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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Literature Review

Tocainide is a primary amine analog of lidocaine which, in contrast to lidocaine, can be administered both orally and intravenously. Orally administered tocainide is effective in suppressing both chronic stable ventricular arrhythmias and life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias refractory to conventional antiarrhythmic drug therapy. Intravenously administered tocainide has been used successfully in the treatment of ventricular arrhythmias after acute myocardial infarction.

Tocainide is a Class 1B antiarrhythmic agent that shortens the action potential duration and the effect refractory periods of the atria, atrioventricular node, and ventricles. It has little effect on sinus nodal automaticity or intracardiac conduction. Although PR and QRS intervals are usually unchanged, tocainide may reduce the QT interval. Cardiac hemodynamic effects are minor, even in patients with moderate left ventricular dysfunction or those also receiving a beta-adrenergic agonist.

The chemical structure of tocainide includes an asymmetric center and the drug is used clinically in the racemic form. Although the antiarrhythmic properties of the tocainide enantiomers have not been studied in man, the R-(-) enantiomer is three times more potent than the S-(+)...
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I. INTRODUCTION

A. Literature Review

Tocainide is a primary amine analog of lidocaine which, in contrast to lidocaine, can be administered both orally and intravenously. Orally administered tocainide is effective in suppressing both chronic stable ventricular arrhythmias and life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias refractory to conventional antiarrhythmic drug therapy. Intravenously administered tocainide has been used successfully in the treatment of ventricular arrhythmias after acute myocardial infarction.

Tocainide is a Class 1B antiarrhythmic agent that shortens the action potential duration and the effect refractory periods of the atria, atrioventricular node, and ventricles. It has little effect on sinus nodal automaticity or intracardiac conduction. Although PR and QRS intervals are usually unchanged, tocainide may reduce the QT interval. Cardiac hemodynamic effects are minor, even in patients with moderate left ventricular dysfunction or those also receiving a beta-adrenergic agonist.

The chemical structure of tocainide includes an asymmetric center and the drug is used clinically in the racemic form. Although the antiarrhythmic properties of the tocainide enantiomers have not been studied in man, the R-(-) enantiomer is three times more potent than the S-(+).
isomer as an antiarrhythmic agent in a mouse model and
smaller differences in antiarrhythmic activity between the
enantiomers have been demonstrated in coronary-ligated
dogs.\textsuperscript{4,6} Studies done by Sedman et al, looking at R-(-) and
S-(+), ratios over a three year period of tocainide
administration found the R:S ratio to range from 0.77 to
0.25. They also found that intrasubject variability of
enantiomer ratio was as large as the variability between
subjects and that clinical parameters such as tocainide
dosage, other medications, or degree of congestive heart
failure, renal failure, or hepatic dysfunction, did not
explain enantiomer variability.\textsuperscript{4}

The reported range for total tocainide therapeutic
concentration is 4-12 \textmu g/ml. Assuming that only one
enantiomer is active, correlation of antiarrhythmic effect
may be primarily the result of the concentration of the
R-(-) enantiomer.\textsuperscript{4} Monitoring this enantiomer's
concentration may be more beneficial than monitoring total
tocainide concentration.

B. Statement of the Problem

Tocainide is administered as a 1:1 mixture of an R-(-)
and S-(+) enantiomer. Studies in animals have shown the
R-(-) enantiomer to be three times more potent than the
S-(+) enantiomer. Only one study has looked at how R-(-)
and S-(+) concentrations change over time in man. No
studies in humans have been done to see how the enantiomer
concentrations correlate with antiarrhythmic response.

C. Purpose of the Project

This project will investigate the data collected to see if a correlation exists between the following variables:

1. Concentration of tocainide versus arrhythmia response
2. Concentration of R-(-) enantiomer versus arrhythmia response
3. Concentration of S-(+) enantiomer versus arrhythmia response
4. R:S ratio versus arrhythmia response
II. HYPOTHESIS

$H_0$: There is no correlation between concentration of tocainide or its enantiomer and arrhythmia response.

$H_a$: There is a correlation between concentration of tocainide or its enantiomers and arrhythmia response.
III. METHODOLOGY AND PROCEDURES

PATIENT IDENTIFICATION

Inclusion Criteria
1. Males and females, 18-70 years of age. Females were postmenopausal or permanently sterilized.
2. Patients exhibited on EKG six or more VPBs/minute and/or VT. VT was defined as three or more consecutive VPBs with a rate of >100 beats/minute.

Exclusion Criteria
1. Subject was in a situation or had a condition which, in the investigator's opinion, could have interfered with optimal participation in the study or produced a significant risk to the subject.
2. Patients who manifested evidence by history or physical examination of the following specific conditions:
   a. Grade II or III AV block.
   b. Sick sinus syndrome; ventricular pre-excitation; atrial flutter or fibrillation, atrial tachycardia.
   c. Patients with pacemakers.
3. Any patient who experienced arrhythmias resulting from cardiothoracic surgery.
4. Patients who had history of sensitivity to amide-class local anesthetics.
5. Patients who were on other antiarrhythmic agents (except digoxin, calcium channel blockers and beta-blocking drugs, if the indication for their use was not ventricular arrhythmias) and/or experimental or
investigational drugs.

6. Patients who exhibited evidence of alcohol or drug dependence.

7. Patients who had drug toxicity or electrolyte imbalance.

8. Any patient who had clinically significant laboratory values at entry into the study (excluding abnormal CPK, LDH, SGOT, SGPT). Table II

CONDITIONS THAT REMOVED PATIENTS FROM THE STUDY

1. Any severe adverse reaction.

2. Life-threatening arrhythmias that required institution of immediate treatment.

3. Development of any significant concurrent illness that required other therapy which may have interfered with tocainide treatment.

4. Patients who refused or failed to take prescribed medication.

5. Any other reason at the discretion of the principal investigator.

SAMPLE POPULATION

There were 15 patients, 13 males and 2 females, with chronic ventricular arrhythmias, entered into the study (Table I). Cardiac diagnoses included coronary artery disease in 10 patients, cardiomyopathy in 4 patients, valvular heart disease in 1 patient, congestive heart failure in 6 patients, and prior myocardial infarction in 6 patients. Mean age of the group was 60±8.
INVESTIGATIONAL PROCEDURES

Patients were hospitalized in the coronary care unit and baseline documentation of the arrhythmic was obtained from on-line computerized arrhythmia monitoring.

All patients received the same dose of intravenous tocainide in a 3-step method: 1) 250mg bolus over 2 minutes; 2) 500mg loading infusion over 15 minutes; and 3) a maintenance infusion of 500mg every six hours (1.39mg/min) for 48 hours. Blood samples were taken for plasma concentration determinations of tocainide and each of its enantiomers before treatment (baseline) and after 2 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, and 48 hours (Table III). Ten ml of blood was obtained in a heparinized tube for each scheduled sample time. Blood pressure, pulse rates, and respirations were monitored prior to administration of the drug and just before the times blood samples were drawn. A 12-lead EKG was performed on admission to the study and at the study conclusion.

For data analysis, responders were defined as those patients having a 75% or greater reduction in VPBs based on the frequency at admission to the study. Serum concentrations will be compared with the percent of responders and percent reduction in VPBs (Table IV).
IV. RESULTS

A summary of the results of this study are contained in Table V. The average tocainide concentration for the first hour (Graph 1) was 3.5±1.5mcg/ml and increased over the study period to an average concentration of 7.8±2.7mcg/ml at the 40th hour. Average concentration decreased over the last 8 hours of the study to 6.0±1.5mcg/ml. The average R-(-) enantiomer concentration for the first hour was 1.7±1.0mcg/ml compared to the S-(+) enantiomer concentration of 1.8±1.1mcg/ml (Graph 1). The R-(-) to S-(+) ratio at the first hour was 0.94 (Graph 2). Concentrations of the R-(-) and S-(+) enantiomers increased to 2.8±1.0mcg/ml and 5.0±0.9mcg/ml, respectively. The concentrations of the R & S enantiomers also fell over the 1st eight hours of the study period to 2.0±0.7mcg/ml and 4.0±0.3mcg/ml. R-(-) to S-(+) ratio at the end of the study was 0.50.

Responders were defined as a 75% or greater reduction in ventricular premature beats (VPB's). Thirty-eight percent of patients were responders in the first hour (Graph 3) but decreased to 31% during the second hour. During the 4th hour, 50% of the patients were responders and during the 40th hour, 62% of the patients responded to tocainide. A decrease in the number of patients responding occurred over the last eight hours of therapy but was still greater than 50%. The mean percent of responders over the 48 hour study period was 55±10%.

The average reduction in VPB's for all patients during
the first hour was 21% and increased to 35% during the second hour. Average reduction in VPB's fell during the fourth hour of therapy to 22%. The highest average reduction in total VPB's for all patients occurred 16 hours into therapy with 63% reduction in VPB's. Mean reduction in VPB's during the entire study was 44±14%.

An excellent relationship existed between total tocainide concentration and the percent of patients responding (Graph 4). For this data a correlation coefficient \( r \) of 0.84 \((p < 0.01)\) was calculated. A good relationship also existed between the total tocainide concentration and percent suppression of VPBs (Graph 5). Calculated correlation coefficient for this data was 0.74 \((p < 0.01)\).

Correlation coefficients \( r \) for the R-(-) and S-(+) enantiomers and the percent of patients responding were 0.86 \((p < 0.001)\) and 0.81 \((p < 0.01)\), respectively (Graphs 6).

The correlation coefficient \( r \), calculated to be -0.74 \((p < 0.01)\), was moderately suggestive of an inverse relationship existing between the R-(-):S-(+) ratio and the percent reduction in VPBS, i.e. as the ratio decreased the percent reduction of VPBs increased (Graph 8). At a R-(-):S-(+) ratio of 0.94 only 21% of VPBs were suppressed while at a R-(-):S-(+) ratio of 0.67 there was a 63% suppression of VPBs.
V. DISCUSSION

Quantitative analysis of VPB frequency on a large number of ambulatory electrocardiogram tapes has demonstrated that VPB frequency in any given patient can be highly variable, with wide spontaneous fluctuations in any given individual. This spontaneous variability, as demonstrated in this study by the differences in VPB frequency documented for each patient over the 48 hour study period, may obscure accurate determination of a plasma-antiarrhythmic effect relationship for any given individual patient. Construction of a plasma concentration response curve requires reconsideration of the meaning of a therapeutic plasma concentration. The antiarrhythmic effect of tocainide is not an all-or-none phenomenon, but is continuous over a range of plasma concentrations.

Winkle, et al, stated that, for a group of responders, 70% or greater suppression of VPB's was achieved when plasma concentration of tocainide was above 6.0mcg/ml, with 90% suppression of VPB's achieved at plasma concentrations greater than 10mcg/ml. This study showed that, for our group of responders, the concentration for 75% or greater reduction in VPB's could be achieved at a lower concentration in some patients. Almost 40% of our patients responded to tocainide with greater than 75% suppression of VPB's at a concentration of 3.5mcg/ml. This may suggest that some patients or arrhythmias may be more sensitive to
the effect of tocainide and may require serum drug monitoring only in those patients or arrhythmias refractory to tocainide. This data also supports the theory that one particular dose of tocainide should not be given to all patients but the lowest dose possible initiated and titrated upwards.

If we assume that for an antiarrhythmic to be efficacious it must suppress VPB's by greater than 75% in at least 50% of the patients, tocainide was effective in this study at concentrations greater than 5.3mcg/ml. This seems to correlate with the data reported by Winkle, et al. Other studies, such as those done by Morganroth, et al, defined antiarrhythmic efficacy to be 80% or greater reduction in single VPB's. Their studies reported the efficacy of tocainide occurred in 55% of 36 patients over a 24 hour study period. This data supports our study in which we also had a mean success rate of 55±10% over the 48 hour study period. Morganroth also observed complete abolition of VPB's in 38% of their patients. In our study, 33% of the patients had complete abolition of VPB's, but this response did not occur until 32 hours into the study where the mean concentration was 7.3±2.8mcg/ml. Only 14% of our patients had complete abolition of VPB's the first hour.

The purpose of this paper was not to study the individual kinetics of each of tocainide's enantiomers but to look more closely at how the R-(−) and S-(+) changed over time and the response VPB's had to that change. The R-(−)
to S-(+) ratio did decrease in all patients over the study period, but the rate of change was different between subjects. This may have been due to stereo selective differences in tocainide metabolism and/or renal excretion. Sedman, et al, stated that protein binding between the two enantiomers is essentially the same and enantiomeric differences in absorption and volume of distribution are unlikely. The differences in rate of change may have been due to disease stats such as congestive heart failure and renal or hepatic dysfunction. At the beginning of the study, tocainide was given as a 1:1 steroisomer. At one hour there was only a 5% difference in the two enantiomers but by the end of the study there was 50% more S-(+) enantiomer than R-(−) enantiomer. Sedman, et al, reported as much as four times more S-(+) enantiomer concentration over a period of three years. With the short-term data collected in this study, we can support the theory that the R-(−) enantiomer is metabolized faster but we cannot extrapolate the extent of differences in R-(−) and S-(+) enantiomer concentrations that may occur beyond this study period.

In this study there was a correlation between mean tocainide concentration and mean percent of patients responding (r=0.84, p < 0.01). Since the R-(−) and S-(+) enantiomer concentrations changed with the total tocainide concentration, a correlation also existed between response and enantiomer concentrations. Correlation coefficient (r)
for the R-(-) enantiomer was 0.86 p < 0.001) and for the
S-(+) enantiomer was 0.81 (p < 0.01). From this information
we could not conclude that efficacy was due to either of the
two enantiomers but may have just as well been due to total
tocainide concentration. However, if one looks at the mean
R-(-):S-(+) ratio and mean percent reduction in VPBs, an
inverse relationship does exist (Graph 8). Correlation
coefficient (r) for this data was -0.69 (p < 0.05),
suggesting that if we look at the R-(-):S-(+) ratio, we can
see that as the ratio declines the percent of VPB
suppression increases. The lowest ratio achieved in this
study was 0.50 and whether the ratio continues to decline
and the suppression of VPBs continues to increase cannot be
extrapolated with the data available but may warrant further
study.

Our data showed that there may be a delayed effect with
tocainide. Looking more closely at the mean change in VPB's
rather than percent of patients responding, interesting
results have been observed. At one hour mean tocainide
concentration was 3.5±2.1mcg/ml and mean percent change in
VPBs was only 21%. During the second hour concentration
decreased to 3.2±1.1mcg/ml but mean % reduction in VPB's
increased to 35%. If the theory of delayed effect holds
true, the increase in response at the second hour may be due
to the serum concentration recorded of 3.5mcg/ml during the
first hour. Looking further at the fourth hour, another
decrease in reduction of VPB's to only 22% does not
correlate with the simultaneous serum concentration increase to 3.9±1.2mcg/ml, but may correlate with the drop in serum concentration that occurred at the second hour. Overall correlation coefficient (r) for simultaneous serum concentrations and percent reduction in VPB's is 0.71 (p < 0.05) for total tocainide. Assuming that this delayed effect happens between one and four hours after a particular serum concentration is reached, and we look at the data collected every one to four hours, the correlation coefficient between tocainide concentration and percent reduction in VPB's becomes 0.90 (p < 0.05). The data points may be too small to generally state that this phenomenon occurs in all patients but requires closer observation in future studies.

Explanation for the decrease in the serum concentration from 7.8±2.7mcg/ml to 6.0±1.5mcg/ml is beyond the scope of this paper. It may have been simply because there were only five patients left in the study during the last 8 hours. Those patients who had dropped out of the study (5 non-responders and 5 no longer requiring treatment) had the higher serum concentrations which caused the mean concentration to be larger at other times in the study. All that is important is that the percent of responders and the percent reduction in VPB's decreased along with the concentration, which supports even more the theory that the serum concentration-antiarrhythmic effect relationship does exist.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

The pharmacodynamic effects of tocainide and its enantiomers were evaluated to see if a correlation existed between mean serum concentrations and percent of patients responding or percent change in VPB's. Based on the analysis of the data collected in this study, our conclusions are as follows:

1. There is a statistically significant correlation between total tocainide concentration and percent of patients responding.

2. There is a statistically significant correlation between total tocainide concentration and percent suppression of VPB's.

3. There is a statistically significant correlation between the R-(-) or S-(+) concentration and percent suppression of VPB's or percent of patients responding could be determined.

4. There is a statistically significant inverse relationship between the R-(-):S-(+) ratio and the percent suppression of VPB's.

Although the above mentioned correlations were statistically significant, arrhythmia response to tocainide may have well been due to total concentration rather than either of the enantiomers alone.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Patients (n)</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>60 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>82 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl (ml/min)</td>
<td>67 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum K⁺ (mEq/L)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cardiac Disease

- Coronary: 10
- Cardiomyopathy: 4
- Valvular: 1
- CHF: 6
- Healed MI: 6
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LABORATORY SCREEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hematology**
- Hemoglobin
- Hematocrit
- WBC (total and differential)
- Platelets (estimate)

**Blood Chemistry**
- SGPT
- SGOT
- LDH
- Alkaline Phosphatase
- Total Bilirubin
- Creatinine
- BUN
- Serum Cholesterol
- Serum Electrolytes (Na, K, Cl)

**Urinalysis**
- Creatinine
- Specific Gravity
- pH
- Protein
- Sugar
- Microscopic: RBC's
- WBC's
- Casts
- Epithelials
**TABLE III**

**TIME TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MINUTES</th>
<th>HOURS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ENTRY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital Signs</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12Lead EKG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>throughout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>study period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest xray</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Table II)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Conc</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18
TABLE IV
DATA ANALYSIS

1. SCATTER DIAGRAMS were drawn by plotting the following data:
   a. Concentration of tocainide vs. percent reduction in VPBs
   b. Concentration of tocainide vs. percent of patients responding
   c. R:S ratio vs percent supression of VPB's

2. A CORRELATION COEFFICIENT ($r$) was derived to describe the degree of relationship between each of the following variables:
   a. Concentration of tocainide and percent reduction in VPBs
   b. Concentration of R-(-) enantiomer and percent reduction in VPBs
   c. Concentration of S-(+) enantiomer and percent reduction in VPBs
   d. Concentration of tocainide and percent of patients responding
   e. Concentration of R() enantiomer and percent of patients responding
   f. Concentration S(+) enantiomer and percent of patients responding

3. From the scatter diagrams, LINEAR REGRESSION was used to fit a straight line to the scatter of points
### TABLE V

**MEAN DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUR</th>
<th>TOCAINIDE CONC (MCG/ML)</th>
<th>R(-) CONC (MCG/ML)</th>
<th>S(+) CONC (MCG/ML)</th>
<th>R/S (RATIO)</th>
<th>VP8%</th>
<th>AVG % RESPONDERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.5±2.1</td>
<td>1.7±1.0</td>
<td>1.8±1.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2±1.1</td>
<td>1.5±0.6</td>
<td>1.7±0.6</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.9±1.2</td>
<td>1.8±0.6</td>
<td>2.1±0.7</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.1±1.1</td>
<td>1.8±0.6</td>
<td>2.3±0.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.0±1.3</td>
<td>2.1±0.6</td>
<td>2.9±0.8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.5±1.6</td>
<td>2.2±0.7</td>
<td>3.3±1.0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.3±2.2</td>
<td>2.5±0.8</td>
<td>3.8±1.3</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.3±2.8</td>
<td>2.8±1.1</td>
<td>4.5±2.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.8±2.7</td>
<td>2.8±1.1</td>
<td>5.0±1.9</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>6.0±1.5</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>4.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 15
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PERCENT OF PATIENTS RESPONDING OVER STUDY PERIOD
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GRAPH 4
MEAN TOCAINIDE CONCENTRATION VS MEAN PERCENT PATIENT RESPONDING
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GRAPH 5
MEAN TOCAINIDE CONCENTRATION VS MEAN PERCENT SUPPRESSION OF VPBS
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GRAPH 6
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GRAPH 7
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GRAPH 8
MEAN R-(-):S-(+) RATIO VS MEAN PERCENT SUPPRESSION OF VPBS

R-(-):S-(+) Ratio

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

% suppression
REFERENCES


12. Winkle RA, Mason JW, Harrison DC. Tocainide for drug-
resistant ventricular arrhythmias: Efficacy, side
effects and lidocaine responsiveness for predicting

13. Horn HR, Hadidian Z, Johnson JL, Vassallo HG, Williams
JH, Young MD. Safety evaluation of tocainide in the
American Emergency Use Program. Am Heart J
1980;100(6):1037-1040.
END

10-86

DTIC