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SUMMARY

A heat transfer method of studying boundary layer flows over airfoils at transonic test conditions has been investigated. The method employs very thin DISA hot-film gauges housing Constant Temperature Anemometer probes. Provided that the thickness dimension of the films remains less than the critical disturbances height for inducing transition of the laminar boundary layer, the heat transfer response from the films, positioned carefully on the model surface, can be studied to determine the boundary layer characteristics. Results from an application study on a 21% thick laminar flow airfoil model are presented and discussed.

RÉSUMÉ

La recherche porte sur une méthode de transfert de chaleur utilisée pour l'étude des écoulements dans la couche limite autour de profils d'aile placés dans des conditions d'essais transsoniques. Dans cette méthode, on emploie des manomètres à film chaud DISA très fins logeant des anémomètres à fil chaud à température constante. Si l'épaisseur des films reste inférieure à la hauteur critique des perturbations induisant une transition de la couche limite laminaire, on peut étudier la réponse thermique des films, soigneusement placés à la surface de la maquette, pour déterminer les caractéristiques de la couche limite. Les résultats d'une étude avec une maquette de profil d'aile à écoulement laminaire d'une épaisseur relative de 21% sont présentés et commentés.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The NAE 21% thick supercritical airfoil 68-060-21:1 has shown some remarkable low drag characteristics as demonstrated by measurements on a 10 inch chord model in the NAE High Reynolds number 2-D facility, Refs. [1,2]. It is reasonable to assume that the low drag features are a result of significant extents of laminar boundary layer over the airfoil. The purpose of the present investigation was primarily to verify the extent of laminar flow by measurements with hot-film gauges, and to demonstrate in general the applicability of some relatively new type of hot-film gauges.

The hot-film method of studying boundary layer characteristics has been used successfully in the past. Owen et al [3] used this technique to measure transition on a cone at hypersonic Mach numbers. Peake et al [4] and Ohman [5] used "McCroskey" hot-film gauges in their supersonic and transonic boundary layer studies at the High Speed Aerodynamics Laboratory of NAE. More recently, in another similar study Fancher (McDonnell-Douglas) [6] investigated the hot-film technique to detect transition using NAE's 2-D High Reynolds Number Test Facility. In this study the gauge elements were vacuum deposited on a very thin dielectric substrate, providing a virtually non-intrusive installation to boundary layers.

The new polyimide, glue-on DISA hot-film probes used in the present experiment have been manufactured sufficiently thin to be almost non-intrusive and sensitive enough to be able to measure heat-transfer rates at transonic Mach numbers. The measure of heat transfer rate as detected by the DISA hot-film strips, mounted at strategic...
locations on the model surface provided continuous information on the boundary layer conditions.

The data recorded from the hot-film strips was studied in light of the chordwise pressure distribution measurements and in one instance with some flow visualization snap shots.

This report discusses some interesting features of the data collected. For a number of runs, chordwise pressure measurements from the model surface as well as the normalized R.M.S. output of the heat transfer rate are provided in a graphical format. To show certain trends in data the AC signals, and in some cases the R.M.S. levels of the signals and their spectra are also shown.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENT

2.1 Facility

The investigation was carried out in the NAE's 15" x 60" High Reynolds Number 2-D Facility, [7]. For a description of the equipment, instrumentation and data reduction related to balance and pressure measurements the reader is referred to Ref. [8]. Only the DISA hot-film related aspects of instrumentation and data reduction will be dealt with here.

2.2 Model

A 21% thickness to chord ratio supercritical model NAE 68-060-21:1 having a chord length of 9.9937 inches (shown in Figure 1) was suitably instrumented for this investigation. Having
experimented twice with this model for other aerodynamic purposes [8,9] and developed a familiarity with its performance were part of the reason for choosing this model for the present study. It was manufactured in the NAE's HSAL machine shop. The model is made of aluminum and has been given a surface treatment (anodized) which gives the model a shiny black smooth surface. The surface smoothness was measured to be within an RMS value of $12 \times 10^{-6}$ to $17.6 \times 10^{-6}$ inches. The model was equipped to provide chordwise pressure distribution measurements, see Ref. [8].

For the ease of accessing wires from the hot-film probes planted on the model surface, the model was installed inverted in the tunnel.

2.3 The DISA Hot-film Probes

The DISA hot-films were 0.63 inches in length, 0.315 inches wide and $1.97 \times 10^{-3}$ inches thick. They were made from a polyimide material and had $1.97 \times 10^{-4}$ inches thick quartz coating. The actual constant temperature anemometer (CTA) nickel probe housed within the film had dimensions of $3.54 \times 10^{-2}$ inches $\times 3.937 \times 10^{-3}$ inches $\times 1.97 \times 10^{-4}$ inches. The films were glued on to the model upper surface using Eastman 910 adhesive at six predetermined locations. The thickness of the hot-films was close to the permissible thickness [10] which may force transition. For example, at a Reynolds number of $\text{Re} = 8 \times 10^6$, a disturbance thickness of $2.086 \times 10^{-3}$ inches placed at a distance of 1.5 inches from the leading edge will force transition.

The positioning of the hot-film probes was thus schemed carefully. The arrangement shown in Figure (2) was designed such that no one probe would be affected by any disturbances emanating from an upstream probe or even the side wall boundary layer. The
wires from each probe were pulled back along the top surface towards the trailing edge. They were then worked round the trailing edge and turned at right angles towards the south wall (model inverted) end of the model. The wires were finally worked out of a clearance next to the pressure connector and taken to the DISA anemometer, a linearizer and RMS measuring units. The DISA hot-film and accessory units had been calibrated according to the procedure described in Ref. [11,12]. The DISA hot-film instrumentation on the model is shown in Figure (3). See bottom of Figure 3 for a brief description of the probes.

The block diagram in Figure (3) shows how the signal from the hot film-constant temperature anemometer was processed. The DC component of the signal was obtained through a low pass filter to provide a mean output. The root mean square (RMS) value of the AC signal was measured using an RMS meter after it has passed through a high pass filter. The amplified AC signal from the high pass filter as well as the wide band signal from the CTA were recorded directly on an FM Tape Recorder and processed using a FFT analyser.

The tare value measured at wind off conditions for the DC signal was the mean value (VDT) whilst the tare value for the RMS signal was the mean value squared (VMT). For every wind-on α-step the following information was computed for each hot-film probe:

- $VDN = \text{DC mean value per }\alpha\text{-scan}$
- $VMR = (\text{mean RMS value per }\alpha\text{-scan})^2$
- $DVN = VDN - VDT$
- $RMN = \sqrt{(VMR - VMT)}$
- $R_N = RMN/DVN$ (normalized RMS)
The measure of $R_N$ from the six flush mounted probes placed at different axial stations provided an indication of the state of the boundary layer along the model surface.

In physical terms the DC value $DVN$ refers to a measure of steady thermodynamic properties in the flow and $RMN$ refers to the fluctuating component of the same properties. In laminar flow the $RMN$ value is very small and the resulting $R_N$ value therefore is very low. In the transition regions the fluctuating signal $RMN$ is very large compared to the steady state value $DVN$ giving rise to a large peak value of the $R_N$ signal. In the turbulent region, both $RMN$ and $DVN$ are large, making their ratio $R_N$ relatively smaller.

3. **TEST PROGRAM**

This test program comprised of eleven runs in which the flow conditions were varied according to the following ranges:

- **Mach Number Range**: $0.6 \leq M \leq 0.7$
- **Reynolds Number Range**: $4.17 \times 10^6 < R_C < 9.2 \times 10^6$
- **Incidence Range**: $-3.5 \text{ deg} \leq \alpha_{COR} \leq 4.28 \text{ deg}$
- **Total Pressure**: $19.48 \text{ psia} < P_o < 45.63 \text{ psia}$

$\alpha_{COR}$ - incidence corrected for wall interference

$R_C$ - Reynolds number based on model chord

4. **DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

Figures (4a), (4b) and (4c) show the normalized RMS values of the heat-transfer rates output $R_N = RMN/DVN$ as measured by the six flush mounted DISA probes during three consecutive pressure scans at constant flow conditions. Each scan was of 2.5 seconds duration which
also was the sample time of the hot-film signals. The test conditions were $M = 0.68$, $R_c = 6.65 \times 10^6$ and $\alpha_{C,OR} = -0.27$.

The hot-film data were complemented with a separate flow visualization run, the result of which is shown in Figure (4d). The three sets of hot-film data show good consistency.

The output from the six probes (Figures (4a,b,c)) with the flow conditions being at $M = 0.68$ and $R_c = 6.65 \times 10^6$ and $\alpha_{C,OR} = -0.27$° represents a classic picture of a boundary layer development. The first three probes measure negligible $R_N$ output signifying laminar flow. This is followed by a sharp rise in $R_N$ output reaching a maximum around the fourth probe, depicting transition peak in between 45 to 50% of the chord length. The $P_4$ values show some variations which are indicative of that transition is not a steady phenomenon. The steady decline in the normalized heat transfer rate output $R_N$ as seen by the fifth ($P_5$) and sixth ($P_6$) probe represents the turbulent nature of the flow. This type of boundary layer response as seen by the flush mounted hot-films has also been established for supersonic and hypersonic flow see Refs. [3] and [4].

Figure 5 shows the spectra obtained with a digital signal analyser. The FM Racal tape recorder which stored the six signal was run appropriately to permit a frequency response of up to 12.8 kHz. For the entire frequency range 50-12.8 kHz, the first three probes detect a low energy level in the range, $-100.0 < \hat{E} < -75.0$ (where $\hat{E}$ is defined as $20 \log (\text{RMS volts})/(\text{Ref volt} = 1.0)$). This appears to suggest that the first three probes must be situated in the laminar region of the boundary layer. The fact that probe 3, $\hat{E}$ level is lower than the probe 1 and 2 is presumably due to some leading edge acceleration of the flow at the first two probes.
In the frequency range of about 150 - 1500 Hz, probes 4 and 5 occupy the highest energy levels. This is presumably due to their proximity with the transition region. At the highest frequency value close to 12.8 kHz, the $E$ level of the last probe $P_6$ is as high as $P_5$. The theoretical spectra lines for turbulent flow having slopes of $\eta = -5/3$ and $-7$ are also shown. It is evident that at lower frequencies 300 - 5000 Hz, it is probe 5 which best matches the higher slope ($\eta = -5/3$) line. At the higher frequency range 5000 - 10,000 Hz both probes 5 and 6 agree with the $\eta = -7$ slope spectrum line. Thus both probes 5 and 6 are showing strong turbulent flow spectra characteristics.

The pressure trace shown in Figure (6) also shows favourable conditions for laminar flow up to 50% of the chord length. The adverse pressure gradient beyond 55-60% of the chord length would promote turbulent flow on the aft portion of the model upper surface. Whilst it is not possible to establish the onset of transition from pressure traces, it is clear that the inferred laminar and turbulent regions are in harmony with the hot-film findings.

The flow visualization picture shown in Figure (4d) is not so easy to interpret as individual specks of dust on the model surface appear to trip local transition. The small dark shades just aft of $P_1$ and $P_2$ are tell tale signs of some local transition. However, a careful examination of the picture around a cleaner portion of the model shows that the flow remained laminar in region 1 which is about 45% of the model chord length. The transition wedges appear in a region 45 to 50% of the chord length. The flow is turbulent past this point in
region 3. Thus the flow visualization pattern is satisfactorily consistent with the hot-film results.

The dark shade close to the leading edge can be attributed to some local phenomenon probably caused by uneven or excessive oil spray application and other 3-D effects.

It is interesting to note that the hot-film data for all three scans have predicted very similar flow patterns on the airfoil surface. The slight difference in absolute output as measured by the last three probes can be attributed primarily to the increasingly unsteady nature of transition. This ability of the probes to respond to the instantaneous local boundary layer conditions will be investigated in some of the forthcoming data.

Figure (7a) shows the normalized $R_N$ hot-film data scanned at twelve angles of attack settings in the range $-3.5 < \alpha_{COR} < 2.81$ with the other flow conditions being $M = 0.66$ and $Re = 4.17 \times 10^6$. Figures (7b) and (7c), respectively show the AC signals, and a selection of R.M.S. data from the same run.

Whilst the $R_N$ data Figure (7a) does not show a conclusive transition peak at $\alpha = -3.5$, a glance at the AC signals trends Figure (7b) and R.M.S. data Figure (7c) clearly show that transition must have occurred between $P_3$ and $P_4$ in region $0.45 < x/c < 0.5$. For the angle of attack range $-2.26 < \alpha_{COR} < -0.17$, the normalized $R_N$ hot film data shows a definite transition peak in the above chordwise range. For higher angles of attack $\alpha_{COR} > -0.17$, the regions of laminar flow appear to become longer as the transition peak moves rearwards. This
behaviour is consistent with the 'bucket phenomenon' observed in Ref. [9] and attributed to the ability of NLF airfoils to sustain relatively long laminar runs at certain conditions.

Figure (7b) shows the AC signal from all six probes for the entire run. It is clear that from the middle of step 2 to step 7, which is the angle of attack range $-2.26 < \alpha_{\text{COR}} < -0.17$, probe 4 detects a high level of flow activity. This indicates a transition somewhere in the locality $0.45 < x/c < 0.5$. The large positive spikes in signals at probe 4 and 5 between steps 2 and 7 are indicative of the turbulent nature of the flow, whereas the negative spikes represent the laminar tendencies. The two tendencies here are probably responsible for the apparent offset in the mean of the signal. Past step 7, ($\alpha_{\text{COR}} > -0.17$) it is the probe 6 which appears to be in the highest activity region and that is most likely associated with incipient trailing edge separation. Both probes 4 and 5 indicate turbulent flow after step 7.

The (RMS) Root Mean Square values of the above signals are also provided (Figure 7c) for at least three steps in the above run. In steps 1 and 9, probe 6 stationed at $x/c = 0.9$ registers the most chaotic conditions most likely signifying an incipient trailing edge separation. The remaining five probes signals exist within a narrow band of 0.009 volts. In step 5, probes 4 and 5 followed by the probe 6 detect the largest RMS levels indicating a transition region in the range $0.45 < x/c < 0.5$. The first three probes show a signal level of about 0.0029 volts, and, presumably, see a stable laminar flow.

We thus observe that the results from the normalized $R_N$ probe data, the AC signal and the RMS data are in good agreement, as
expected. For this particular run the conclusion is that the flow is laminar up to an axial station of \( x/c = 0.45 \). It experiences transition in the region \( 0.45 < x/c < 0.5 \), followed by turbulent flow.

The pressure distribution traces given in Figure (7d) correspond to the above hot-film data. These traces, whilst failing to identify the exact transition locations do however substantiate the existence of laminar and turbulent region according to the above description.

To complement the above results a theoretical pressure boundary layer calculation was performed by D. Jones of NAE for two of the above cases, Steps 1 and 2 in Fig. 7a, using a modified BGK non conservative code. The original BGK code [13] has been modified by including Thwaites' laminar boundary layer method [14] with a compressibility transformation, the transition prediction method of Cebeci et al [15] and the Green's lag entrainment method [16] for the turbulent boundary layer.

The results of these calculations are shown in Figures 7e and 7f, which show the pressure distribution and the boundary layer shape factor \( H \) distribution. The experimental pressure distribution for these two steps is also plotted (see Figure 7g) and agrees reasonably well with calculations, especially so for the upper surface. The transition prediction is for about 60% chord in both cases for the upper surface, which agrees possibly well with the Step 1 data but not with the Step 2 data which show transition at about 45%. It has been observed in other cases as well that the transition prediction method is too optimistic.

Figure (8a) provides the normalized hot-film data \( R_\nu \) at \( M = 0.66 \) and \( R_\nu = 6.67 \times 10^4 \).
An early possible transition peak around x/c = 0.3 is indicated for angles of attack $\alpha_{COR} = -3.52$ and -2.27. For angles of attack $-1.48 < \alpha_{COR} < 0.16$, no transition peak is detected up to x/c = 0.55. The AC signals for this run shown in Figure (8b) also show a relatively active probe 3 (x/c = 0.3) for the first two steps ($\alpha_{COR} = -3.52$ and -2.27). Very little AC activity is detected by the first five probes past the second step until $\alpha_{COR} = 2.78$ is reached, when $P_4$ becomes noisier.

The pressure distribution traces Figure (8c) also show favourable or constant pressure conditions up to x/c = 0.55 on the upper surface for angle of attack values $-3.52 < \alpha_{COR} < 0.16$. For $\alpha_{COR} = 0.6$ and 1.02 the traces show negative slopes beyond x/c = 0.1, and, for three highest incidences they indicate the presence of a shock wave at x/c = 0.3 to 0.4. In the latter cases one would undoubtedly assume that transition would occur no later than in the shock wave region. However, presumably because of the location of the hot-film gauges they don't pick up any strong transition signal. Only $P_4$ gives a clear indication of transition for the highest incidence.

Figure (9a) provides the hot-film $R_N$ data at $M = 0.7$ and $R_C = 6.66 \times 10^6$. Up to an angle of attack value of $\alpha_{COR} = 0.54$ (except for $\alpha_{COR} = -3.55$) the peak signal is always centred around x/c = 0.45. For $\alpha_{COR} > 0.54$ the peak moves rearwards to x/c = 0.55. The corresponding AC signals shown in Figure (9b) are consistent with the results of Figure (9a). Note that probe 5 suddenly becomes noisier after step 3 ($\alpha_{COR} > 0.54$).

The pressure traces shown in Figure 9c show favourable conditions until x/c = 0.6 for the above low angle of attack range.
\( \alpha_{\text{COR}} < 0.54 \). However, at the ninth scan, \( \alpha_{\text{COR}} = 0.97 \). There is a distinct jump in the pressure value close to the station \( x/c = 0.51 \). This type of jump in pressure is indicative of the presence of a shock wave. This also happens to be the scan at which the peak of the signals from the hot-films probes (Fig. 9a) moves rearwards for the first time to \( x/c = 0.51 \). Thus both methods have successfully confirmed the presence of a shock wave close to the axial station \( x/c = 0.51 \). This identification of a shock wave location through a comparison of pressure traces and the hot-films signals continues up to an angle of attack value of \( \alpha_{\text{COR}} = 2.29 \). At the highest angle of attack \( \alpha_{\text{COR}} = 4.27 \) the pressure trace begins to show a negative slope as early as the station \( x/c = 0.2 \). The high level of signals registered by the last three probes are probably due to unsteady flow separation effects (buffetting).

Figure 10a shows the hot-film \( R_N \) data at the Mach Number of 0.7 and \( R_C = 9.17 \times 10^6 \). The corresponding AC signals are presented in Figure 10b.

The second probe registers a signal higher than the adjacent two probes, and it becomes more pronounced with increasing \( \alpha_{\text{COR}} \). Although the pressure traces for most scans do not show a negative slope until at least \( x/c \sim 0.55 \), the high level of activity at probe 2 is indicating an early transition. A glance at the drag performance of this airfoil substantiates this observation, see Runs 29760 and 29770 in Ref. [8]. A rather high drag level of \( C_{\text{DW}} = 0.0146 \sim 0.0155 \) for \( C_L < 0.5 \) at \( R_C = 9.17 \times 10^6 \) suggests that the airfoil was experiencing an almost fully turbulent flow.
Similar to the last case discussed, a clear jump in pressure is observed close to the station $x/c = 0.51$ at the ninth scan in Figure 10c. This type of jump as mentioned before is usually associated with the presence of a shock wave. The hot-film data too, show a relatively higher level of signal (when compared to its lower $\alpha$ readings) close to this station at the ninth scan. Again, both measurement techniques indicate the presence of a shock wave for all increasing incidence cases except the last one. At the last scan $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = 4.28$, the shock wave appears to have been smeared out by the unsteady buffet effect in the flow, as the pressure begins to increase continuously beyond $x/c = 0.2$. The peak of the $R_N$ signals of the probes also moves ahead of $x/c = 0.5$ value. Again the last three probes register relatively high signals indicating turbulent unsteady nature of the flow.

For the last two runs investigated it is unlikely that the transition would occur any later than the station where the shock wave is located.

5. CONCLUSIONS

1. Regions of laminar, transition and turbulent flow can be identified by studying hot-film data in conjunction with the pressure traces.

2. It was observed that the boundary layer/shock wave interaction leads to a notably high intensity signal from the hot film gauge.

3. To obtain a more detailed chordwise information about the state of the boundary layer it may be necessary to increase the number of hot-films mounted on the airfoil surface.
4. At higher Reynolds Number runs, the hot-films themselves may cause the boundary layer to trip. Some work is planned to investigate if the hot-wire element contained in the film can be epoxy mounted in a recess to minimize the disturbance.

5. For the present 21% thick model NAE 68-060-21:1 it was confirmed that the flow remained laminar for as much as 45% of the chord length close to the design conditions of \( M = 0.68 \), \( C_L = 0.41 \) and \( R_C = 6.65 \times 10^6 \).
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FIG. 4(a), (b), (c): HOT-FILM RECORDS $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -0.27$, $M = 0.68$ AND $R_c = 6.65 \times 10^6$

FIG. 4(d): FLOW VISUALIZATION FOR THE ABOVE CASE

1. LAMINAR  2. TRANSITIONAL  3. TURBULENT
FIG. 6: CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $M = 0.68$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -0.27$
FIG. 7(a): HOT-FILM RECORDS $-3.5 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 2.81$, $M = 0.66$ AND $R_c = 4.17 \times 10^6$
FIG. 7(a) (cont'd): HOT-FILM RECORDS $-3.5 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 2.81$, $M = 0.66$ AND $R_c = 4.17 \times 10^6$
FIG. 7(b): THE AC SIGNAL FROM THE DISA HOT FILM PROBES
FIG. 7(c): THE RMS SIGNAL FROM THE DISA HOT-FILM PROBES
FIG. 7(d): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $M = 0.66$, $R_C = 4.17 \times 10^6$
FIG. 7(d) (cont'd): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $M = 0.66$, $R_C = 4.17 \times 10^6$
M = 0.660

FIG. 7(a): THEORETICAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION OBTAINED USING BGK COMPUTER CODE AT $C_L = -0.157$ AND $R_c = 4.17 \times 10^6$
Fig. 7(f): Theoretical pressure distribution obtained using BGK computer code at $C_L = 0.046$ and $R_C = 4.17 \times 10^6$
FIG. 7(g): EXPERIMENTAL PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION AT $C_L = -0.16, 0.046$ AND $R_c = 4.17 \times 10^6$
 FIG. 8(a): HOT-FILM RECORDS $-3.52 \leq \alpha_{COR} \leq 4.2$, $M = 0.66$ AND $R_C = 6.67 \times 10^6$
FIG. 8(a) (cont'd): HOT-FILM RECORDS \(-3.52 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.2\), \(M = 0.66\) AND \(R_C = 6.67 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 8(b): THE AC SIGNAL FROM THE DISA HOT-FILM PROBES
FIG. 8(c): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION \(-3.52 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.2\),
\(M = 0.66\) and \(R_c = 6.67 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 8(c) (cont’d): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $-3.52 \leq \alpha_{COR} \leq 4.2$, 
$M = 0.66$ and $R_c = 6.67 \times 10^6$
FIG. 9(a): HOT-FILM RECORDS \(-3.55 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.27\), \(M = 0.7\) AND \(R_c = 6.66 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 9(a) (cont’d): HOT-FILM RECORDS \(-3.55 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.27\), \(M = 0.7\) AND \(R_{\text{C}} = 6.66 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 9(b): THE AC SIGNAL FROM THE DISA HOT-FILM PROBES
FIG. 9(c): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION \(-3.55 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.27\),

\(M = 0.7\) AND \(R_C = 6.66 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 9(c) (cont'd): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $-3.55 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.27$, $M = 0.7$ AND $R_c = 6.66 \times 10^6$
FIG. 10(a): HOT-FILM RECORDS $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -3.56$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -2.26$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -1.44$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -1.02$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -0.62$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = -0.27$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = 0.13$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = 0.55$, $\alpha_{\text{COR}} = 0.96$.

$\alpha_{\text{COR}}$: corrected angle of attack.

$C_L$: lift coefficient.

$M$: Mach number.

$R_C$: Reynolds number.

$X$: streamwise coordinate.

$C_L$: lift coefficient.

$\alpha_{\text{COR}}$: corrected angle of attack.

$M$: Mach number.

$R_C$: Reynolds number.
FIG. 10(a) (cont'd): HOT-FILM RECORDS -3.56° ≤ αCOR ≤ 4.28, M = 0.7 AND RC = 9.17 X 10^6
FIG. 10(b): THE AC SIGNAL FROM THE DISA HOT-FILM PROBES
FIG. 10(c): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION \(-3.56 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.28\),
\(M = 0.7\) AND \(R_c = 9.17 \times 10^6\)
FIG. 10(c) (cont'd): CHORDWISE MEASURED PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION $-3.56 \leq \alpha_{\text{COR}} \leq 4.28$, $M = 0.7$ AND $R_c = 9.17 \times 10^6$
A heat transfer method of studying boundary layer flows over airfoils at transonic test conditions has been investigated. The method employs very thin DISA hot-film gauges housing Constant Temperature Anemometer probes. Provided that the thickness dimension of the films remains less than the critical disturbances height for inducing transition of the laminar boundary layer, the heat transfer response from the films, positioned carefully on the model surface, can be studied to determine the boundary layer characteristics. Results from an application study on a 21% thick laminar flow airfoil model are presented and discussed.
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