Hopf bifurcation for $\omega_n = 1.2$ ($K > 0$) where $c = 3.5$. The simulations for $y_0 = 0.05$ converged to the steady state value and for $y_0 = 0.5$ to the limit cycle.
V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

An analytic investigation of the nonlinear dynamic response characteristics of a steering control law of marine vehicles has been presented. Bifurcation theory techniques were utilized in order to assess the behavior of the system upon initial loss of stability. The main bifurcation parameters were the natural frequency, control saturation level, system parameters and gains. The main conclusions of this research can be summarized as follows:

1. There exists a critical point for each gain and system parameters for stability of straight line motion. The loss of stability occurs in the form of Hopf bifurcation for each case. As the parameter crosses its critical value, a family of periodic orbits ("self oscillations") develops.

2. The desired characteristic equation from the ITAE criterion has a faster response for higher natural frequency. However, subcritical Hopf bifurcation develops when the natural frequency is sufficiently high. If c is increased past \( c_{\text{crit}} \) the amplitude of lateral deviation undergoes a jump and takes "large" values ("hard loss of stability or hard generation of limit cycles"). For lower natural frequencies, stability loss occurs as supercritical where the limit cycle amplitude grows continuously ("soft loss of stability").

3. The stationary point where change of stability occurs is related only to the natural frequency of the system and the hydrodynamic coefficient \( a \).
4. Higher saturation limit of the rudder angle increases the range for supercritical Hopf bifurcation. Theoretically, if a saturation limit does not exist all bifurcations develop as supercritical.

5. The term \( a_3 \) has a similar effect as the saturation limit of rudder angle. An increase in the \( |a_3| \) term increases the natural frequency where supercritical Hopf bifurcation exists, but an increase in the \( |a_3| \) term is not a desired condition since vehicle response to rudder angle slows down.

6. Transitions from supercritical to subcritical are very important for the design of the control law. A supercritical Hopf bifurcation is preferred over a subcritical Hopf bifurcation since the subcritical Hopf bifurcation may develop a rapid dynamic jump to a new limit cycle.

Some recommendations for further research are as follows:

1. Comparative studies must be performed including the observer dynamics. The effect of variations in gains and system parameters must be studied to predict the accuracy of the observer.

2. Continuation techniques for periodic solutions must be performed for further stability analysis. The cases for simultaneous variations of more than one terms must be studied to understand the dynamic response of the system including any nonlinear terms.
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