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Lock and Dam No. 7, Monongahela River is a navigation project located 85 miles from

the mouth of the river near the Pennsylvania-West Virginia state line. The dam is an

uncontrolled fixed-crest type with a short horizontal spillway apron for energy dissipation.

Model tests were conducted on a 1/25-scale section model of the dam, spillway apron, and

a section of the river wall of the lock. The purpose of the model study was to determine

cutting and configuration of materials necessary to protect the area immediately downstream

from the spillway apron from additional scour.

A scour protection plan consisting of large grout-filled fabric bags placed downstream

of the spillway apron and along the river wall of the lock and a 3-ft-high sloping end sill

placed on the existing spillway apron was developed. This plan provided scour protection for

all flow conditions anticipated at the project.
PREFACE

The model investigation reported herein was authorized by the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE), US Army, on 19 December 1983, at the request of the US Army Engineer District, Pittsburgh (ORP).

The studies were conducted by personnel of the Hydraulics Laboratory (HL), US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), during the period October 1985 to October 1986 under the direction of Mr. F. A. Herrmann, Jr., Chief, Hydraulics Laboratory, and under the general supervision of Mr. J. L. Grace, Jr., Chief, Hydraulic Structures Division. The tests were conducted by Messrs. T. E. Murphy, Jr., and J. E. Hite, Jr., Locks and Conduits Branch, under the supervision of Mr. J. F. George, Chief, Locks and Conduits Branch. This report was prepared by Mr. Hite.

The model was constructed by Mr. Bobby Blackwell under the supervision of Mr. Sid Leist, Engineering and Construction Services Division.

Messrs. Bruce McCartney of OCE; Laszlo Varga of the US Army Engineer Division, Ohio River; Ed Kovanic, Robert W. Schmitt, Joe Coletti, Ray Povirk, and Walt Leput, ORP, visited WES during the course of the model study to observe model operation and correlate results with design studies.

COL Dwayne G. Lee, CE, is the Commander and Director of WES. Dr. Robert W. Whalin is Technical Director.
CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC)
UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiply</th>
<th>By</th>
<th>To Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cubic feet</td>
<td>0.2831685</td>
<td>cubic metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feet</td>
<td>0.3048</td>
<td>metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inches</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>centimetres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miles (US statute)</td>
<td>1.609344</td>
<td>kilometres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pounds (mass)</td>
<td>0.4535924</td>
<td>kilograms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Vicinity map
PART II: THE MODEL

Description

4. The model (Figure 3) was constructed to an undistorted scale of 1:25 and reproduced a 78-ft-long section of the uncontrolled fixed-crest weir and spillway apron, and a 22-ft-wide section of the river wall of the lock. Approximately 200 ft of the river wall of the lock was constructed upstream from the dam and 300 ft downstream from the dam. 200 ft length of topography upstream from the dam, the proposed riprap protection, and 400 ft of the exit channel downstream from the dam were also reproduced. The fixed-crest weir, spillway apron, and a portion of the downstream side of the river wall of the lock were fabricated of sheet metal. The upstream topography and the remaining portions of the river wall of the lock were constructed of plastic-coated plywood. A 50-ft-section of the exit channel immediately downstream of the dam was molded with riprap and sand, followed by 140 ft of pea gravel and the remaining 210 ft reproduced with plastic coated plywood.

Model Appurtenances

5. Water used in operation of the models was supplied by a circulating system. Discharges in the model, measured with venturi meters installed in the inflow lines, were baffled when entering the model. Water-surface elevations and soundings over the sand and riprap beds were measured with point gages. Velocities were measured with pitot tubes mounted to permit measurement of flow from any direction and at any depth. The tailwater in the lower end of the model was maintained at the desired depth by means of an adjustable tailgate. Different designs, along with various flow conditions, were recorded photographically.

Scale Relations

6. The accepted equations of hydraulic similitude, based on the Froudian criteria, were used to express mathematical relations between the dimensions and hydraulic quantities of the model and prototype. General
relations for the transference of model data to prototype equivalents are presented below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Dimension*</th>
<th>Model:Prototype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>$L_r$</td>
<td>1:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>$A_r = L_r^2$</td>
<td>1:625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>$V_r = L_r^{1/2}$</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge</td>
<td>$Q_r = L_r^{5/2}$</td>
<td>1:3,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>$V_r = L_r^3$</td>
<td>1:15,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>$W_r = L_r^3$</td>
<td>1:15,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>$T_r = L_r^{1/2}$</td>
<td>1:5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dimensions are in terms of length.

Because of the nature of the phenomena involved, certain model data can be accepted quantitatively, while other data are reliable only in a qualitative sense. Measurements in the model of discharges, water-surface elevations, velocities, and resistance to displacement of riprap material can be transferred quantitatively from model to prototype by means of the above scale relations. Evidence of scour of the model sand bed, however, is to be considered only as qualitatively reliable since it has not yet been found possible to reproduce quantitatively in a model the relatively greater extent of erosion that occurs in the prototype with fine-grained bed material. Data on scour tendencies provided a basis for determination of the relative effectiveness of the different designs and indicated the areas most subject to attack.
the downstream face of the sill located 3 ft upstream from the end of the spillway apron. Stones 3 to 4 ft in diameter were placed horizontally for 50 ft downstream from the end of the spillway apron. This was designated the type 3 scour protection plan and is shown in Plate 9. The bottom of the rock was placed at el 750, with the top of the rock not exceeding the spillway apron elevation of 754. With this plan in place, the stone protection was unstable for the discharge (slightly higher than 85,000 cfs) that occurred during the flow transition from surface jet flow to plunging jet flow. Excessive turbulence over the riprap also occurred as the jet flow transitioned from plunging to surface flow. This condition caused slight fluttering of the rock, but was not as severe as the transition from surface to plunging flow.

10. With a discharge of 85,000 cfs, and a tailwater slightly above normal tailwater el 783.5, the jet flow rode the surface of the tailwater and caused no harmful turbulence over the riprap. As the tailwater was lowered, the jet began to plunge, and before it conformed to the crest shape, it attacked the area downstream from the spillway apron. This flow transition from surface jet to plunging jet flow and attack on the riprap are illustrated in Plate 10. It is during these type flow transitions that excessive turbulence was observed over the riprap bed.

11. The type 4 scour protection plan, shown in Plate 11, utilized the same size stone (3-4 ft diameter) and end sill as the type 3 scour protection plan, but the top of the rock was offset a minimum of 2 ft below the top of the spillway apron as shown in Plate 11. The bottom of the rock was placed at el 748. This plan was tested with a discharge of 85,000 cfs during the flow transition and the same instability observed with the type 3 plan was also experienced with the type 4 plan. The rock size was increased to 4- to 5-ft-diameter stones (type 5 scour protection plan, shown in Plate 12). This plan was tested with a discharge of 85,000 cfs during the transition flow and slight movement of a few stones was observed. Flow conditions with the type 5 scour protection plan for discharges of 20,000, 50,000, 85,000, 100,000, and 160,000 cfs are shown in Photo 3, respectively. The end sill was less effective in deflecting the plunging jet to the surface with increasing discharges. Velocities measured with the type 5 scour protection plan for a discharge of 85,000 cfs are shown in Plate 13. Velocities over the stone protection were reduced and were in an upstream direction as seen by comparing
remained stable with the end sill placed 3 ft upstream from the end of the spillway apron, type 11 scour protection plan shown in Plate 19.

16. The grout-filled bags were tested with 1 row placed against the downstream face of the dam perpendicular to the flow, type 12 scour protection plan, and the bags remained stable. Although the bags were considered stable, this was not a desirable protection plan due to the potential for excessive scour close to the structure. The type 13 scour protection plan used this bag configuration with the addition of a 3-ft-high end sill placed 3 ft upstream from the end of the spillway apron and the bags were stable, but again this was considered minimal protection.

17. Diver's reports had indicated scour along the river wall of the lock. Velocities were measured along the base of the lock wall for discharges of 20,000, 50,000, and 85,000 cfs as shown in Plates 20-22. These velocities ranged from 4.0 to 14.5 ft/sec along the wall and the higher velocities observed are sufficient to cause scour in an erodible material. With the end sill placed at the end of the spillway apron, the velocities were generally in an upstream direction as shown in Plates 23-25. These velocities would not cause scour problems.

18. Additional rating curve information received from the Pittsburgh District revealed that the tailwater was lower for some discharges. Additional tests were conducted with the type 10 scour protection plan to verify the adequacy of the plan with these lower tailwaters. Plunging flow conditions were observed up to a discharge of 105,000 cfs with a tailwater el of 785. The revised rating curve is shown in Plate 2 along with the original rating curve. The type 10 scour protection plan was tested for all flow conditions including the flow transition with discharges slightly higher and lower than 105,000 cfs and remained stable for all conditions.

19. The type 14 scour protection plan shown in Plate 26 incorporated the elements of the type 10 plan with the addition of 3 bags placed along the river wall of the lock. The number of bags placed along the river wall will depend on the location and extent of the existing scoured areas. If certain areas along the wall are severely scoured, bags should probably be used to repair these areas. The addition of the end sill significantly reduced the velocities along the base of the river wall. Three bags were placed along the lock wall downstream of the protection below the dam in the type 14 scour
PART IV: SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

20. The most severe condition for stability of scour protection material occurred with a discharge of 85,000 cfs with the original rating curve and with a discharge of 105,000 cfs with the revised rating curve. Two riprap plans were tested, neither of which would remain stable for all flow conditions with the original rating curve. The addition of a 3-ft-high sloping end sill deflected the plunging flow away from the area immediately downstream from the spillway apron but 4- to 5-ft-diameter stones were still unstable. Large grout-filled fabric bags 20 ft long by 6.75 ft wide by 2.75 ft thick remained stable when the 3-ft-high sloping end sill was placed on the spillway apron. The type 14 scour protection plan, Plate 26, provided adequate scour protection for the area below the dam as well as for a 60 ft length along the river wall of the lock downstream from the bags that were placed below the dam. This is the recommended design to repair Dam No. 7 Monongahela River. If this plan is adopted, the number of bags placed along the river wall of the lock beginning at the end of the spillway apron should be a minimum of five and possibly all the way to the end of the wall if severe scour currently exists along the entire length.

21. In placing the grout-filled fabric bags, past prototype experience has shown that it is desirable for the bottom of the bags to conform to the surface on which they rest to help prevent cracking and efforts should also be made to reinforce the bags within themselves and to couple them to each other. A properly designed granular filter is necessary to prevent loss of material underneath the bags. The material underneath the bags should consist of a graded granular material large enough to prevent piping through the voids of adjacent bags. The top of the bags should not project above the spillway apron el of 754.0 to avoid the high velocity jet exiting the apron.
1. Discharge 100,000 cfs, tailwater el 756.5
Photo 5. Type 14 scour protection plan
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