A Study of the Magnetic and Metallurgical Properties of Sm(Co,Fe,Cu,Zr)₂ Alloys Near z = 8.5

Alloys corresponding to the compositions Sm(Co₀·₃₅₋₀·₃₅₋₀·₂⁵₋₀·₀₅)₂·₃₅ with v = 0.23 to 0.30 have been characterized magnetically and metallurgically. Very large intrinsic coercivities ranging from 28-32 kOe combined with saturation inductions of 11.8-12.1 kG have been obtained for the bulk alloys. If these properties can be incorporated into sintered permanent magnets, energy products of 26-33 MGOe should be possible for temperature compensated alloys, and 37-39 MGOe for uncompensated alloys.
The Sm(Co, Fe, Cu, Zr) alloys were found to behave metallurgically like alloys with similar transition metal content, but with $z = 7.0 - 7.6$. They form a single phase solid solution at high temperatures which is retained at room temperature by rapid quenching; on reheating to approximately 800°C, the high temperature phase dissociates by a spinodal-like reaction into a cellular microstructure consisting of $2:17$ R cells, $1:5$ cells and a platelet phase. All phase boundaries are coherent. The platelet phase was determined to have the "2:17 H" crystal structure but also Sm-depleted of $2:17$ stoichiometry. By comparing lattice constants of the multicomponent alloys with those of Sm$_2$(Co$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)$_{17}$ alloys at the same Fe levels, it was determined that Zr-vacancy pairs substitute for Fe pairs at the dumbbell sites in the $2:17$ R phase at high temperatures.

A model for the metallurgical behavior of Sm(Co, Fe, Cu, Zr)$_z$ alloys between $z = 7.0 - 8.5$ has been proposed.
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PROBLEM STATEMENT

Magnetic circuits under consideration for micro- and millimeter wave tubes call for permanent magnets with energy products greater than 30 MGOe combined with nearly zero reversible temperature coefficients.[1] Such magnets are not presently available. Nearly zero temperature coefficients can be achieved in 2:17 type Sm(Fe,Co,Cu,Zr)\(_2\) permanent magnets by the partial substitution of Er for Sm, but at a sacrifice of 15 - 30\% of the available energy product.[2] The potential energy products of uncompensated 2:17 type permanent magnets are in the 44 - 48 MGOe range, which should be sufficient to permit temperature compensation by heavy rare earth substitution. However, the development of 2:17 type permanent magnets with energy products above 30 - 33 MGOe has so far proved an illusive goal. The highest energy product reported for a 2:17 type permanent magnet is 33 MGOe for Sm(Co\(_{6.5}\)Fe\(_{2.8}\)Cu\(_{0.5}\)Zr\(_{0.2}\))\(_7\) by TDK Electronics in 1980.[3] They also reported that all attempts to raise remanent magnetization by increasing Fe or decreasing Cu, Zr, or Sm contents resulted in serious loss of coercivity.

Shimoda, et al.[4] prepared bonded magnets made from alloys corresponding to the composition Sm(Fe\(_{v}\)Co\(_{0.90-v}\)Cu\(_{0.08}\)Zr\(_{0.02}\))\(_z\) with v = 0.22 - 0.30 and z = 8.35. In contrast to the results of TDK, they obtained coercivities up to 18 kOe in their bonded magnets. They did not report on the bulk metallurgical or magnetic properties of their nearly stoichiometric alloys, but with saturation magnetizations potentially 6\% to 9\% higher than the TDK alloys with z = 7.4 - 7.6, energy products of (BH)\(_{\text{max}}\) = 37 - 39 MGOe might be possible with such alloys.

The primary emphasis of this program was to study the basic metallurgical and magnetic properties of the Sm(Fe\(_{v}\)Co\(_{0.90-v}\)Cu\(_{0.08}\)Zr\(_{0.02}\))\(_{8.35}\) alloys and to evaluate their potential for high energy sintered permanent magnets. We also planned to study the coercivity mechanisms of these alloys, which were expected to differ significantly from the alloys.
with \( z = 7.4 - 7.6 \). Finally, we hoped to develop a better understanding of the metallurgical behavior of these complex alloy systems in order to develop improved high energy permanent magnet alloys.

SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT RESULTS

The hard magnetic properties and some metallurgical properties are presented and discussed in [5]. The most important magnetic results are summarized in Figures 1 and 2 and in Table I. Saturation magnetization, \( 4\pi M_s \), values obtained in this study are compared with those of Shimoda in Figure 1. Intrinsic coercivities, \( M_{H_c} \), are compared in Figure 2. The values of \( M_{H_c} \) we obtained by various aging treatments are given in Table I. These results have lead us to conclude that

1. Very high values of \( 4\pi M_s \) and \( M_{H_c} \), much higher than those reported by Shimoda, can be developed in these alloys.

2. The large drop in \( M_{H_c} \) for similar alloys with \( z > 7.6 \) does not apply to alloys with \( z = 8.35 \).

3. If the magnetic properties of the bulk alloys can be incorporated into sintered permanent magnets, energy products in the range of 37 - 39 MGOe should be possible with these uncompensated alloys. With Er partially substituting for Sm[2] temperature compensated permanent magnets in the range of 26 - 33 MGOe may be attainable.

Two very important results pertaining to the metallurgical behavior of the \( \text{Sm(Co}_{10.90-v}\text{Fe}_{0.08}\text{Cu}_{0.08}\text{Zr}_{0.02})_{8.35} \) alloys were obtained in this study. These are shown in Figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 shows the TEM microstructure of a solutionized and aged alloy. It clearly has the cellular microstructure observed for similar alloys with \( z = 7.0 - 7.6 \). From this and similar micrographs we can conclude:

1. The coercivity mechanisms for the \( z = 8.35 \) alloys must be very similar to those for the \( z = 7.0 - 7.6 \) alloys.

2. The thickness of the 1:5 cell boundary phase, relative to the size of the 2:17 R cells, is largely determined by the value of \( z \).
(3) The platelet phase must have the 2:17 H crystal structure, and must be quite Sm-poor of the 2:17 stoichiometry. Figure 4 compares the lattice parameters of the z = 8.35 alloys in the solid solution heat treated (SSHT) and aged conditions with those of ternary Sm$_2$(Co$_{1-x}$Fe$_x$)$_{17}$ at the same iron contents. From these measurements we have concluded that:

1. Zr, as Zr-vacancy pairs, displace Fe pairs from the dumbbell positions in the 2:17 R phase at high temperatures.
2. The function of Zr is to stabilize the 2:17 R phase by preventing Co-Fe phase precipitation during isothermal aging.

During the final stages of the work effort we began to develop a model for the metallurgical behavior of the multi-component Sm(Co,Fe,Cu,Zr)$_z$ alloys. These alloys are much too complex for cut and try approaches to have much success in improving their magnetic properties. The model in its early stage of development is described in[6] and as it presently stands in[7]. Our future efforts to develop permanent magnets which combine excellent temperature compensation with energy products well above 30 MGOe will revolve around the testing and refinement of our model for the metallurgical behavior of Sm(Co,Fe,Cu,Zr)$_z$ alloys.
Figure 1.
Comparison of $4\pi M_s$ values for Sm(Co$_{90-\nu}$Fe$_{\nu}$Cu$_{0.08}$Zr$_{0.02}$)$_{8.35}$ alloys isothermally aged 24 hrs. at 800°C.
Figure 2.

Comparison of $M_{Hc}$ values for Sm (Co.90$_{0.86}$Fe$_{0.17}$Cu$_{0.08}$ Zr$_{0.02}$)$_{8.35}$ alloys isothermally aged 24 hrs. at 800°C.

![Graph showing comparison of $M_{Hc}$ values for this study and Shimoda.](image-url)
### TABLE I

Comparison of Rapid Cooling (Q) and Furnace Cooling (FC) after Isothermal Aging at 800°C on Intrinsic Coercivity, $M_{Hc}$, of Sm (Co.90-ν Feν Cu.08 Zr.02) 8.35 Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMT. Fe ν</th>
<th>SSHT °C</th>
<th>8h+Q $M_{Hc}$,kOe</th>
<th>28h+Q $M_{Hc}$,kOe</th>
<th>28h+FC $M_{Hc}$,kOe</th>
<th>28h+FC $M_{Hc}$,kOe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC* = 2°C/min. from 800°C to 400°C
Figure 4. Lattice Constants

$$\text{Sm} (\text{Co}_{0.90-v} \text{Fe}_v \text{Cu}_{0.08} \text{Zr}_{0.02}) 8.35$$
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