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ABSTRACT

Ultrasonic waves provide an excellent means to study the mechanical properties of both heterogeneous and composite materials. Recently, both theoretically and experimentally, we studied characteristics of elastic wave propagation through fiber and particle reinforced composites. Theoretically we analyzed phase velocities of longitudinal and shear waves propagating through composite media with aligned continuous fibers and ellipsoidal shaped particles. Alignment of reinforcing fibers (or particles) imparts anisotropy to the effective mechanical and physical properties of the composite. Theoretically, we modeled the anisotropic phase velocities, which agree with experimental measurements. One important feature of this combined theoretical and experimental study is the possibility of obtaining the (often uncertain) fiber (or particle) elastic properties by comparing the model predictions with the observations.

We show that one can use the theoretical model to obtain effective properties of media containing voids (pores). As examples, we consider porous olivine and creep cavities in copper. In the latter case some experimental results are presented which show good agreement with predictions.

Finally, we present some results for attenuation in a particulate composite including the effect of nonideal interface properties.

INTRODUCTION

Determination of effective elastic moduli and damping properties of a heterogeneous material by using elastic waves (propagating or standing) is very effective. Several theoretical studies show that for long wavelengths one can calculate the effective wave speeds of plane longitudinal and shear waves through a composite material. At long wavelengths the wave speeds thus calculated are non-dispersive and hence provide the values for the static effective elastic properties. References to some of the recent theoretical and experimental works can be found in (1-13). The scattering formulations developed in (1-8) provide a means to obtain not only the effective wave speeds but also the damping of wave amplitudes due to scattering.

In this paper we present results of some of our recent investigations of phase velocity and attenuation of plane longitudinal and shear waves propagating in a medium with microstructure. Microstructures studied were either inclusions or fibers. In the former case, we examined the effect of inclusion shape, orientation, volume fraction, and elastic properties on wave speeds. For fiber-reinforced materials we studied continuous aligned fibers. In either case the medium behaves anisotropically because of the alignment of the inclusions or the fibers.

The theoretical model used a wave-scattering approach together with Lax's quasi-crystalline approximation and predicted the macroscopic isotropic elastic properties for the case of random orientation of inclusions and anisotropic elastic properties caused by preferred orientation. Both homogeneous and non-homogeneous distributions of inclusions or fibers were considered. The scattering approach led also to an estimation of attenuation via the use of optical theorem.

The experimental methods consisted of a pulse-echo technique and the resonance method. These were chosen to provide the advantages of small specimens and low inaccuracy. For details of the experimental techniques the reader is referred to (10:14,15). Both homogeneous and nonhomogeneous distributions of inclusions and fibers were considered. First, we examined the case of a random homogeneous distribution of randomly oriented spheroidal inclusions in a homogeneous matrix. Second, we considered a random homogeneous distribution of oriented spheroids. In the first case the macroscopic properties of the composite are isotropic. In the second aligned spheroidal inclusions impart anisotropy to the composite. In order to model the SiC-particle-reinforced composite shown in Fig. 1 we used a two-step process combining the two distributions described above. In this example the material consists of "islands" of oriented oblate spheroidal Al inclusions in a "sea" of randomly oriented SiC prolate spheroidal inclusions. We also examined by the two-step process mentioned above the fiber-reinforced composite shown in Fig. 2 which consists of a nonhomogeneous distribution of aligned continuous
fibers in Al matrix.

In the following we describe the theoretical technique first in connection with a composite consisting of ellipsoidal shaped inclusions in a homogeneous matrix. Specialization to the two-dimensional case of fiber-reinforced materials is then briefly discussed. Model predictions are then compared with experimental results. Finally, we present some model calculations for attenuation in a particle-reinforced composite and for elastic properties of materials with voids.

MULTIPLE SCATTERING BY A DISTRIBUTION OF ELLIPSOIDAL INCLUSIONS

In this section the scattered field at any point in the matrix is obtained in the presence of a distribution of \( N \) ellipsoidal inclusions. We consider both aligned and nonaligned cases. The results obtained are valid when the wavelength is long compared to the inclusion dimensions. The method represents an extension to the elastic case of the approach used in (16-17).

In expressing the scattered field in the presence of a number of ellipsoidal inclusions we must recall the results for a single ellipsoidal inclusion (18).

Let the center of the \( i \)-th ellipsoid be located at \((X_i, Y_i, Z_i)\) referred to a Cartesian frame of reference axes; let the principal axes of this ellipsoid be obtained from the Cartesian axes (XYZ) by rotation defined by the Eulerian angles \( \alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i \). Let \( u^E(R|\theta_i) \) denote the total field incident on the \( i \)-th inclusion. In terms of vector spherical wave functions that are regular at \( \gamma_i \), this "exciting" field may be written quite generally as

\[
u^E(R|\theta_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} [a_{i\,nnm}(r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i) + ib_{i\,nnm}(r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)]
\]

where \( r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i \) are the spherical polar coordinates of the point \( P \) with

\[
r_i = [(x - c_i)^2 + (y - n_i)^2 + (z - c_i)^2]^{1/2}
\]

\[
Z - c_i = r_i \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad X - c_i = r_i \sin \theta \sin \phi,
\]

\[
Y - n_i = r_i \cos \theta
\]

position vector \( R \) referred to the center of the ellipsoid. Thus, in writing Eq. (1) we assume that the time dependence is through the factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \), which has been dropped. The vector-wave functions appearing in Eq. (1) were given in (18). \( \tau \) is the ratio of the longitudinal-wave and shear-wave speeds in the matrix. The field given by Eq. (1) will be scattered by the ellipsoid and this scattered field, denoted by

\[
u^S(R|\theta_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} [a_{i\,nnm}(r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i) + ib_{i\,nnm}(r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)]
\]

where \( L_{iuv}^{(3)} \) and \( N_{iuv}^{(3)} \) are the appropriate spherical vector wave functions that satisfy the radiation conditions as \( r_i \to \infty \). Here \( \omega = \omega / c_i \), where \( c_i \) denotes the
longitudinal wave speed in the matrix and the principal semiaxes of the ellipsoid are \( a, b, c (a > b = c) \). Equations (1) and (3) keep terms to \( O(c^3) \).

The relationships between the scattered-field coefficients \( A_{1u,v} \), \( B_{1u,v} \) and the incident-field coefficients \( a_{1m,n} \), \( b_{1m,n} \), and \( c_{1m,n} \) were derived in (18) when the semiaxes of the ellipsoid were parallel to the Cartesian axes XYZ. For aligned ellipsoids this choice can be made without loss of generality. However, for a nonaligned configuration it is necessary to obtain these relationships for arbitrary orientation. To derive these general relations from the results of (18), it is necessary to refer both the incident field and the scattered field (2) to the ellipsoid axes. This is done by using the rotational addition theorems for spherical harmonics (19-20). One can show that

\[
y^E(R|R_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{m=-n}^{m_{\text{max}}} n_{\text{im}} (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)^+ \tag{4}
\]

In writing Eq. (4) the relations expressing the spherical vector wave functions referred to XYZ and the ellipsoidal axes have been used. These have the form:

\[
L_{\text{im}}(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{m=-n}^{m_{\text{max}}} a_{\text{im}}(m, m', n) L_{\text{im}}(r, \theta, \phi) \tag{5}
\]

Similar expressions hold for \( L_{\text{im}}(r, \theta, \phi) \) and \( H_{\text{im}}(r, \theta, \phi) \). Here

\[
a_{\text{im}}(m, m', n) = \frac{(-1)^{m+m'}}{(n-m)! (n+m')!^{1/2}} D(n) (\alpha \beta) \tag{6}
\]

Using Eq. (5) in Eq. (1) it follows that

\[
A_{\text{im}} = \sum_{m=-n}^{m_{\text{max}}} a_{\text{im}}(m, m', n), \text{etc.} \tag{7}
\]

The scattered field referred to the new axes is then

\[
y^S(R|R_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{m=-n}^{m_{\text{max}}} n_{\text{im}} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)^+ \tag{8}
\]

Now

\[
L_{1u,v}(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{u=-v}^{v} a(u, u, v) L_{1u,v}(r, \theta, \phi) \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
a(u, u, v) = \frac{(-1)^{m+u}}{(n-u)! (n+m)!^{1/2}} D(n) (\alpha \beta) \tag{9}
\]

and the asterisk denotes complex conjugate. Thus,

\[
A_{1u,v} = \sum_{u=-v}^{v} a(u, u, v) A_{1u,v} \tag{10}
\]

\[
B_{1u,v} = \sum_{u=-v}^{v} b(u, u, v) B_{1u,v} \tag{11}
\]

But

\[
A_{1u,v} = \frac{i v_0^3}{4 \pi c^3} \sum_{u=1}^{v_1} v_{1u,v} (a_{1u,v} + \delta(v)b_{1u,v}) \tag{12}
\]

where

\[
\delta(v) = 3v^2, \quad v = 0, 2
\]

\[
= 2v, \quad v = 1
\]

Explicit expressions for \( T_{1u,v} \) are given in (9).

Using Eqs. (7) and (11) in Eq. (10) it is found

\[
A_{1u,v} = \frac{i v_0^3}{4 \pi c^3} \sum_{u=1}^{v_1} v_{1u,v} (a_{1u,v} + \delta(v)b_{1u,v}) \tag{12}
\]

Using translational addition theorems (21) the exciting field on the \( i \)-th ellipsoid is

\[
y^E(R|R_i) = u^{(1)} + \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \sum_{m=-n}^{m_{\text{max}}} n_{\text{im}} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r, \theta, \phi)^+ \tau_{im} (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)^+ \tag{13}
\]

where

\[
a^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{u=-v}^{v} a(u, u, v) A_{1u,v} \tag{10}
\]

\[
b^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{u=-v}^{v} b(u, u, v) B_{1u,v} \tag{11}
\]

Here \( u^{(1)} \) is the incident field and \( A_{1u,v}^{(1)} \) and \( B_{1u,v}^{(1)} \) are defined in (2). The incident wave will be taken as a plane wave propagating along the Z-axis. Thus, it may be written as

\[
u^{(1)} = e^{i k_x x} e^{i k_y y} e^{i k_z z} \tag{13}
\]
We assume that there is a distribution of aligned oblate-spheroidal particles. It contains nonhomogeneities, for example matrix islands devoid of particles (see Fig. 1). This fact is considered in a second-step calculation that assumes that there is a distribution of aligned oblate-spheroidal matrix-material inclusions in the composite.

**EFFECTIVE WAVE SPEEDS IN A COMPOSITE OF DISORDERED ELLIPSOIDS**

To calculate the effective wave speeds of plane waves, we take an ensemble average of Eq. (12). For this purpose, the probability density of finding the scatterers at $R_1, R_2, \ldots$ is denoted by $p(R_1, R_2, \ldots)$, which can be written

$$p(R_1, \ldots, R_N) = p(R_1) p(R_2 \ldots R_N | R_1)$$

Assuming the distribution to be uniform it follows that

$$p(R_1) = V^{-1} r_1^{2d-1}$$

where $V$ is the volume of the composite. Furthermore, following the analyses of (3), the conditional density $p(R_2 | R_1)$ will be taken

$$p(R_2 | R_1) = V^{-1} |R_2 - R_1|^{2d}$$

This is the "well-stirred" approximation whose validity for high concentrations is open to question (7). However, the results obtained in (2) under this assumption coincide with the lower (upper) bound of Hashin and Shtrikman (22). (See Ref. (3).) Although this is no justification for adopting Eq. (19), we use it here for simplicity.

In taking the ensemble average of Eq. (12), for random orientation, the average of $T_{11}^{uv}$ over all orientations is simply

$$T_{11}^{uv} = 3 p_{uv} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{uv} \right)$$

Thus,

$$A_{11}^{uv} = 3 p_{uv} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} \eta_{uv} \right)$$

Equation (21) has the same form as derived in (2) for a distribution of spherical particles. It contains the conditional expectations with two particles held fixed. An approximation to $A_{11}^{uv}$ is obtained by assuming the Lax (23) quasi-crystalline approximation. This approximation assumes that

$$A_{11}^{uv} \approx A_{11}^{uv}$$

Substitution of Eq. (22) into Eq. (21) leads to an integral equation for the determination of $A_{11}^{uv}$. To find the effective wave speeds of plane-wave propagation, we assume a solution to the integral equation:

$$A_{11}^{uv} \approx X_{uv} e^{iK c_1}$$

where $K$ is the effective wavenumber and $X_{uv}$ are constants. Substituting Eq. (23) into Eq. (21) it can be shown following (2) that for plane-longitudinal and plane-shear waves the respective wavenumbers $K_1$ and $K_2$ are

$$K_1^2 = \frac{(1 + 9 \epsilon P_1) (1 + 3 \epsilon P_0) \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon P_2 (2 + 3k_0^2/k_1^2) \right)}{1 - 15 \epsilon P_2 (1 + 3 \epsilon P_0) + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon P_2 (2 + 3k_0^2/k_1^2)}$$

$$K_2^2 = \frac{(1 + 9 \epsilon P_1) \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon P_2 (2 + 3k_0^2/k_1^2) \right)}{1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon P_2 (4 - 9k_0^2/k_1^2)}$$
where
\[ \epsilon = \frac{1}{3}abc_n. \]

Using the expressions for \( T_{uv} \) (9) it is found that
\[ \epsilon_{uv} = T_{uv}. \]

9P_{1} = (\alpha' / \alpha - 1) \tag{26}

Now writing
\[ K_1 = w/c^2_1, \quad K_2 = w/c^2_2 \]

where \( c^2_1 \), \( c^2_2 \) are the effective longitudinal-wave and shear-wave speeds, the effective Lamé constants \( \lambda^* \) and \( \mu^* \) are obtained from the equations
\[ \frac{\lambda^* + 2\mu^*}{\lambda^*} = \frac{(1 + 3E')}{(1 + 3E') \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} E' \left( 2 + 3K_1^2/k_1^2 \right) \right)} \tag{27} \]
\[ \mu^* = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2} E' \left( 4 - 9K_1^2/k_1^2 \right)} \tag{28} \]

The expressions given above can be simplified by using the expressions for \( T_{uv} \) in (20). The effective bulk modulus and shear modulus are
\[ \frac{K^* - K}{K^* - \frac{2}{3} \mu^*} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^*} \frac{\lambda^* + 2\mu^*}{\lambda^*} \tag{29} \]

and
\[ \frac{\lambda^* + 2\mu^*}{\lambda^*} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^*} \frac{\lambda^* + 2\mu^*}{\lambda^*} \frac{T_{mnmn}}{T_{mnmn}} \tag{30} \]

The tensor \( T_{ijkl} \) has been defined in (9). It is noted that in the low-volume concentration limit these agree with the expressions obtained by Boucher (24).

EFFECTIVE WAVE SPEEDS IN A COMPOSITE OF RANDOM DISTRIBUTION OF ORIENTED ELLIPSOIDS

When the ellipsoids are aligned, effective wave speeds of plane waves can be calculated in the same manner as in the previous section by taking the ensemble average of Eq. (12). However, for propagation in an arbitrary direction the dispersion equation is complicated. The equation simplifies for propagation along the ellipsoidal axes. Results for this particular case are given here. Details of the derivation are omitted.

When an incident plane wave propagates along one of the ellipsoidal axes, c-axis say, the XYZ axes can be chosen to be parallel to the ellipsoidal abc-axes. Then
\[ T_{uv} = T_{uv} \tag{31} \]

Taking now the ensemble average of Eq. (12) one finds
\[ \langle A_{uvv} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i\phi} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} e^{i\phi} \right) \tag{32} \]

As before, the quasi-crystalline approximation is made to derive an integral equation for \( \langle A_{uvv} \rangle_1 \). Considering now a longitudinal-plane-wave solution of this equation (\( \langle A_{uvv} \rangle_1 = \Pi_{uvv}^{12} \)), \( u = 0, v = 0, 1, 2 \) a set of linear homogeneous equations in \( \Pi_{00}, \Pi_{01}, \Pi_{02} \) and \( \Pi_{20}, \Pi_{21} \) is obtained. Keeping only the lowest-order terms in \( k^2_2/k_1^2 \) and equating the determinant of the coefficients of \( \Pi_{00}, \Pi_{01}, \Pi_{02} \) to zero one finds
\[ \frac{K_2}{K_1} = (1 + 3E') \frac{\Pi_{00}}{\Pi_{01}} \frac{(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^* \Pi_{01})}{(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^* \Pi_{01})} \tag{33} \]

For a plane shear wave polarized in the X-direction and propagating along the Z-direction the dispersion equation is obtained by taking
\[ \langle A_{uvv} \rangle_1 = \Pi_{uvv}^{12} \tag{34} \]

It is found that
\[ \frac{K_2}{K_1} = (1 + 3E') \frac{\Pi_{00}}{\Pi_{01}} \frac{(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^* \Pi_{01})}{(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} \mu^* \Pi_{01})} \tag{35} \]

It is easily shown that for a shear wave polarized along the Y-axis and propagating along the Z-axis the effective wavenumber \( K_2 \) is obtained by replacing
\[ \Pi_{12}^{12} + 6 \Pi_{12}^{12} \tag{36} \]

The above derivation is for propagation along the Z-axis. Results for propagation along any axis and
Y-axis are obtained by cyclic interchange of abc. It is shown easily that the speed of propagation of a shear wave polarized along the X-direction and moving along the Z-direction is the same as that of a shear wave polarized along the Z-direction and moving along the X-direction.

**WAVE PROPAGATION IN A FIBER-REINFORCED COMPOSITE**

The analysis given above can be modified easily to treat wave propagation in a plane perpendicular to the fibers. Propagation of longitudinal and shear waves polarized and propagating in this plane was considered in (1). Although the treatment was for isotropic fibers, the extension to transversely isotropic fibers is made easily. This was done in (25). In that study the problem of a shear wave polarized along the fibers and propagating perpendicular to them was also considered.

Taking the Z-axis along the fiber axes and denoting the five independent elastic constants characterizing the fibers as $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{33}$, and $C_{44}$, it was shown (25) for SH-wave propagation that the effective wave number $k^*$ is

$$
\frac{k^*}{k_0} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{\rho^*}}{1 + \frac{2}{\rho^*}}
$$

where $m = C_{44}/\rho^*$, $\rho^*$ the effective density, and $u_{LT}$ the effective axial shear modulus. For propagation of longitudinal and shear waves polarized and propagating in a plane perpendicular to the fibers it was found that the corresponding wavenumbers are

$$
\frac{k_0^2}{k_2^2} = \frac{\rho^* + \frac{1}{\rho^*}}{1 + \frac{2}{\rho^*}}
$$

$$
\frac{k_1^2}{k_2^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{\rho^*}}
$$

where $\rho^*$ denotes the density of the composite, $k_2$ being the wavelength in the fiber direction.

**EXPERIMENT**

**Silicon-Carbide/Aluminum**

The photomicrograph shown in Fig. 1 represents an SiC-reinforced Al composite. The material was obtained from a commercial supplier in the form of 1-cm plates. Nominally, the plate contained 30 volume percent SiC.

Sound velocities were determined by a pulse-echo method described in detail previously (15). Briefly, 1-cm cubes were prepared by grinding so that opposite faces were flat and parallel within 5 μm. Quartz piezoelectric crystals with fundamental resonances between 4 and 7 MHz were cemented with phenyl salicylate to the specimens. An x-cut transducer was used for longitudinal waves and an ac-cut for transverse waves. Ultrasonic pulses 1 to 2 cycles long were launched into the specimen by electrically exciting the transducer. The pulses propagated through the specimen, reflected from the opposite face, and propagated back and forth. The pulse echoes were detected by the transducer and displayed on an oscilloscope equipped with a time delay and a microprocessor for time-interval measurements. The sound velocity was computed by

$$
v = \frac{2r}{t}
$$

where $t$ denotes specimen length, and $t$ the round-trip transit time. On the oscilloscope, $t$ was the time between adjacent echoes, the first and second echoes usually being measured, and within these the time between leading cycles. Elastic constants were computed from the general relationship

$$
C = \frac{v^2}{\rho^*}
$$

where $\rho^*$ denotes mass density.

Mass density was determined by Archimedes's method using distilled water as a standard. For 30 volume percent SiC/Al, we found $\rho^* = 2.838$ g/cm$^3$.

Using quantitative-metallographic equipment we verified the overall SiC volume fraction to be $0.921 \pm 0.19$ percent and the Al-island concentration to be $c^* = 48.0$ percent. This means that within the sea the SiC volume fraction was 54.6 percent. For the calculations reported below, we took $c^* = 0.30$ and varied $c^*$ from 0.0 to 0.5. Metallography showed that the SiC particles represented as prolate spheroids possessed an aspect ratio of approximately 3.0. Similarly, the aluminum islands represented as oblate spheroids possess an aspect ratio of approximately 0.33.

**Sapphire (Al$_2$O$_3$)/Aluminum and Boron/Aluminum**

Figure 2 shows the microstructure of sapphire fiber-reinforced aluminum material, which was obtained from a commercial supplier as 6-mm plates. The matrix consists of a 23Li-Al alloy. The fibers, 55% by volume, consist of 99% Al-alumina, 20+5 μm diameter, with a manufacturer-reported mass density of 3.95 g/cm$^3$. Sound velocities, volume fraction, and mass density of the composite were determined as described above for SiC/Al.

Elastic constants of the fiber were estimated from Tefft's (26) monocrystal trigonal-symmetry elastic constants. These were averaged to the quasi-isotropic polycrystal case by Voigt-Reuss-Hill arithmetic average. The polycrystalline values were then scaled downward slightly to agree with the observed $53_3$ the reciprocal Young's modulus along the fiber direction. For this scaling down, Poisson's ratio was kept fixed.
This gave a fiber Young's modulus of 358 GPa, within the 340-380 GPa range specified by the fiber manufacturer. This estimate assumes isotropic elastic properties in the fiber. In addition to the pulse-echo method we also used the resonance method with a three-component (Marx) oscillator to measure the elastic properties of Boron-Aluminum composite. The details of this can be found in (26).

Creep-Cavities in Copper

Ultrasonic pulse-echo method was used to measure longitudinal sound-wave velocities along and perpendicular to the axis of stress applied to produce creep in polycrystalline copper. The creep cavitation produced is shown in Fig. 3.

RESULTS: CALCULATIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

Effective Elastic Properties

For SiC/Al, Table 1 shows the principal results of the study represented as elastic-stiffness coefficients, $C_{ij}$ in Voigt's notation. Columns 2 and 3 give the properties of the constituents: aluminum alloy 6061 (measured in this study) and SiC (reported by Schreiber and Soga (26)). Column 4 contains the observed elastic constants of 30-volume-percent SiC/Al. Column 6 gives the prediction based on the assumption of homogeneously distributed SiC particles. Finally, column 7 gives predictions based on the present model for the nonhomogeneous case shown in Fig. 1. The coordinate system is $x_3$ perpendicular to plate, $x_1$ in plate in rolling direction, $x_2$ in plate perpendicular to rolling direction.

Table 2 - Observed and Predicted Static Elastic Stiffnesses, $C_{ij}$, of 55-volume-percent Sapphire-Fiber/Aluminum at Ambient Temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{11}$</td>
<td>2.706</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{12}$</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>4.193</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.971</td>
<td>1.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{13}$</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{23}$</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{44}$</td>
<td>1.094</td>
<td>4.193</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.730</td>
<td>2.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{66}$</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predictions in this table are based on $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.55$ and $c^* = 0.10$. Units are $10^{11}$ N/m$^2$.

Table 2 gives similar results for the Al$_2$O$_3$/Al fiber-reinforced composite. The coordinate system is $x_3$ in fiber direction. For comparison with theory, we "forced" a transverse-isotropic symmetry on the observations by the relationships $C_{11} = (C_{11} + C_{22})/2$, $C_{13} = (C_{13} + C_{23})/2$, $C_{44} = (C_{44} + C_{55})/2$ and $C_{66} = (C_{66} + C_{11} - C_{12})/4$.

Table 1 - Observed and Predicted Elastic Stiffnesses, $C_{ij}$, of 30-Volume-Percent SiC/6061 Al at Room Temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6061</td>
<td>2.706</td>
<td>3.181</td>
<td>2.838</td>
<td>2.849</td>
<td>2.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{11}$</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>4.742</td>
<td>1.659</td>
<td>2.195</td>
<td>1.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{22}$</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>4.742</td>
<td>1.651</td>
<td>2.195</td>
<td>1.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{33}$</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>4.742</td>
<td>1.483</td>
<td>2.195</td>
<td>1.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{44}$</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.881</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{55}$</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.881</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{66}$</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.881</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{12}$</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{13}$</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{23}$</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Predictions in this table are based on $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.30$, $c^* = 0.50$, SiC-particle aspect ratio = 3.0, Al-island aspect ratio = 0.33.

Units on $C_{ij}$ are $10^{11}$ N/m$^2$.

* From Schreiber and Soga (26).

b We assume $C_{23} = C_{13}$.

c We assume $C_{13} = C_{23} = 0.966 C_{12}$.
For a fiber-reinforced Boron-Aluminum results of observation and predictions of the random-distribution model used in (1) and two other periodic distribution models are shown in Table 3. In the model calculations both constituents were assumed to be isotropic. For the Aluminum matrix this assumption was verified experimentally. However, for Boron fibers the elastic constants are less certain, a wide range of values being reported in the literature. The values shown in Table 3 arose from fitting a linear rule-of-mixtures to our observed $S_{33}$ value combined with Gschneider's (29) recommended values of Boron's bulk modulus. It may be noted that one can derive the properties of Boron by using the observed values and the predictions of the random distribution model. If that is done then it is found that Boron fibers are slightly anisotropic with the properties (all in units of $10^{11}$N/m$^2$):

$$k_T = 2.693, v_{LT} = 1.891, v_{TT} = 2.014$$

$$E_L = 3.72, v_{LT} = 0.13, v_{TT} = 0.121, E_T = 4.515$$

Young's modulus of cast iron has been studied experimentally in (33). Similar studies were also reported in (34,35). Fig. 5 shows this dependence using our model. Also shown in this figure are the results of various experimental studies. We made two model calculations corresponding to the properties of graphite by the lower and upper bounds (26). As seen from this figure lower bound properties of graphite give results closer to the experimental observations.

Attenuation

In this section we derive expressions for the attenuation coefficients in a medium containing a distribution of spherical inclusions with thin interface layers through which the elastic properties vary rapidly from those of the inclusions to those of the matrix. Such interface layers are often present due to processing (see for example (37,38)).

Consider a distribution of spherical inclusions of elastic properties $k_1$, $v_1$, and density $\rho_1$ embedded in a matrix material with properties $k_2$, $v_2$, and $\rho_2$. Let each inclusion be separated from the matrix by a uniform thin layer of thickness $h$ (<a>statement</a>) and variable material properties $k(r)$, $v(r)$, and $\rho(r)$. Here $r$ is the distance from the center of the sphere and $a$ is its radius. Because of the symmetry the scattered field due to a single sphere can be calculated in an exact form. For the incident field given by (14) it can be shown that, correct to $O(c^{-7})$, the scattered field is given by (3) with

$$A_{luv} = \frac{ie^2}{4} \left[ P_{iv} v_{1uv} + Q_{iv} X_{1uv} \right]$$

$$B_{luv} = \frac{i}{4} e^2 \left[ R_{iv} v_{1uv} + S_{iv} X_{1uv} \right]$$

(no sum over $\nu$)

where

$$3x_2 + 2u_2 - (3x_1 + 2u_1)(1 - \frac{h}{\alpha x_1 + 2u_1})$$

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 4u_2 + (3x_1 + 2u_1)(1 + 4h \frac{\rho_2}{\alpha x_1 + 2u_1}) \right]$$

Table 3 - Observed and Predicted Static Elastic Properties of 48% Boron Fiber/Aluminum Composite at Ambient Temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>At</th>
<th>Boron</th>
<th>Observed</th>
<th>Square Model</th>
<th>Hexagonal Model</th>
<th>Random Model</th>
<th>Full Random Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>4.116</td>
<td>1.852</td>
<td>1.856</td>
<td>1.872</td>
<td>1.790</td>
<td>1.790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C33</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>4.116</td>
<td>2.450</td>
<td>2.480</td>
<td>2.551</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>2.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C44</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C66</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.763</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a After Achenbach (30)
b After Hlavacek (31)
c After (1)

Wave velocities along the $c$-axis of oriented ellipsoidal inclusions as given by Eqs. (33) and (34) are dependent on the shape and elastic properties of the ellipsoids. From these one can derive the results for a medium with oriented ellipsoidal shaped cavities. Such is the case depicted in Fig. 3. We show calculated longitudinal wave velocity in the $x_3$ direction in Fig. 4. It is assumed that the void aspect ratio is 1:10 ($c/a$).

Results for spherical voids are also shown together with the experimentally measured velocities in the $x_3$ (stress axis) and $x_1$ directions. This figure shows that the material had some initial anisotropy due to texture which has not been taken into account in the modeling. Assuming completely oriented disc shaped voids one can estimate their aspect ratio by determining which matches the observed curves. For $v_1$ we obtain 1:1; for $v_2$ we get 1:12; thus an effective estimate is 1:9. More details of the experiment can be found in (32).
Fig. 3. Photomicrograph of polycrystalline copper containing creep cavities at grain boundaries. Reference mark equals 0.5 mm. Earlier stage shows small disconnected spherical voids.

Fig. 4. Longitudinal sound velocity, v, versus volume fraction of voids, c. Lines 1 and 3 represent velocities in directions $x_3$ and $x_1$, where $x_3$ corresponds to the tensile-stress axis and $x_1$ is perpendicular to $x_3$. Lines A, B, and C represent calculations: A for spheres, B and C for oblate spheroids (discs) with a 1:10 aspect ratio, where B corresponds to randomly oriented discs and C to discs oriented perpendicular to $x_3$.

Fig. 5. Variation of Young modulus with graphite-particle aspect ratio. Symbols represent measurements. Curves represent predictions based on present model. Predictions occur for two volume fractions: ten and twelve percent. Upper curves represent upper bounds on graphite's elastic stiffnesses; lower curves represent lower bounds.
\[ Q_0 = R_0 = S_0 = 0 \]
\[ P_1 = \frac{1}{2} Q_1 = R_1 = S_1 = \frac{1}{a} (a_1/a_2 - 1) \]
\[ P_2 = \frac{1}{3} Q_2 = 2 R_2 = \frac{2}{3} (1 - 2a_2) A \]
\[ A = 1 - u_1/u_2 + 2 \left( \frac{1}{a} u_1 - a_1 \right) + \frac{1}{a} u_1 \left( \frac{K_2}{\alpha} + \frac{u_1}{\lambda_1 + 2u_1} K_1 \right) \]
\[ B = \frac{u_1}{u_2} \left[ (8 - 10a_2) + 7 - 5a_2 - \frac{1}{a} u_1 (7 - 11a_2) - 2a_1 (5a_2 - 7) \right] \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} = 12 \frac{u_1}{a} u_1 \left( \frac{K_2}{\lambda_1 + 2u_1} K_1 \right) / \left( 2(7 - 10a_1) + \frac{u_1}{\lambda_1 + 2u_1} K_1 \right) \]
\[ \tau = \frac{k_2}{k_1}, \quad c = \frac{k_1 c_1}{k_2} \]
\[ \gamma_{mm} = (2n + 1) \frac{1}{T_1} \delta_{n0} \delta_{m0} e^{ik_1 c_1} \]
\[ \chi_{mm} = 2n + 1 \frac{1}{2} k_2 \left( \delta_{m1} - n(n + 1) \delta_{m,-1} \right) e^{ik_2 c_1} \]
\[ P_n = Q_n = R_n = S_n = 0 \quad n > 3 \]

In writing the expressions for \( A_{mm} \) and \( B_{mm} \) we followed the notation of (1) for easy comparison. It was assumed in (1) that \( k_1 = 0 \) and \( k_2 \neq 0 \). In the case considered here
\[ K_1 = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{f(a + hx)}, \quad K_2 = \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{g(a + hx)} \]
where we assumed
\[ \lambda(r) + 2u(r) = (\lambda_1 + 2u_1) f(r), \quad a < r < a + h \]
\[ u(r) = u_1 g(r), \quad a < r < a + h \]

Following the steps leading to Eqs. (24) and (25) it is then found that the effective wave speeds are given by Eqs. (24) and (25) with the \( P_v \) defined above.

The attenuation caused by scattering can also be calculated by noting that the scattering cross sections for \( P \) and \( S \) waves are
\[ \tau_p = \frac{9v_0 c}{4a^4} \left( P_0^2 + 3 (1 + 2/3) P_1^2 + 5 (1 + 3/2 + 5) P_2^2 \right) \]
\[ \tau_s = \frac{9v_0 c}{4a^4} \left( 3P_1^2 + 15/4 r_2^2 P_2^2 (1 + 3/2 + 5) \right) \]

where \( v_0 = 4\pi a^3 \). The attenuation coefficients \( \tau_p \) and \( \tau_s \) are then given by
\[ \alpha_p = \tau_p v_0, \quad \alpha_s = \tau_s v_0. \]

Note that attenuation coefficients derived here are for lossless inclusions and matrix. If there is dissipation in either of these materials then there will be additional attenuation which may be derived from (24) and (25) by assuming that \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are complex (see, for example, (41)).

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

A two-step multiple-scattering formalism has been used to predict the anisotropic elastic constants of both particle-reinforced and fiber-reinforced composite materials. Static effective properties are obtained by taking the long-wavelength limit. For both materials, the present model, involving a simple nonhomogeneous distribution of particles or fibers, both explains the elastic anisotropy and gives better absolute predictions of the elastic constants.

In addition we have presented results for phase velocities in a fiber-reinforced material with anisotropic fibers. These indicate that using modeling and observations it is possible to infer the properties of fibers which are sometimes hard to obtain. This aspect of the present study is very useful in other contexts as well, like cast iron with graphite particles.

The modeling reported here is also found to be applicable to medium with oriented or disoriented voids.

Finally, we have presented expressions for attenuation in a medium reinforced by spherical particles with interface layers.
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