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Frequency-dependent perturbations, $v_1(r,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$, of a system in a non-degenerate ground state are studied. It is shown that (1) for $\omega < \omega_{\text{min}}$, the lowest excitation frequency every $v_1(r,\omega)$ causes a density change; and (2) for $\omega > \omega_{\text{min}}$, there are isolated frequencies where this is not the case.
Frequency dependent perturbations, $v_1(r,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$, of a system in a non-degenerate ground state are studied. It is shown that (1) for $\omega < \omega_{\text{min}}$, the lowest excitation frequency every $v_1(r,\omega)$ causes a density change; and (2) for $\omega > \omega_{\text{min}}$, there are isolated frequencies where this is not the case.
ABSTRACT: In density functional theory (DFT) of the ground state a density distribution, $n_0(r)$, is called $\nu$-representable (VR) if it is the ground state density in some external potential. (It is known that not all "reasonable" $n_0(r)$ are VR.) In DFT of time-dependent linear response of a non-degenerate ground state a similar question arises: Is a response density, $n_1(r,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$, VR; i.e., is it the response to some perturbing potential $v_1(r,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$? (E.K.U. Gross and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2850 (1985).) In the present paper we show that (1), if the frequency $\omega < \omega_{\text{min}}$ (the lowest excitation frequency), the answer is affirmative; and (2), if $\omega > \omega_{\text{min}}$, the answer is not necessarily affirmative, as demonstrated by counterexamples. (We exhibit "reasonable" functions $n_1(r,\omega)e^{-i\omega t}$ which, at isolated frequencies, are not VR.) Implications for time-dependent DFT of linear response are discussed.
L INTRODUCTION

The question under what conditions a static density distribution, \( n_0(x) \), is \( \nu \)-representable (VR)\(^1\) has attracted interest in recent years.\(^2,3,4\) The issue of \( \nu \)-representability also arises for time-dependent densities, \( n(x,t) \). In particular, in connection with time-dependent linear response\(^5\) one encounters the following situation: Let \( \Psi_0 \) be the non-degenerate ground state of a many electron system with density \( n_0(x) \), in a static external potential \( V_0(x) \). A small perturbing potential, \( v_1(x,\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \), is known to lead to a unique first order density response, \( n_1(x,\omega)e^{-i\omega t} \), where \( n_1 \) and \( v_1 \) are related by the response function \( \chi \):

\[
n_1(x,\omega) = \int \chi(x,x';\omega)v_1(x',\omega)dx'.
\]

The converse question is, can a given function, \( n_1(x,\omega) \), be generated by some function \( v_1(x,\omega) \)? This is the \( \nu \)-representability problem of linear density response theory addressed in this paper. It may be posed for interacting as well as non-interacting particles.
For non-interacting fermions, first order time-dependent perturbation theory gives the following result for the response function:

\[ \chi_s(r, r'; \omega) = \sum_{i,j} (f_i - f_j) \frac{\phi_i^*(r) \phi_j^*(r') \phi_i(r')}{\omega - (\epsilon_j - \epsilon_i) + i \delta}, \]  

(2)

where \( \phi_i \) are the single particle eigenfunctions of the unperturbed hamiltonian, \( \epsilon_i \) the eigenvalues, \( f_i \) the occupation numbers (1 or 0) for the ground state, and \( \delta \) is a positive infinitesimal.

Except at the resonances, \( \omega = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_i \), \( \chi_s \) is hermitian and real and has a complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions, \( \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega) \), and real eigenvalues, \( \lambda_{\omega}(\omega) \):

\[ \int \chi_s(r, r'; \omega) \zeta_{\omega}(r', \omega) dr' = \lambda_{\omega}(\omega) \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega). \]  

(3)

If, for some frequency \( \omega \), one of the eigenvalues, say \( \lambda_{\omega} \), vanishes then the perturbing potential

\[ \vartheta_1(r, \omega) \equiv \mu \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega) \]  

(4)

(\( \mu << 1 \)) has vanishing first order density response:

\[ \rho_1(r, \omega) = \int \chi_s(r, r'; \omega) \vartheta_1(r', \omega) dr' \]

\[ = \mu \lambda_{\omega}(\omega) \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega) = 0. \]  

(5)

Also, clearly, the density change

\[ \rho_1(r, \omega) \equiv \mu \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega) \]  

(6)

is not induced by any linear combination of the complete set \( \zeta_{\omega}(r, \omega) \), that is, it is not VR as a linear response density.
Conversely, if all $\lambda_\ell(\omega) \neq 0$ any density that can be expressed by a series of the complete set of eigenfunctions,

$$n_1(r, \omega) = \sum_\ell n_{1, \ell}(\omega) \zeta_\ell(r, \omega), \quad (7)$$

is VR by the potential

$$v_1(r, \omega) = \sum_\ell \lambda_\ell(\omega) n_{1, \ell}(\omega) \zeta_\ell(r, \omega), \quad (8)$$

provided that the latter series converges.

For all frequencies there exists one vanishing eigenvalue, corresponding to the trivial perturbation $v_1 = \text{const}$. (The corresponding $n_1 = \text{const}$ is trivially not VR.) We shall show that for any system at frequencies smaller than the first resonance no non-trivial vanishing eigenvalues exist, and hence all densities which have a sufficiently rapidly convergent expansion in the functions $\zeta_\ell$ are VR.

Restricting attention to non-resonant frequencies and choosing the eigenfunctions $\phi_i$ real, Eq. (2) may be written as

$$\chi_s(r, r'; \omega) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{2\epsilon_\beta}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_\beta^2} \phi_\alpha(r) \phi_\beta(r') \phi_\alpha(r'), \quad (9)$$

where the indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$ denote the occupied and unoccupied levels, respectively. The response is

$$n_1(r, \omega) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{2\epsilon_\beta}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_\beta^2} V_{\beta\alpha} \phi_\alpha(r) \phi_\beta(r), \quad (10)$$

where

$$V_{\beta\alpha} \equiv \int \phi_\beta(r) v_1(r, \omega) \phi_\alpha(r) dr. \quad (11)$$

The matrix elements $V_{\beta\alpha}$ cannot all vanish, otherwise the determinantal wavefunction perturbed by $v_1$ would be identical to the unperturbed ground state. The integral

$$\int n_1(r, \omega) v_1(r, \omega) dr = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{2\epsilon_\beta}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_\beta^2} V_{\beta\alpha}^2 \quad (12)$$
is then negative-definite for frequencies below the first resonance. Therefore, \( n_1 \) can not vanish identically, and \( \chi_s \) can have no vanishing eigenvalue in this range.

Let us note in passing that, for the special case of a single particle, the density change is

\[
n_1 (x, \omega) = \phi_1 (x) \sum_{\beta} \frac{2 \epsilon_\beta 1}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_\beta^2} V_{\beta 1} \phi_\beta (x).
\]  

(13)

Owing to the linear independence of the eigenfunctions, \( \phi_\beta, n_1 \) can not vanish identically at any frequency.

We shall now present two examples of systems which, at isolated frequencies above the first resonance, have non-VR response densities.

A. One-dimensional Ring, \( v_o = 0 \)

For a one-dimensional ring, \( 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi \), the non-interacting eigenfunctions are plane waves:

\[
\phi_\ell (x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i k_\ell x}.
\]  

(14)

In the common gauge, \( k_\ell \) is an integer. The ground state with one particle is of no interest (always VR). The ground state with two particles is two-fold degenerate and hence inadmissible for our purposes. However, by choosing an appropriate constant gauge, the allowed \( k_\ell \) are all shifted by \( \frac{1}{2} \) so that \( k_\ell = (2\ell + 1)/2, \ell = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \). In this gauge, the two-particle ground state is non-degenerate. Eq.(2) takes the form

\[
\chi_s (x, x'; \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left( \frac{e^{i(k_\beta - k_\alpha)x} e^{-i(k_\beta - k_\alpha)x'}}{\omega - \epsilon_\beta \alpha} - \frac{e^{-i(k_\beta - k_\alpha)x} e^{i(k_\beta - k_\alpha)x'}}{\omega + \epsilon_\beta \alpha} \right),
\]  

(15)

where

\[
\epsilon_\beta \alpha = k_\beta^2 - k_\alpha^2.
\]  

(16)
The eigenfunctions of $\chi_s$ are plane waves, independent of $\omega$, so that

$$\chi_s = \sum_{\ell \neq 0} \lambda_\ell (\omega) \zeta_\ell (x) \zeta_\ell^*(x'),$$

(17)

where

$$\zeta_\ell (x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{i\ell x},$$

(18)

and

$$\lambda_\ell (\omega) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{(k_\beta - k_\alpha = \pm \ell)} \frac{1}{\omega - (k_\beta^2 - k_\alpha^2)} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{(k_\beta - k_\alpha = -\ell)} \frac{1}{\omega + (k_\beta^2 - k_\alpha^2)},$$

(19)

with $N$ the number of particles. For example, for $N = 2$, $k_N = 1$ and $k_\alpha = \pm \frac{1}{\lambda}$ so that

$$\lambda_{\pm 1} (\omega) = \frac{4}{\omega^2 - 4}$$

$$\lambda_\ell (\omega) = \frac{2(\ell^2 + \ell)}{\omega^2 - (\ell^2 + \ell)^2} + \frac{2(\ell^2 - \ell)}{\omega^2 - (\ell^2 - \ell)^2}; \mid \ell \mid > 1.$$  

(20)

Hence each $\lambda_\ell, \mid \ell \mid > 1$, has two poles, with a zero lying between them at $\omega = \ell (\ell^2 - 1)^{1/2}$.

B. One-dimensional Box, $v_0 = 0$

For a one-dimensional box, $0 \leq x \leq \pi$, the non-interacting eigenfunctions are standing waves:

$$\phi_\ell (x) = (2/\pi)^{1/2} \sin \ell x,$$

(21)

where $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, and so

$$\chi_s(x, x'; \omega) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\epsilon_\beta}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_\beta^2} \sin \beta x \sin \beta x' \sin \alpha x',$$

(22)

where

$$\epsilon_\beta = \beta^2 - \alpha^2.$$  

(23)
With the expansion
\[ v_1(x, \omega) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} a_\ell(\omega) \cos \ell x, \]  
(24)

the matrix elements, Eq. (11), take the form
\[ V_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{\beta-\alpha} - a_{\beta+\alpha}), \]  
(25)

hence
\[ n_1(x, \omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}}{\omega^2 - \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}^2} (a_{\beta-\alpha}(\omega) - a_{\beta+\alpha}(\omega)) [\cos(\beta - \alpha)x - \cos(\beta + \alpha)x]. \]  
(26)

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of \( \chi_s \) are given by
\[ n_1(x, \omega) = \lambda(\omega)v_1(x, \omega). \]  
(27)

For two particles this leads to the set of equations:
\[ \lambda a_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{5}{\omega^2 - 5^2} (a_1 - a_5), \]
\[ \lambda a_2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{8}{\omega^2 - 8^2} (a_2 - a_4) + \frac{12}{\omega^2 - 12^2} (a_2 - a_6) \right\}, \]
\[ \lambda a_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{15}{\omega^2 - 15^2} (a_3 - a_5) + \frac{21}{\omega^2 - 21^2} (a_3 - a_7) \right\}, \]
\[ \lambda a_4 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{24}{\omega^2 - 24^2} (a_4 - a_6) + \frac{32}{\omega^2 - 32^2} (a_4 - a_8) - \frac{8}{\omega^2 - 8^2} (a_2 - a_4) \right\}, \]
\[ \lambda a_\ell = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{(\ell + 1)^2 - 1}{\omega^2 - [(\ell + 1)^2 - 1]^2} (a_\ell - a_{\ell+2}) + \frac{(\ell + 2)^2 - 4}{\omega^2 - [(\ell + 2)^2 - 4]^2} (a_\ell - a_{\ell+4}) \right\} \]
\[ - \frac{(\ell - 1)^2 - 1}{\omega^2 - [(\ell - 1)^2 - 1]^2} (a_{\ell-2} - a_\ell) - \frac{(\ell - 2)^2 - 4}{\omega^2 - [(\ell - 2)^2 - 4]^2} (a_{\ell-4} - a_\ell) \}; \quad \ell \geq 5. \]  
(28)

Since the even and odd Fourier components are not coupled the eigenfunctions have definite parity. Numerical solutions of the equations corresponding to even eigenfunctions have been carried out for frequencies \( 0 \leq \omega \leq 50 \). The method consists of taking a finite series for Eq. (24), so that the solution of Eqs. (28) is reduced to diagonalization of a finite matrix. Since for any fixed \( \omega \) the series of Eq. (22) is uniformly convergent in the
variables $x$ and $x'$, $x_z$ can be approximated with arbitrary accuracy by a sufficiently large matrix, for any fixed frequency range.

A plot of the eigenvalues for a 30-dimensional matrix, Fig. 1, exhibits two eigenvalues passing through zero, above the resonances at 12 and 32. Fig. 2 is an expanded view of the first zero in which the repulsion between the eigenvalues, indicating a mixing between the eigenfunctions in regions of near-degeneracy, is more pronounced. Despite this mixing the eigenfunction corresponding to the vanishing eigenvalue tends to a limit as the eigenvalue approaches zero from above, as shown in Fig. 3 for the first zero. Fig. 4 is a plot of the eigenfunction at a frequency for which the eigenvalue is very small and positive. It is given by a rapidly converging Fourier series and, accordingly, is smooth in appearance.
III. INTERACTING FERMIONS

The response function for \( N \) interacting fermions is

\[
\chi(r, r'; \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2E_{ko}}{\omega^2 - E_{ko}^2} \int \Psi_o(r, r_2, \ldots, r_N) \Psi_k(r, r_2, \ldots, r_N) dr_2 \ldots dr_N
\]

\[
\times \int \Psi_k(r', r'_2, \ldots, r'_N) \Psi_o(r', r'_2, \ldots, r'_N) dr'_2 \ldots dr'_N,
\]

(29)

where \( E_i \) and \( \Psi_i \) \((0 \leq i < \infty)\) are the eigenvalues and normalized eigenfunctions of the \( N \)-particle hamiltonian, and \( E_{ko} = E_k - E_o \). The relations analogous to Eqs. (10) and (12) for the non-interacting case are

\[
n_1(r, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2E_{ko}}{\omega^2 - E_{ko}^2} V_{ko} \int \Psi_o(r, r_2, \ldots, r_N) \Psi_k(r, r_2, \ldots, r_N) dr_2 \ldots dr_N
\]

(30)

and

\[
\int n_1(r, \omega) v_1(r, \omega) dr = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2E_{ko}}{\omega^2 - E_{ko}^2} V_{ko}^2,
\]

(31)

where

\[
V_{ko} = N \int \Psi_k(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N) v_1(r_1) \Psi_o(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N) dr_1 dr_2 \ldots dr_N.
\]

(32)

Eq. (31), like Eq. (12), is negative-definite for frequencies below the first resonance. Therefore, exactly as shown in Sec. I for \( \chi_s \), it follows that \( \chi \) can have no vanishing eigenvalues in this range.
IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In their paper on density functional theory of linear response, Gross and Kohn\textsuperscript{5} presupposed that the physical density $n_0(r) + n_1(r,t)$ was "non-interacting VR" (VR-N), that is, can be reproduced by a system of non-interacting particles in an external potential $v_0(r) + v_1(r,t)$. We have shown in this paper that this will be the case if $n_0$ by itself is VR-N and the frequency of $v_1$ is less than the smallest resonance. However, if the frequency is higher, our examples show that caution is in order. If our examples are representative, then in general we expect that there will be isolated frequencies, $\omega$, at which most density changes are not VR-N. The exceptions are those special functions which are orthogonal to the functions $\zeta(r,\omega)$, corresponding to vanishing eigenvalues of $\chi_s$.

We note, however, that in the special case of an infinite uniform non-interacting electron gas the response function $\chi(k,\omega)$ has no vanishing eigenvalues for any $k$ or $\omega$, so that any sufficiently regular $n_1(r,\omega)$ is VR-N at all frequencies.
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1. In the sense of being the density of a non-degenerate ground state in some external potential $v_0(x)$.


Fig. 1. Eigenvalues of $x$, for a 30-dimensional matrix. The dashed lines mark the locations of the resonances at $\omega = 8, 12, 24, 32, \text{ and } 48$.

Fig. 2. Eigenvalues of $x$, in a frequency range containing a zero.

Fig. 3. Magnitudes of the first 10 Fourier amplitudes of an eigenfunction with eigenvalue tending to zero, weighted so that $(\pi/2)^{1/2}\sum|a_\ell|^2 = 1$. The dashed line is the eigenvalue curve in the same frequency range (vertical scale not shown).

Fig. 4. Normalised eigenfunction for $\lambda(\omega) = 1.2386\times10^{-5}, \omega = 12.658$. 
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