AD-A145 715 WEAK SHOCKS AND STEADY WAVES IN A NONLINEAR ELASTIC ROD OR GRANULAR MATER..(U) ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER ABERDEEN PROVIN.. T W WRIGHT AUG 84 1/1

UNCLASSIFIED ARBRL-TR-02584 SBI-AD-F300 462 F/G 20/11 NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(12)

AD / 300462

TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02584

AD-A145 715

WEAK SHOCKS AND STEADY WAVES IN A NONLINEAR ELASTIC ROD OR GRANULAR MATERIAL

Thomas W. Wright

August 1984

US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

DTIC FILE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 13 1984
S D
E

84 09 05 021

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER
TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02584

2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.
ADA145715

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER

4. TITLE (and Subtitle)
WEAK SHOCKS AND STEADY WAVES IN A NONLINEAR ELASTIC ROD OR GRANULAR MATERIAL

5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Final

6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER

7. AUTHOR(s)
Thomas W. Wright

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-TBD
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1L161102AH43

11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

12. REPORT DATE
August 1984

13. NUMBER OF PAGES
33

14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)

15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
UNCLASSIFIED

15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)
Rods
Granular Material
Shocks
Steady Waves

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)
Theories for a nonlinear elastic rod and granular material are shown to be identical. Both cases admit shocks of two different types. Both also support steady waves, which may be either periodic or solitary waves.

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	5
I.	INTRODUCTION AND EQUATION OF MOTION	7
II.	ONE DIMENSIONAL SHOCKS	8
III.	WEAK SHOCK WAVES	10
IV.	SHOCK STABILITY	13
V.	STEADY WAVES	15
VI.	SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	21
	REFERENCES	25
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	27

Accession For
NTIS GRA&I ☒
DTIC TAB ☐
Unannounced ☐
Justification

By
Distribution/
Availability Codes
Dist | Avail and/or Special
A-1

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1.	a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in q vs. amplitude for quasi-longitudinal shocks. The solid and interrupted lines show allowed shocks for $q^+ = 0$ and $q^+ \neq 0$ respectively. The dashed and dotted lines show forbidden shocks for the same cases	14
2.	a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 < 0, V_4^2 > 0$	16
3.	a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 \geq 0, V_4^2 > 0$	17
4.	a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 > 0, V_4^2 < 0$	18
5.	a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 \leq 0, V_4^2 < 0$	19
6.	a) Sketch of g(u) vs. u for $g(0) = 0$, $g_{uu} > 0$ and $g_{uuu} < 0$, and b) Solitary wave corresponding to 6a).	22
7.	a) Sketch of g(u) vs. u for $g_u = 0$, $g_{uu} < 0$ and $g_{uuu} < 0$, and b) Periodic wave corresponding to 7a).	23

I. INTRODUCTION AND EQUATIONS OF MOTION

A one dimensional continuum with one strain variable and one internal variable may be used to model either a long slender rod or longitudinal deformation in a granular material.[1,2] In a rod the strain is the usual axial strain, and in a granular material it is the overall longitudinal strain. The internal variable measures radial strain in the rod and introduces radial forces and shears into the equations of motion. In the granular material it measures the volume fraction of solid material and introduces forces associated with the symmetrical expansion or collapse of pores. The internal variable also gives rise to an extra inertial effect, which in the rod is due to radial motion and in the granular material is due to pore dilation.

The equations that govern these two cases may be set down side by side for comparison. Only a purely mechanical version of either theory will be considered.

	Rod	Granular Material	
Linear Momentum	$S' = \rho\ddot{w}$	$\sigma' = \rho\dot{v}$	(1)
Micromomentum	$Q' - P = \frac{1}{2}\rho a^2\ddot{u}$,	$h' + g = \rho k\ddot{\nu}$	(2)
Kinematics	$z = Z + w$	v = velocity	(3)
	$\varepsilon = w'$, $v = \dot{w}$	ε = strain	
	$r = R(1+u)$	ν = volume fraction of granules	
	$q = u'$	$q = \nu'$	
Stored Energy	$W(u,q,\varepsilon)$	$e(\nu,\nu',\varepsilon)$	(4)
Stress Potentials	$S = W_\varepsilon$	$\sigma = e_\varepsilon$	(5)
	$Q = W_q$	$h = e_{\nu'}$	
	$P = W_u$	$g = -e_\nu$	

[1] *T. W. Wright, "Nonlinear Waves in Rods," in Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on Finite Elasticity, D. E. Carlson and R. T. Shield, eds., Martinus Nijhoff (1981).*

[2] *J. W. Nunziato and S. C. Cowin, "A Nonlinear Theory of Elastic Materials with Voids," Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 72, 175 (1979).*

Here Z and t are independent variables for space and time, the prime and dot denote partial differentiation in the usual way, and subscripts denote differentiation with respect to the arguments of the function. In both cases the stored energy is an even function of its second argument. This latter fact has a profound effect on the types of waves that can occur.

Clearly the two continuum models are mathematically identical even though the physical interpretation of the various terms is dissimilar. In the rod the material point with cylindrical coordinates R,Z is located instantaneously in space at r,z so the independent kinematic variables are the axial displacement w and the radial strain u, which are assumed to depend only on Z,t. In the granular material v and ε correspond exactly to $\dot{w}$ and w', and the volume fraction ν plays the same role that u does for the rod. In the rod ρ is the reference density, and a is the initial radius. In the granular material ε is also the reference density, but of the bulk material, not just the granules, and k is called the equilibrated inertia, which gives rise to an extra component of kinetic energy due to the local effects of pore dilation. In the rod S is the axial engineering stress, P is the sum of the average radial and circumferential stresses, and Q is the average radial moment of radial shear stress. In the granular material σ is the longitudinal stress, g is the intrinsic equilibrated body force, and h is the equilibrated stress. For further details the reader is referred to the original papers[1,2] but since all stresses are derived from similar potentials, it is evident that, except for the signs of P and g, the analogy between the elastic rod and the granular material is complete.

On the basis of this analogy alone an important fact emerges. Since it is clear on physical grounds that the radius a is the natural length scale for all motions of the rod, the quantity $\sqrt{2k}$ is the natural length scale for all motions in the granular material. The remainder of this paper will use terminology appropriate for the rod model, but naturally all results will apply equally well to the granular material.

II. ONE DIMENSIONAL SHOCKS

The integral form of a general one dimensional conservation law may be written as follows.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} f\,(Z,t)\,dZ = [fG]_{a(t)}^{b(t)} + [m]_{a(t)}^{b(t)} + \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} s\,dZ \quad . \tag{6}$$

The end of points a(t) and b(t) are allowed to move arbitrarily with respect to the material, f(Z,t) is the conserved quantity, $[\cdot]$ signifies the difference of the bracketed quantity between end points, G is the speed of the end point relative to the material, m represents the flux of f added at the end points, and s is the interior supply of f. In words the equation simply says that the rate of change of some field quantity within an arbitrary interval is equal to the sum of convective transport through the ends of the interval, the

flux of f at the ends, and the supply of f throughout the interior of the interval. In the usual way, it is postulated that this equation holds even if there is a discontinuity in the field variables, say at a moving point with position c(t) in the interior of the interval. Consequently, as is well known, the integral form of the balance law yields both the differential form and the jump conditions for shock waves.

$$\dot{f} = m' + s \tag{7}$$

$$V\,[f] + [m] = 0 \tag{8}$$

where $V = \dot{c}(t)$ is the shock speed and $[\cdot] = (\cdot)^- - (\cdot)^+$ is the jump across c(t).

Since it is reasonable to assume that the rod diameter cannot change abruptly, u must be continuous. Conservation of mass yields nothing new, and conservation of linear momentum with $f = \rho v$ and $m = S$ gives the familiar jump condition

$$\rho V\,[v] + [S] = 0\ , \tag{9}$$

but conservation of micromomentum with $f = 1/2\ \rho a^2 \dot{u}$ and $m = Q$ yields an unfamiliar jump condition

$$1/2\ \rho a^2\ V\,[\dot{u}] + [Q] = 0. \tag{10}$$

With the required compatibility conditions added

$$[v] + V\,[\varepsilon] = 0\ ,\ [\dot{u}] + V\,[q] = 0 \tag{11}$$

the jump conditions become

$$\rho V^2 = \frac{[S]}{[\varepsilon]} \quad \text{and} \quad 1/2\ \rho a^2\ V^2 = \frac{[Q]}{[q]}\ . \tag{12}$$

These conditions were given previously by Nunziato and Walsh.[3] In that same paper they also asserted that q (ν_x in their terms) must be continuous in a strong shock wave. In the next section it will be shown that, in fact:

i) There are two types of shock wave possible;
ii) Although q may be continuous across one type, in general it is not; and
iii) In the second type of shock wave q is always discontinuous, and although ε is usually discontinuous as well, $[\varepsilon]$ will generally be weaker than [q].

---

[3] *J. W. Nunziato and E. K. Walsh, "One-dimensional Shock Waves in Uniformly Distributed Granular Materials,"* Int. J. Solids Structures, *14, 681 (1978).*

The first type of shock is the familiar longitudinal shock for both the rod and the granular material. The second type is not so familiar, however. In the rod it is associated with an abrupt change in u', so the surface of the rod will show an abrupt change of slope at the shock. In the granular material there will be an abrupt change in the gradient of solid volume fraction, ν'. It is legitimate to call this second type of discontinuity a shock since it involves jumps in derivatives of order one lower than those that appear in the corresponding differential equation.

III. WEAK SHOCK WAVES

The jumps in the gradients of w and u may be expressed as follows.

$$\varepsilon^- = \varepsilon^+ + \alpha, \; q^- = q^+ + \beta \; . \tag{13}$$

With these expressions substituted in (12) the jump conditions become

$$\rho V^2 \alpha = W_\varepsilon (u^+, q^+ + \beta, \varepsilon^+ + \alpha) - W_\varepsilon (u^+, q^+, \varepsilon^+) \tag{14}$$

$$1/2\rho a^2 V^2 \beta = W_q (u^+, q^+ + \beta, \varepsilon^+ + \alpha) - W_q (u^+, q^+, \varepsilon^+) \; . \tag{15}$$

Since these two equations have the three unknowns (α, β, V), it is to be expected that solutions will be one dimensional curves in a three dimensional space. The exact shape and location of these curves will depend parametrically on (u^+, q^+, ε^+). Clearly one branch is always given by the trivial case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ with V arbitrary, but bifurcations may occur where the Jacobian of equations (14) and (15) taken with respect to α and β vanishes at $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

$$J(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{vmatrix} W_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} - \rho V^2 & W_{\varepsilon q} \\ W_{\varepsilon q} & W_{qq} - 1/2\rho a^2 V^2 \end{vmatrix} \tag{16}$$

$$J(0,0) = 0 \; . \tag{17}$$

Equation (17) determines the values of V at which bifurcations occur. In fact, it is just the characteristic condition for acceleration waves (see equations (3.22) - (3.24) in Reference 4). To look for other branches near the bifurcation points, other than the trivial branch, first expand the energy W in powers of α and β in equations (14) and (15). In the simplest case $u^+ = q^+ = \varepsilon^+ = 0$. Bifurcations in (16) will appear at

$$\rho V^2 = W_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}(0,0,0) \text{ and } 1/2\rho a^2 V^2 = W_{qq}(0,0,0) \tag{18}$$

---

[4] *J. W. Nunziato and E. K. Walsh, "On the Influence of Void Compaction and Material Non-uniformity on the Propagation of One-dimensional Acceleration Waves in Granular Materials,"* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., *64, 299 (1977).*

Recall that W is an even function in q so that odd derivatives in q will not appear. The expansion now appears as follows.

$$\rho V^2\alpha = W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\alpha + 1/2\, W^o_{\varepsilon qq}\, \beta^2 + 1/2\, W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon}\, \alpha^2 + 1/2\, W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon qq}\, \alpha\, \beta^2 + $$
$$+ 1/6\, W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon}\alpha^3 + \ldots\ldots\ldots \tag{19}$$

$$1/2\, \rho a^2\, V^2\, \beta = W^o_{qq}\beta + W^o_{qq\varepsilon}\beta\alpha + 1/6\, W^o_{qqqq}\, \beta^3 + 1/2\, W^o_{qq\varepsilon\varepsilon}\beta\alpha^2 + \ldots\ldots\ldots \tag{20}$$

The superscript 0 indicates that the derivatives of W in (19) and (20) are to be evaluated at $u^+ = q^+ = \varepsilon^+ = 0$. It is possible to regard either α or β as the primary variable.

Case i) To examine the branch near the first of the bifurcations in (18) let β and V^2 be given terms of α as a power series.

$$V^2 = V^2_o + V^2_1\alpha + V^2_2\alpha^2 + \ldots\ldots \tag{21}$$

$$\beta = \beta_1\alpha + \beta_2\alpha^2 + \beta_3\alpha^3 + \ldots\ldots \tag{22}$$

Substitution of (21) and (22) into (19) and (20) and comparison of terms in lowest powers of α shows that

$$\beta_i = 0,\ i = 1,2,3,\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots \tag{23}$$

$$\rho V^2_o = W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} \tag{24}$$

$$\rho V^2_1 = 1/2 W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon} \tag{25}$$

$$\rho V^2_2 = 1/6 W^o_{\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon} \qquad \text{etc.....} \tag{26}$$

This is the case discussed by Nunziato and Walsh.[3] More generally, if $|aq^+| << 1$, but $\neq 0$, and $u^+ \neq 0$, $\varepsilon^+ \neq 0$ as well, terms in all powers of α and β will appear throughout the expansions (19) and (20). If account is taken of the fact that odd derivatives of W with respect to q are odd in q, the net result is that (23) - (26) will all be modified by additional terms of order $O(aq^+)$ or higher. It is easily worked out that the lowest order corrections are

$$\rho V^2_o = W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} + O(a^2 q^{+2}) \tag{27}$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{W^+_{qq\varepsilon}\, q^+}{1/2 a^2 W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} - W^+_{qq}} + O(a^3\, q^{+3}) \quad . \tag{28}$$

In (27) and (28) the superscript + indicates that the arguments of the derivatives of W are (u^+, 0, ε^+).

Case ii) To examine the branch near the second of the bifurcations in (18) let α and V^2 be given in terms of β as a power series. Consider the simplest case first with $q^+ = 0$. It turns out that the series for α and V^2 only have even powers of β in this case.

$$\alpha = \alpha_2\beta^2 + \alpha_4\beta^4 + \ldots\ldots\ldots \tag{29}$$

$$V^2 = V_o^2 + V_2^2\beta^2 + V_4^2\beta^4 + \ldots\ldots\ldots \tag{30}$$

Substitution of (29) and (30) into (19) and (20) and comparison of equal powers of β gives the following.

$$1/2\rho a^2 V_o^2 = W^+_{qq} \tag{31}$$

$$\rho V_o^2\ \alpha_2 = W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\ \alpha_2 + 1/2\ W^+_{qq\varepsilon} \tag{32}$$

$$1/2\ \rho a^2 V_2^2 = W^+_{qq\varepsilon}\ \alpha_2 + 1/6\ W^+_{qqqq} \tag{33}$$

$$\rho(\alpha_2 V_2^2 + \alpha_4 V_o^2) = W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\ \alpha_4 + 1/2\ W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon\varepsilon}\ \alpha_2^2 + \\ + 1/2\ W^+_{qq\varepsilon\varepsilon}\ \alpha_2 + 1/24\ W^+_{qqqq\varepsilon} \tag{34}$$

$$1/2\rho a^2 V_4^2 = W^+_{qq\varepsilon}\ \alpha_4 + 1/2\ W^+_{qq\varepsilon\varepsilon}\ \alpha_2^2 + 1/120\ W^+_{qqqqqq} \tag{35}$$

As before the superscript + indicates that the arguments are (u^+,0, ε^+). These may be solved sequentially for the α_i and the V_i^2 . The first few terms are

$$V_o^2 = \frac{W^+_{qq}}{1/2a^2\rho} \tag{36}$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1/2\ W^+_{\varepsilon qq}}{\rho(c_2^2 - c_1^2)} \tag{37}$$

$$1/2\rho a^2 V_2^2 = \frac{1/2\ W^{+2}_{\varepsilon qq}}{\rho(c_2^2 - c_1^2)} + 1/6\ W^+_{qqqq} \tag{38}$$

where $c_1^2 = W^+_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} / \rho$, $c_2 = V_0$, as in (36) above. In the rod the speeds c_1 and c_2 are associated with bulk longitudinal and shear speeds [1]. In the granular material c_1 is associated with the compressibility of the granules without voids, and c_2 with changes in the void fraction without compression of the granules.[4] Ordinarily $c_1 > c_2$, but that is not necessarily true for all values of u^+ and ε^+. The point is that both V_2^2 and V_4^2 may be either positive or negative depending on material properties and the strain state ahead of the wave.

As before, a more general case occurs if $0 \ll |aq^+| \ll 1$. Then the expansions in (29) and (30) will contain all powers of β. The new coefficients, that is the coefficients of the odd powers of β in the equations that replace (29) and (30), will all be of order $O(aq^+)$ and the ones already calculated from (31) - (35), that is, the coefficients of the even powers of β, will change only by order $O(a^2q^{+2})$. This result again follows from the fact that W is even in q.

IV. SHOCK STABILITY

Shock speeds have been calculated above simply as possible solutions of (14) and (15). It has been convenient to give the speeds parametrically in powers of the amplitude, using either α or β as appropriate for the type of wave. However, it is not reasonable to accept all values of shock speed computed in this manner without some consideration of shock stability. Since it is not possible to appeal to a thermodynamic argument in a purely mechanical theory such as this, it is necessary to adopt some other criterion such as the Lax stability criterion,[5] whereby it is required that the characteristic speed behind (ahead of) the shock of the same family is greater than (less than) the shock speed. Clearly a necessary condition is that the shock speed for nonzero amplitude must be greater than the bifurcation speed. This condition is met if the shock speed is a monotonically increasing function of the amplitude; but without detailed calcuation, it does not seem possible to say whether the characteristic speed behind the shock will be greater than the shock speed. Some of the possibilities that may occur are shown in Figures 1 through 5.

Figure 1 shows the case discussed in Reference 3. With $q^+ = 0$, the solid line shows the common example of compressive shocks. The dashed line is the continuation of the solution to (14) and (15), but now this branch is not allowed on stability grounds as discussed above. If $q^+ \neq 0$, the picture

[5] *P. Lax, "Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws II,"* Com. Pure Appl. Math *10, 537 (1957).*



Figure 1. a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in q vs. amplitude for quasi-longitudinal shocks. The solid and interrupted lines show allowed shocks for $q^+ = 0$ and $q^+ \neq 0$ respectively. The dashed and dotted lines show forbidden shocks for the same cases.

changes only slightly with the interrupted line showing allowed shocks and the dotted line showing forbidden shocks. Figure 1b shows that $\beta \equiv 0$ in the simplest case, but that in general $\beta \neq 0$ when $q^+ \neq 0$.

The possibilities for the second type of shock are much richer. Some of these are shown in Figures 2-5 where it has been assumed throughout that $c_1 \neq c_2$. Figure 2 shows the case when $V_2^2 < 0$ and $V_4^2 > 0$. If $q^+ = 0$, no shocks at all are possible unless the amplitude is greater than a critical value, and then the amplitude may be of either sign. If $q^+ \neq 0$, then shocks of one sign only are possible for a limited range of amplitude, but for large enough amplitudes shocks of either sign are possible. The fact that $q^+ \neq 0$ has merely introduced some asymmetry into the curve. Likewise the curve for α as a function of β is symmetric if $q^+ = 0$ and asymmetric if $q^+ \neq 0$. Figures 3 - 5 show the other possibilities. Note that in every case stable shocks may exist for some range of amplitude if $q^+ \neq 0$, and in nearly every case if $q^+ = 0$.

V. STEADY WAVES

Steady waves in a nonlinear elastic rod have been discussed previously[1] for the special case when the stored energy function can be decomposed into $W(u,q,\varepsilon) = W_1(u,\varepsilon) + W_2(q)$. Here the analysis for steady waves will be briefly reviewed, but for an arbitrary stored energy. In a steady wave all field variables depend only on a special combination of Z and t, namely $\xi = Z - ct$ where c is a constant speed of propagation. The partial differential equations (1) and (2) become ordinary differential equations, and it was shown in Reference 1 that the solution can be reduced to quadratures of the following form,

$$\varepsilon = f(u;A,B,c) \tag{39}$$

$$u'^2 = g(u:A,B,c) \tag{40}$$

where the functions f and g satisfy two integrals of the motion identically. These are

$$F(u,g,f) = W_f - \rho c^2 f - A = 0 \tag{41}$$

$$G(u,g,f) = 2W_g g + Af - W - 1/4\rho c^2 a^2 g + 1/2\rho c^2 f^2 - B = 0 \tag{42}$$

where A and B are constants of integration. A power series solution for f and g in terms of u may be found by differentiating (41) and (42) with respect to u and solving each successive pair of equations for the derivatives of f and g. The choice of initial values for f, g, and u determines the constants A and B. For example, choosing $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ leads to values of A,B, and the derivatives at $u = 0$ as follows.



Figure 2. a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 < 0$, $V_4^2 > 0$.



Figure 3. a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 \geq 0$, $V_4^2 > 0$.



Figure 4. a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 > 0$, $V_4^2 < 0$.



Figure 5. a) Sketch of shock speed vs. amplitude and b) Jump in ε vs. amplitude for radial (void volume) shocks. $V_2^2 \leq 0$, $V_4^2 < 0$.

$$A = B = 0 \tag{43}$$

$$f_u = \frac{-W_{fu}}{W_{ff} - \rho c^2} \tag{44}$$

$$g_u = 0 \tag{45}$$

$$f_{uu} = -\frac{(W_g - 1/4\rho c^2 a^2)\,(W_{uuf} + 2\,W_{uff}\,f_u + W_{fff}\,f_u^2) + W_{fg}\,(W_{uu} + W_{uf}\,f_u)}{(W_g - 1/4\rho c^2\,a^2)\,(W_{ff} - \rho c^2)} \tag{46}$$

$$g_{uu} = \frac{W_{uu}\left\{\dfrac{W_{uu}W_{ff} - W_{uf}^2}{W_{uu}} - \rho c^2\right\}}{(W_{ff} - \rho c^2)\,(W_g - 1/4\,\rho c^2 a^2)} \tag{47}$$

$$g_{uuu} = \frac{-(4W_{fg}f_u + 3W_{ug})\,g_{uu} + W_{uuu} + 2W_{uuf}f_u + W_{uff}f_u^2 + W_{uf}f_{uu}}{(W_g - 1/4\,\rho c^2 a^2)} \tag{48}$$

Truncated versions of (39) and (40) are now

$$\varepsilon = f_u u + 1/2\,f_{uu}u^2 \tag{49}$$

$$u'^2 = 1/2\,g_{uu}u^2 + 1/6\,g_{uuu}u^3 \tag{50}$$

The coefficients f_u and g_{uu} are identical to those in Reference 1, and the other two coefficients are only slightly modified so the behavior of solutions is still the same as described in Reference 1. Thus if c is chosen such that $g_{uu} > 0$, solutions are solitary waves.

$$u = -\frac{3g_{uu}}{g_{uuu}}\,\operatorname{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{g_{uu}}\;\xi}{2^{3/2}}\right) \tag{51}$$

Clearly c must be chosen such that $|3g_{uu}| < |g_{uuu}|$. Propagating bulges (necks) occur if $g_{uuu} < 0$ ($g_{uuu} > 0$). The situation for a propagating bulge is shown in Figure 6.

In terms of the elastic moduli of linear elasticity, the second derivatives of W used above may be written (see Reference 1)

$$W_{ff} = \lambda + 2\mu, \quad W_{uu} = 4(\lambda + \mu), \quad W_{uf} = 2\lambda, \quad W_g = 1/4\, a^2\mu \tag{52}$$

where λ and μ are the Lamé elastic constants. Therefore

$$g_{uu} = \frac{16\,(\lambda + \mu)\,\,(E - \rho c^2)}{a^2(\lambda + 2\mu - \rho c^2)\,(\mu - \rho c^2)} \tag{53}$$

where E is Young's modulus. The conditions on c will be satisfied if $0 < ((\rho c^2/E) - 1) << 1$.

If $g(0) = u'^2_o \neq 0$, then (50) must be replaced by

$$u'^2 = u'^2_o + g_u u + 1/2\, g_{uu}u^2 + 1/6\, g_{uuu}u^3 \tag{54}$$

In this equation it turns out that if $|au'_o| = \delta << 1$, then $gu = O(\delta^2)$, and both g_{uu} and g_{uuu} differ from their previous values by terms that are $O(\delta^2)$. If c is chosen so that g_{uu} is negative, then solutions to (54) are periodic. The situation that is envisioned here is shown in Figure 7. Solutions will oscillate between the points u_1 and u_2, and may be expressed in terms of elliptic integrals. (See Reference 6, Chap. 17 for the appropriate transformations.) Because of the restriction that $g_{uu} < 0$, not all speeds are possible for periodic waves. In the linear limit this gives the familiar conditions $\mu < \rho c^2 < E$ or $\lambda + 2\mu < \rho c^2$.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

It has been shown that there is a complete mathematical analogy between theories for a nonlinear rod and a granular material. Since rods are familiar objects to applied mechanicians, but study of granular materials is of relatively recent origin, the analogy should be useful. For example, it was noted

---

[6] *M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, eds.,* *Handbook of Mathematical Functions,* *Dover (1965).*



Figure 6. a) Sketch of g(u) vs. u for g(0) = 0, $g_{uu} > 0$ and $g_{uuu} < 0$, and
b) Solitary wave corresponding to 6a).



Figure 7. a) Sketch of g(u) vs. u for $g_u = 0$, $g_{uu} < 0$ and $g_{uuu} < 0$, and
b) Periodic wave corresponding to 7a).

that a characteristic length of $\sqrt{2k}$ could be identified for a granular material, but even more important any statement about the dynamics or deformation of a rod has an exact counterpart for a granular material. Thus, for static homogeneous deformations of a granular material the equation of balance for micromomentum (2) reduces to $g(\nu,0,\varepsilon) = 0$, which defines an equilibrium relation $\nu = \nu(\varepsilon)$, and the negative of the slope of this relation defines the analog of Poisson's ratio. Furthermore, along this equilibrium line there will be a modified stress-strain relation, $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon)$, the slope of which corresponds to Young's modulus E. In fact, it is Young's modulus that appears as the first term in the numerator of (47). For the granular material the analog modulus may be written

$$\frac{e_{\nu\nu}e_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} - e_{\nu\varepsilon}^2}{e_{\nu\nu}} \quad .$$

Since it is known that Young's modulus has fundamental importance for rod deformations, the analog modulus must have fundamental importance for deformations in granular materials. In particular, for low frequency disturbances with wavelengths substantially longer than the characteristic length, it is to be expected that the analog modulus will dominate.

It has been pointed out that both rods and granular materials support two kinds of shock wave. One is the familiar longitudinal shock for both cases, but the second is somewhat unfamiliar. The second type of shock is associated with the micromomentum and is accompanied by a discontinuity in the gradient of radial strain for the rod or by a discontinuity in the gradient of volume fraction for the granular material. In the rod such a discontinuity is associated with a radially symmetric shearing motion so it can be readily visualized, and it is not surprising that the speed is controlled by the bulk shear modulus, $w_{qq}/\frac{1}{2}a^2$, which is just the second Lamé constant μ for a linear material. The analog for the granular material, however, is totally unfamiliar, but may be of some importance. The fact that the stored energy is even in q (or ν') has also been pointed out. The symmetry of the amplitude curves for $q^+ = 0$ in Figures 2-5 and the slight biasing to one side or the other for $q^+ = 0$ are direct consequences of that fact.

Finally, it has been pointed out that finite amplitude periodic waves and solitary waves can propagate in either a rod or a granular material. There are two spectral branches for wave speeds, as was noted at the end of the last section. Detailed calculations with the elliptic integrals that satisfy (54) would show the effect of finite amplitude on wave speed for periodic wavetrains with a fixed wavelength.

REFERENCES

1. T. W. Wright, "Nonlinear Waves in Rods," Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on Finite Elasticity, D. E. Carlson and R. T. Shield, eds., Martinus Nijhoff (1981).

2. J. W. Nunziato and S. C. Cowin, "A Nonlinear Theory of Elastic Materials with Voids," Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 72, 175 (1979).

3. J. W. Nunziato and E. K. Walsh, "One-dimensional Shock Waves in Uniformly Distributed Granular Materials," Int. J. Solids Structures, 14, 681 (1978).

4. J. W. Nunziato and E. K. Walsh, "On the Influence of Void Compaction and Material Non-uniformity on the Propagation of One-dimensional Acceleration Waves in Granular Materials," Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 64, 299 (1977).

5. P. Lax, "Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws II," Com. Pure Appl. Math., 10, 537 (1957).

6. M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, eds., Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover (1965).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
12	Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314
2	Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ATTN: Tech Info Dr. E. Van Reuth 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
1	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (R&D) Department of the Army Washington, DC 20310
1	HQDA (DAMA-ARZ-B) Washington, DC 20310
1	HQDA (DAMA-MS) Washington, DC 20310
1	Commandant Command and General Staff College ATTN: Archives Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027
1	Commander US Army War College ATTN: Lib Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333
1	Commander Armament Research and Development Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-TDC(D) Dover, NJ 07801
6	Commander Army Armament Research and Development Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-TSS(D) DRSMC-LC(D), J. Frasier DRSMC-LCA(D), T. Davidson DRSMC-SC(D), J. D. Corrie J. Beetle E. Bloore Dover, NJ 07801
1	Director Benet Weapons Laboratory Armament R&D Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: DRSMC-LCB-TL(D) Watervliet, NY 12180
1	Commander US Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: DRSMC-LEP-L(R) Rock Island, IL 61299
6	Commander Benet Weapons Laboratory ATTN: Dr. M. A. Hussain Dr. Julian Wu Dr. John Underwood Mr. D. P. Kindall Dr. J. Throup Dr. E. Schneider Watervliet, NY 12189
1	Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAV-E 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120
1	Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
1	HQDA DAMA-ART-M Washington, DC 20310

PREVIOUS PAGE IS BLANK

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
1	Commander US Army Communications Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSEL-ATDD Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
1	Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
1	Commander US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories ATTN: DELHD-TA-L 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783
1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898
1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898
2	Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDME-WC DRSME-RZT Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
1	Commander US Army Natick Research and Development Center ATTN: DRDNA-DT, Dr. D. Sieling Natick, MA 01762
1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Command ATTN: DRSTA-TSL Warren, MI 48090
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range NM 88002
1	Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905
1	Commander US Army Electronics Proving Ground ATTN: Tech Lib Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613
3	Director BMD Advanced Technology Center ATTN: ATC-T, M. Capps ATC-M, S. Brockway ATC-RN, P. Boyd P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807
3	Commander US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-T, J. Mescall DRXMR-T, R. Shea DRXMR-H, S. C. Chou Watertown, MA 02172
5	Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: Dr. R. Weigle Dr. E. Saibel Dr. G. Mayer Dr. F. Smiedeshoff Dr. J. Chandra P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
1	Commander US Army Development & Employment Agnecy ATTN: MODE-TED-SAB Fort Lewis, WA 98433

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
6	Sandia National Laboratory ATTN: Dr. L. Davison Dr. P. Chen Dr. L. Bertholf Dr. W. Herrmann Dr. J. Nunziato Dr. S. Passman Albuquerque, NM 87115
1	Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: Lib (TDS) 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109
1	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center Houston, TX 77058
1	Aeronautical Research Associates of Princeton, Incorporated ATTN: Ray Gogolewski 1800 Old Meadow Rd., #114 McLean, VA 22102
1	Honeywell, Inc. Defense Systems Division ATTN: Dr. Gordon Johnson 600 Second Street, NE Hopkins, MN 55343
2	Orlando Technology, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Daniel Matsuka Dr. John J. Osborn P.O. Box 885 Shalimar, FL 32579
7	SRI International ATTN: Dr. George R. Abrahamson Dr. Donald R. Curran Dr. Donald A. Shockey Dr. Lynn Seaman Mr. D. Erlich Dr. A. Florence Dr. R. Caligiuri 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025
1	Systems Planning Corporation ATTN: Mr. T. Hafer 1500 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209
1	Terra-Tek, Inc. ATTN: Dr. Arfon Jones 420 Wahara Way University Research Park Salt Lake City, UT 84108
3	California Institute of Technology Division of Engineering and Applied Science ATTN: Dr. J. Mikowitz Dr. E. Sternberg Dr. J. Knowles Pasadena, CA 91102
1	Denver Research Institute University of Denver ATTN: Dr. R. Recht P. O. Box 10127 Denver, CO 80210
3	Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute ATTN: Prof. E. H. Lee Prof. E. Krempl Prof. J. Flaherty Troy, NY 12181
1	Southwest Research Institute ATTN: Dr. Charles Anderson 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78228

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
2	Commander US Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe) ATTN: Dr. B. Steverding Dr. F. Rothwarf Box 65 FPO NY 09510
2	Office of Naval Research ATTN: Code 402 808 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
3	Commander US Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, DC 20360
1	Commander Naval Ordnance Systems Command Washington, DC 20360
3	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. W. H. Holt Dr. W. Mock Tech Lib Dahlgren, VA 22448
3	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. R. Crowe Code R32, Dr. S. Fishman Tech Lib Silver Spring, MD 20910
5	Commander US Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: C. Sanday R. J. Weimer Code 5270, F. MacDonald Code 2020, Tech Lib Code 7786, J. Baker Washington, DC 20375
7	Commander Naval Research Laboratory Engineering Materials Division ATTN: E. A. Lange G. R. Yoder C. A. Griffis R. J. Goode R. W. Judy, Jr. A. M. Sullivan R. W. Crooker Washington, DC 20375
1	AFWL/SUL Kirtland AFB, NM 87117
4	Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: J. Foster John Collins Joe Smith Guy Spitale Eglin AFB, FL 32542
1	RADC (EMTLD, Lib) Griffiss AFB, NY 13441
1	AUL (3T-AUL-60-118) Maxwell AFB, AL 36112
1	AFML ATTN: LLN, Dr. T. Nicholas Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	AFML ATTN: LLN, Dr. John P. Henderson Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	Director Environmental Science Service Administration US Department of Commerce Boulder, CO 80302
1	Director Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: Dr. M. L. Wilkins P. O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94550

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
2	Southwest Research Institute Department of Mechanical Sciences ATTN: Dr. U. Kindholm Dr. W. Baker 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78228
1	University of Dayton University of Dayton Rsch Inst ATTN: Dr. S. J. Bless Dayton, OH 45469
6	Brown University Division of Engineering ATTN: Prof. R. Clifton Prof. H. Kolsky Prof. L. B. Freund Prof. A. Needleman Prof. R. Asaro Prof. R. James Providence, RI 02912
3	Carnegie Mellon University Department of Mathematics ATTN: Dr. D. Owen Dr. M. E. Gurtin Dr. B. D. Coleman Pittsburgh, PA 15213
2	Catholic University of America School of Engineering and Architecture ATTN: Prof. A. Durelli Prof. J. McCoy Washington, DC 20017
2	Forrestal Research Center Aeronautical Engineering Lab. Princeton University ATTN: Dr. S. Lam Dr. A. Eringen Princeton, NJ 08540
1	Harvard University Division of Engineering and Applied Physics ATTN: Prof. J. R. Rice Cambridge, MA 02138
2	Iowa State University Engineering Research Laboratory ATTN: Dr. G. Nariboli Dr. A. Sedov Ames, IA 50010
2	Lehigh University Center for the Application of Mathematics ATTN: Dr. E. Varley Dr. R. Rivlin Bethlehem, PA 18015
1	New York University Department of Mathematics ATTN: Dr. J. Keller University Heights New York, NY 10053
1	North Carolina State University Department of Civil Engineering ATTN: Prof. Y. Horie Raleigh, NC 27607
1	Pennsylvania State University Engineering Mechanical Dept. ATTN: Prof. N. Davids University Park, PA 16802
2	Rice University ATTN: Dr. R. Bowen Dr. C. C. Wang P. O. Box 1892 Houston, TX 77001

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
1	Southern Methodist University Solid Mechanics Division ATTN: Prof. H. Watson Dallas, TX 75222
1	Temple University College of Engineering Technology ATTN: Dr. R. M. Haythornthwaite, Dean Philadelphia, PA 19122
4	The Johns Hopkins University ATTN: Prof. R. B. Pond, Sr. Prof. R. Green Prof. W. Sharpe Prof. J. Bell 34th and Charles Streets Baltimore, MD 21218
1	Tulane University Dept. of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Dr. S. Cowin New Orleans, LA 70112
3	University of California ATTN: Dr. M. Carroll Dr. W. Goldsmith Dr. P. Naghdi Berkeley, CA 94720
1	University of California Dept. of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering Science ATTN: Dr. Y. C. Fung P. O. Box 109 La Jolla, CA 92037
1	University of California Department of Mechanics ATTN: Dr. R. Stern 504 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90024
1	University of California at Santa Barbara Dept. of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. T. P. Mitchel Santa Barbara, CA 93106
1	University of Delaware Dept. of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. J. Vinson Newark, DE 19711
1	University of Delaware Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: Dr. Minoru Taya Newark, DE 19711
3	University of Florida Dept. of Engineering Science and Mechanics ATTN: Dr. C. A. Sciammarilla Dr. L. Malvern Dr. E. Walsh Gainesville, FL 32601
2	University of Houston Department of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Dr. T. Wheeler Dr. R. Nachlinger Houston, TX 77004
1	University of Illinois Dept. of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics ATTN: Dr. D. Carlson Urbana, IL 61801
1	University of Illinois ATTN: Dean D. Drucker Urbana, IL 61801
1	University of Illinois at Chicago Circle College of Engineering Dept. of Materials Engineering ATTN: Dr. T. C. T. Ting P. O. Box 4348 Chicago, IL 60680
2	University of Kentucky Dept. of Engineering Mechanics ATTN: Dr. M. Beatty Prof. O. Dillon, Jr. Lexington, KY 40506

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	Organization
1	University of Maryland Department of Mathematics ATTN: Prof. S. Antman College Park, MD 20740
1	University of Minnesota Dept. of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics ATTN: Prof. J. L. Erickson 107 Akerman Hall Minneapolis, MN 55455
1	University of Pennsylvania Towne School of Civil and Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Prof. Z. Hashin Philadelphia, PA 19105
4	University of Texas Department of Engineering Mechanics ATTN: Dr. M. Stern Dr. M. Bedford Prof. Ripperger Dr. J. T. Oden Austin, TX 78712
1	University of Washington Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics ATTN: Dr. Ian M. Fyfe 206 Guggenheim Hall Seattle, WA 98105
2	Washington State University Department of Physics ATTN: Dr. R. Fowles Dr. G. Duvall Pullman, WA 99163
2	Yale University ATTN: Dr. B.-T. Chu Dr. E. Onat 400 Temple Street New Haven, CT 06520

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA
ATTN: DRXSY-D
DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen
Cdr, USATECOM
ATTN: DRSTE-TO-F
Cdr, CRDC, AMCCOM
ATTN: DRSMC-CLB-PA
DRSMC-CLN
DRSMC-CLJ-L

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number_____Date of Report_____

2. Date Report Received_____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)_____

4. How specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)_____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate._____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

CURRENT ADDRESS

Name

Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD ADDRESS

Name

Organization

Address

City, State, Zip

(Remove this sheet along the perforation, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

FOLD HERE

Director
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, $300

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL
FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO 12062 WASHINGTON, DC
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Director
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory
ATTN: DRXBR-OD-ST
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

FOLD HERE

END

FILMED

10-84

DTIC