DTIC FILE COPY

UNC
SECURITY

AD-A198 314

# DOCUMENTATION PAGE

| | |
|---|---|
| 1a. REPOR<br>UNCLA | 1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS |
| 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY<br>NA | 3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT<br>Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited |
| 2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE<br>NA | |
| 4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>Technical Report No. 230 | 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)<br>AFOSR-TR- 88-0838 |
| 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION<br>University of North Carolina<br>6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) | 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION<br>AFOSR/NM |
| 6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Statistics Department<br>CB #3260, Phillips Hall<br>Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3260 | 7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Bldg. 410<br>Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448 |
| 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION<br>AFOSR<br>8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)<br>NM | 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER<br>F49620 85 C 0144 |
| 8c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)<br>Bldg. 410<br>Bolling AFB, DC | 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NOS.<br>PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 6.1102F<br>PROJECT NO. 2304<br>TASK NO. A5<br>WORK UNIT NO. Leave blnk |
| 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) On exceedance point processes for stationary sequences under mild oscillation restrictions | |
| 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)<br>Leadbetter, M. R. & Nandagopalan, S. | |
| 13a. TYPE OF REPORT<br>reprint<br>13b. TIME COVERED FROM 9/87 TO 8/88 | 14. DATE OF REPORT (Yr., Mo., Day)<br>April 1988<br>15. PAGE COUNT<br>14 |
| 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION<br>N/A | |
| 17. COSATI CODES<br>FIELD / GROUP / SUB. GR.<br>XXXXXXXXXXXXXX | 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Key words and phrases:<br>N/A |
| 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)<br>over | |
| 20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT<br>UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT. ☐ DTIC USERS ☐ | 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION<br>UNCLASSIFIED |
| 22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL<br>Major Brian W. Woodruff | 22b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)<br>(202) 767-5028<br>22c. OFFICE SYMBOL<br>AFOSR/NM |

DTIC ELECTE AUG 25 1988 S D E

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AFOSR-TR- 88-0838

# CENTER FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

ON EXCEEDANCE POINT PROCESSES FOR STATIONARY SEQUENCES

UNDER MILD OSCILLATION RESTRICTIONS

by

M.R. Leadbetter

and

S. Nandagopalan

Accession For
NTIS GRA&I ☒
DTIC TAB ☐
Unannounced ☐
Justification
By
Distribution/
Availability Codes
Dist | Avail and/or Special
A-1

Technical Report No. 230

April 1988

88 0 6 122

164. F. Avram, On bilinear forms in Gaussian random variables, Toeplitz matrices and Parseval's relation, Nov. 86.

165. D.B.H. Cline, Joint stable attraction of two sums of products, Nov. 86. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, to appear.

166. R.J. Wilson, Model fields in crossing theory-a weak convergence perspective, Nov. 86.

167. D.B.H. Cline, Consistency for least squares regression estimators with infinite variance data, Dec. 86.

168. L.L. Campbell, Phase distribution in a digital frequency modulation receiver, Nov. 86.

169. B.G. Nguyen, Typical cluster size for 2-dim percolation processes, Dec. 86. *J. Statist. Physics*, to appear.

170. H. Oodaira, Freidlein-Wentzell type estimates for a class of self-similar processes represented by multiple Wiener integrals, Dec. 86.

171. J. Nolan, Local properties of index-$\beta$ stable fields, Dec. 86. *Ann. Probability*, to appear.

172. R. Menich and R.F. Serfozo, Optimality of shortest queue routing for dependent service stations, Dec. 86.

173. F. Avram and M.S. Taqqu, Probability bounds for M-Skorohod oscillations, Dec. 86.

174. F. Moricz and R.L. Taylor, Strong laws of large numbers for arrays of orthogonal random variables, Dec. 86.

175. G. Kallianpur and V. Perez-Abreu, Stochastic evolution driven by nuclear space valued martingales, Apr. 87.

176. E. Merzbach, Point processes in the plane, Feb. 87.

177. Y. Kasahara, M. Maejima and W. Vervaat, Log fractional stable processes, March 87.

178. G. Kallianpur, A.G. Miamee and H. Niemi, On the prediction theory of two parameter stationary random fields, March 87.

179. R. Brigola, Remark on the multiple Wiener integral, Mar. 87.

180. R. Brigola, Stochastic filtering solutions for ill-posed linear problems and their extension to measurable transformations, Mar. 87.

181. G. Samorodnitsky, Maxima of symmetric stable processes, Mar. 87.

182. H.L. Hurd, Representation of harmonizable periodically correlated processes and their covariance, Apr. 87.

183. H.L. Hurd, Nonparametric time series analysis for periodically correlated processes, Apr. 87.

184. T. Mori and H. Oodaira, Freidlin-Wentzell estimates and the law of the iterated logarithm for a class of stochastic processes related to symmetric statistics, May 87.

185. R.F. Serfozo, Point processes, May 87. *Operations Research Handbook on Stochastic Processes*, to appear.

186. Z.D. Bai, W.Q. Liang and W. Vervaat, Strong representation of weak convergence, June 87.

187. O. Kallenberg, Decoupling identities and predictable transformations in exchangeability, June, 87.

188. O. Kallenberg, An elementary approach to the Daniell-Kolmogorov theorem and some related results, June 87. *Math. Nach.*, to appear.

189. G. Samorodnitsky, Extrema of skewed stable processes, June 87.

190. D. Nualart, M. Sanz and M. Zakai, On the relations between increasing functions associated with two-parameter continuous martingales, June 87.

191. F. Avram and M. Taqqu, Weak convergence of sums of moving averages in the $\alpha$-stable domain of attraction, June 87.

192. M.R. Leadbetter, Harald Cramér (1893-1985), July 87. ISI Review, to appear.

193. R. LePage, Predicting transforms of stable noise, July 87.

194. R. LePage and B.M. Schreiber, Strategies based on maximizing expected log, July 87.

195. J. Rosinski, Series representations of infinitely divisible random vectors and a generalized shot noise in Banach spaces, July 87.

196. J. Szulga, On hypercontractivity of $\alpha$-stable random variables, $0<\alpha<2$, July 87.

197. I. Kuznezova-Sholpo and S.T. Rachev, Explicit solutions of moment problems I, July 87. *Probability Math. Statist.*, 10, 1989, to appear.

198. T. Hsing, On the extreme order statistics for a stationary sequence, July 87.

199. T. Hsing, Characterization of certain point processes, Aug. 87. *Stochastic Proc. Appl.* 26, 1987, 297-316.

200. J.P. Nolan, Continuity of symmetric stable processes, Aug. 87.

201. M. Marques and S. Cambanis, Admissible and singular translates of stable processes, Aug. 87.

202. O. Kallenberg, One-dimensional uniqueness and convergence results for exchangeable processes, Aug. 87.

203. R.J. Adler, S. Cambanis and G. Samorodnitsky, On stable Markov processes, Sept. 87.

204. G. Kallianpur and V. Perez-Abreu, Stochastic evolution equations driven by nuclear space valued martingales, Sept. 87. *Appl. Math. Optimization*, 17, 1988, 237-272.

205. R.L. Smith, Approximations in extreme value theory, Sept. 87.

206. E. Willekens, Estimation of convolution tails, Sept. 87.

207. J. Rosinski, On path properties of certain infinitely divisible processes, Sept. 87.

# ON EXCEEDANCE POINT PROCESSES FOR STATIONARY SEQUENCES UNDER MILD OSCILLATION RESTRICTIONS

by

M.R. Leadbetter

and

S. Nandagopalan

Summary:

It is known ([1]) that any point process limits for the (time normalized) exceedances of high levels by a stationary sequence is necessarily Compound Poisson, under general dependence restrictions. This results from the clustering of exceedances where the underlying Poisson points represent cluster positions, and the multiplicities correspond to cluster sizes.

Here we investigate a class of stationary sequences satisfying a mild local dependence condition restricting the extent of local "rapid oscillation". For this class, criteria are given for the existence and value of the so-called "extremal index" which plays a key role in determining the intensity of cluster positions. Cluster size distributions are investigated for this class and in particular shown to be asymptotically equivalent to those for lengths of runs of consecutive exceedances above the level. Relations between the point processes of exceedances, cluster centers, and upcrossings are discussed.

Research supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F49620 85C 0144.

## 1. Introduction and basic results.

The paper [1], provides limiting results for the (time normalized) point process $N_n$ of exceedances of a high level $u_n$ by a stationary sequence $\{\xi_n\}$. It is shown, for example, that typically a limit for $N_n$ must have a Compound Poisson form where the underlying Poisson points may be regarded as positions of "clusters" of exceedances and the multiplicities correspond to cluster sizes, i.e. the number of exceedances in a cluster.

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \ldots$ be a stationary sequence. Write $M_n = \max(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n)$ and for $\tau > 0$ let $u_n(\tau)$ denote levels such that $n(1-F(u_n(\tau))) \to \tau$, where F is the distribution function (d.f.) of each $\xi_i$. Then it is often the case that $P\{M_n \leq u_n(\tau)\} \to e^{-\theta\tau}$ where $\theta$ is a fixed parameter $(0 \leq \theta \leq 1)$, referred to as the "extremal index" of the sequence. It is known that $\theta = 1$ for i.i.d. sequences and many dependent cases, and that $\theta > 0$ for "almost all" cases of interest. For such levels $u_n(\tau)$ it may be shown under general conditions that the intensity for the Poisson limiting cluster positions in $N_n$ is simply $\theta\tau$.

These results require a restriction on the long range dependence of the sequence, and two such conditions ($D(u_n)$, $\Delta(u_n)$, defined below) are useful. It is well known that under a further short range dependence condition ($D'(u_n)$ - cf. [3] Section 3.4) it may be shown that $\theta = 1$ and the Compound Poisson limit for $N_n$ becomes Poisson. In this paper we consider a special but much wider class of sequences subject to a weaker condition which restricts rapid oscillations - here called $D''(u_n)$ - than $D'(u_n)$, and for which all values of $\theta$ in $(0,1]$ are possible. It will be shown for this class that the joint distribution of $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ determines whether the extremal index exists, and gives its value. Finally for this class clusters of exceedances may be simply identified asymptotically as runs of consecutive exceedances and the cluster

sizes as run lengths.

Section 2 contains the theory surrounding the maximum and the extremal index when the local dependence condition $D''(u_n)$ holds, and in Section 3 asymptotic properties of point processes of exceedances, upcrossings and cluster centers are discussed. Notation used throughout will include $M(E)$ to denote $\max\{\xi_i : i \in E\}$ for any set $E \subset (0,n]$ ($M_n = M[1,n]$). A time scale normalization by $1/n$ will be used to define various point processes on the unit interval. In particular the exceedance point process $N_n$ is defined with respect to a sequence of "levels" $\{u_n\}$ by

$$N_n(B) = \#\{i,\ 1 \le i \le n:\ i/n \in B,\ \xi_i > u_n\} \tag{1.1}$$

for each Borel subset B of $(0,1]$. This involves a slight awkwardness of notation in that $M(E)$ is defined for subsets E of $(0,n]$, whereas $N_n(B)$ is defined for $B \subset (0,1]$ when writing an equivalence $\{N_n(B) = 0\} = \{M(nB) \le u_n\}$ but a more intricate notation does not seem worthwhile.

The long range dependence condition $D(u_n)$ is defined as follows. Abbreviate $F_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u,u \ldots u)$ to $F_{i_1 \ldots i_n}(u)$. Then for a sequence $\{u_n\}$, $D(u_n)$ is said to hold if for each n, $1 \le i_1 < i_2 \ldots < i_p < j_1 \ldots < j_{p'} \le n$, $j_1 - i_p \ge \ell$ we have

$$|F_{i_1 \ldots i_p j_1 \ldots j_{p'}}(u_n) - F_{i_1 \ldots i_p}(u_n) F_{j_1 \ldots j_{p'}}(u_n)| \le \alpha_{n,\ell}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\ell_n} \to 0$ for some $\ell_n = o(n)$. Frequently integers $k_n \to \infty$ will be chosen so that

$$k_n \alpha_{n,\ell_n} \to 0, \qquad k_n \ell_n / n \to 0 \tag{1.2}$$

Note that, by $D(u_n)$, this holds automatically for bounded $k_n$-sequences but $k_n \to \infty$ can clearly be chosen so that (1.2) is satisfied. Note also that the condition $D(u_n)$ is of similar type to (but much weaker than) strong mixing. In

the following basic result and throughout, m will denote Lebesgue measure. The result is a slightly more general form of Lemma 2.3 of [1].

<u>Lemma 1.1</u> *Let $D(u_n)$ hold and $\{k_n\}$ satisfy (1.2). Let $J_i$ $(=J_{i,n})$, $1 \le i \le k_n$, be disjoint subintervals of $(0,1]$ with $\frac{n}{k_n \ell_n} \sum_1^{k_n} m(J_i) \to \infty$ (which holds, in particular, if $m(\bigcup_1^{k_n} J_i) \to \alpha > 0$). Then*

(i) $\gamma_n = P\{M(\bigcup_1^{k_n} nJ_i) \le u_n\} - \prod_1^{k_n} P\{M(nJ_i) \le u_n\} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

(ii) If $J$ is a fixed subinterval of $(0,1]$ with $m(J) = \alpha$, $\bigcup_1^{k_n} J_i \subset J$ and $m(\bigcup_1^{k_n} J_i) \to \alpha$, then

$$P\{M(nJ) \le u_n\} - \prod_1^{k_n} P\{M(nJ_i) \le u_n\} \to 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Proof: The assertion (i) is proved by arguments very close to those used in Lemma 2.2 of [1]. The main difference is the complicating feature in that here we do not assume that $m(J_i) \ge \ell_n/n$ for each i, but clearly the intervals $J_i$ for which $m(J_i) < \ell_n/n$ form a set whose total measure cannot exceed $k_n\ell_n/n \to 0$. The proof of (i) will not be given in detail, though its flavor may be seen from the sketch for (ii) below. It is in fact very simple in the usual situation where exceedances in short intervals are unlikely in the sense that $k_n P\{M_{\ell_n} > u_n\} \to 0$, and is made more lengthy to cover cases when this does not hold by showing that both terms of (i) actually tend to zero.

(ii) (sketch of proof). By stationarity the intervals $J_i$ may be taken to be abutting and $\bigcup_1^{k_n} J_i = I_n$, $J - I_n = I_n^*$ taken to be intervals without affecting

either term of (1.3), and $m(I_n^*) \to 0$. By (i), (ii) will follow if

$$\gamma_n' = P\{M(nI_n) \le u_n\} - P\{M(nJ) \le u_n\} \to 0$$

and it is sufficient to show that if $\gamma_n'$ has a limit as $n \to \infty$ through a subsequence S, then that limit is zero. This is immediate if $P\{M(nI_n^*) > u_n\}$ tends to zero, since this probability dominates $\gamma_n'$. Otherwise $P\{M(nI_n^*) > u_n\} \to \alpha > 0$ as $n \to \infty$ through some subsequence $S' \subset S$. Clearly $\theta_n(\to \infty)$ copies $I_{n,j}$ of $I_n^*$, each separated by at least $\ell_n/n$, may be placed in $I_n$, and $P\{M(nI_n) \le u_n\}$ thus dominated by $P\{\bigcap_1^{\theta_n}(M(nI_{n,j}) \le u_n)\}$. By appropriate choice of $\theta_n$ this probability may be approximated by $P^{\theta_n}\{M(nI_n) \le u_n\}$ (using $D(u_n)$) which tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ through S'. Hence the first term in $\gamma_n'$ tends to zero and it dominates the second, which thus also tends to zero. □

2. Extremal theory under $D''(u_n)$.

If $D(u_n)$ holds, and $k_n$ are integers satisfying (1.2), and $k_n(1-F(u_n)) \to 0$, $r_n = [n/k_n]$, define

$$D''(u_n): n \sum_{j=2}^{r_n-1} P\{\xi_1 > u,\ \xi_j \le u_n < \xi_{j+1}\} \to 0.$$

and write $\mu(u) = P\{\xi_1 \le u < \xi_2\}$. We say that $\{\xi_n\}$ has an upcrossing of u at j if $\xi_{j-1} \le u < \xi_j$, so that $\mu(u)$ may obviously be interpreted as the mean number of upcrossings of u per unit time. (This notation will be used throughout this and the next section without comment). The condition $D''(u_n)$ involves a weaker restriction than $D'(u_n)$ of [3] which is used to guarantee that $\theta = 1$, whereas under D" all values $0 \le \theta \le 1$ are possible. For most of our purposes D" can be slightly weakened by replacing "$\xi_i > u_n$" by "$\xi_1 \le u_n < \xi_2$" thus restricting the local occurrence of two or more upcrossings, but the present form is convenient for

use here.

<u>Proposition 2.1.</u> Suppose $D(u_n)$, $D''(u_n)$ hold for given constants $\{u_n\}$, $\{k_n\}$, $\{r_n\}$ as above and write

$$\upsilon = \liminf n\mu(u_n), \quad \upsilon' = \limsup n\mu(u_n).$$

Then

$$\liminf P\{M_n \le u_n\} = e^{-\upsilon'}, \quad \limsup P\{M_n \le u_n\} = e^{-\upsilon}.$$

In particular $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$ if and only if $n\mu(u_n) \to \upsilon$.

Proof: Write $A_j = \{\xi_j \le u_n < \xi_{j+1}\}$. Then $\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} = \{\xi_1 > u_n\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_n-1} A_j$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r_n-1} P(A_j) - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le r_n-1} P(A_i \cap A_j) \le P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} \le 1-F(u_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{r_n-1} P(A_j)$$

Hence, since $P(A_j) = \mu(u_n)$, (and using stationarity),

$$(r_n-1)\mu(u_n) - S_n \le P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} \le 1-F(u_n) + (r_n-1)\mu(u_n)$$

in which $S_n = r_n \sum_{j=2}^{r_n-1} P\{\xi_1 > u_n, \xi_j \le u_n < \xi_{j+1}\} = o(k_n^{-1})$ by $D''(u_n)$.

Multiplication by $k_n$ yields

$$n\mu(u_n)(1 + o(1)) - o(1) \le k_n P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} \le n\mu(u_n) + o(1).$$

From which it follows that

$$\limsup k_n P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} = \upsilon', \quad \liminf k_n P\{M(r_n) > u_n\} = \upsilon.$$

Now by Lemma 1.1,

$$P\{M_n \le u_n\} = \left(1 - \frac{k_n P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\}}{k_n}\right)^{k_n} + o(1).$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, $k_n P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} \ge \upsilon - \epsilon$ for sufficiently large n, so that $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \le (1 - \frac{\upsilon-\epsilon}{k_n})^{k_n} + o(1) \to e^{-\upsilon+\epsilon}$ and hence $\limsup P\{M_n \le u_n\} \le e^{-\upsilon}$. Similarly $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \ge (1 - \frac{\upsilon+\epsilon}{k_n})^{k_n} + o(1)$ for infinitely many values of n so that $\limsup P\{M_n \le u_n\} \ge e^{-\upsilon-\epsilon}$ and hence $\limsup P\{M_n \le u_n\} \ge e^{-\upsilon}$, showing that $\limsup P\{M_n \le u_n\} = e^{-\upsilon}$. Similarly $\liminf P\{M_n \le u_n\} = e^{-\upsilon}$, as required. □

<u>Corollary 2.2</u> If $I_j = (a_j, b_j]$ are disjoint subintervals of $(0,1]$, $1 \le j \le k$, then under the conditions of Proposition 2.1, if $n\mu(u_n) \to \upsilon$,

$$P\{\bigcap_1^k (M(nI_j) \le u_n)\} \to \exp\{-\upsilon \sum_1^k (b_j - a_j)\}$$

Proof: It follows from Lemma 1.1 that $P\{\bigcap_1^k (M(nI_j) \le u_n)\} - \prod_1^k P\{M(nI_j) \le u_n\} \to 0$ so that it is only necessary to show the result for k=1. Let $k_n$ be as in Proposition 2.1, $r_n = [n/k_n]$. Then it follows readily from Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 2.1 that

$$P^{k_n}\{M_{r_n} \le u_n\} = P\{M_n \le u_n\} + o(1) \to e^{-\upsilon}$$

and hence that for $0 < a < b \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{M((na,nb]) \le u_n\} &= (P\{M_{r_n} \le u_n\})^{([nb]-[na])/r_n} \\ &= (P\{M_{r_n} \le u_n\})^{k_n(b-a)(1+o(1))} \\ &\to e^{-\upsilon(b-a)} \end{aligned}$$

as required to complete the proof. □

We consider now levels $u_n = u_n(\tau)$ defined to satisfy $n(1-F(u_n(\tau))) \to \tau$. Note first the simply proved relation

$$(2.1) \qquad \mu(u) = P\{\xi_1 \le u < \xi_2\} = P\{\xi_2 \le u | \xi_1 > u\}\ (1-F(u))$$

Proposition 2.1 may be applied as follows.

<u>Proposition 2.3</u> Assume $D(u_n)$, $D''(u_n)$ hold for $u_n = u_n(\tau)$, some $\tau > 0$. Write $\theta = \liminf P\{\xi_2 \le u_n(\tau) | \xi_1 > u_n(\tau)\}$, $\theta' = \limsup P\{\xi_2 \le u_n(\tau) | \xi_1 > u_n(\tau)\}$. Then $\limsup P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\} = e^{-\theta\tau}$, $\liminf P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\} = e^{-\theta'\tau}$

Proof: By (2.1),

$$\nu = \liminf n\mu(u_n(\tau)) = \theta\tau,\ \nu' = \limsup n\mu(u_n(\tau)) = \theta'\tau$$

and the results follow at once from Proposition 2.1. □

If $P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\} \to e^{-\theta\tau}$ for all $\tau > 0$ the parameter $\theta$ will be referred to as the *extremal index* of the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$. It is known (cf. [3] Theorem 3.7.1) that if $D(u_n(\tau))$ holds for each $\tau > 0$ and $P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\}$ converges for some $\tau > 0$, then $P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\}$ converges for all $\tau > 0$ and the limit has the form $e^{-\theta\tau}$ for fixed $\theta$, $0 \le \theta \le 1$, i.e. the extremal index then exists. The following result, gives a convenient existence criterion assuming also $D''(u_n)$, and follows immediately from Proposition 2.3 and these observations.

<u>Corollary 2.4</u> Assume $D(u_n(\tau))$, $D''(u_n(\tau))$ hold for each $\tau > 0$. If $P\{\xi_2 \le u_n(\tau) | \xi_1 > u_n(\tau)\} \to \theta$ for some $\tau > 0$ then convergence to $\theta$ occurs for all $\tau > 0$, and $\{\xi_n\}$ has extremal index $\theta$. Conversely if $P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\} \to e^{-\theta\tau}$ for some $\tau > 0$, $\{\xi_n\}$ has extremal index $\theta$ and $P\{\xi_2 \le u_n(\tau) | \xi_1 > u_n(\tau)\} \to \theta$ for all $\tau > 0$. □

The following lemma, giving alternative expressions for $\theta$ involves stationarity but does not require any dependence condition.

<u>Lemma 2.5</u> If $n\mu(u_n) \to \upsilon$ the following are equivalent:

(i) $P\{\xi_2 \le u_n | \xi_1 > u_n\} \to \theta$

(ii) $n(1-F(u_n)) \to \upsilon/\theta$ (i.e. $u_n = u_n(\upsilon/\theta)$)

(iii) $n(1-F_{1,2}(u_n)) \to \upsilon + \upsilon/\theta$. $(F_{1,2}(u_n) = P\{\xi_1 \le u_n \xi_2 \le u_n\})$.

Proof: Equivalence of (i) and (ii) is immediate from (2.1). That of (ii) and (iii) follows since

$$\begin{aligned} n\mu(u_n) &= nP\{\xi_1 \le u_n < \xi_2\} = n(F(u_n) - F_{1,2}(u_n)) \\ &= n((1 - F_{1,2}(u_n)) - (1-F(u_n))) \end{aligned}$$ □

Write now $\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon)$ to denote a sequence $u_n$ satisfying $n\mu(u_n) \to \upsilon$ and $F(u_n) \to 1$. The next result shows that $n(1-F(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon))) \to \upsilon/\theta$ when $\xi_n$ has extremal index $\theta$. This will be denoted by the slightly imprecise, but convenient statement "$\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon) = u_n(\upsilon/\theta)$".

<u>Proposition 2.6</u> (i) Suppose $D(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon))$, $D''(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon))$ hold for all $\upsilon > 0$, and $\{\xi_n\}$ has extremal index $\theta > 0$. Then $\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon) = u_n(\upsilon/\theta))$ (i.e. $n(1-F(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon))) \to \upsilon/\theta$ as $n \to \infty$).

(ii) Conversely suppose $D(u_n(\tau))$, $D''(u_n(\tau))$ hold for all $\tau > 0$. If for some $\tau, \theta$ $u_n(\tau) = \tilde{u}_n(\theta\tau)$, then $u_n(\tau) = \tilde{u}_n(\theta\tau)$ for all $\tau > 0$ and $\theta$ is the extremal index of $\{\xi_n\}$.

Proof: To show (i) note that from Proposition 2.1 $P\{M_n \le \tilde{u}_n(\upsilon)\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$ and hence $P\{\tilde{M}_n \le \tilde{u}_n(\upsilon)\} \to e^{-\upsilon/\theta}$ ([3], Theorem 3.7.2) where $\tilde{M}_n$ is the maximum of n i.i.d. random variables with the same distribution F as the $\xi_i$. That is $F^n(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon)) \to e^{-\upsilon/\theta}$ from which it follows at once that $n(1-F(\tilde{u}_n(\upsilon))) \to \upsilon/\theta$.

(ii) By Lemma 2.5, $P\{\xi_2 \le u_n(\tau) | \xi_1 > u_n(\tau)\} \to \theta$, hence by Corollary 2.4, this holds for all $\tau$ and $\theta$ is the extremal index. In particular, $P\{M_n \le u_n(\tau)\} \to e^{-\theta\tau}$ for all $\tau$. By Proposition 2.1, therefore, $u_n(\tau) = \tilde{u}_n(\theta\tau)$ which completes the proof. □

## 3. Point Processes of Exceedances and Upcrossings

Let $N_n$ denote the exceedance point process for a level $u_n$ as defined by (1.1), viz. $N_n(B) = \#\{i,\ 1 \le i \le n:\ i/n \in B,\ \xi_i > u_n\}$ for $B \subset (0,1]$. Further, write $\tilde{N}_n$ for the "point process of upcrossings", defined on $(0,1]$ as the points $\frac{i}{n}$ such that $\xi_{i-1} \le u_n < \xi_i$ i.e. $\tilde{N}_n(B) = \#\{i,\ 1 \le 1 \le n:\ i/n \in B,\ \xi_{i-1} \le u_n < \xi_i\}$. It is readily shown that $\tilde{N}_n$ converges in distribution to a Poisson Process under D, D".

<u>Proposition 3.1</u> Suppose $D(u_n)$, $D''(u_n)$ hold for a sequence $u_n = \tilde{u}_n(\nu)$, i.e. $n\mu(u_n) \to \nu$. Then $\tilde{N}_n \xrightarrow{d} N$ where N is a Poisson Process on $(0,1]$ with intensity $\nu$.

Proof: This follows in a standard way from Kallenberg's Theorem ([2] Theorem 4.7):

(i) If $0 < a < b \le 1$, $\mathcal{E}\tilde{N}([a,b]) \sim n(b-a)\mu(u_n) \to (b-a)\nu = \mathcal{E}N((a,b])$

(ii) $0 \le P\{\tilde{N}_n((a,b]) = 0\} - P\{M((na,nb]) \le u_n\} \le P\{\xi_{[na]+1} > u_n\} = 1 - F(u_n)$

and for disjoint subintervals $(a_i,b_i]$ of $(0,1]$ $1 \le i \le k$,

$$0 \le P\{\tilde{N}_n(\bigcup_1^k (a_i,b_i]) = 0\} - P\{M(\bigcup_1^k (na_i,nb_i]) \le u_n\}$$
$$\le k(1-F(u_n)) \to 0$$

and hence by Lemma 1.1 and Corollary 2.2,

$$P\{\tilde{N}_n(\bigcup_1^k(a_i,b_i]) = 0\} = \prod_1^k P\{M((na_i,nb_i]) \le u_n\} + o(1) \to \exp\{-\upsilon \sum_1^k (b_i-a_i)\}.$$

But this expression is simply $P\{N(\bigcup_1^k(a_i,b_i]) = 0\}$ thus verifying the conditions of Kallenberg's Theorem. □

<u>Corollary 3.2</u> If $D(u_n)$, $D''(u_n)$ hold for a sequence $u_n = u_n(\tau)$ $(n(1-F(u_n)) \to \tau)$ and $\{\xi_n\}$ has extremal index $\theta > 0$, then $\tilde{N}_n \xrightarrow{d} N$ where $N$ is Poisson with intensity $\theta\tau$.

Proof: Since $n(1-F(u_n)) \to \tau$, (2.1) and Corollary 2.4 show that $n\mu(u_n) \to \theta\tau$ so that the proposition applies with $\upsilon = \theta\tau$. □

The above discussion hinges on the assumption $D''(u_n)$. In that case (as will be seen) each run of consecutive exceedances following an upcrossing may be regarded as a "cluster" of exceedances. If $D''(u_n)$ is not assumed, clusters may consist of groups of "exceedance runs". In general a simple and useful definition of clusters is obtained by choosing $k_n$ to satisfy (1.2) and considering the subintervals $J_i = ((i-1)r_n/n,\ ir_n/n]$, $1 \le i \le k_n$ of $(0,1]$. Then the exceedances in any interval $J_i$ (i.e. points $\frac{j}{n} \in J_i$ with $\xi_j > u_n$) are regarded as forming a cluster. The "cluster centers" may be defined in an arbitrary way as any point in a $J_i$ containing a cluster - here we use the position of the first event in the cluster. The positions of the cluster centers then form a point process $N_n^*$ for which the following convergence holds (proved similarly to Proposition 3.1).

<u>Proposition 3.3.</u> Suppose $D(u_n)$ holds, where $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$ for some $\upsilon > 0$. Then $N_n^* \xrightarrow{d} N$ where N is Poisson with intensity $\upsilon$. As in Corollary 3.2 if $u_n = u_n(\tau)$ and $\{\xi_n\}$ has extremal index $\theta > 0$ then N has intensity $\theta\tau$. □

In cases where $D''(u_n)$ holds, $N_n^*$ and $\tilde{N}_n$ are asymptotically equivalent as might be expected, in the strong sense of the next result. That is the cluster positions essentially coincide with the upcrossings. It will be seen further (in Proposition 3.5) that cluster sizes then also correspond asymptotically to lengths of exceedance runs, so that clusters and exceedance runs may be identified.

<u>Proposition 3.4</u> Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1 the total variation of the random signed measure $\tilde{N}_n - N_n^*$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}||\tilde{N}_n - N_n^*|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Define a point process $N_n'$ to consist of all points of $\tilde{N}_n$ together with any points $\frac{i}{n}$ for which $\xi_i > u_n$. Then $N_n'(B) \ge \tilde{N}_n(B)$ for each $B \subset (0,1]$, and $||N_n' - \tilde{N}_n|| = N_n'((0,1]) - \tilde{N}_n((0,1])$ so that

$$\mathcal{E}||N_n' - \tilde{N}_n|| \le k_n P\{\xi_1 > u_n\} \to 0 \qquad \text{by assumption.} \tag{3.1}$$

Clearly also $N_n'(B) \ge N_n^*(B)$ and $||N_n' - N_n^*|| = N_n'(0,1] - N_n^*(0,1]$. But $\mathcal{E}N_n'((0,1]) \le \mathcal{E}\tilde{N}_n((0,1]) + k_n(1-F(u_n)) = (n-1)\mu(u_n) + o(1) \to \upsilon$ and

$$\mathcal{E}N_n^*(0,1] = k_n P\{M_{r_n} > u_n] + o(1) \to \upsilon$$

by Lemma 1.1 since $P\{M_n \le u_n\} - P^{k_n}\{M_{r_n} \le u_n\} \to 0$ and $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$. Hence $\mathcal{E}(N_n'(0,1] - N_n^*(0,1]) \to 0$ showing that $E||N_n' - N_n^*|| \to 0$ which combines with (3.1) to give the desired conclusion. □

The discussion of the limiting behavior of the actual exceedance point process $N_n$ requires a dependence restriction of similar type, but somewhat stronger than $D(u_n)$. Such a condition ($\Delta(u_n)$) is used in [1] where it is shown that if $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$ for some $\upsilon > 0$ then $N_n$ converges in distribution to a Compound Poisson Process provided the cluster size distribution $\pi_n(j)$ converges for each j to $\pi(j)$ a probability distribution on (1,2,3,...). Here the $\pi_n(j)$'s are simply defined to be the distribution of the number of events in a cluster (i.e. in an interval $((i-1)r_n/n,\ ir_n/n]$ ) given that there is at least one. The Poisson Process underlying this limit has intensity $\upsilon$ and may be regarded as the limiting point process of cluster centers. The distribution for the multiplicity of each event in the Compound Poisson limit is just $\pi(j)$.

It is natural to ask whether the $\pi_n(j)$ may be replaced by the distribution $\pi_n'(j)$ of the length of an exceedance run defined more precisely by

$$\pi_n'(j) = P\{\xi_2 > u_n,\ \xi_3 > u_n, \ldots \xi_{j+1} > u_n,\ \xi_{j+2} \le u_n | \xi_1 \le u_n < \xi_2\}$$

That this is the case is shown under $D''(u_n)$ by the following result

<u>Proposition 3.5</u> Suppose $D(u_n)$, $D''(u_n)$ hold where $u_n = \tilde{u}_n(\upsilon)$ for some $\upsilon > 0$. Then $\pi_n(j) - \pi_n'(j) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for each j=1,2,...

Proof: It will be more convenient (and clearly equivalent) to show that $Q_n(j)-Q_n'(j) \to 0$ where $Q_n(j) = \sum_{s=j}^{\infty} \pi_n(s)$, $Q_n'(j) = \sum_{s=j}^{\infty} \pi_n'(s)$. Writing J for the interval $(0, r_n/n]$ we have for $j \ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(j) &= P\{N_n(J) \ge j | N_n(J) > 0\} = P\{N_n(J) \ge j\}/P\{N_n(J) > 0\} \\ &= \frac{k_n}{\upsilon} P\{N_n(J) \ge j\}\ (1+o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

since $P\{N_n(J) > 0\} = P\{M_{r_n} > u_n\} \sim \upsilon/k_n$ (by Lemma 1.1, since $P\{M_n \le u_n\} \to e^{-\upsilon}$)

so that

$$Q_n(j) = \frac{k_n}{\nu}[P\{\xi_1 > u_n,\ N_n((\tfrac{1}{n}, \tfrac{r_n}{n}]) \geq j-1\}$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{r_n-j+1} P\{\xi_i \leq u_n, \ldots \xi_{i-1} \leq u_n < \xi_i, N_n((\tfrac{i+1}{n}, \tfrac{r_n}{n}]) \geq j-1\}](1+o(1))$$

Now

$$\frac{k_n}{\nu} P\{\xi_1 > u_n,\ N_n((\tfrac{1}{n}, \tfrac{r_n}{n}]) \geq j-1\} \leq \frac{k_n}{n}(1-F(u_n)) = o(1)$$

and

$$0 \leq P\{\xi_1 \leq u_n, \ldots \xi_{i-1} \leq u_n < \xi_i,\ N_n(\tfrac{i}{n}, \tfrac{r_n}{n}] \geq j-1\}$$
$$- P\{\xi_1 \leq u_n \ldots \xi_{i-1} \leq u_n < \xi_i,\ \xi_{i+1} > u_n, \ldots \xi_{i+j-1} > u_n\}$$
$$\leq P\{\xi_i > u_n,\ \bigcup_{j=i+2}^{r_n} (\xi_{j-1} \leq u_n < \xi_j)\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=3}^{r_n} P\{\xi_1 > u_n,\ \xi_{j-1} \leq u_n < \xi_j\} = o(1/n)$$

by $D''(u_n)$, so that

$$Q_n(j) = \frac{k_n}{\nu}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{r_n-j+1} P\{\xi_1 \leq u_n, \ldots \xi_{i-1} \leq u_n,\ \xi_i > u_n \ldots \xi_{i+j-1} > u_n\}\right](1+o(1)) + o(1).$$

Also

$$0 \leq P\{\xi_{i-1} \leq u_n,\ \xi_i > u_n, \ldots \xi_{i+j-1} > u_n\} - P\{\xi_1 \leq u_n, \ldots \xi_{i-1} \leq u_n, \xi_i > u_n, \ldots \xi_{i+j-1} > u_n\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=3}^{r_n} P\{\xi_1 > u_n,\ \xi_{j-1} \leq u_n < \xi_j\} = o(1/n)$$

so that

$$Q_n(j) = \frac{k_n}{\nu}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{r_n-j+1} P\{\xi_{i-1} \le u_n,\ \xi_i > u_n, \ldots \xi_{i+j-1} > u_n\}\right](1 + o(1)) + o(1)$$

$$\sim \frac{k_n}{\nu}(r_n-j+1)\ P\{\xi_2 > u_n, \ldots \xi_{j+1} > u_n \mid \xi_1 \le u_n < \xi_2\}\ \frac{\nu}{n}(1+o(1)) + o(1)$$

$$= Q_n'(j)(1+o(1)) + o(1) = Q_n'(j) + o(1)$$

as required. □

## References


[1] Hsing, T., Hüsler, J., Leadbetter, M.R. "On the exceedance point process for a stationary sequence" to appear in Prob. Theor. and Rel. Fields, 1988.

[2] Kallenberg, O. "Random Measures" Akademie-Verlag (Berlin) and Academic Press (London), 3rd Ed. 1983.

[3] Leadbetter, M.R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H., "Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes" Springer Statistics Series, 1983.

208. A.H. Korezlioglu, Computation of filters by sampling and quantization, Sept. 87.

209. J. Bather, Stopping rules and observed significance levels, Sept. 87.

210. S.T. Rachev and J.E. Yukich, Convolution metrics and rates of convergence in the central limit theorem, Sept. 87.

211. M. Fujisaki, Normed Bellman equation with degenerate diffusion coefficients and its applications to differential equations, Oct. 87.

212. G. Simons, Y.C. Yao and X. Wu, Sequential tests for the drift of a Wiener process with a smooth prior, and the heat equation, Oct. 87.

213. R.L. Smith, Extreme value theory for dependent sequences via the Stein-Chen method of Poisson approximation, Oct. 87.

214. C. Houdré, A note on vector bimeasures, Nov. 87.

215. M.R. Leadbetter, On the exceedance random measures for stationary processes, Nov. 87.

216. M. Marques, A study on Lebesgue decomposition of measures induced by stable processes, Nov. 87.

217. M.T. Alpuim, High level exceedances in stationary sequences with extremal index, Dec. 87.

218. R.F. Serfozo, Poisson functionals of Markov processes and queueing networks, Dec. 87.

219. J. Bather, Stopping rules and ordered families of distributions, Dec. 87.

220. S. Cambanis and M. Maejima, Two classes of self-similar stable processes with stationary increments, Jan. 88.

221. H.P. Hucke, G. Kallianpur and R.L. Karandikar, Smoothness properties of the conditional expectation in finitely additive white noise filtering, Jan. 88.

222. I. Mitoma, Weak solution of the Langevin equation on a generalized functional space, Feb. 88.

223. L. de Haan, S.I. Resnick, H. Rootzén and C. de Vries, Extremal behaviour of solutions to a stochastic difference equation with applications to arch-processes, Feb. 88.

224. O. Kallenberg and J. Szulga, Multiple integration with respect to Poisson and Lévy processes, Feb. 88.

225. D.A. Dawson and L.G. Gorostiza, Generalized solutions of a class of nuclear space valued stochastic evolution equations, Feb. 88.

226. G. Samorodnitsky and J. Szulga, An asymptotic evaluation of the tail of a multiple symmetric $\alpha$-stable integral, Feb. 88.

227. J.J. Hunter, The computation of stationary distributions of Markov chains through perturbations, Mar. 88.

228. H.C. Ho and T.C. Sun, Limiting distribution of nonlinear vector functions of stationary Gaussian processes, Mar. 88.

229. R. Brigola, On functional estimates for ill-posed linear problems, Apr. 88.

230. M.R. Leadbetter and S. Nandagopalan, On exceedance point processes for stationary sequences under mild oscillation restrictions, Apr. 88.