This paper addresses flame-spreading, combustion, and grain-rupture processes associated with unslotted single-perforated stick propellants, both theoretically and experimentally. A coupled finite-difference and finite-element code was developed for solving the property variations in gas- and solid-phase regions. Tests were conducted using a windowed chamber for observation of the transient combustion and fracture phenomena. Test data indicate that higher pressurization rate causes earlier propellant ignition and faster flame-spreading rate. Critical pressure differential across the propellant web for grain rupture was found to increase monotonically with the internal pressurization rate. Recovered propellant samples showed that longitudinal slits were formed at low pressurization rates, while at rapid pressurization rates (higher than 3.5 GPa/s), the grains shattered into many small pieces. Depending upon the internal pressurization rates, SEM microstructure of fractured surfaces of recovered grains exhibited ductile tensile, ductile shear, or brittle-cleavage phenomena. Calculated results, in agreement with (continued)
experimental data, provide reasonable physical interpretation of the complicated and coupled combustion/structural mechanics problem.
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Abstract

This paper addresses flame-spreading, combustion, and grain-rupture processes associated with unslotted single-perforated stick propellants, both theoretically and experimentally. A coupled finite-difference and finite-element code was developed for solving the property mechanism to improve performance using stick-propellant charges. Critical pressure differences were observed at propellant web locations, and faster flame-spreading rate. Critical pressure differential across the propellant web for a windowed chamber for observation of the transient combustion and fracture phenomena. Test data indicate that higher pressurization rate causes earlier propellant ignition and faster flame-spreading rate. Critical pressure differential across the propellant web for grain rupture was found to increase monotonically with the internal pressurization rate. Recovered propellant samples showed that longitudinal slits were formed at low pressurization rates, while at rapid pressurization rates (higher than 3.5 GPa/s), the grains shattered into many small pieces. Depending upon the internal pressurization rates, SEM microstructure of fractured surfaces of recovered grains exhibited ductile tensile, brittle shear, or brittle-cleavage phenomena. Calculated results, in agreement with experimental data, provide reasonable physical interpretation of the complicated and coupled combustion/structural mechanics problem.

Introduction

Interest in the use of single-perforated long stick-propellant charges in large-caliber gun systems continues to grow. Although the advantages of stick propellants over granular propellants for high-performance large-caliber gun systems have been noted, the true mechanism for improved performance has not yet been totally identified. Numerous studies conducted by a number of investigators indicates that one of the major advantages of stick propellants over conventional randomly packed grains is that a larger mass of propellant can be loaded into the same volume, resulting in an increase in pressure, combustion, and flame-spreading rate. Since loading density can be higher when stick propellants are used, this configuration is preferable for Low Vulnerability Ammunition (LOVA) propellants which require increased mass of propellant charge to produce equivalent performance. It has been demonstrated further that flow resistance through the charge is lower for stick propellants, thus enabling a faster and more reproducible flame-spreading rate over the charge; this also reduces undesirable high pressure gradients and severe pressure waves in gun systems. Consequently, it is possible to achieve higher ballistic reproducibility with stick propellants. Robbins and Horst reported a mechanism to improve gun performance using stick-propellant charges, due to the fact that stick propellants have larger mass and hence less mobility than granular propellants. They usually burn in the relatively high-pressure zone near the breech end. Another significant advantage of using stick propellants is that they can be loaded more easily than bag charges, since stick propellants can be prepackaged in various desired dimensions. Although there is considerable evidence to support the fact that higher muzzle velocity and improved overall gun performance can be achieved when stick propellants replace conventional granular propellants, the mechanism for improved performance is not yet fully understood.

In the past, partial phenomena of single-stick propellant combustion and fracture have been studied by several research groups. The flow resistance in a stick-propellant charge was measured by Robbins et al.

A lumped-parameter modeling of burning inside the perforation and on the exterior surfaces of unslotted stick propellants was conducted by Robbins and Horst, who found that even with a unity discharge coefficient, pressure differentials across the web exceeded the bursting strength by an order of magnitude. Robbins and Horst also conducted experiments at constant external pressure of 1 atm. Progressive-interior surface burning caused by the locally increased pressure was noted in fractured grain fragments recovered from tests. Athavale et al. observed rupture phenomena under various internal pressurization rates. The critical pressure for dynamic fracture was found to be an order of magnitude higher in randomly packed grains than in quasi-steady conditions. A theoretical model was also formulated by Athavale et al., based upon a one-dimensional transient gas-phase analysis coupled with axisymmetric dynamic structural analysis for the solid propellant. However, no direct comparison of calculated results with experimental data was conducted.

The focus of this continued research is to achieve a better understanding of the complicated interaction of flame spreading, combustion, grain deformation, and rupture inside the perforation region of a stick propellant by 1) obtaining more detailed flame-spreading and grain-fracture phenomena, 2) comparing theoretical predictions with experimental data, and 3) determining the applicability of the theoretical model and computer code in predicting this complicated event.

Theoretical Approach

As mentioned in the Introduction, a comprehensive theoretical model for predicting the
flame-spreading, combustion, grain deformation, and fracture process of an unslotted single-perforated stick propellant was formulated by Athavale et al. A schematic diagram of the physical model for combustion and fracture of a single-perforated unslotted stick-propellant grain is shown as Fig. 1. In the theoretical formulation, three regions are considered: 1) internal perforation region; 2) solid propellant region; and 3) external region. A finite-difference scheme is used to solve the flow properties in the gas phase, and a finite-element method is used in the solid-phase analysis. Several special features of the model are listed below.

a) A transient, one-dimensional analysis was adopted for predicting combustion properties in the gas phase. Real-gas effect, erosive-burning formula, and special treatment on the boundary conditions (all of which affect the accuracy of theoretical prediction) were considered in the model.

b) A transient two-dimensional structural analysis for calculating propellant grain deformation, stress distribution, and fracture was applied to the solid phase. The NOSOL-363 propellant was treated as a viscoelastic material in shear and elastic material in bulk deformation under dynamic loading conditions. Transient structural mechanics analysis is essential, since experimental evidence has indicated the strong dependence of critical rupture pressure on pressurization rates. The well-developed HONDO Code was utilized for the calculation.

c) A coupled subprogram was developed to combine the combustion process with the grain dynamic deformation. Propellant grain regression caused by combustion and deformation due to dynamic loading was calculated at each time step. The instantaneous web thickness and surface location of the internal perforation were then used to generate new flow-channel geometry.

d) A coupled subprogram was developed to combine the combustion process with the grain dynamic deformation. Propellant grain regression caused by combustion and deformation due to dynamic loading was calculated at each time step. The instantaneous web thickness and surface location of the internal perforation were then used to generate new flow-channel geometry.

Numerical Approach

Combustion Code

A generalized implicit scheme, based on central difference in spanwise derivatives, was chosen to solve the governing equations numerically. A weighting parameter \( \alpha \) is used to control the degree of implicitness of the numerical scheme. The value of \( \alpha \) is 0.5 for the Crank-Nicolson Scheme, and a value of 0.6 is used in the numerical simulation for the gas phase.

Solid-Mechanics Code

In the HONDO Code, the Galerkin form of the finite element method is used to generate the spatial discretization. This may also be viewed as a use of the Principle of Virtual Work. An arbitrary quadrilateral mesh was adopted. The motion of the boundary of elements is assumed to vary bilinearly over the element using isoparametric coordinates. An element-by-element process is used to generate the equations of motion for all nodes. The resulting simultaneous equations in time are integrated using central difference expression for velocity and displacement. Since a diagonal mass matrix is used, the scheme is explicit, and therefore computationally very fast per time step. This integration procedure, which is conditionally stable with respect to time-step size, provides a very simple, reliable, continuous monitor of the step-size used in the program.

Overall Computation Procedure

The structure of finite-difference grids with finite-element nodes is shown in Fig. 2. The overall flow chart and detailed calculation procedure are shown in Fig. 3. Calculation of mechanical behavior of the solid propellant is performed by the subprogram, HONDO-II, which is coupled to the finite-difference program. To lower computational costs, HONDO-II is called after each five time-step calculation of gas-phase properties. This procedure has a negligible effect on the accuracy of the calculated results, since mechanical deformation within each time step for the gas-phase calculation (2 μs) is extremely small. The amount of mechanical deformation is then divided into five increments and distributed to the five time steps in the next gas-phase calculations.

Experimental Approach

A test chamber was designed and fabricated to study flame spreading and combustion inside the stick perforation, and mechanical deformation of...
From which hence introduces the so-called inertia effect, responding immediately to instantaneous pressure, of critical rupture pressure on the pressurization rates, the propellant is shown in figure. Interpretation of the event is propellant wa recorded. Detailed physical calculation, now warns pressure history at pressure-time traces (DADP-18) is shown in chamber pressures outside the stick propellant. The test chamber has the capability to measure both transient pressures inside the perforation at several axial locations and pressures outside the stick propellant. The chamber has two long windows through which the phenomenon of flame spreading, combustion, and fracture can be observed. Pressure external to the stick propellant can be kept at a fixed level using compressed nitrogen gas, or filled completely with water. The internal perforation of the stick propellant is pressurised using hot combustion gases generated from a driving motor. The data acquisition system contains a transient waveform recorder to store the pressure-time traces during dynamic pressurization. The time of grain fracture, as well as the critical pressure differential across the propellant web, was determined from the traces and from high-speed movie films. Both high-speed movie and video cameras were used to obtain records of the flame spreading, combustion, and grain fracture.

Discussion of Results

Numerous tests were conducted using the test chamber described above. A typical set of pressure-time traces (DADP-18) is shown in Fig. 6. Pressure history at several locations of the propellant was recorded. Detailed physical interpretation of the event is given in the figure, from which the occurrence of propellant grain rupture can be determined. The dependence of critical rupture pressure on the pressurization rate of internal perforation of NOSOL-363 stick propellant is shown in Fig. 7. This shows that critical rupture monotonically increases with respect to internal pressurization rate. At higher pressurization rates, the propellant grain cannot respond immediately to instantaneous pressure, and hence introduces the so-called inertia effect, which in turn delays the propellant grain rupture. From Fig. 7, it is clear that by lowering initial propellant temperature, the slope of the curve of (AP) rupture versus dp/dt becomes steeper. This indicates that at lower temperatures the propellant is more brittle, enabling it to sustain higher yielding stress; at high temperatures, this is not the case. Therefore, at low temperatures, for high pressurization rates, propellant grains exhibit larger critical rupture pressures. It is interesting to note that although the propellant grain has higher (AP) rupture at low temperatures, the energy absorbed by the grain (as indicated by the area under the stress-strain curve) is lower than that of a high-temperature case.

The microstructure of ruptured surfaces of recovered grains was observed using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). Figures 8a and 8b show recovered NOSOL-363 stick propellant grains with ruptured surfaces as curved slits. An SEM picture
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**Fig. 2 Structure of Finite-Difference and Finite-Element Nodes (The total numbers of nodes and elements are reduced for clarity)**
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Fig. 3 Overall Computation Procedure
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The instantaneous location of the flame front
can be determined from the recorded films. Figure
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locations versus time under different
pressurisation rates. The deduced flame-spreading
rates corresponding to these two flame-front
trajectories are plotted in Fig. 13. It can be
seen that higher pressurisation rates produce
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Theoretical calculation for simulating a
typical test (DADD-18) before propellant grain
rupture was conducted. The calculated time
variations of pressure, velocity, and temperature
at different axial locations are shown in Figs.
14, 15, and 16, respectively. From Fig. 14, it
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other gauge locations. This is due to gas
accumulation at the center location as well as
restricted grain deformation by the mid-propellant
holder block. In Fig. 15, initial flow is in the
forward direction throughout the perforation
region. After development of the adverse pressure
gradient (see Fig. 14), flow reversal occurs in
the front portion of the propellant grain. The
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Fig. 4 Schematic Diagram of Test Rig Assembly for
Studying Combustion and Fracture of a
Single-Perforated Stick Propellant

of the fractured surface at a relatively low
pressurisation rate of 2.35 GPa/s is shown in Fig.
8c. Figures 9a and 9b show the recovered
shattered grains for the same propellant under a
higher dynamic fracture condition (3P/3t = 25.28
GPa/s). It is evident from these photographs that
the surface of the shattered pieces contains many
microcracks which are the result of very rapid
stress loading. Figures 10a, 10b, and 10c show a
set of microstructures from different recovered
grains under various test conditions. Propellant
grain fractured by ductile tensile, ductile shear,
and brittle cleavage, respectively, can also be
observed here; thus, propellant grain fracture
could be caused by several factors, depending
upon pressurisation rate, grain ignition, and
combustion conditions in each test.

In order to observe the interaction of flame
spreading, combustion, and fracture of stick
propellants, high-speed motion pictures were taken
during the test using Hycam or Spin Physics
(SP-2000) high-speed movie and video cameras.
The purpose of using water in the external region
is to delay the rupture process and observe more
clearly the locations of grain rupture.

Deformation was not restricted to the center
portion of the propellant, and the propellant was
photographed only through the first-window
portion. As can be seen from Fig. 11 (Test No.
DADD-26), the propellant was first ignited in the
head-end region at t = 2.214 ms, and the flame
front propagated into the aft-end region. At time
= 2.454 ms, the propellant grain ruptured, causing
a decrease in internal perforation region
pressure, retarded flame spreading, and drastic
reduction of flame brightness. At time = 2.521
ms, some bright spots were observed, indicating
the locations where propellant grain fractured
initially. This can be compared with the
recovered grain shown in Fig. 8a. After time =
3.662 ms, the flame was extinguished by the
surrounding water. Based on the P-t traces and
the high-speed movie films, flame spreading,
combustion, and grain rupture processes of the
single-perforated stick propellant can be fully
determined. The deformation of propellant grain
before rupture was too small to be visible.
However, deformation distributions were calculated
from the theoretical prediction.

The instantaneous location of the flame front
can be determined from the recorded films. Figure
12 shows two measured sets of flame-front
locations versus time under different
pressurisation rates. The deduced flame-spreading
rates corresponding to these two flame-front
trajectories are plotted in Fig. 13. It can be
seen that higher pressurisation rates produce
faster flame-spreading rates.

Theoretical calculation for simulating a
typical test (DADD-18) before propellant grain
rupture was conducted. The calculated time
variations of pressure, velocity, and temperature
at different axial locations are shown in Figs.
14, 15, and 16, respectively. From Fig. 14, it
can be seen that pressure at the center portion (x
= 0.30 m) rises at a faster rate than pressure at
other gauge locations. This is due to gas
accumulation at the center location as well as
restricted grain deformation by the mid-propellant
holder block. In Fig. 15, initial flow is in the
forward direction throughout the perforation
region. After development of the adverse pressure
gradient (see Fig. 14), flow reversal occurs in
the front portion of the propellant grain. The
velocity increases drastically on both ends of the
perforation region, due to the increase of mass

Fig. 5 Sample Holder Assembly with Propellant
Sample
flow rate from the center portion. This severe burning condition is also evident from high-speed movie film. From the calculated temperature-time traces at various locations (shown in Fig. 16) one can see that the gas temperature before grain rupture can reach a level beyond that of the adiabatic flame temperature. This is due to gas accumulation and compression in the air and downstream location of the stick propellant.

The calculated pressure, velocity, and temperature distributions at various times are shown in Figs. 17, 18, and 19, respectively. The flame-front trajectory is also superimposed on these plots. In Fig. 17, pressure distribution along the axial location decreases monotonically before \( t = 1.9 \) ms. At time greater than 2.39 ms, the propellant inside the perforation region is burned severely. It can be seen that the pressure peak moves downstream as time increases; this is the result of gas accumulation behind the ignition front. The effect of gas accumulation also causes the highest pressure locally.

From the predicted velocity distributions at various times (see Fig. 18), it is evident that at the initial period, the velocity decreases monotonically along the axial location. As the propellant is burned continuously (\( t > 2.39 \) ms), the velocity distribution peak moves downstream due to the local pressure gradient which exists inside the perforation region. At a later time (\( t = 2.79 \) ms), the combustion gas in the upstream may flow in the reverse direction due to gas flow in outward directions from the high-pressure region.

Calculated temperature distributions at various times are shown in Fig. 19. One can see that during the initial time period (\( t = 0.39 \) ms), a steep temperature gradient is present. This is mainly due to the fact that the initial increase of the temperature inside the perforation is the result of hot gas penetration. Since the gas velocity in the downstream is nearly zero during this period, the temperature in the downstream region is also equal to the initial temperature of the gas. As the propellant starts to burn, the temperature inside the perforation region begins to increase and is dominated by the flame temperature of the propellant. In certain downstream locations, the gas temperature is higher than the adiabatic flame temperature; this is due to the effect of gas accumulation and compression before the bursting diaphragm.
Fig. 8 Photographs of the Ruptured Surface of NOSOL-363 Stick Propellant at Low Pressurization Rates

Figure 20 shows the radial-displacement distributions for each row of finite elements along the axial direction at three different times. The web thickness is divided into two rows of finite elements. Three-node surfaces bound these elements, each surface containing 31 nodes. Calculated deformations are small as compared to the original web thickness. Displacements for the outer row are restricted at three holder locations; therefore, displacement distributions are not uniform. As evident from these curves, the maximum deformation occurs near the center of the first window location.

The calculated stress distribution for each element of the propellant grain is shown in Fig. 21. At time = 3.8 ms, the stress at the upstream of the inner element is extremely large. It is expected that propellant grain will rupture near the location; this is very close to the observed rupture location from high-speed movie film.

Figure 22 presents a comparison of the predicted pressure-time trace at upstream location with measured data from the test firing. It is evident that they are in good agreement; the maximum deviation for the predicted value from the test result is about 10%.

Summary and Conclusion

Some of the major observations and results obtained from this study are summarized as follows:

1) The critical pressure differential for grain rupture increases monotonically with pressurization rate; the critical pressure differential can be substantially higher at rapid pressurization rates than at steady operating conditions. Lowering the initial temperature of the propellant grain and increasing the pressurization rate, causes the propellant to behave as a brittle
Fig. 10 Ductile Tensile, Ductile Shear, and Brittle Cleavage Phenomena were Shown on the Ruptured Surface of MOSOL-363 Stick Propellant.

Fig. 11 High-Speed Pictures Showing Flame Spreading, Combustion, and Fractured Event of a Test Firing (ODAP-26).
Fig. 12 Flame-Front Trajectories for Different Pressurization Rates

Fig. 13 Flame Spreading Rates under Different Pressurization Rates

Fig. 14 Calculated Pressure-Time Traces at Various Axial Locations

Fig. 15 Calculated Velocity-Time Traces at Various Axial Locations

Fig. 16 Calculated Temperature-Time Traces at Various Axial Locations

Fig. 17 Calculated Pressure Distribution at Various Times
Fig. 18 Calculated Velocity Distribution at Various Times

Fig. 19 Calculated Temperature Distribution at Various Times

Fig. 20 Calculated Radial Displacement Distribution at Various Times
Fig. 21 Calculated Stress Distribution at Various Times

Fig. 22 Comparison of Calculated and Measured Pressure-Time traces at Upstream Locations (Pressure Gauge G2)

Fig. 23 Comparison of Calculated and Measured Flame-Front Locations
material. Higher critical pressure differential is measured for these test conditions.

2) Recovered test samples show that for low pressurization rates, the grain fractures with one or more longitudinal slits; but at very rapid pressurization rates, the propellant shatters into many pieces. This is important since fragments of the shattered propellant generate a significantly higher total burning surface area, which in turn leads to enhanced burning of the propellant.

3) From SEM photographs, fractured surfaces of shattered propellant pieces show the existence of numerous microcracks. Microcracks are absent in the case of low pressurization rate tests.

4) Ignition is earlier and flame spreading is faster for higher pressurization rate conditions.

5) A theoretical model has been developed to analyze the interaction between combustion processes and grain deformation inside the perforation region of single-perforated stick propellants before propellant rupture. Calculated temperature, pressure, velocity, grain deformation, stress distributions, etc., along the flow direction aid in the physical interpretation of the coupled combustion event and structural mechanics problem.

6) Comparison of the predicted pressure-time trace with the measured data from test firing shows them to be in good agreement. Based on this agreement, the theoretical model has been validated to simulate the present problem.
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