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Based on prior testing of turbine engine flow divider valves and/or fuel atomizing nozzles, it has been concluded that additional nozzle fouling data on both specification and near-specification distillate fuels are needed. The nozzles and fuels of interest would be employed for both Navy shipboard and Navy aircraft applications. Therefore, a hot-fuel nozzle fouling test facility was designed and constructed and is discussed in this report. Also, limited shakedown testing showing the potential of the facility is presented. A test program utilizing four engine nozzle designs and three middle distillate fuels having different JFTOT breakpoint temperatures is recommended.
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I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

During the past several years, hot-fuel nozzle (atomizer) fouling tests have been conducted by the General Electric Company in Evendale, OH (1-3)* in support of both U.S. Navy and U.S. Air Force programs. The results of these tests have shown that the "fouling life" of atomizers and flow divider valves can be somewhat correlated to the "breakpoint" temperature of the utilized fuel. The "fouling life" has been arbitrarily defined in terms of an increase in divider valve hysteresis and/or a reduction in fuel flow through the atomizer at some constant rated pressure drop through the same atomizer. In both cases, it is likely that deposition has occurred in a region of small clearances in the atomizer. This is likely to occur in both the orifice and the numerous small entrances to the spin chamber, as well as on other surfaces wetted by the hot fuel.

Also during fiscal year 1984, a number of distillate fuels were characterized at Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) (4) as to their specification physicochemical properties and thermal stability breakpoint temperature as measured by the Jet Fuel Thermal Oxidation Tester (JFTOT). Regression analysis was performed using breakpoint temperature as the dependent variable and other fuel properties as independent variables. The analysis identified several significant fuel properties such as carbon residue, cetane number, sulfur content, etc., which appear to influence thermal stability breakpoint. Therefore, based on these findings and the hot-fuel nozzle fouling life correlation observed by General Electric, it was concluded that a hot-fuel nozzle fouling test facility was needed. This facility could be utilized for testing turbine engine flow divider valves and/or nozzles employed on shipboard, ground and aircraft applications.

Fuel flow rates to gas turbine engines may vary over a range of 10 to 1 for conditions from ignition to full power. Ignition requires reasonably good atomization of the fuel, which corresponds to a pressure drop across a pressure-swirl atomizer of at least 25 psi (172.4 x 10^3 Pa). Since the flow rate increases as the square of the pressure, to increase the flow rate by a factor of 10 for full power would require a fuel pressure increase to approximately 2500 psi (17,240 x 10^3 Pa). Therefore, to maintain reasonable fuel pump pressures (much less than 2500 psi), two different sizes of pressure-swirl atomizers are

* Underscored numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this report.
often employed, i.e., a small-capacity nozzle for ignition and a higher capacity nozzle for higher power conditions. A flow divider valve is necessary to prevent flow to the larger capacity nozzle until the fuel pressure exceeds that used for ignition. It is common practice to combine the small-capacity and high-capacity atomizers in one dual-orifice nozzle with the primary orifice in the center of an annular secondary injector. The flow divider valve may be incorporated into the atomizer, resulting in a single-entry, dual orifice nozzle, or the flow divider valve may be external to the atomizer, resulting in a dual-entry, dual-orifice nozzle. The flow divider valve, normally a spring-loaded device, simply provides full fuel pump pressure to primary nozzle only at ignition conditions and then begins to open at higher pressures to provide flow to the secondary. These nozzles are designed to exhibit increasing fuel flow through the secondary injector with increasing inlet fuel pressure. Figure 1 illustrates typical differences that are normally found in the two nozzle variations.

The test facility designed and discussed herein would aid the overall program objective in determining the impact of varying quality distillate fuel (with attendant varying thermal stability) on the fouling life of high-temperature components of engine fuel systems.
Therefore, such a facility has been designed and set up at SwRI and is described in detail in this report.

II. TEST FACILITY

A. General

Design and installation of a hot-fuel nozzle fouling facility was completed at SwRI in fiscal year 1984. The facility was designed to evaluate fuel flow divider valves and nozzles representative of those found in typical shipboard and aircraft turbine engines. System design requirements were determined from pertinent data obtained for various aircraft and shipboard use. Also system details are similar to those employed by General Electric, Evendale, OH. The details of the General Electric test facilities and procedures changed somewhat over the course of their testing programs, and the reader is referred to the project reports for specifics.\(^{(1-2,5-8)}\) The various sections of the test facility are discussed in the following paragraphs.

B. Fuel Flow Section

Figure 2 illustrates the flow diagram of the hot-fuel nozzle test facility. Fuel enters from appropriate tankage as shown in the upper left corner of the illustration. It flows through the pump which is powered by a variable speed motor and controller to obtain the desired flow rate. A return leg in the flow system with a back-pressure regulator is employed to obtain the required fuel pressure. Behind the pump, two legs in the flow diagram contain filters and manually operated valves to direct flow through the desired filter. This arrangement allows changeout of a "plugged" filter element without stopping the test. Pressure gages are installed immediately in front of and behind the filters for determining pressure drop through the filter during operation. After the filters, there are three legs in the flow diagram. One contains a manually operated valve and each of the other two legs contains both a solenoid valve and a manually operated valve. The solenoid valves can be actuated through on/off recycle timers to obtain the desired flow rate through that leg for the fuel nozzle fouling cycle. The manual valves are employed to trim the flow rate in each leg to arrive at the total flow desired during each portion of the timed cycle.
A mass flowmeter using the vibrating tube technique for measuring fuel flow rate is plumbed into the facility as shown. This flowmeter, with the appropriate instrumentation, will give a digital readout of flow rate in lb/hr and also the total amount of flow from a preset time (totalizer). This type flowmeter is insensitive to changing fluid properties such as temperature, viscosity, pressure, density, etc., over a wide range. The waste fuel line is attached to the downstream side of the fuel nozzle and will gravity feed the waste fuel through a water-cooled plate coil heat exchanger to cool the fuel.
below the flashpoint prior to dumping. It is imperative that the attachment between the fuel nozzle and the waste fuel line be leak free to prevent spraying of hot fuel into a highly ignitable area and creating a potentially dangerous fire.

C. Fuel Heating Section

A tube-in-tube, counterflow heat exchanger fabricated from stainless steel heats the fuel to the desired nozzle inlet-fuel temperature. Pressure transducers with digital readouts are located in front of and behind the heat exchanger. These are used to monitor fuel pressure drop in the heat exchanger. The downstream pressure transducer also provides the inlet-fuel pressure to the fuel nozzle being tested.

The oil heater system which supplies heating medium (oil) to the heat exchanger is shown in Figure 3 and is used to control the nozzle inlet-fuel temperature at the desired level over a range of values from ambient to $232^\circ C (450^\circ F)$. The oil heater system consists of an electric circulation heater, pump, pump motor, buffer and expansion tanks, solid-state power controller, and associated plumbing, electrical wiring, heat medium, etc., all mounted in a skid-base frame as shown in Figure 3. The heat medium in the system has an upper operational temperature limit of $316^\circ C (600^\circ F)$ and a flashpoint of $177^\circ C (350^\circ F)$.

The fuel heating system also has an immersion-type thermocouple located in the fuel line immediately in front of the nozzle. The fuel temperature indicated by this thermocouple is used to set the power controller in the oil heater system to control the nozzle inlet-fuel temperature at the desired level during testing. Also, where feasible, an immersion-type thermocouple is inserted in the nozzle stem or near the nozzle inlet screen (filter) for measuring temperature near the flow divider valve and/or atomizer as shown in Figure 2. Also shown in Figure 2, the outside of the nozzle stem or heat shield is instrumented with two welded-on surface temperature measuring thermocouples. One of these is a controlling thermocouple and the other is a recording thermocouple. The controlling thermocouple controls the heat input to the air heating system, which is discussed subsequently in this report. The heat input to the flowing air maintains the desired nozzle stem (metal) temperature during testing. When appropriate, a measuring thermocouple is also installed in the waste fuel line, downstream from the atomizer. This provides temperature data of the fuel immediately after exiting the atomizer, but
FIGURE 3. OIL HEATER SYSTEM FOR SUPPLYING HEAT MEDIUM TO OIL/FUEL HEAT EXCHANGER
prior to entering the "cooldown" heat exchanger. All of these temperatures, as measured by the various thermocouples, are permanently and continuously recorded on a multipoint stripchart recorder throughout a test. It should be noted that the fuel enters the "cooldown" heat exchanger from the nozzle directly through an enclosed shroud and does not interface with the hot air used to heat the nozzle. Therefore, the only parameter affecting nozzle deposition is the thermally induced stability characteristics of the fuel.

D. Air Heating Section

A photograph of the air heating section, with attached test tunnel, of the test facility is shown in Figure 4. Heating of the test tunnel air is provided by a natural gas fired burner enclosed in a burner tube. A double-shafted motor with both a volume fan and a combustion-air fan moves the air across the burner and down the tube where it proceeds through a partially insulated transformation duct and an insulated test tunnel. At the downstream end of the test tunnel, the heated air is exhausted back to atmosphere. The air heater is capable of up to 2600 scfm air flow and up to 1,000,000 Btu/hr heat release, with unrestricted air flow. On the other hand, these values may be reduced somewhat by employing an inlet air volume damper. Also, installation of the test tunnel at the downstream end of the air heater restricts the air flow through the system, depending on the cross-sectional size of the tunnel, and alters the heater's rated specifications somewhat. For this facility, a 14-cm x 19-cm (5.5-in. x 7.5-in.) rectangular-shaped test tunnel with an inside cross-sectional area of approximately 266 cm$^2$ (41.25 in.$^2$) is employed. The air heater is automatically controlled by a proportioning temperature controller actuated by a welded-on surface thermocouple attached to the nozzle stem or heat shield at an appropriate location. Another welded-on surface thermocouple located immediately next to the controlling thermocouple is used to measure and record the nozzle stem (metal) temperature.

E. Test Tunnel Section

A photograph of the insulated test tunnel as attached to the air heating section of the test facility is shown in Figure 5. As seen in the photograph, the transformation duct connecting the air heating section and the test tunnel is also insulated approximately halfway back from the test tunnel. The air blast from the test tunnel exits through an opening in the wall of the facility building. The rectangular-shaped test tunnel has a
FIGURE 4. AIR HEATING SECTION OF TEST FACILITY WITH ATTACHED TEST FUNNEL

FIGURE 5. TEST TUNNEL SECTION OF TEST FACILITY WITH ATTACHING TRANSFORMATION DUCT
length of 38 cm (15 in.). The replaceable top plate, as shown in Figure 5 (the uninsulated plate attached by cap screws), is fabricated to accommodate the particular fuel nozzle being tested. The nozzle can easily be removed from the plate for calibration and/or inspection purposes. As seen, thermocouple lead conductors pass through the plate for instrumenting the nozzle with both controlling and recording thermocouples. Also shown is the nozzle inlet-fuel pressure measuring transducer discussed earlier in the report.

F. Test Capabilities

The hot-fuel nozzle fouling test facility has the following capabilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Capability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle inlet-fuel temperature</td>
<td>Up to 232°C (450°F)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum fuel flow rate</td>
<td>200 lb/hr* (very low nozzle pressure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nozzle inlet fuel pressure</td>
<td>500 psig @ 90 lb/hr flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 psig @ 148 lb/hr flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125 psig @ 175 lb/hr flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test tunnel air temperature (controls nozzle metal temperature)**</td>
<td>Up to 649°C (1200°F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* The nozzle inlet-fuel temperature of 232°C (450°F) can be achieved at fuel flow rates considerably less than the maximum flow rate of 200 lb/hr. It is not known what inlet-fuel temperature can be achieved at the maximum flow rate because of the limited testing that has been performed using this facility.

** The fuel flow rate through the nozzle during testing also has an effect on nozzle metal temperature that is achieved.

The flow rate and pressure characteristics shown in the above table were obtained using Jet A fuel. The fuel supplied to the inlet pump from the storage tank was at ambient conditions. It should be realized that various fuels exhibiting different properties such as viscosity, density, lubricity, etc., will probably behave somewhat differently than the Jet A, thus altering the performance of the test facility.
The upper nozzle inlet fuel temperature limit of 232°C (450°F) was selected because this value should be well above that which would be expected for applications of interest in the near future. Also, previous work has shown that even fuels with high thermal stability breakpoints will give very short duration nozzle failures when tested at or above this temperature.

To avoid excessive temperatures in the fuel nozzle, fuel lines, or oil heater system during testing, the following startup and shutdown procedures are employed.

**For Startup:**

1. Turn on heater to hot oil section.
2. Turn on hot oil pump. Be sure to circulate heated oil through bypass plumbing, not through oil/fuel heat exchanger.
3. When oil temperature reaches desired level, open valve on fuel supply tank and actuate fuel flow through heat exchanger and nozzle.
4. When desired fuel flow is obtained, start hot oil flow through heat exchanger and stop oil flow through bypass.
5. Check for leaks of hot oil and/or fuel.
6. Actuate air blower and heater.
7. Adjust all sections to obtain desired flow rates and temperatures.

**For Shutdown:**

1. Record final test data readings.
2. Turn off hot oil heater.
3. Turn off hot air burner.
4. Open bypass valve in hot oil plumbing and close valve (hot oil) to oil/fuel heat exchanger.
5. After air heater section and test tunnel have cooled, turn off air blower.
6. After hot oil has been circulating through bypass plumbing for approximately 15 minutes, turn off hot oil pump.
7. Allow fuel to flow through oil/fuel heat exchanger until oil temperature has cooled to 79°C (175°F) or below.
8. Turn off fuel pump.
(9) Close valve on fuel supply tank.
(10) Remove fuel nozzle from test tunnel and inspect and/or recalibrate as appropriate.

III. TEST RESULTS

A shakedown test employing a No. 2 diesel fuel and a burner-type simplex swirl nozzle was performed. The breakpoint temperature of the fuel as determined by JFTOT was 238°C (461°F). Therefore, the fuel inlet temperature to the nozzle based on the breakpoint temperature was controlled at 207°-210°C (405°-410°F), which was believed would cause nozzle failure in less than 50 hours. The other testing conditions were as follows:

- Nozzle fuel flow rate - 40 lb/hr (18.1 kg/hr)
- Nozzle stem (metal) temperature - 285° to 291°C (545° to 555°F)

Since this was a simplex nozzle, the only criterion used to determine failure was a 10-percent reduction in orifice flow* at the testing flow rate. Figure 6 illustrates the reduction in orifice flow during testing, as determined by employing a calibration test stand. It is noted that failure occurred after 26 hours of testing (10-percent reduction in orifice flow), but the test was extended for another 4 hours to determine if orifice flow continued to decrease significantly and at a fairly constant rate. At 30 hours of testing, the flow reduction had reached 12.5 percent; therefore, the test was discontinued. On disassembly of the nozzle after testing and calibration, it was noted that the inlet screen (mesh not known) appeared to be partially plugged with significant deposits. Therefore, it was replaced with a new screen, and the nozzle flow calibration was again measured. Surprisingly, the new screen did not significantly alter the calibration results. Thus, it was concluded that the reduction in flow was indeed a result of orifice "plugging" and not screen "plugging".

Although these shakedown test data are somewhat meager, they do show that the test facility is functional and does provide a means for additional nozzle testing. Of course,

* 10-percent reduction in primary orifice flow is considered appropriate to determine a significant degradation in component performance without requiring excessive testing time. Accelerated tests are normally considered to have a maximum duration of 50 to 75 hours.
the actual capabilities of the facility will be known only after extensive additional testing has been accomplished.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The work discussed in this report only covers the design and installation of a test facility, with a very limited amount of shakedown testing; i.e., one 30-hour test. Therefore, the conclusions that can be drawn are very limited. It can be concluded, based on the one test, that a fuel nozzle test facility showing good testing potential has been developed and the facility needs to be employed to determine its full potential.

Based on the work covered in this report and the need for additional nozzle fouling test data on both specification and near-specification distillate fuels prior to qualifying such fuels for use in Navy shipboard gas turbine engines, the following program is recommended.

The major program effort would be to develop correlation equations, if feasible, relating JFTOT breakpoint temperature to the fouling life of flow divider valves and atomizing
nozzles. Each nozzle would be evaluated using three different fuels at three fuel temperatures for a total of nine determinations on each nozzle type. Where possible, fuel temperatures would be selected to limit the fouling test duration to a reasonable period of time (25 to 75 hours). The test fuels would be selected to cover a broad range of thermal stability. In other words, some fuels such as home heating oils have very low thermal stabilities, diesel fuels normally display intermediate thermal stabilities, and JP-5 has high thermal stability. These fuels can be mixed in various proportions to obtain the desired value if a fuel cannot be found having the proper characteristics. Determinations for each nozzle/fuel/temperature combination would be conducted until a "failure point" is reached, or until the preselected duration of the test has expired. Nozzle failure is defined as one of the following:

- A 10-percent reduction in primary orifice flow
- A 5-percent reduction in secondary orifice flow
- A 10-percent increase in hysteresis of the flow divider valve

These criteria are considered appropriate to cause a significant degradation in component performance without requiring excessive test time.

Nozzles to be evaluated in the fouling facility would be those for the GE LM2500, DDA 501-K17, and AVCO Lycoming TF40B engines. In the case of the DDA 501-K17, the original nozzle design has been modified to correct fouling problems encountered in the field. This nozzle would be evaluated in its configuration both before and after the redesign to determine if improved life can be demonstrated for the modified component. The fuel nozzles for this program would be supplied by the Navy as government-furnished equipment (GFE).

Three test fuels with different thermal stabilities would be obtained. The test fuels would be middle distillates. Thermal stability would be rated by the JFTOT breakpoint temperature. A range of breakpoint temperatures from 204°F to 260°C (400°F to 500°F) would be desirable but not critical since it is difficult to secure fuels according to thermal stability.

Figure 7 illustrates the test matrix planned for the program, using the hot-fuel nozzle fouling facility. The four-nozzle by three-fuels by three-temperature matrix results in a total of 36 facility experiments.
FIGURE 7. HOT-FUEL NOZZLE FOULING FACILITY TEST MATRIX

The anticipated results would be correlations between fuel JFTOT breakpoint temperatures and fouling tendencies of the fuels as determined using the hot-fuel nozzle fouling facility. Hopefully, correlations would permit development of impact statements to predict the fuel-limiting life expectancy of nozzles and metering valves for candidate fuel procurement.
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WARREN MI 48397

PROJ MGR, MOBILE ELECTRIC POWER
ATTN: AMCPM-MEP-TM
7500 BACKLICK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD VA 22150

PROJ OFF, AMPHIBIOUS AND WATER
CRAFT
ATTN: AMCPM-AWC-R
4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD
ST LOUIS MO 63120

CDR
US ARMY EUROPE & SEVENTH ARMY
ATTN: AEAGG-FMD
AEAGD-TE
APO NY 09403

CDR
THEATER ARMY MATERIAL MGMT
CENTER (200TH)-DPGM
DIRECTORATE FOR PETROL MGMT
ATTN: AEAGD-MMC-PT-Q
APO NY 09052

CDR
US ARMY RESEARCH OFC
ATTN: SLCRO-ZC
SLCRO-EG (DR MANN)
SLCRO-CB (DR GHIRARDELLI)
P O BOX 12211
RSCH TRIANGLE PARK NC 27709-2211

PROG MGR, TACTICAL VEHICLE
ATTN: AMCPM-TV
WARREN MI 48397

DIR
US ARMY AVIATION R&T LAB
(AVSCOM)
ATTN: SAVDL-AS (MR WILSTEAD)
AMES RSCH CTR
MAIL STOP 207-5
MOFFET FIELD CA 94035

CDR
TRADOC COMBINED ARMS TEST
ACTIVITY
ATTN: ATCT-CA
FORT HOOD TX 76544

HQ, EUROPEAN COMMAND
ATTN: J4/7-LJPO (LTC LETTERIE)
VAHNINGEN, GE
APO NY 09128

CDR
US ARMY GENERAL MATERIAL &
PETROLEUM ACTIVITY
ATTN: STRGP-FW (MR PRICE)
BLDG 247, DEFENSE DEPOT TRACY
TRACY CA 95376
PROJ MGR, LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLES
ATTN: AMCPM-LA-E
WARREN MI 48397

CDR
US ARMY FOREIGN SCIENCE & TECH CENTER
ATTN: AMXST-MT-1
AMXST-BA
FEDERAL BLDG
CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22901

PROJECT MANAGER, LIGHT COMBAT VEHICLES
ATTN: AMCPM-LCV-TC
WARREN, MI 48397

HQ, US ARMY T&E COMMAND
ATTN: AMSTE-TO-O
AMSTE-CM-R-O
AMSTE-TE-T (MR RITONDO)
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MD
21005-5006

CDR, US ARMY TROOP SUPPORT COMMAND
ATTN: AMSTR-ME
AMSTR-S
AMSTRE-E
4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD
ST LOUIS MO 63120-1798

CDR
CONSTRUCTION ENG RSCH LAB
ATTN: CERL-EM
CERL-ZT
CERL-EH
P O BOX 4005
CHAMPAIGN IL 61820

TRADOC LIAISON OFFICE
ATTN: ATFE-LO-AV
4300 GOODFELLOW BLVD
ST LOUIS MO 63120-1798

HQ
US ARMY TRAINING & DOCTRINE CMD
ATTN: ATCD-SL-3 (MAJ JONES)
FORT MONROE VA 23651-5000

DIRECTOR
US ARMY RSCH & TECH LAB
(AVSCOM)
PROPELLION LABORATORY
ATTN: SAVDL-PL-D (MR ACURIO)
21000 BROOKPARK ROAD
CLEVELAND OH 44135-3127

CDR
US ARMY NATICK RES & DEV LAB
ATTN: STRNA-YE (DR KAPLAN)
STRNA-U
NATICK MA 01760-5000

PROJ MGR, PATRIOT PROJ OFFICE
ATTN: AMCPM-MD-T-C
U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND
REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898

CDR
US ARMY QUARTERMASTER SCHOOL
ATTN: ATSM-CD
ATSM-TD
ATSM-PFS
FORT LEE VA 23801

HQ, US ARMY ARMOR CENTER AND FORT KNOX
ATTN: ATSBCD
FORT KNOX KY 40121

CDR
101ST AIRBORNE DIV (AASLT)
ATTN: AFZB-KE-J
AFSB-KE-DMMC
FORT CAMPBELL KY 42223

CDR
US ARMY WESTERN COMMAND
ATTN: APLG-TR
FORT SCHAFTER HI 96858

CDR
COMBINED ARMS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY
ATTN: ATZL-CAT-E
ATZL-CAT-A
FORT LEAVENWORTH KA 66027-5300
END
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