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I. Introduction.

The combination of laser spectroscopy and supersonic molecular jet expansions has made possible the study of a wide array of weakly bound van der Waals (vdW) molecules in the gas phase. These clusters, formed in the jet expansion, are stable in the post-expansion region and can be studied as isolated molecules. They are interesting both theoretically and experimentally because of their unique characteristics such as low binding energies, large intermolecular equilibrium distances, and low frequency intermolecular vibrational vdW modes. Furthermore, the vdW clusters only slightly perturb the individual properties of their molecular constituents. These characteristics set the vdW cluster apart as a distinct phase of matter to be explored and understood.

The electronic-vibrational spectroscopy of aromatic molecules like benzene\textsuperscript{2-4} and \textit{s}-tetrazine\textsuperscript{5} clustered with various solvents reveals interesting information regarding unique cluster characteristics. Specifically, the studies show detailed information pertaining to the intermolecular energetics and dynamics of cluster interactions, especially in the area of the clusters’ low-frequency vdW vibrational modes. These modes are of considerable interest since they represent the precursors of a variety of condensed phase eigenstates such as phonons in liquids and solids. They are also key factors in cluster dynamics as they play a major role in the energy transfer processes of intramolecular vibrational redistribution (IVR) and vibrational predissociation (VP).

Presently, little information is available concerning the detailed energetics of vdW modes either experimentally or theoretically. The theoretical work on cluster energetics and dynamics, to date, treats only the vdW stretching mode.\textsuperscript{1,6,7} In these studies, the clusters are treated using a "dumbbell" approximation in which the intermolecular motion is restricted to a stretching mode form. Within this approximation, the neglect of bending and/or
torsional vdW modes seriously limits the application of existing theoretical treatments to IVR and VP phenomena occurring in molecule-molecule type clusters. The stretching mode restriction dictates that only certain energy transfer processes can occur, specifically, those which involve the vdW stretch. Experimental evidence of the theory's limitation is borne out in the observation of energy transfer from prepared states tangential to the vdW stretching motion. Part of the difficulty of incorporating the vdW bending and/or torsional modes into the theory is that no model has been developed to describe either their energetics or mode nature.

In previous publications, we have used theoretical calculations to elucidate structure and binding energy in the vdW cluster ground state. Combining these calculations with experimental observables such as binding energies, ionization energies, spectral shifts, relative feature intensities, and the appearance of cluster constituent, symmetry forbidden transitions has aided considerably in spectral assignment and understanding. The calculations always yield results consistent with experimental observations in regard to the number of cluster configurations observed, their respective binding energies, and their qualitative geometries.

In the majority of the cluster spectra studied, vdW vibronic features are observed and sometimes assigned based upon overtone and combination band analysis. In other cases, however, these vibronic features are numerous and complex, making elucidation of the mode fundamentals difficult and sometimes impossible. The vdW vibronic feature assignments have been made based upon the assumptions that the vdW stretch occurs at higher frequency and with greater intensity than vdW bends and torsions. Assigning spectra using these assumptions is a difficult task without a priori knowledge of vibronic mode nature since one must consider that, in nonlinear polyatomic molecule-molecule clusters, six vdW modes exist of which only one is a stretching mode. (In a non-linear atom-polyatomic molecule cluster, three vdW modes exist).
The studies reported in this publication involve the calculation of a complete set of ground state vdW vibrational modes for benzene(Ar)$_1$, s-tetrazine(Ar)$_1$, benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$, benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$, and benzene(NH$_3$)$_1$. The calculations are performed using a self-consistent pairwise atom-atom intermolecular potential developed by Scheraga, et al. containing general nonbonding (6-12), general hydrogen bonding (10-12), and monopole charge parameters. The calculated ground state vdW modes are compared with cluster vibronic spectra previously studied in this and other laboratories. Consequently, a number of spectral reassignments are suggested. Vibronic selection rules governing the vdW cluster $S_1$-$S_0$ transition are also derived based upon calculated ground state cluster mode symmetry, cluster geometry and experimental observation. Within this framework, ramifications of Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling are discussed as they pertain to experimental observations of Franck-Condon forbidden transitions.

The calculated vdW vibrations are presented for all systems considered as eigenvector normal modes and eigenvalue energies determined via normal coordinate analysis of the entire vdW cluster. In performing the calculations, the high frequency intramolecular vibrations of the cluster constituents are assumed to be completely uncoupled from the low frequency vdW modes.

Simpler models are also considered in studying the vdW vibrations of benzene(Ar)$_1$. This system is studied using four methods: (1) a Taylor series expansion of the intermolecular vdW potential along the three Cartesian axes in which the term coefficients are related to the vibrational frequencies in these directions; (2) a Morse potential fit to the intermolecular vdW potential along each of the three Cartesian axes; (3) a Morse potential fit to the intermolecular vdW potential using $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$; and (4) a semi-classical energy level fit to the intermolecular vdW potential using the JWKB method. These studies are presented to show the consistency of the calculations and to reveal
the advantages and pitfalls of the models. The four models involve treating
the vdW clusters as simple two particle systems whose motions are restricted to
the principle axes of the Cartesian coordinate system. The intermolecular vdW
potential surfaces are thereby reduced to one-dimensional potential functions
which can be analyzed in a diatomic molecule approximation.

The above outlined approaches are all based more or less on a rigid
molecule, bound potential energy well approximation. This approach would seem
quite reasonable for the stretching(s) and bending ($b_x$ and $b_y$) degrees of
freedom which are essentially translations of the components of the vdW
molecules with respect to one another. Torsional modes ($t_x$, $t_y$, $t_z$), on
the other hand, could in principle be modeled by a free/hindered rotor
formalism.\textsuperscript{11,12} A one dimensional "free rotor" description has been applied
to the symmetry axis ($z$) torsional motion of benzene and toluene (CH$_4$)$_1$,
(CD$_4$)$_1$ and (CF$_4$)$_1$ and compared to the experimental observations.
Preliminary results suggest that this approach does not faithfully reproduce
the experimentally observed spectra for this "isotopic" series in terms of
line shapes, intensities, major features, and the number of observed
transitions. A three dimensional "free rotor" model has also been applied to
this problem in order to treat all torsional modes ($t_x$, $t_y$, $t_z$)
simultaneously. Similar difficulties are experienced in fitting the
experimentally observed spectra. An account of these studies will be
submitted for publication in the near future.

The driving motivation in these studies is to answer the following
questions: (1) if parametric calculations involving cluster geometry and
binding energy are consistent with experiment, can the same data set be
utilized to calculate intermolecular vibrational modes; and (2) what are the
advantages and pitfalls of the various models in regard to the complexity of calculation, the approximations made, and the nature of the results obtained?

II. Experimental Procedures.

Experimental data pertaining to the benzene(Ar)$_1$ vdw vibronic spectrum are obtained employing the experimental apparatus and procedures similar to those used previously to study vdw clusters. The benzene(Ar)$_1$ $S_1$ $S_0$ spectrum is recorded using a pulsed supersonic molecular jet expansion in combination with 1-color time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (TOFMS). A single Nd$^{+3}$/YAG pumped LDS 698 dye laser whose output is frequency doubled and then mixed with the Nd$^{+3}$/YAG 1.064 $\mu$m fundamental is used to probe the $6^1_o$ region of the benzene(Ar)$_1$ cluster. A 5% Ar in He mixture is placed inline with liquid benzene in a trap maintained at room temperature. This three component gas mixture is then expanded using a pulsed nozzle maintained at 100 psig backing pressure. Apparatus chamber pressure is maintained at or below $4 \times 10^{-6}$ torr during the experiment.

III. Theoretical Considerations.

The normal coordinate analyses of the vdw clusters are conducted employing the GF methods of Wilson. These methods involve solving the characteristic equation of $3N-6$ coupled harmonic oscillators for its $3N-6$
non-zero eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The approach is to treat the vdW cluster as a "giant molecule" and treat both the intramolecular vibrational modes and the intermolecular vdW modes simultaneously. The intermolecular vdW potential field used in the analyses is expressed in an intermolecular symmetry coordinate system. In this coordinate system, the intermolecular force field is diagonal in the 3N dimensional space.

The cluster constituent intramolecular vibrational frequencies are considerably higher than those of the vdW modes. A reasonable approximation in this context then is to assume that the intramolecular modes are completely uncoupled from the low frequency vdW modes. Thus, the intramolecular modes are taken to be those of the cluster constituents. The constituent force fields are generated using the central force approximation\(^1\) including out-of-plane motion terms. Since the vdW modes arise from the restriction of cluster constituent translations and rotations and the central force approximation adequately reproduces these degrees of freedom, the necessary uncoupling of the intramolecular modes and the intermolecular vdW modes is maintained along with providing adequate vdW mode calculational results. Other, more sophisticated force field approximations are tested in the calculations; the central force approximation is determined to be adequate for calculations of the vdW modes. The only restriction which applies is that the intramolecular field yields mode eigenvalues in the proper frequency regions.

Within the central force approximation, the force field contains only diagonal terms in the internuclear symmetry coordinate system. This diagonal force field provides a simple and convenient means of using approximate force constants for intramolecular motion in the calculations. The intramolecular force constants chosen are those pertaining to general functional group stretches and bends.\(^1\)

The most convenient choice for a coordinate system as the working basis for matrix diagonalization is the Cartesian system. This coordinate
system is chosen since it is the system used in the present cluster configuration calculations, and more importantly, it is the coordinate system in which the $G$ matrix is diagonal and obvious.

In order to combine the intermolecular and intramolecular force fields algebraically, the force fields are transformed into the Cartesian coordinate system. The transformation yields two $3N$ dimensional $F$ matrices. The intramolecular matrix is designated as $F_0$, and the intermolecular matrix is designated as $F'$. The $F_0$ matrix consists of two diagonal blocks containing the coordinates of cluster constituent intramolecular motion. The $F'$ matrix contains two off-diagonal blocks and diagonal entries corresponding to the "perturbations" yielding the vdW motion. Adding these two matrices results in the "giant molecule" $F$ matrix of order $3N$. This matrix is left-multiplied by the $G^{-1}$ matrix and numerically diagonalized with the eigenvalues and eigenvectors being determined in the usual fashion. Upon diagonalization, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of intramolecular motion are identified along with those corresponding to cluster translation and rotation. These latter zero energy modes are discarded and the remaining modes are the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the ground state vdW modes.

The intermolecular force constants used in the normal coordinate analysis are generated from the intermolecular vdW potential by making a harmonic approximation. Within this approximation, the force constant is simply the second derivative of the potential function, $^9$
The potential function contains a general nonbonded potential (NP), a monopole electrostatic potential (ME), and a general hydrogen bonded potential (HB) in a Lennard-Jones (6-12-1-10-12) form. The total intermolecular interaction is taken as a sum of pairwise atom-atom interactions over all the atoms of each cluster constituent. The $r_{ij}$'s are the atom-atom distances between atom $i$ on constituent $k$ and atom $j$ on constituent $l$. The $r_{ij}$'s represent the symmetry coordinates in which the intermolecular force field is diagonal. The second derivative of eq. (1) with respect to $r_{ij}$ gives the force constant of the atom-atom interaction as,

$$K_{ij} (r_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{12 \cdot 13 \cdot A_{kl}}{r_{ij}^{14}} - \frac{6 \cdot 7 \cdot C_{kl}}{r_{ij}^{8}} \\
(1 - \epsilon_{HB}) + \frac{2 \cdot 332.0 q_{ij} q_{ij}}{D r_{ij}^{3}}
\end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{12 \cdot 13 \cdot A_{kl}}{r_{ij}^{14}} - \frac{10 \cdot 11 \cdot C_{kl}}{r_{ij}^{12}} \\
(1 - \epsilon_{HB})
\end{array} \right]$$

in which the $K_{ij}$'s are elements of the $F'$ matrix expressed in intermolecular symmetry coordinates. These terms are evaluated at the equilibrium configuration of the cluster assuming that the cluster constituents are frozen with regard to intramolecular motion.

Three additional models are employed to study the benzene(Ar)$_1$ vdW cluster as a test case. In these models, the vdW cluster is assumed to be a "diatomic molecule" in the sense that the system is considered to be composed of two particles, the benzene molecule (solute) and the argon atom (solvent). The vdW modes are assumed to arise from restricted 1-dimensional motion of the benzene molecule relative to the argon atom. Only the atom-molecule benzene(Ar)$_1$ cluster will be considered using these models since its vdW modes are easily characterized by simple translations along any of the three Cartesian axes. The vdW stretching mode is taken as motion restricted to the
1-dimensional translation moving the cluster constituents apart in opposite directions. The vDW bending modes are considered to be motions restricted to 1-dimensional translations which move the cluster constituents parallel to one another in opposite directions.

In the first model considered, 1-dimensional potential curves are mapped out by translating the solvent molecule relative to the solute atom in one of the three Cartesian directions. The intermolecular vDW potential is assumed to be represented by a Taylor series expansion about the equilibrium intermolecular distance, \( R_0 \) in the form,

\[
U(R) = U(R_0) + \left( \frac{dU}{dR} \right)_{R=R_0} (R - R_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{d^2U}{dR^2} \right)_{R=R_0} (R - R_0)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left( \frac{d^3U}{dR^3} \right)_{R=R_0} (R - R_0)^3 + \cdots .
\]  

(3)

The expansion coefficients are evaluated by a polynomial fit to the potential curves taking the displacement vector \( R \) as the independent variable and \( U(R) \) as the dependent variable. The second-order polynomial fit coefficient determines the effective harmonic force constant governing the frequency of bound state motion. The energy of this motion is given by

\[
\omega_e = \frac{1}{2 \pi c} \sqrt{\frac{k_{eff}}{\nu}}
\]

with \( k_{eff} = \left( \frac{d^2U}{dR^2} \right)_{R=R_0} = 2 \cdot \text{(second-order coefficient)} \),

\[
\frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2},
\]

(4)

and \( m_1 = \) solute mass
\( m_2 = \) solvent mass.
The third and fourth order polynomial fit coefficients represent an anharmonic correction term to the energy. From perturbation theory, the correction can be written to first order as

\[ \omega \chi_e = \frac{3n^2}{32\pi^4 \omega_e^2 \mu_e^2} \left( \frac{2h^2}{8\pi^2 \omega_e^{2\mu_e}} - j \right) \]

with \( g = \text{(third-order coefficient)} = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{d^3 U}{dR^3} \right) \) \( R=R_0 \)

and \( j = \text{(fourth-order coefficient)} = \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{d^4 U}{dR^4} \right) \) \( R=R_0 \)

Higher order terms are neglected in the anharmonic corrections since \( \omega_e \gg \omega_e \chi_e \gg \) other corrections. A polynomial least-squares fit to tenth order in \( R \) is determined to be sufficient to reproduce faithfully the 1-dimensional potential curves generated via translation. In passing, we note that the Taylor series expansion could also be evaluated directly by taking successive derivatives of eq. (1). This may be the method of choice if one is only interested in the lowest order terms in evaluating eq. (4) or (5), or if one is interested in a more "exact" reproduction of the potential curves in the vicinity of the dissociation limit.

The second model considered involves fitting the 1-dimensional potential energy curves, derived in the same manner as previously discussed, to a Morse function of the form, \(^{15}\)

\[ U'(R) = D_e (e^{-2\tilde{r}(R-R_0)} - 2e^{-\tilde{r}(R-R_0)}), \]
The energy levels and anharmonic corrections are evaluated using

\[ \omega_e = \beta \sqrt{\frac{D_e \hbar}{2 \pi^2 \epsilon}} \]  
and

\[ \omega_e' = \frac{\beta^2 \hbar}{8 \pi^2 \epsilon} \]

The last model used involves an energy level fit based upon the semi-classical JWKB method.\(^{13}\) In this model, the energy levels are determined from the quantization of the action integral according to the Bohr-Sommerfeld restrictions. The governing equation is

\[ I = (2\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int [E - U_{\text{eff}}(R)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dR = \hbar(v + \frac{1}{2}). \]

Energy level determination is accomplished by numerical integration of (9) taking \( U_{\text{eff}}(R) \) as the 1-dimensional intermolecular vdW potential in a tenth order polynomial form. The path of integration is taken over one complete motion cycle with the boundary conditions established by the intermolecular potential at a specific energy \( E \).

IV. Results.

A. Benzene(Ar).\(^1\)

Figure 1 and Table I present the benzene(Ar) \(_1^1\) vdW cluster spectrum recorded in the region between 38561 cm\(^{-1}\) and 38710 cm\(^{-1}\) using 1-color TOFMS. The cluster \( \epsilon_{10}^1 \) is "red shifted" by 21 cm\(^{-1}\) with respect to the benzene \( \epsilon_{00}^1 \). The bathochromic shift is indicative of the greater binding energy in the cluster \( S_1 \) state relative to the cluster \( S_0 \) state. Three vibronic features are observed to the blue of the cluster \( \epsilon_{00}^1 \). No features are observed in the symmetry "forbidden" benzene \( 0_0^0 \) region; therefore, the cluster must have at least a three-fold axis of symmetry.
Figure 2 and Table II contain the calculational results of the ground state configuration and vdW modes of benzene(Ar). Configurational calculations yield a single geometry of minimum energy for the cluster possessing $C_6v$ symmetry, figure 2. In this geometry, the argon atom lies 3.44 Å above the benzene molecular plane along the z (6-fold) axis. The ground state cluster binding energy is calculated at 287 cm$^{-1}$ which makes the excited state binding energy 308 cm$^{-1}$. The calculated intermolecular distance of 3.44 Å compares well with that of 3.4 Å obtained from the rotational analysis, adding independent proof to the adequacy of the calculations in predicting detailed information on cluster structure.

The eigenvalue energies from the normal coordinate analysis of benzene(Ar) are 40 cm$^{-1}$ and 11 cm$^{-1}$ for the vdW stretch and vdW bends, respectively. The eigenvector normal modes, figure 2, reveal that the vdW stretch entails purely perpendicular motion of the argon atom relative to the benzene molecular plane. Furthermore, the calculations reveal that the 2-fold degenerate vdW bending mode involves some combination of motion parallel to the benzene molecular plane. Both of these eigenvector results are consistent with group theoretical arguments as expected.

The "diatomic molecule" model calculations yield three sets of vdW mode energies. The average mode frequencies are 40 cm$^{-1}$ for the vdW stretch, $a_z(a_1)$ and 10 cm$^{-1}$ for the vdW bends $b_{xy}(e_1)$. Figures 3 and 4 show the details of the 1-dimensional potential curve mappings and the results of the model calculations. Note that the z-direction potential curve modeling the vdW stretch looks surprisingly similar in form to that of a typical diatomic molecule. All models yield both adequate potential curve fits and consistent vibrational energy level structures.

B. a-Tetrazine(Ar)

Figure 5 presents the results of the ground state configuration and vdW mode analysis of a-tetrazine(Ar). Only the normal coordinate analysis
vibrational calculation is presented since this method yields the most informative results for our purposes and the consistency between the eigenvalue/eigenvector results and the "diatomic molecule" results has already been shown for the benzene(Ar)$_1$ case. Configurational calculations yield a single cluster geometry of minimum energy possessing $C_{2v}$ symmetry, figure 5. In this geometry, the argon atom lies $3.45 \, \text{Å}$ above the s-tetrazine molecular plane along the $z$ (2-fold) axis. The calculated ground state cluster binding energy is $295 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$. The calculated intermolecular distance of $3.45 \, \text{Å}$ compares extremely well with the intermolecular distance of $3.45 \, \text{Å}$ obtained from the rotational analysis. The calculated binding energy of $295 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ also lies within the experimental limits of $254 < D_0 < 332 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$. Again, the calculations and experiment are in exact agreement.

The normal coordinate analysis eigenvalues are $41.0 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ for the vdW stretching mode $a_z(a_1)$, $9 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ for the vdW bending mode $b_y(b_1)$, and $12 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ for the vdW bending mode $b_z(b_2)$. The eigenvector normal modes, figure 5, show that the vdW stretch is restricted to motion perpendicular to the s-tetrazine molecular plane while the vdW bends are restricted to motion parallel to the molecular plane. As in the benzene(Ar)$_1$ analysis, these results are consistent with group theoretical arguments.

C. Benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$.

The ground state configuration and vdW eigenvalues/eigenvectors for benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$ are shown in figure 6. The results presented for the geometry and binding energy of benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$ are in good agreement with previous reports from this laboratory using an exponential-six and Lennard-Jones potential form. In this geometry, figure 6, the methane center-of-mass lies at $3.47 \, \text{Å}$ above the benzene molecular plane on the principle $z$ (3-fold) axis. The cluster ground state binding energy is $540 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$. The normal coordinate analysis reveals six vdW vibrations, two being 2-fold degenerate. The
ground state vibrational energies are 82 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vdW stretch \(s_z(s_1)\), 16 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vdW bends \(b_x(e)\), and 28 cm\(^{-1}\) and 89 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vdW torsions \(t_z(a_2)\) and \(t_{xy}(e)\), respectively. The eigenvector normal modes, figure 6, transform as the translational and rotational representations of the \(C_{3v}\) point group, as indicated. The vdW stretching mode transforms as the translation of the cluster constituents away from one another along the z (3-fold) axis. The vdW bending modes transform as some combination of cluster constituent translations in opposite directions perpendicular to the 3-fold axis in the xy plane. One vdW torsion mode transforms as a rotation of the cluster constituents about the z (3-fold) axis in opposite directions. The remaining two vdW torsions transform as rotations about orthogonal axes perpendicular to the 3-fold axis.

D. Benzene(H\(_2\)0)\(_1\).

The calculated benzene(H\(_2\)0)\(_1\) geometry used in the normal coordinate analysis is similar to that calculated previously.\(^3\) Only one minimum energy configuration, which has a binding energy of 504 cm\(^{-1}\), is found. As shown in figure 7, the cluster geometry possesses \(C_6\) symmetry with the H\(_2\)O center-of-mass located 3.15 Å above the benzene molecular plane.

Six ground state vdW vibrations are calculated for the \(C_6\) cluster geometry. Their corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors are shown in figure 7. The six vdW modes consist of a vdW stretch at 159 cm\(^{-1}\), two vdW bends at 14 cm\(^{-1}\) and 18 cm\(^{-1}\), and three vdW torsions at 40 cm\(^{-1}\), 50 cm\(^{-1}\), and 156 cm\(^{-1}\). The eigenvector normal modes transform as the translational and rotational representations of the \(C_6\) point group: the vdW stretch transforms as a z translation; the vdW bends transform as x and y translations; and the vdW torsions transform as \(R_x\), \(R_y\), and \(R_z\) rotations.

E. Benzene(NH\(_3\))\(_1\).

Configurational calculations on the benzene(NH\(_3\))\(_1\) cluster reveal two minimum energy geometries similar to those obtained previously.\(^3\)
One cluster geometry possesses $C_{3v}$ symmetry with a binding energy of $711 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ while the other possesses $C_{6}$ symmetry and a binding energy of $608 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. In the $C_{3v}$ cluster, figure 8, the NH$_3$ center-of-mass is located 3.23 Å above the benzene molecular plane along the z (3-fold) axis. In the $C_{6}$ cluster, figure 9, the NH$_3$ center-of-mass is located 3.29 Å above the benzene molecular plane.

Using the potential surfaces generated from these two configurations, six ground state vdW vibrations are calculated for each geometry. Their corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors are shown in figures 8 and 9. The $C_{3v}$ cluster ground state normal modes transform in the same manner as those of benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$. In the $C_{6}$ cluster, the ground state normal modes transform similar to those of benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$.

V. Discussion.

To compare the calculated and experimentally observed vdW modes, we assume that the intermolecular potential surface of the cluster is identical in both $S_0$ and $S_1$ electronic states. This assumption is justifiable if one considers that cluster fluorescence excitation and dispersed emission spectra are similar for the vdW vibronic transitions. Furthermore, the small spectral shifts of the chromophore and the weak intensity of the vdW modes signify only small changes in cluster binding energy. This is probably indicative of only slight variations of the potential surface between $S_0$ and $S_1$ electronic states.

Comparisons between calculation and experiment are made using group theoretical arguments based on the selection rules governing the vibronic transitions. Specifically, transition moment matrix elements are qualitatively analyzed using the crude adiabatic approximation for which the vibrational mode dependence on the electronic wave function is explicit. In this case, a standard Herzberg-Teller (HT) expansion and adiabatic wave functions are used.
with the electronic wave function vibrational mode dependence truncated at second order.

Using this expansion, two unique types of spectra can be generated. First, one could consider that the vdW modes do not participate in the vibronic coupling scheme (Case I) and that they merely enter into the expansion as an additional scalar product (overlap integral). This argument dictates that only totally symmetric Franck-Condon progressions and combination bands are spectroscopically observed. Furthermore, the intensities of these features are solely derived from the cluster chromophore vibronic mode with which they are in combination. Alternately, one could consider the vdW modes to be capable of vibronic coupling (Case II). In this case, they enter into the HT transition moment equation in the same manner as other vibronically active modes. The operator responsible for these transitions would be of the form

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Q_6 \partial q_{vdw}} \right) Q_6 = Q_6^0, q_{vdw} = q_{vdw}^0$$

in which $Q_6$ is the cluster $v_6$ vibrational mode and $q_{vdw}$ is a specific cluster vdW mode. This argument allows the possibility of observing nontotally symmetric vdW fundamentals with "borrowed" intensity due to interelectronic state mixing.

In the individual cluster discussions, the above two cases are considered in order to assign and understand the observed cluster vibronic spectra.

A. Benzene(Ar)$_1$.

Comparison of the calculated ground state vdW vibrations of benzene(Ar)$_1$ and the experimental vibronic spectrum, figure 1, Table I,
and Table II, shows that vibronic assignments can be made based upon calculations for all models analyzed. Considering the transition moment matrix elements for the benzene(Ar) \_1 S \_1 \rightarrow S_0 transition under C_{6v} symmetry, one should expect to observe totally symmetric combination bands of the vdW stretch built upon the cluster 6^1_0. The selection rule for these combination bands is \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \). Also, one should expect to observe nontotally symmetric vdW bend combinations with the 6^1_0 with the selection rule being \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4 \ldots \). These selection rules hold for both Case I and Case II type spectra and imply that the vdW modes do not enter into the vibronic intensity borrowing mechanism.

The calculated vdW stretching mode at 40 cm\(^{-1}\) compares quite well with the experimental vibronic feature at 39.7 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the benzene(Ar) \_1 6^1_0. Thus, this feature is assigned to the benzene(Ar) \_1 vdW stretch/cluster 6^1_0 combination band 6^1_0 \_a_z (s_1)^1 based upon the \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots \) selection rule.

For the vdW bending modes, only odd overtones are expected to be observed as pointed out above. The experimentally observed features at 30.9 cm\(^{-1}\) and 61.8 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the cluster 6^1_0 correspond to overtone features of the vdW bends; using the \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4 \ldots \) selection rule, the former feature is the first overtone of the vdW bends and the latter is the third. Considering the 30.9 cm\(^{-1}\) feature as the first overtone places the symmetry forbidden bend fundamental at about 15.5 cm\(^{-1}\). This energy lies close to the calculated 2-fold degenerate ground state vdW bend at 11 cm\(^{-1}\). Thus, these two spectral features are assigned to the benzene(Ar) \_1 vdW bends first and third overtone/cluster 6^1_0 combination bands, 6^1_0 \_b_{xy} (e_1)^2 and 6^1_0 \_b_{xy} (e_1)^4.

Ramifications of Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling in the benzene(Ar) \_1 cluster are obvious. From the derived vibronic selection rules and experi-
mental observation, the benzene(Ar)\textsubscript{1} cluster spectrum is best assigned based upon benzene Herzberg-Teller coupling (i.e., the $6^1_0$ feature is allowed) with vdw totally symmetric modes and combinations forming short, weak Franck-Condon progressions built upon the intense benzene transition. In addition, the calculated and observed\textsuperscript{15} $C_6\upsilon$ cluster symmetry is verified by the vdw vibronic structure.

B. $\alpha$-Tetrazine(Ar)\textsubscript{1}

The selection rules governing the $\alpha$-tetrazine(Ar)\textsubscript{1} vdw vibronic transitions under $C_{2v}$ symmetry arise from Case I Franck-Condon arguments. The totally symmetric vdw stretch should be observed to the blue of the cluster $0^0_0$ following a $\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ selection rule. The vdw bends should only be observed in odd overtones ($\Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots$) built on the allowed $\alpha$-tetrazine $0^0_0$ transition. As in the benzene(Ar)\textsubscript{1} cluster, no Case II distinction can be made for $\alpha$-tetrazine(Ar)\textsubscript{1} and thus no vdw Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling is expected. Unfortunately, a complete experimental spectrum showing the details of the $\alpha$-tetrazine(Ar)\textsubscript{1} vdw modes is not, as yet, available. The only information in this regard is the identification of the vdw stretching mode at 44 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the cluster $0^0_0$ by Levy et al.\textsuperscript{5} Other vdw features at 66 cm$^{-1}$ and 108 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the cluster $0^0_0$ are observed, but they are neither assigned nor are their spectra published.

Figure 10 and Table III compare the calculated ground state vdw mode frequencies with those observed in the $\alpha$-tetrazine(Ar)\textsubscript{1} $1^3B_{2}\leftrightarrow 1^1A_{\upsilon}$ vdw vibronic spectrum. The experimentally assigned vdw stretch at 44 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the cluster $0^0_0$ corresponds to the 41 cm$^{-1}$ calculated ground state stretch. This motion, like that in the benzene(Ar)\textsubscript{1} cluster, involves perpendicular motion of the argon atom relative to the $\alpha$-tetrazine molecular
plane. Based upon ground state calculations, the feature 66 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the cluster 0\(^0\)_0 probably corresponds to a vDW stretch/vdW bend overtone combination band, \(s(a_1)_0^1 b_x(b_2)_0^2\) or \(s(a_1)_0^1 b_y(b_1)_0^2\); the feature at 108 cm\(^{-1}\) probably corresponds to the next allowed bend overtone/stretch combination band \(s(a_1)_0^1 b_x(b_2)_0^4\) or \(s(a_1)_0^1 b_y(b_1)_0^4\). No speculation with regard to which bend is responsible for the features observed will be made since no spectra of sufficient sensitivity are available for analysis.

C. Benzene(CH\(_4\))\(_1\)

In a previous analysis of the benzene(CH\(_4\))\(_1\) cluster\(^2\) three major vDW vibronic features were reported in the cluster 6\(^1\)_0 region: these features were assigned to a bend fundamental (27.3 cm\(^{-1}\)), a stretch fundamental (32.3 cm\(^{-1}\)), and a stretch overtone (51.4 cm\(^{-1}\)). The assignments were made based upon the assumptions described in the Introduction.

Considering the HT transition moment matrix elements and assuming a Case I type spectrum, the selection rules for the benzene(CH\(_4\))\(_1\) vdw vibronic transitions are \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for the vDW stretch and \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots\) for the vDW bends and torsions when in combination with the cluster 6\(^1\)_0.

The selection rules involved in Case II can be viewed in two ways. The selection rules can be derived using the calculated cluster symmetry of C\(_{3v}\), or they can be derived by considering the cluster symmetry as C\(_{6v}\). The latter situation arises since the methane center-of-mass is calculated at 3.47 Å above the benzene molecular plane. At this distance, the methane could be viewed as a sphere above the benzene molecular plane and, hence, the use of the C\(_{6v}\) point group to represent the vDW mode symmetries could be warranted.

In C\(_{3v}\) symmetry Case II, the selection rules for vDW mode combinations with the cluster 6\(^1\)_0 are \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for all six vDW modes. If C\(_{3v}\) is the correct cluster physical symmetry and HT vDW coupling exists, all modes can be observed in the cluster 6\(^1\)_0 region. In C\(_{6v}\) symmetry, Case II, the selec-
tion rules for the vdW mode combinations with the cluster 6^1_0 are \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) for the vdW stretch \( a_z(a_1) \) and torsion \( t_z(a_2) \) and \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots \) for the 2-fold degenerate vdW bends \( b_{xy}(e_1) \) and torsions \( t_{xy}(e_1) \). In this approximate high symmetry, only the cluster 6^1_0 and vdW mode \( t_z \) are capable of vibronic coupling for the 6^1_0 transition.

Comparison of the experimental 6^1_0 vibronic spectrum of benzene(CH_4)_1 and the calculated ground state vdW vibrations is shown in figure 12 and Table IV. The observed feature at 27.3 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the cluster 6^1_0 corresponds to the \( t_z \) torsion calculated at 28 cm\(^{-1}\). Thus, this feature and its observed overtones at 51.4 cm\(^{-1}\) and 73.5 cm\(^{-1}\) are reassigned to 6^1_0 vdW torsion combination bands 6^1_0 t_z(a_2)^0, 6^1_0 t_z(a_2)^2, and 6^1_0 t_z(a_2)^3 using the C_3v Case II or C_6v Case II \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) selection rule. The \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) selection rule suggests that for the benzene(CH_4)_1 system vdW mode Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling is an important component of the overall intensity mechanism. In the present case, the occurrence of the nontotally symmetric \( t_z \) torsion progression implies that the vdW modes are vibronically active and that assuming them to be nonparticipants in the coupling mechanism oversimplifies the physics necessary to explain the cluster's spectroscopy.

The intense feature at 32.3 cm\(^{-1}\) to the blue of the cluster 6^1_0 corresponds to the first overtone of the 2-fold degenerate vdW bending mode, 6^1_0 b_{xy}(e)^2, calculated at 16 cm\(^{-1}\). This identification is based upon the observation of a feature at 64.6 cm\(^{-1}\) which corresponds to the third overtone of the bends. Furthermore, weak intensity features are observed at about 16.1 cm\(^{-1}\) and 48.4 cm\(^{-1}\) which could correspond to the vdW bend fundamentals and second overtones. The observation of these features adds proof to the arguments suggesting that the vdW modes are, at least, minor participants in the Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling scheme. The cluster symmetry and, hence, spectroscopy are thereby also best described using the calculated C_3v point group in con-
juxtaposed with the Herzberg-Teller coupling rather than the approximate \( C_{6v} \) point group. Based upon this, the features at 32.3 cm\(^{-1} \) and 64.6 cm\(^{-1} \) are reassigned to vDW bend overtone combinations with the cluster \( 6^1_o \); \( 6^1_o b_{xy}(e)^2 \) and \( 6^1_o b_{xy}(e)^4 \) using the \( C_{3v} \) selection rule \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \). The features are assigned in Table IV and Figure 11. The weak features at 16.1 cm\(^{-1} \) and 48.4 cm\(^{-1} \) are assigned to \( 6^1_o b_{xy}(e)^1 \) and \( 6^1_o b_{xy}(e)^3 \). Based upon the relative intensities displayed in the spectrum, vDW vibronic coupling is an important factor in the intensity of this progression involving the \( e \) symmetry bending modes.

Neither the vDW stretch \( a_x(a_1) \) nor the 2-fold degenerate torsions \( t_{xy}(e) \) are observed in the \( 6^1_o \) spectrum. This could be due to poor Franck-Condon factors for these vibronic transitions since they are both calculated to be at relatively high energies (ca. 82 cm\(^{-1} \) and 89 cm\(^{-1} \), respectively). Moreover, these modes could be participating in VP since the total energy \( 6^1_o a_x(a_1)^1_o \) or \( 6^1_o t_{xy}(e)^1_o \) is close to that of, if not above, the cluster's \( S_1 \) binding energy. Both the vDW stretch and \( t_{xy} \) torsions involve motion perpendicular to the benzene molecular plane: this motion could couple well to the VP process.

\[ \text{D. Benzene(H\(_2\)O)\(_1\).} \]

The \( S_1 + S_0 \) vibronic spectrum of benzene(H\(_2\)O)\(_1\) previously observed in this laboratory\(^3\) possesses two unique spectral regions located around the cluster \( 0^0_o \) and \( 6^1_o \) containing vDW vibronic features. No vDW vibronic assignments were made in either region and no correlation between the regions was suggested.

Examination of the \( \mathcal{H} \) transition moment matrix elements using \( C_8 \) symmetry and Case I considerations leads to the selection rules \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) for the vDW \( a_x \) stretch, \( b_y \) bend, and \( t_x \) torsion and \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots \) for the \( b_x \) bend, \( t_y \) and \( t_z \) torsions. Under Case II arguments, the selection rule \( \Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) for all six vDW modes: all modes are capable of vibronic coupling.
Vibronic spectra of benzene(H₂O)₁ in both the cluster 0°₀ and 6₁₀ regions are reproduced in figure 12 along with the calculated ground state vdW mode energies. The observed feature at 5.2 cm⁻¹ (4.8 cm⁻¹) to the blue of the cluster 0°₀ (6₁₀) transition corresponds to the vdW bₓ bend fundamental calculated at 14 cm⁻¹. The observed feature at 16.2 cm⁻¹ (15.8 cm⁻¹) to the blue of the cluster 0°₀ (6₁₀) transition corresponds to the calculated totally symmetric vdW bᵧ bend at 18 cm⁻¹. Additionally, the observed features at 34.6 cm⁻¹ (34.6 cm⁻¹) and 49 cm⁻¹ (48.4 cm⁻¹) to the blue of the cluster 0°₀ (6₁₀) transition are associated with the tₓ(a') and tₓ(a') torsion fundamentals calculated at 40 cm⁻¹ and 50 cm⁻¹, respectively. The occurrence of the nontotally symmetric fundamentals implies that the Δv = 0, ±2, ±4, ... selection rule for Case I in which the vdW modes are not vibronically coupled is violated. The violation suggests that the vdW modes participate in the vibronic coupling scheme and that the Δv = 0, ±1, ±2, ... selection rule should apply to all six vdW modes (Case II). Based upon this, the spectra are best assigned, Table V and figure 12, using both nontotally and totally symmetric vdW progressions.

Assigning the benzene(H₂O)₁ spectra using the C₅ point group representations corroborates the calculated cluster geometry. Treating the cluster in approximate high symmetries, such as C₂ᵥ, leads to selection rules which are clearly violated when applied to spectral observation and assignment. Specifically, the bend and torsion fundamentals are forbidden under these higher symmetry approximations. Furthermore, the spectral assignments using C₅ symmetry arguments suggest that the water constituent is likely located above the benzene molecular plane.

Neither the vdW a stretch nor the tᵧ torsion are observed in either spectral region. This, as in the benzene(CH₄)₁ case, probably results from poor Franck-Condon factors for these particular modes; they are both
calculated to be at relatively high energies (ca. 159 cm\(^{-1}\) and 156 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively). Moreover, in the \(6^1\) region, these modes could be participating in VP since the total energy of the system at these levels is close to, if not above, the cluster binding energy (c.a. = 500 cm\(^{-1}\)). The decrease in the hypsochromic shift and the shift of intensity maximum in the vDW manifold in going from the cluster \(0^0\) to the \(6^1\) may also be indicative of a VP process.

E. Benzene\((\text{NH}_3)_1\)

Benzene\((\text{NH}_3)_1\) clusters recently observed in this laboratory\(^3\) yield spectra in both the cluster \(0^0\) and \(6^1\) regions. Two cluster geometries are calculated for the system, one possessing \(C_8\) symmetry and the other possessing \(C_{3v}\) symmetry. From symmetry arguments the \(C_8\) symmetry cluster is the only contributor to the \(0^0\) spectrum while both cluster geometries contribute to the \(6^1\) spectrum. Neither of these spectra were analyzed nor assigned in the initial observation since they are so complicated. They were merely presented as an indication of the notion that cluster vibronic spectra can sometimes be very extensive and congested.

The benzene\((\text{NH}_3)_1\) \(C_8\) symmetry cluster follows the same vDW vibronic selection rules as derived for the benzene\((\text{H}_2\text{O})_1\) cluster. In this symmetry, the selection rules for Case I are \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for the vDW stretch, \(b_y\) bend, and \(t_x\) torsion and \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots\) for the \(b_x\) bend, \(t_y\) and \(t_z\) torsions. In Case II the selection rule is \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for all six vDW modes. Furthermore, the calculated cluster geometries are qualitatively similar. Hence, their \(0^0\) spectra should be qualitatively similar: this is borne out in both experimental results and vibrational mode calculations.

The benzene\((\text{NH}_3)_1\) \(C_{3v}\) symmetry cluster follows the same vibronic selection rules as presented for benzene\((\text{CH}_4)_1\). Here the selection rules are either \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for the vDW stretch and \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \ldots\) for the vDW bends and torsions (Case I) or \(\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\) for all six vDW modes (Case II).
The calculated ground state vibrational energies and the observed cluster $0^0_0$ and $6^1_0$ vibronic spectra are compared in figure 13. Due to the complex nature of the spectra which possibly results from hindered NH$_3$ rotation within the cluster and hot bands, only tentative assignments of the vdW mode progressions are made. The tentative assignments are based upon both vibrational mode calculations and upon inference from the benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$ and benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$ cluster spectra.

In the $0^0_0$ spectrum, the most intense low energy feature is assigned to the origin of the cluster's $S_1 + S_0$ transition. The smaller intensity features to the red of this feature are thus hot bands which yield the sequence structure in the $0^0_0$ region. The observed feature at 8.8 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the cluster $0^0_0$ corresponds to the nontotally symmetric vdW $b_x$ bend fundamental calculated at 15 cm$^{-1}$. Additionally, the observed features at 15.0 cm$^{-1}$, 45.1 cm$^{-1}$, 54.2 cm$^{-1}$, 99.6 cm$^{-1}$, and 127.7 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the calculated $b_y$ bend (21 cm$^{-1}$), $t_z$ torsion (44 cm$^{-1}$), $t_x$ torsion (48 cm$^{-1}$), stretch (112 cm$^{-1}$), and $t_y$ torsion (125 cm$^{-1}$). The occurrence of the nontotally symmetric fundamentals suggests that the vdW modes participate in vibronic coupling (Case II) and that the $\Delta v = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ selection rule should apply to all six vdW modes. Using this selection rule, the spectrum is best assigned, Table VI, using both nontotally and totally symmetric vdW progressions.

In the $6^1_0$ spectrum, the most intense low energy feature is assigned to the cluster $6^1_0$ vibronic origin. This assignment bears a resemblance to the benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$ $6^1_0$ spectrum in the respect that the $6^1_0$ features for both clusters are red shifted relative to that observed at the $S_1 + S_0$ origins (ca. 25 cm$^{-1}$ for benzene(NH$_3$)$_1$ and 35 cm$^{-1}$ for benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$).
Table VI presents the $C_3$ symmetry geometry assignments in the $6^1_0$ region. The assignments are made using the vdW fundamentals identified in the $0^0_0$ spectrum and $\Delta v = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ selection rule for all six vdW modes (Case II).

The contribution of the $C_{3v}$ symmetry benzene($NH_3)_1$ cluster to the $6^1_0$ spectrum is observed starting with the feature at 72.9 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the $C_3$ cluster $6^1_0$. Assignment of this feature to the $C_{3v}$ cluster $6^1_0$ is based upon the observation that no intense features are seen in either the benzene($NH_3)_1$ or the benzene($H_2O)_1$ spectra at this energy. The observed feature at 15 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the $C_{3v}$ cluster $6^1_0$ corresponds to the 2-fold degenerate vdW $b_{xy}$ bends at 19 cm$^{-1}$. The observed feature at 53 cm$^{-1}$ to the blue of the $C_{3v}$ cluster $6^1_0$ is associated with the calculated $t_2$ torsion at 44 cm$^{-1}$. As in the benzene($CH_4)_1$ case, the observation of the $b_{xy}$ and $t_2$ fundamentals implies that the vdW modes participate in the vibronic coupling mechanism (Case II) and that the $\Delta v = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ vibronic selection rule applies for all vdW modes. Based upon this, the best assignments for the $C_{3v}$ cluster vdW vibronics are tabulated in Table VI.

F. Morse $6/R_0$ Model.

Calculations of the ground state vdW modes of benzene($Ar)_1$ are also conducted using a model described by Jortner et al.$^1,6,7$ This model contains a main feature which, at first glance, appears to make it generally applicable in predicting vdW stretching mode frequencies from calculated cluster binding energies and equilibrium intermolecular distances. However, the model turns out to be incorrect in this application. The model employs a simple relationship between the cluster equilibrium intermolecular distance $R_0$ and the Morse potential parameter $f$. The relation is derived by equating the second derivative of eq. (6) with the second derivative of a single term (6-12) potential function. This derivation yields the relation $g = 6/R_0$ which can be used to predict the vdW stretching mode frequency by diatomic Morse fit methods.
Substituting $\beta = \frac{6}{R_o}$ and the calculated ground state cluster binding energy into eq. (7) yields a vdW stretching mode energy of 47 cm$^{-1}$ for benzene(Ar), employing Scheraga's potential parameters. Even though the calculated vibrational energy is qualitatively correct, figures 14 and 3 show that the $\beta = \frac{6}{R_o}$ relation results in an inadequate reproduction of the potential curve, especially in the critical region near the equilibrium intermolecular distance. Additional calculations using the potential data described in ref. 1 and the above approximate model also result in an inadequate potential curve reproduction, figure 15. In this case, the vdW stretching mode is calculated at 55 cm$^{-1}$.

The failure of the model under both data sets suggests that the model itself is inadequate in this application. The model fails in this application since the $\beta = \frac{6}{R_o}$ relation holds exactly only in systems in which the molecule-atom Lennard-Jones parameters have been determined directly. Applying this approximate model to a case in which the potential function is represented by pairwise atom-atom potentials does not take into account the differing contributions of each interaction to the potential energy and the equilibrium intermolecular distance. In this more complicated situation, no analytic relationship between $\beta$ and $R_o$ exists.

Comparing the vdW stretching mode energies calculated using Jortner's and Scheraga's data sets suggests that a significant difference exists between the two data sets. Benzene(Ar), configurational calculations using Jortner's data set yield a single cluster geometry of $C_{6v}$ symmetry with the argon atom located 3.5 Å above the benzene molecular plane. In this case, the ground state cluster binding energy is 395 cm$^{-1}$. This binding energy is 106 cm$^{-1}$ greater than that calculated using Scheraga's data set. The binding energy of 287 cm$^{-1}$ calculated using Scheraga's data set is probably more accurate since the benzene(Ar), ground state binding energy should be very similar to that cal-
culated for \( \text{a-tetrazine(Ar)} \). In the latter case, the calculated ground state binding energy of 295 cm\(^{-1}\) compares well with that observed experimentally, \( 254 < D_0'' < 332 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). Furthermore, generating Lennard-Jones parameters using the data set of ref. 1 yields a binding energy of 359 cm\(^{-1}\) for \( \text{a-tetrazine(Ar)} \), which is clearly not as accurate as the binding energy reported in this work. The difficulty is due to the consolidation of atom-atom parameters from different data sets. In this respect, great caution must be taken when consolidating parameters since each parameter set is, in general, only self-consistent and may have no meaning when combined with parameters from other sets.

VI. Conclusions.

TOFMS studies have been employed to determine the general geometry and symmetry of vdW clusters in the gas phase. Through computer modeling, a correlation between the details of the cluster geometry and spectral features has been demonstrated. Specifically, the parametric calculations yield useful information regarding cluster geometry, binding energy, and the vdW vibrations. These calculated results are consistent with experiment and serve as predictive and analytic tool which can be used to elucidate and understand the details of vdW cluster energetics.

Of the several models considered in studying the intermolecular vdW modes, simple diatomic approximations yield adequate results when applied to atom-molecule clusters. On the other hand, for molecule-molecule clusters normal coordinate analysis is essential. The normal coordinate analysis is especially useful for analyzing systems which have little or no symmetry since no a priori knowledge of vdW mode nature is necessary to generate potential energy surface mappings.

Reassignments of and assignments to cluster vdW modes have been made based upon the knowledge gained from calculation. From comparison of
calculation and experiment, several conclusions result. First, the actual excited state normal mode vdW frequencies are well fit by the calculated ground state cluster potential. This conclusion, though not surprising, gives independent proof of the invariance of the weak vdW potential between ground and excited electronic states as well as providing a means of using ground state vdW vibrational structure to predict vdW vibronic structure. Second, in the majority of the clusters analyzed, the observed vdW vibrations are those involving bending and torsional motions parallel to the aromatic π-system. Furthermore, these modes are, in general, quite active in the Herzberg-Teller vibronic coupling mechanism and significant interelectronic state mixing obtains. Third, vdW motions which penetrate the aromatic π-system have high frequencies and are only observed in systems in which the Franck-Condon factors and binding energies are favorable. Finally, the observed vibronic structure supports the calculated cluster geometry in all cases.
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TABLE I

vdW spectral features in benzene(Ar), $6^1_o$ region and calculated ground state vdW modes (refer to fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Relative to Cluster $6^1_o$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Calculated Ground State Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (38587.6)</td>
<td>$6^1_o$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ($b_{xy}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6^1_b, 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>$6^1_{o, xyo}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>$6^1_{o, zo}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>$6^1_{o, xy0}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ vdW mode representations as per fig. 2.
TABLE II

Calculated ground state vdW mode energies for benzene(Ar)₁.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$a_z$ (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>$b_{xy}$ (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taylor Series</td>
<td>40.88 (1.51)</td>
<td>9.80 (.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse Fit</td>
<td>39.47 (1.36)</td>
<td>10.54 (.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JWKB</td>
<td>40.05 (1.43)</td>
<td>9.71 (.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Coordinate Analysis</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Values in parentheses are first order anharmonicity corrections calculated from "diatomic molecule" models. vdW mode representations as per fig. 2.
TABLE III

vdW spectral features in s-tetrazine(Ar) $^{1}O^0$ region (s-tetrazine $^{1}B_{3u} - ^{1}A_g$) and calculated ground state vdW modes (refer to fig. 10).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Relative to Cluster $^{0}O_0$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Calculated Ground State Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (18104.9)</td>
<td>$^{0}O_0$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 ($b_y$)</td>
<td>41 ($s_z$)</td>
<td>$s_{z0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 ($b_x$)</td>
<td>44 $^a$</td>
<td>$s_{z0}^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>$s_{z0}^1b^2$ or $s_{z0}^1b^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>$s_{z0}^1b^4$ or $s_{z0}^1b^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Observed and assigned in ref. 5.
b) vdW mode representations as per fig. 5.
c) From ref. 5.
**TABLE IV**

vdW spectral features in benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$ $^1_0$ region and calculated ground state vdW modes (refer to fig. 11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Relative to Cluster $^1_0$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Calculated Ground State Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (38567.6)</td>
<td>$^1_0$</td>
<td>$^1_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16 (b$_{xy}$)</td>
<td>$^1_0$ b$_{xy}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>28 (t$_z$)</td>
<td>$^1_0$ t$_{zo}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>$^1_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$^1_b$ $^3_0$ x$_{yo}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$^1_b$ $^2_0$ x$_{yo}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>$^1_b$ $^4_0$ x$_{yo}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>$^1_t$ $^3_0$ x$_{zo}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 (s$_z$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 (t$_{xy}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) From ref. 2 and unpublished spectra.

b) vdW mode representations as per fig. 6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Relative to a Cluster $0^0$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Calculated Ground State Energy (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (38168.6)</td>
<td>$0^0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14 ($b_x$)</td>
<td>$b_{1x0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>18 ($b_y$)</td>
<td>$b_{1y0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>40 ($t_z$)</td>
<td>$t_{1z0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>50 ($t_x$)</td>
<td>$t_{1y0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>156 ($t_y$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>159 ($a_z$)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (38655.4)</td>
<td>$6^1_o$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>14 ($b_x$)</td>
<td>$6^1_{o1x0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>18 (b_y)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 b_1^1 y_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>(6_0^1 b_2^2 y_0)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 b_2^2 x_0 y_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>(6_0^1 b_2^2 y_0)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 b_2^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_1^1 o)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
<td>(6_0^1 t_2^2 o)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.0</td>
<td>156 (t_y)</td>
<td>159 (a_z)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table V (Continued)**

a) From ref. 3.

b) vdW mode representations as per fig. 7.
TABLE VI

vdW spectral features in benzene(NH₃), 0° and 6° regions and calculated ground state vdW modes (refer to fig. 13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Relative to a Cluster 0° or 6° (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Calculated Ground State Energy (cm⁻¹) b</th>
<th>Assignment b c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-39.2</td>
<td>b₁ o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-36.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-33.4</td>
<td>b₁ o, b₁ o</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-31.3</td>
<td>b₁ y₂ b₂ x₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-29.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-26.7</td>
<td>b₂ x₁ b₂ y₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>b₁ y₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 6.7</td>
<td>b₁ x₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (38021.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15 (b₁ x₁)</td>
<td>b₁ x₀ x₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21 (b₁ y₁)</td>
<td>b₁ y₀ y₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>44 (t₁ z₀)</td>
<td>t₁ z₀ z₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>48 (t₁ x₀)</td>
<td>t₁ x₀ x₀</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE VI (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>112 (s²)</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>107.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>114.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>114.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>119.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>116.8</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>125 (z)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td>116.1</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>137.1</td>
<td>141.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>104.8</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>139.8</td>
<td>144.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>151.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>155.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>153.3</td>
<td>158.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>153.3</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>163.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>136.7</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>166.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>136.7</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>146.7</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>156.7</td>
<td>161.7</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>172.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 9.0
- 4.9
- 2.0

0 (38514.7) 0 (c₈)

2.0

9.0 15 (bₓ) 21 (bᵧ)

13.1

22.1

26.2

29.5

32.0

34.4

37.3

6₁b₁ o₁ xo

6₁b₂ o₁ yo

6₁b₁ o₁ xo

6₁b₀ o₁ xo

6₁b₁ o₁ yo

6₁b₂ o₁ yo

6₁b₂ o₁ xo

6₁b₃ o₁ xo

6₁b₁ o₁ xo

6₁b₂ o₁ yo

6₁b₄ o₁ xo

6₁b₂ o₁ xo

6₁b₂ o₁ yo

6₁b₂ o₁ xo
TABLE VI (Continued)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>44 ( (t_z) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{zo} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{zo} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>( 48 \ (t_x) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{b1} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{zo} b_{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{zo} b_{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>[0 (38587.6)]</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} (C_{3v}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{b2} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} b_{1} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} b_{2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>( 19 \ (b_{xy}) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} b_{xy} (C_{3v}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>( 112 \ (e_{z}) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} e_{zo} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} t_{zo} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} b_{xy} (C_{3v}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} s_{b} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{b1} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} s_{b} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} g_{b1} b_{1} b_{1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>( 44 \ (t_z) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{zo} (C_{3v}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>( 125 \ (t_{xy}) )</td>
<td>( 6^1_{0} t_{x0} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) From ref. 3.

b) vdW mode representations as per fig. 8 and 9.

c) \( C_{3v} \) cluster \( 6^1 \) contributions tabulated relative to \( C_{6v} \) cluster \( 6^1 \) vibronic origin as in fig. 13.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 Mass selective S₁ + S₀ spectrum and calculated ground state vdW modes of benzene(Ar)₁. Energy scale is relative to benzene(Ar)₁ S₀ transition (38587.6 cm⁻¹). Nozzle backing conditions: P₀ = 100 psig, T₀ = 300 k. Peak positions and assignments as per Table I and fig. 2.

Figure 2 Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(d) for benzene(Ar)₁. Cluster symmetry is C₆ᵥ with an equilibrium intermolecular distance of 3.45 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).

Figure 3 z-direction (vdW stretch) potential energy mapping of benzene(Ar)₁. Coordinate system is as shown in fig. 2. Translation is along z axis with x and y coordinates at equilibrium intermolecular distance values. Translation is displayed relative to equilibrium intermolecular distance, 3.45 Å. (6-12) potential energy mapping is represented by o; Taylor series expansion and energy levels are represented by -; Morse fit potential energy curve is represented by -. Vibrational mode constants as per Table II.

Figure 4 x(y)-direction (2-fold degenerate vdW bend) potential energy mapping of benzene(Ar)₁. Coordinate system is as shown in fig. 2. Translation is along x(y) axis with z and y(x) coordinates at equilibrium intermolecular distance values.
Translation is displayed relative to equilibrium intermolecular
distance 3.45 Å. 6-12 potential energy mapping is represented
by o; Taylor series expansion and energy levels are represented
by ---; Morse fit potential energy curve is represented by
----. Vibrational mode constants as per Table II.

Figure 5
Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and
eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(d) for 5-tetrazine(Ar).
Cluster symmetry is C2v with an equilibrium intermolecular
distance of 3.45 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed
at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).

Figure 6
Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and
eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(g) for benzene(CH4).
Cluster symmetry is C3v with an equilibrium intermolecular
distance of 3.47 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed
at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).

Figure 7
Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and
eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(g) for benzene(H2O).
Cluster symmetry is Cs with an equilibrium intermolecular
distance of 3.15 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed
at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).

Figure 8
Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and
eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(g) for benzene(NH3).
Cluster symmetry is C3v with an equilibrium intermolecular
distance of 3.23 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed
at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).
Figure 9  Calculated ground state minimum energy configuration (a) and eigenvalue/eigenvector vdW modes (b)-(g) for benzene(NH$_3$)$_1$. Cluster symmetry is C$_6$ with an equilibrium intermolecular distance of 3.29 Å. Eigenvectors are normalized and displayed at 2x magnification (2 Å total displacement).

Figure 10  Schematic $^1B_{2u} + ^1A_g$ spectrum (from ref. 5) and calculated ground state vdW modes of s-tetrazine(Ar)$_1$. Energy scale is relative to s-tetrazine(Ar)$_1$ 0$_0^0$ transition (18104.9 cm$^{-1}$). Relative feature intensities are not shown. Feature positions and assignments as per Table III and fig. 5. vdW bends are represented by $b_1$ in schematic spectrum (see text for explanation).

Figure 11  Mass selective $S_1 + S_0$ spectrum (from ref. 2) and calculated ground state vdW modes of benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$. Energy scale is relative to benzene(CH$_4$)$_1$ 0$_0^1$ transition (38567.6 cm$^{-1}$). Feature positions and assignments as per Table IV and fig. 6.

Figure 12  Mass selective $S_1 + S_0$ spectra (from ref. 3) and calculated ground state vdW modes of benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$. Energy scale is relative to benzene(H$_2$O)$_1$ 0$_0^0$ and 6$_0^1$ transitions (38168.6 cm$^{-1}$ and 38655.4 cm$^{-1}$, respectively). Feature positions and assignments as per Table V and fig. 7. $s_z$ and $t_y$ vdW modes are not shown.

Figure 13  Mass selective $S_1 + S_0$ spectrum (from ref. 3) and calculated ground state vdW modes of benzene(NH$_3$)$_1$. Energy scale is
relative to benzene($\text{NH}_2$)$_1$ $0^o_0$ and $6^1_0$ transitions for $C_5$ cluster (38021.1 cm$^{-1}$ and 38514.7 cm$^{-1}$, respectively).

Feature positions and assignments as per Table VI and fig. 8 and 9. $C_{3v}$ cluster $s_z$ and $t_{xy}$ modes are not shown.

**Figure 14**

z-direction (vdW stretch) potential energy mapping of benzene(Ar)$_1$ and $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit. Translation is relative to equilibrium intermolecular distance, 3.45 Å. (6-12) potential energy mapping using data set from ref. 9 is represented by o; Taylor series expansion and energy levels are represented by ---; $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit potential energy curve is represented by ---. Taylor series vibrational mode constants as per Table II. $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit vibrational constants are $\omega_e = 47.22$ cm$^{-1}$ $\omega_e \chi_e = 1.94$ cm$^{-1}$.

**Figure 15**

s-direction (vdW stretch) potential energy mapping of benzene(Ar)$_1$ and $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit. Translation is relative to equilibrium intermolecular distance, 3.5 Å. (6-12) potential energy mapping using data set from ref. 1 is represented by o; Taylor series expansion and energy levels are represented by --- with $\omega_e = 46.48$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\omega_e \chi_e = 1.81$ cm$^{-1}$; $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit potential energy curve is represented by ---. $\beta = \frac{6}{R_0}$ Morse fit vibrational mode constants are $\omega_e = 54.84$ cm$^{-1}$ $\omega_e \chi_e = 1.90$ cm$^{-1}$.
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BENZENE-METHANE

$C_{3v}$

(a) B.E.$=540 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(b) $s_z$ stretch($a_1$) $82 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(c) $t_z$ torsion($a_2$) $28 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(d) $b_x$ bend($e$) $16 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(e) $b_y$ bend($e$) $16 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(f) $t_x$ torsion($e$) $89 \text{cm}^{-1}$

(g) $t_y$ torsion($e$) $89 \text{cm}^{-1}$
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BENZENE-AMMONIA

$Cs$

- **B.E. = 608 cm$^{-1}$**

- **112 cm$^{-1}$**
  - $s_z$ stretch ($a'$)

- **44 cm$^{-1}$**
  - $t_z$ torsion ($a''$)

- **15 cm$^{-1}$**
  - $b_x$ bend ($a''$)
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