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Introduction

The most common method of chairside dental bur sterilization is the immersion of the bur in a germicidal solution such as glutaraldehyde or chlorhexidine. This process is very time-consuming (over 6 hours) and can result in corrosion damage to the bur. Further, residual debris on the instrument may prevent penetration of the solution, thereby compromising sterilization. 1 Steam autoclaving can also cause corrosion and dulling of instruments as well as being time-consuming. 2,3 Rapid application of dry heat appears to be the most efficacious and least damaging method for small instrument sterilization. 3,4

Bead sterilizers are another commonly used chairside sterilization method, principally for endodontic instruments. The bead sterilizer consists of a heated chamber containing glass beads or, alternatively, salt. Its mode of sterilization is dry heat. Most manufacturers suggest that a sterilization time of 5 seconds is sufficient for sterilization. 5 The Council on Dental Therapeutics of the American Dental Association recommends a temperature of 218°C for 10 seconds for the sterilization of endodontic instruments. 6 However, several investigators have shown sterilization times ranging from 3 seconds to 14 minutes, are required, depending on conditions, but times of 10 to 20 seconds appear to be most common. 5,7,8,9

A primary reason for the discrepancy in time of sterilization is a non-uniform temperature profile, particularly in the upper, central regions of the chamber. 5 Temperature profiles have been shown to vary as much as 60°C within the chamber. 8 Placement of the dental instrument into the cooler areas of the chamber requires a longer sterilization time and may result in incomplete sterilization. Other factors that influence sterilization are instrument size, material and level of precleaning. Larger, more massive instruments require longer sterilization times. 9 Dental burs have been shown to require more than 120 seconds sterilization time in an unmodified bead sterilizer. 10 Therefore, improvement of the temperature profile within a bead sterilizer will improve the efficiency and predictability of the sterilization process.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to investigate the thermal profiles of different bead materials and combinations (layering) of bead materials in a standard bead sterilizer, and the potential effects of these profiles on dental bur sterilization.
Materials and Methods

The bead sterilizer used in this study was a PCA Model 2261 (Pulpdent Corporation of America, Brookline, MA 02147). Temperature measurements were made using a digital thermometer with a K-type thermocouple (Model HH-99K, Omega Engineering Inc., Stamford, CT 06907), calibrated according to the manufacturers recommendations. The thermocouple was fixed in a stabilizing apparatus to insure reproducibility of depth and position during temperature measurements. (figure 1) All test media were preheated for at least 30 minutes prior to conducting measurements. Media tested consisted of glass beads 1.69mm (provided with the sterilizer) and 3.10mm diameter (Arthur H Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA 19105) as controls; stainless steel burnishing balls 1.60mm and 3.20mm diameter and aluminum burnishing balls 3.20mm diameter (Pioneer Steel Ball Company Inc., Unionville, CT 06085). Further, the combination of 1.69mm stainless steel with a 2.50mm thick cover layer of 1.69mm glass beads was tested to ascertain if the layer of glass provided a thermal insulating effect. Salt was also evaluated as a comparison. Pyrometer readings were taken at depths of 0.00mm (surface), 2.5mm, 5.0mm, 7.5mm, 10.0mm and 20mm. Each depth measurement was conducted at 2mm from the chamber wall, half way between the chamber wall and the chamber midline and at the midline. Isothermal profiles were extrapolated from the temperature measurements for each depth and position. An "insulating effect" was defined as the slope of the isothermal line for a two-layered material system more closely approaching zero than that of a single layer system of the same material.

The measurements of time to a specific temperature were made by painting the tip of the shank end of a friction grip bur (total length 22mm) with a temperature sensitive lacquer (Omega Engineering Inc.) rated at 218°C. Burs were then imbedded in the test medium to a depth of 12mm (cutting head down) and the time measured to the phase change of the lacquer.

Results

Under the conditions of this study, the following observations were made.

1. The temperature profile from the periphery of the chamber to the center was improved with metallic beads over that of glass beads and, to a lesser extent, over that of salt. (figure 2-8)

2. Considering the slopes of the isothermal lines, a slope of zero would be the ideal; that is, the temperature measured at any level would be the same at the center of the chamber as at the perimeter. In this case, the slopes of the isothermal lines (calculated from the high and low endpoints) for metallic beads and salt were 2-3 times less than those of glass beads. (Table 1)

3. The 215°C isothermal line for glass beads was around 10mm in depth at the center of the chamber. For the metallic beads and salt, the 215°C isothermal line ranged around 5mm in depth at the center of the chamber. Thus the requisite 218°C temperature line was available within a useful insertion length of the bur using the metallic beads. (figure 2-8)
4. The time measured to reach a specific temperature for the metallic beads was less than half that of the glass beads. The time measured to reach a specific temperature for salt was faster than that of the glass beads but not as fast as with the metallic beads. (Table 2)

Conclusions

The use of metallic beads in a standard bead sterilizer improved the temperature profile and the time measured to reach a specific temperature over that of glass beads. Salt, while an improvement over glass beads in most parameters, did not appear to provide a significantly more efficient system, particularly toward the center of the chamber. The data indicated that the bead sterilizer did provide an efficient, predictable means of chairside bur sterilization when suitably modified. Studies on the effect of the modified system on bur sterilization and damage are in progress.
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### TABLE 1
**Calculated slopes of 200, 215, and 230 °C isothermal gradients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material &amp; Size</th>
<th>Isothermal Gradient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASS - 1.69 MM</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLASS - 3.1 MM</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S. - 1.6 MM</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.S. - 3.2 MM</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALUM. - 3.2 MM</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBINATION OF GLASS ON S.S.</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl SALT</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. **Formula for the calculation of the slopes was** \( Y = MX + B \), **where**
   \[ M = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{X_2 - X_1} \].
2. **S.S. - Stainless Steel.**
3. **Alum. - Aluminum.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chamber Position</th>
<th>Material in Chamber</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>Stainless</th>
<th>Aluminum</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>Greater Than 600 sec</td>
<td>53 sec</td>
<td>50 sec</td>
<td>123 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Center &amp; Wall</td>
<td>150 sec</td>
<td>39 sec</td>
<td>46 sec</td>
<td>63 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall</td>
<td>55 sec</td>
<td>25 sec</td>
<td>24 sec</td>
<td>35 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Time for the exposed end of bur (last 3 mm) to reach 218 °C.
2. Bur submerged in beads to a depth of 12 mm.
3. #37 bur used; length is 22 mm.
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controls; stainless steel balls .063in and .125in dia. and aluminum beads .125in dia. Further, the combination of .063in stainless steel with a .10in (2.5 mm) thick cover layer of .067in glass beads was tested to ascertain if the layer of glass provides a thermal insulating effect. Pyrometer readings were taken at depths of .10in, .20in, .30in, .40in, and .80in and at locations of the chamber wall, the midpoint to the axis/wall and the axis. Thermal profiles were graphed for temperature as a function of chamber depth. An "insulating effect" was defined by the thermal profile showing an increase in the heat containment within the chamber and a higher temperature differential between the surface and the media. On comparing aluminum and stainless steel beads to glass beads, a 6.5% to 7.5% temperature increase in the first .40in (10.0 mm) of chamber depth was noted. A more uniform temperature profile was observed from the central axis to the outside wall indicating an improvement in the heat distribution profile. Adding an insulating top layer of glass beads to the stainless steel and aluminum bead media resulted in a slightly more uniform temperature profile than with the glass media alone, but did not produce a significant insulating effect. Aluminum and stainless steel bead media were shown to provide a more uniform thermal profile over standard glass bead media.
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