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**ABSTRACT:**
This report summarizes our third year of research into transition socialization effectiveness. The center piece of this research has been a longitudinal study of the adjustment of the 143 NCOs to a geographical transfer (TRs 4, 5, 7, and 9). This report summarizes the study and related work, then presents recommendations for facilitating transfer adjustment. These suggestions come from both the NCO research and a study of a Marine Corps unit rotation to Okinawa, completed during the first two years of this project.
This research program, initiated in October 1980, deals with the processes by which individuals are successfully (or unsuccessfully) socialized during their transition across various organizational boundaries. The transitions of particular interest are entry into the organization and transfer to a new position, unit, and/or location. These transitions are endemic to formal organizations, including the military.

The original proposal suggested a three-year research program. This report summarizes the third and final year of this program. A list of technical reports issued during the third year is contained in Table 1.

**Introduction**

Organizations continuously bring in new recruits or employees and move employees to new positions, units, and/or locations. With these transitions comes the need for the individual to learn and adapt to the new role requirements. The organization must provide means for communicating and reinforcing the role requirements and, within limits, adapting to the new member. This learning-communicating-adapting process is what is meant by the socialization process.

To the extent the socialization process is successful, individuals learn their new role, perform effectively in it, and within limits, may even modify the role to the mutual advantage of individual and organization (Van Maanen & Schein, 1979). To the extent the socialization process is not successful, individuals may behave in unacceptable ways, reject the organization and leave, or withdraw psychologically (Manous, 1980). The lack of effective role behavior and excessive turnover in some organizations may well be linked to inadequacies in the socialization process. Given this premise, it becomes important for
This report describes a study of transfer socialization processes in a sample of 91 U.S. Marines transferred from Camp Pendleton, California to Camp Hansen, Okinawa in November, 1981. Using data collected both at Camp Pendleton and Camp Hansen, an attempt was made to develop a predictive model of transfer adjustment. Data concerning four major indices of transfer adjustment were obtained: (1) organizational commitment, (2) the use of positive and negative coping behaviors while in Okinawa, (3) attitude toward social and environmental aspects of life in Okinawa, and (4) self-ratings of overall Okinawa adjustment. Predictors used in the model were: (1) pre-transfer expectations concerning Okinawa and the amount and perceived accuracy of information received prior to transfer, (2) pre-transfer satisfaction and commitment, (3) personal and demographic characteristics including past life experiences, length of service, education, and ability, (4) attitudes of significant others, and (5) pre-transfer behavioral coping styles. Results indicated the existence of a "personal adjustment style" which may have influenced transfer adjustment. Results also indicated a potentially strong effect upon transfer adjustment processes of the "unit rotation" procedures now used by the U.S. Marine Corps. Implications of the results are discussed along with suggestions for future research.

This study assessed the effects of socially-provided task information, the credibility of the influence agents and the amount of agreement between the influence agents on the task perceptions and satisfaction of individuals learning a new job. A 2X2X2 factorial design was utilized, with a sample of 174 undergraduate females. The levels of the three independent variables were: (1) positive versus negative social cues, (2) high versus low model credibility, and (3) unanimous versus deviant cue presentation. Results indicated no effect of social cues upon either perceptions or satisfaction, although differences were in the predicted direction for perceptions. A significant social cues X cue unanimity interaction was found for the overall satisfaction measure, albeit in a manner contrary to that anticipated. While model group credibility had no appreciable effect on the dependent measures, social cues had significant effects on both quantitative and qualitative indices of performance. All results are discussed in terms of prior research, and implications for future research are considered.
Expatriates in Turkey were interviewed about success, selection, training, compensation, and expectations-satisfaction-adjustment. Success of an expatriate was defined as effective performance of the assignment, personal satisfaction, and adjustment to local conditions. Some important determinants of expatriate success were identified and a model proposed.

This report presents the results of a study of U.S. Air Force personnel who had been notified that they would be making a Permanent Change of Station (PCS). Participants were 143 N.C.O.'s (staff sergeant through chief master sergeant) at seven USAF bases in three states. Data were collected concerning their attitude toward the upcoming PCS as well as eight major independent variables that were thought to predict PCS attitude. The eight independent variables were: (1) the relative similarity/dissimilarity between their present and future assignments in terms of climate, city size, culture, job duties, and level of job responsibility; (2) the frequency of past transfers; (3) the extent to which the individual saw the new assignment as a career advance; (4) the attractiveness of the new assignment; (5) the attractiveness of the present assignment; (6) the extent to which the individual had adjusted to previous transfers successfully; (7) the amount of notice time given prior to the transfer; and (8) the ratio of preferred assignments to total career PCS's. All data were collected using a survey/interview format. Five of the eight independent variables correlated significantly and in the predicted direction with PCS attitude. Regression analyses using data on the independent variables to predict PCS attitude yielded a multiple correlation of R=.68 (R squared = .46).

Survey and interview data were collected from a sample of 143 NCO's who had recently been notified that they would be transferred to a new assignment. Quantitative and qualitative data were collected concerning six research questions: (1) what factors relevant to transfer situations are predictive of career intentions, intent to reenlist, overall USAF satisfaction and attitude toward future moves; (2) what factors affect the "adjustment time - profitable time" ratio in job transfer situations; (3) are individuals given sufficient notice of transfers to allow adequate preparation; (4) what factors were cited as affecting attitude toward transfers and subsequent transfer adjustment; (5) what job-related factors affect attitude towards transfers; and (6) how do pre-transfer expectations affect transfer attitudes and what are the sources of those expectations. Correlation, regression and various qualitative data analysis techniques were used to answer these questions. Implications for transfer policies and future research needs are discussed.
The existence of a naturally occurring event (the selection of students for an honors program) permitted a test of cognitive dissonance theory through falsification. Affective reactions to confirmed and disconfirmed expectations were measured using both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Support for dissonance reduction through affective displacement is discovered but the results raise questions about the predictive ability of cognitive dissonance theory. Methodological issues and attributionally based extensions to dissonance theory are discussed.

143 NCO’s who had been notified of an upcoming Permanent Change of Station (PCS) were interviewed prior to moving. Three months after moving, 99 of these individuals returned follow-up questionnaires. Hypotheses were tested concerning premove, move, and post move determinants of post move adjustments to both the job and the location. Adjustment was found to be predicted by pre-move attitude toward the move, adjustment and satisfaction with previous moves, number of dependents, unmet expectations, perceived job advancement, and amount of information about the new location received prior to moving.

A utility analysis suggests that the performance-satisfaction relationship is curvilinear. Total utility (satisfaction) is expected to rise as performance increases, but at a diminishing rate. After some point total utility will decline with increases in performance, as the marginal utility associated with the last unit of performance becomes negative. This decline in satisfaction occurs because of the satiation of both intrinsic and extrinsic needs, and because the utility of leisure increases as it becomes increasingly scarce when more time and effort is devoted to work performance. Thus, satisfaction and performance may be negatively related for high to very high levels of performance. Implications for encouraging and maintaining performance at the margin are presented.

143 NCO’s who had been notified of an upcoming Permanent Change of Station (PCS) were interviewed prior to moving. Three months after moving, 99 of these individuals returned follow-up questionnaires. This report contains analyses of responses to eight open-ended questions asked on the post move survey. Responses are compared to pre-move answers to the same questions. In addition, the impact of pre and post move job similarity on time to adjust to social and technical aspects of the job was explored. Following a move to a dissimilar job, time to adjust to the job was more than twice as long as following a transfer to a similar job.
organizations, be they military, private sector, or public sector, to have a
good understanding of the socialization process and the mechanisms available for
facilitating successful socialization. While the organizational and behavioral
sciences have provided a good foundation for understanding socialization
processes in organizations (e.g., Graen, 1976; Louis, 1980; Wancus, 1980), a
great deal remains to be learned about such processes (Fisher & Weekley, 1982).

The objective of this research program was to further contribute to the body
of knowledge on socialization processes by focusing on transitions, particularly
intraorganizational transfers. To this end, we have conducted two major
longitudinal studies of transfer adjustment, and numerous other studies of
related processes. The transfer research is described in the next section of
this report. A third section includes summary findings and recommendations for
facilitating transfer adjustment. The final section lists the researchers'
other activities during the contract period.

Transfer Transition Research

Our work on socialization and adjustment following transfer had four
components. The first was a literature review, followed by two longitudinal
studies of actual transfers and one cross-sectional study of recently
transferred expatriate employees.

Literature Review. A literature review focusing specifically on
geographical transfers was prepared during the first part of the project
(Transfer Transitions, Fisher, Wilkins, & Eulberg, February 1983). Foreign and
domestic transfers were discussed from the point of view of both the
organization and the employee. The primary finding of this review was that very
little is known about individual and family adjustment to organization sponsored
moves, and that a great deal of research is still needed. Past research was especially lacking in the area of employee adjustment to a new job within the same organization.

U.S. Marine Corps Unit Rotation Study. A longitudinal study of military transition effectiveness was begun in August 1981 with Marine Corps personnel. Major issues addressed in the study were (a) sources of information about new assignments, (b) the impact of realistic expectations on subsequent socialization and performance, and (c) specifications of effective and ineffective coping behaviors.

Preliminary interviews with personnel recently returned from unit or individual rotation to Okinawa were conducted at Camp Pendleton in August, 1981. These interviews were used to develop and refine the questionnaires and interview guide used in later data collection. In October, of 1981, eighty-eight individuals who were about to be rotated to Okinawa completed questionnaires and were interviewed. Follow-up interviews were conducted with seventy-three of these people in May of 1982 during the last month of their stay in Okinawa. Results of this research are contained in TR-ONR-9 of contract N00014-81-K-0036 and TR-ONR-1 of contract N00014-83-K-0388.

Turkish Expatriate Adjustment Study. The transition of expatriate employees to firms in Turkey was studied by one of our Turkish Ph.D. students during the summer of 1982. Although this study was not directly funded by the current contract, the conceptual basis for the study comes from our ongoing work on transition socialization and the results are relevant to our research goals.

The study involved in-depth structured interviews with 28 managerial and technical employees of non-Turkish firms who were stationed in Turkey. Expatriates were interviewed about success, selection, training, compensation, expectations, satisfaction, and adjustment. Success on a foreign assignment was
defined by interviewees as effective performance of the assignment, personal satisfaction, and adjustment to local conditions. While all interviewees felt reasonably successful in adjusting to their new job and location, they were able to pinpoint factors which tended to hinder adjustment. These were: (1) family related problems, either adjustment and schooling problems when families were present, or problems stemming from separation when the family had not accompanied the employee, 2) problems with governmental and organizational bureaucracy, 3) language and communication barriers, and 4) lack of recreation and entertainment. Interestingly, Marines transferred to Okinawa mentioned many of the same factors.

Although the sample size was small, simple correlations analysis were conducted and a very preliminary model of the contributors to expatriate adjustment was developed. A complete report of this research is contained in TR-ONR-3.

Individual Transfer of NCOs Study. The Marine Corps Study had focused on the adjustment of predominantly lower ranking (E4 and below), single, first term personnel to a unit rotation overseas. In order to learn more about transfer, we undertook a second longitudinal study utilizing quite different people -- Senior NCOs (E5 and above) making individual transfers. Most of the NCOs were married, and some were being transferred overseas, while others were making domestic moves. The sample included 143 individuals from bases in Texas, Louisiana, and Arkansas who were scheduled to change duty stations between June 1983 and November 1983. An effort was made to select individuals who had a history of either high (6 or more moves) or low (3 or fewer moves) rotation frequency. All individuals were administered a questionnaire and an interview prior to moving, in May 1983. A follow-up questionnaire was mailed to each participant three months after arrival at the new location. The study had two
aims: 1) to develop a model of the individual and situational determinants of reluctance to move, and 2) to develop a model of the individual and situation predictors of adjustment following transfer. The role of previous transfer frequency was investigated as a part of both models. Results of the premove interviews are contained in TR-ONR-4 and TR-ONR-5. Full longitudinal results appear in TR-ONR-7 and TR-ONR-9.

Transfer Adjustment: Conclusions and Recommendations

Two of the major studies conducted as part of our ONR research grant were the longitudinal studies of transfers in the U.S. Marine Corps and U.S. Air Force. The specific focus of each study was somewhat different as were the samples involved and the type of transfer. In the case of the U.S. Marine sample, the transfer studied was a unit transfer of an entire battalion of Marines from California to Okinawa. In the U.S. Air Force sample, NCOs were making individual transfers to a variety of U.S. and overseas locations. Some of what we learned about transfers from these two investigations is unique to the particular study. Other things were found to be consistent in both research locations.

Expectations. In both the USMC and USAF studies one of the more striking findings was the role that expectations played in determining attitudes about the transfer (both pre and post move). Much of the literature on expectations suggests that the realism of expectations plays a major role in subsequent attitudes and behaviors. Our studies did not support the realism hypothesis. In the USAF sample measures of pre-move attitudes and expectations were more predictive of post-move attitudes and behavior than were several measures of "surprise" (the difference between expectations and the actual reality of the
At least in our samples, it may be more fruitful to set positive attitudes about the transfer situation than to ensure an absolutely correct picture of the transfer location and duties. In other words, we suggest creating a positive self-fulfilling prophecy. For instance, in the Marine study, it may have been that Marines who had been shown the positive aspects of living in Okinawa were more likely to seek out these positive aspects and benefit from them. For example, a marine who was told that there are many beautiful beaches in Okinawa may have, upon arrival, sought out those beaches (and enjoyed them) more actively than a Marine who was told that there are many beautiful beaches, but (realistically) they were difficult to get to.

**Reluctance.** Reluctance to move was a major dependent variable in the NCO study. Using five independent variables, we were able to predict 46% of the variance in reluctance to make the upcoming move. These variables were: expected match of new location to one's "ideal" location, match of present location to ideal location, ratio of preferred assignment locations to total moves, expected satisfaction with the new location, and number of weeks it had taken to adjust to the present assignment. If the new location was expected to be near ideal and/or satisfying, people were less reluctant to move. However, if their present assignment was near ideal, they were reluctant to leave it. If individuals had taken a long time to adjust to their present location, they were reluctant to leave it and face another potentially long adjustment period. Finally, people were less reluctant to move if throughout their military careers they had received an equitable number of preferred locations. Those that had received a high percentage of non-preferred locations tended to develop poor attitudes toward moving.

Data from open ended questions shed more light on the determinants of reluctance to move. NCOs reported that they were reluctant to move because of a
loss of stability in the family, loss of friends and valued activities, financial losses, and because they did not expect to like the new location or job assignment. On the other hand, people were eager to move in order to experience adventure and see the world, to get a better , to gain new friends or regain old friends, and to improve their living standard.

Reluctance to move measured prior to the move was a significant predictor of several outcomes following the move. Specifically, individuals who were more eager to move subsequently experienced less role ambiguity and greater job and assignment satisfaction. They also adjusted more quickly and easily to the new assignment.

Demographic/personality characteristics. In both samples our interviews indicated that there seemed to be some individuals who were "good movers." They could be transferred anywhere, to any place, with any amount of notice, any number of times, and would find some way to enjoy and adjust to the transfer. Extensive personality and demographic data were not collected in either the USAF or USMC studies. The nature of the personal/demographic differences between easy movers and difficult movers was not obvious. It certainly was not as simple as married vs. single or children vs. no children. Research to identify personality and demographic factors which predict transfer attitude and adjustment would be very useful for both military and non-military organizations. The selection of individuals into high transfer frequency jobs based upon some set of personal/demographic variables could be a very effective means of reducing the negative consequences often associated with transfers in organizations. Interestingly, the number of previous moves had no impact on attitudes toward an upcoming move or adjustment following the move.

Information. In both the USAF and USMC studies, the providing of adequate amounts of pre-transfer information was important. However, information prior
to transfer seemed to act in a way that is similar to Herzberg's (Herzberg et al. 1959) idea of a hygiene factor. That is, if adequate information was not provided, this caused considerable dissatisfaction among transferees prior to the move. Amount of information in general did not, however, seem to be a critical factor in determining post-transfer attitude and adjustment. A caution on the previous sentence is warranted. Particularly in the USAF sample, individual interviews indicated that information on housing and the financial costs of the move was extremely important in making the move go smoothly.

Another aspect of information is the source of the information. Both the USAF and USMC samples indicated that the more direct the source of the information was to the transfer location, the better. USAF personnel gave particularly high marks to programs which allowed the individual to visit the transfer site prior to the move. Books, manuals and other publications were less likely to be rated as accurate in their description of the transfer location and were also less likely to be attended to regardless of perceived accuracy.

Unit rotation. In our USMC study we were able to watch a transfer process that was somewhat unique, i.e. unit transfers. In this unit transfer, one striking feature was the consistency of norms and subsequent norm related behavior during the transfer process. One argument for unit transfers is that by moving an intact group of individuals, one is able to maintain the social structure of the group and thus make transfers less threatening to individuals. Our data certainly supported the idea that unit transfers help to maintain group social structure. However, we also noted that groups which had negative group norms (i.e. norms detrimental to the overall goals of the USMC) maintained these negative behavioral patterns in the new location. Thus, unit transfers are effective to the extent that positive group behaviors exist prior to the
transfer. Otherwise, unit transfers simply transport existing problems to a new location.

**Job Factors.** A final major finding of our studies was the importance that the job played in determining the success of a transfer. Data most directly related to job factors and transfers came from the USAF study. Two important findings stand out. First was the high relationship between transfer attitudes and the extent to which a transfer was perceived as providing the individual with a better, higher status job. The benefits of a job advancement helped considerably to offset many of the other costs associated with transfers.

A second finding in our USAF study was the role that job similarity played in determining transfer adjustment. Individuals moving into very different jobs required approximately twice as long to adjust to the new job as did individuals moving into similar jobs. This represents a considerable loss of productivity for the organization, particularly when individuals are transferred frequently.

**Some Recommendations**

From our USMC and USAF studies a number of recommendations concerning transfer processes can be made. Some of these recommendations relate to more research that needs to be done while other are more direct in their application.

1. When possible, fairly positive expectations about the new location should be established.

2. Development of procedures to identify individuals who are "easy movers" should be encouraged and utilized in placing personnel in jobs which require frequent transfers.

3. Programs which allow individuals to visit transfer locations prior to the move should be encouraged and strengthened where they already exist. Information about transfer locations should be provided to the individual about to move by sources who are presently at or recently have been at the transfer site.
4. Unit transfers should be conducted only if productive norms already exist within the unit. Otherwise existing problems are simply transferred intact to a new location. If productive norms do not exist in a unit, a transfer of individuals may well serve to "unfreeze" negative aspects of behavior and provide the opportunity to develop more positive behavioral patterns.

5. Particularly in the case of individual transfers, efforts should be made to encourage the perception (and where possible the reality) of a transfer as a job advancement.

6. Job similarity should be taken into account in transfer situations. When moving individuals into dissimilar jobs additional efforts at pre-transfer job-related training should be made so that adjustment to the new job will be enhanced. Also, individuals should be left in dissimilar job transfer sites longer than when they move to similar jobs. This allows for the overall "percent of time productive" in a location to be kept reasonably comparable across transfers.

Other Research Activities

A list of the publications and presentations of the three principal investigators during the contract period can be found in Table 2. Masters theses supported directly or indirectly during this period are also listed.

In our discussions with Marine Corps representatives during the third year, we found that performance appraisal and feedback had become much more pressing subjects than socialization. Thus, we shifted our emphasis somewhat to provide advice and developmental research related to a proposed new Fitness Reporting System. We prepared three in-house reports for MMPE on these issues (see Table
2) and initiated a three phase study to develop prototype anchored rating scales for the proposed new system. This study will be completed in the Fall of 1985 under contract N00014-85-K-0289, "Applied research on performance feedback and appraisal systems: Development, implementation, and evaluation." We have also been involved in advising NICE with regard to a new feedback and goal setting program. Most recently, we have provided detailed suggestions for training program development on the subject of performance counseling. This work will continue over the next two to three years.
Table 2
Activities of Investigators During Grant Period

JOURNAL ARTICLES


BOOKS AND BOOK CHAPTERS


PRESENTATIONS


IN-HOUSE REPORTS FOR MMPE

Suggestions for P.E.R. modifications and development.

Analysis of fitness report data and implications for the new P.E.R.

Results of a study on various formats for section C.

MASTERS THESIS


LIST 1
MANDATORY

Defense Technical Information Center
ATTN: DTIC DDA-2
Selection and Preliminary Cataloging Section
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

Library of Congress
Science and Technology Division
Washington, D.C. 20540

Office of Naval Research
Code 4420E
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Naval Research Laboratory
Code 2627
Washington, D.C. 20375

Office of Naval Research
Director, Technology Programs
Code 200
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Psychologist
Office of Naval Research
Detachment, Pasadena
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 91106
Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)
Head, Research, Development, and
Studies Branch (OP-01B7)
1812 Arlington Annex
Washington, DC 20350

Director
Civilian Personnel Division (OP-14)
Department of the Navy
1803 Arlington Annex
Washington, DC 20350

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)
Director, Human Resource Management Division
(OP-15)
Department of the Navy
Washington, DC 20350

Chief of Naval Operations
Head, Manpower, Personnel, Training
and Reserves Team (Op-964D)
The Pentagon, 4A478
Washington, DC 20350

Chief of Naval Operations
Assistant, Personnel Logistics
Planning (Op-987H)
The Pentagon, 5D772
Washington, DC 20350
LIST 3
NAVMAT & NPRDC

NAVMAT

Program Administrator for Manpower, Personnel, and Training
MAT-0722
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Naval Material Command
Management Training Center
NAVMAT 09M32
Jefferson Plaza, Bldg #2, Rm 150
1421 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 20360

Naval Material Command
Director, Productivity Management Office
MAT-O0K
Crystal Plaza #5
Room 632
Washington, DC 20360

Naval Material Command
Deputy Chief of Naval Material, MAT-03
Crystal Plaza #5
Room 236
Washington, DC 20360

Naval Personnel R&D Center
Technical Director
Director, Manpower & Personnel Laboratory, Code 06
Director, System Laboratory, Code 07
Director, Future Technology, Code 41
San Diego, CA 92152

Navy Personnel R&D Center
Washington Liaison Office
Ballston Tower #3, Room 93
Arlington, VA 22217
LIST 6
HRM

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Air Station
Alameda, CA 94591

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Training Center
San Diego, CA 92133

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Submarine Base New London
P.O. Box 81
Groton, CT 06349

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Air Station
Mayport, FL 32228

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Pearl Harbor, HI 96860

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Base (Bldg. NH-46)
Charleston, SC 29408

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Air Station Memphis
Millington, TN 38054

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
1300 Wilson Boulevard, rm 114AB
Arlington, VA 22209
Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
5621-23 Tidewater Drive
Norfolk, VA 23509

Commander
Organizational Effectiveness Center
5621 Tidewater Drive
Norfolk, VA 23509

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Naval Air Station Whidbey Island
Oak Harbor, WA 98278

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Box 23
FPO New York 09510

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Box 41
FPO New York 09540

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center
Box 60
FPO San Francisco 96651

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness System, Pacific
Pearl Harbor, HI 96860

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness System, Atlantic
5621 Tidewater Drive
Norfolk, VA 23509

Commanding Officer
U.S. Navy Organizational Effectiveness System, Europe
FPO New York 09510

Commanding Officer
U.S. Navy Organizational Effectiveness Center
Box 4
FPO Seattle 98762
LIST 7
NAVY MISCELLANEOUS

Naval Military Personnel Command (2 copies)
HRM Department (NMPC-6)
Washington, DC 20350

LIST 8
USMC

Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps
Code MPI-20
Washington, DC 20380

LIST 9
OTHER FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

Chief, Psychological Research Branch
U.S. Coast Guard (G-P-1/2/TP42)
Washington, D.C. 20593

Douglas B. Blackburn, Director
National Defense University
Mobilization Concepts Development Center
Washington, D.C. 20319

Dr. Earl Potter
U.S. Coast Guard Academy
New London, CT 06320
LIST 10
ARMY

Headquarters, FORSCOM
ATTN: AFFR-HR
Ft. McPherson, GA 30330

Commanding Officer
Organizational Effectiveness Center & School
Fort Ord, CA 93941

Technical Director
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Head, Department of Behavioral Science and Leadership
U.S. Military Academy, New York 10996

Walter Reed Army Medical Center
W. R. Army Institute of Research
Division of Neuropsychiatry
Forest Glen
Washington, D.C. 20012

Army Military Personnel Command
Attn: DAPC-OE
200 Stovall Street
Alexandria, VA 22322

LIST 11
AIR FORCE

Air University Library
LSE 76-447
Maxwell AFB, AL 36112

Head, Department of Behavioral Science and Leadership
U.S. Air Force Academy, CO 80840

MAJ Robert Gregory
USAFA/DFBL
U.S. Air Force Academy, CO 80840
Sequential by Principal Investigator

LIST 13
CURRENT CONTRACTORS

Dr. Clayton P. Alderfer
Yale University
School of Organization and Management
New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Dr. Janet L. Barnes-Farrell
Department of Psychology
University of Hawaii
2430 Campus Road
Honolulu, HI 96822

Dr. Jomills Braddock
John Hopkins University
Center for the Social Organization of Schools
3505 N. Charles Street
Baltimore, MD 21218

Dr. Sara Yogev
Northwestern University
Graduate School of Management
2001 Sheridan Road
Evanston, IL 60201

Dr. Terry Connolly
University of Arizona
Department of Psychology, Rm. 312
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Richard Daft
Texas A&M University
Department of Management
College Station, TX 77843

Dr. Randy Dunham
University of Wisconsin
Graduate School of Business
Madison, WI 53706
List 13 (continued)

Dr. J. Richard Hackman
School of Organization
and Management
Box 1A, Yale University
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Wayne Holder
American Humane Association
P.O. Box 126
Denver, CO 80201

Dr. Daniel Ilgen
Department of Psychology
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824

Dr. David Johnson
Professor, Educational Psychology
178 Pillsbury Drive, S.E.
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Dan Landis
The University of Mississippi
College of Liberal Arts
University, MS 38677

Dr. Frank J. Landy
The Pennsylvania State University
Department of Psychology
417 Bruce V. Moore Building
University Park, PA 16802

Dr. Bibb Latane
The University of North Carolina
at Chapel Hill
Manning Hall 026A
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Dr. Cynthia D. Fisher
College of Business Administration
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843
Dr. Thomas M. Ostrom  
The Ohio State University  
Department of Psychology  
116E Stadium  
404C West 17th Avenue  
Columbus, OH 43210

Dr. William G. Ouchi  
University of California,  
Los Angeles  
Graduate School of Management  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Robert Rice  
State University of New York at Buffalo  
Department of Psychology  
Buffalo, NY 14226

Dr. Benjamin Schneider  
Department of Psychology  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko  
Program Director, Manpower Research  
and Advisory Services  
Smithsonian Institution  
801 N. Pitt Street, Suite 120  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Eliot Smith  
Psychology Department  
Purdue University  
West Lafayette, IN 47907

Dr. Barbara Saboda  
Public Applied Systems Division  
Westinghouse Electric Corporation  
P.O. Box 866  
Columbia, MD 21044

Dr. Harry C. Triandis  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61820