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**INSTRUMENTATION SYSTEM:**
The RMS/MTTS instrumentation system located at MacGregor Range is a range measuring, multiple target tracking system. In order to obtain a vehicle trajectory from this system, the range measurement from several receivers are processed by least squares. Since the measured vehicle trajectories are often low altitude, the resulting nonlinear least squares equations are ill-conditioned. In addition, this measurement system is plagued by outliers, sometimes by dense burst of outliers. The combination of ill-conditioning and outliers is lethal (Continued on Reverse)
and attempts to robustify the nonlinear least squares processing have failed. An alternative is to preprocess each of the range measurement sequences, eliminating the outliers and replacing them if necessary. Each sequence of range measurements is preprocessed by robustly fitting a cubic spline using iteratively reweighted least squares. Due to the nature of spline fitting and the possible dense bursts of outliers, the choice of a good set of initial weights for use in the iteratively reweighted least squares is important to the efficiency of the method. These initial weights are determined using robust, local fitting techniques. Several robust techniques have been tested for this local fitting application. The robust spline preprocessing is illustrated with some especially troublesome data sequences and the relative performance of several robust methods for choosing the initial weights is compared.
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INTRODUCTION

The RMS/MTTS instrumentation system located at MacGregor Range is a range measuring, multiple target tracking system. In order to obtain a vehicle trajectory from this system, the range measurements from several receivers are processed by least squares. Because the measured vehicle trajectories of interest are often low altitude and because of the geometry of the receiving stations, the resulting nonlinear least squares equations are often ill-conditioned. In addition, this measurement system is subject to outliers, sometimes dense bursts of outliers. This combination of ill-conditioning and outliers is lethal and our attempts to robustify the nonlinear least squares estimation process have failed. An alternative is to preprocess each of the range measurement sequences, identifying the outliers, and replacing them if necessary. The ill-conditioned least squares problem can then be treated without being troubled by outliers.

Suppose we preprocess the measurement sequence, $R(t_i), i = 1, N$. For typical aircraft trajectories the measurement rate is 10/sec with a time of interest of 40 - 120 sec so that $N$ is often in the range 400 - 1200. The purpose of the preprocessing may be to detect outliers, to precompute measurement variances for future least squares processing, or to synchronize several different discrete measurement sequences. The preprocessing of the range measurement sequence, $R(t_i)$, is done by fitting a cubic spline to the discrete measurements using iteratively reweighted least squares (IRWLS). Specifically, at the $k^{th}$ iteration we minimize:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{(k)}_j (R(t_j) - \sum_i b_i^{(k)} B_i(t_j))^2.
$$

(1)
where $B_i(\cdot)$ are the cubic B-splines and $b_i^{(k)}$ are the spline coefficients to be estimated. The weights, $W_j^{(k)}$, are computed from the Hampel $\psi$-function using the spline fit from the (k-1)st iteration.

$$W_j^{(k)} = \frac{\psi\left(\frac{R(t_j) - \sum b_i^{(k-1)} B_i(t_j)}{s_j^{k-1}}\right)}{R(t_j) - \sum b_i^{(k-1)} B_i(t_j)}$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x & |x| \leq a \\
  a \cdot \text{sgn}(x) & a < |x| \leq b \\
  a \left(\frac{x-c}{b-c}\right) & b < |x| \leq c \\
  0 & |x| > c 
\end{cases}$$

![Graph of \psi(x)]
$S_j^{(k-1)}$ estimates the dispersion in the residual, $R(t_j) - \sum b_i^{(k-1)} B_i(t_j)$. The value of $S_j^{(k-1)}$ can be computed either locally or globally from the residuals at the $(k-1)^{th}$ iteration. The dispersion $S_j^{(k-1)}$ is a MAD estimate obtained from

$$S_j^{(k-1)} = \text{median}_{m \in T_j} |R(t_m) - \sum b_i^{(k-1)} B_i(t_m)| / .6745$$

(4)

If the set $T_j$ is in some sense the set of points close to $t_j$, the estimate $S_j^{(k-1)}$ is local. If the set $T_j$ is the set, $T_j = \{t_m | m = 1, N\}$ the estimate is global. For the present application only the global estimate $S_j^{(k-1)} = S^{(k-1)}$ will be used. If a very long data sequence, say about one hour, a local estimate would probably be preferable to the global estimate.

**CHOICE OF KNOTS**

Let $(T_i, i = 1, M)$ be a set of knot times. These knot times are used to define the cubic B-splines, $B_i(t_j)$. Of most importance in the choice of the knot times is their spacing, which determines the ability of the cubic spline to fit the data. However, for each additional knot time there is one additional spline coefficient to be estimated, thus increasing the computational load. Thus, we want to have as few knots as possible and the rules for their choice simple and yet be able to adequately represent the data. With this simple philosophy for selecting knots we will try to assign a fixed number of data points, NPTO, to each knot interval. The first four knots are placed at the first data. The last knot interval may have more than NPTO points but fewer than $2 \cdot$ NPTO data points. If there is a large time break in the data, a knot is placed at the beginning and end.
of the time break. The interval between these two knots has zero data points and the interval immediately preceding the time break may have more than NPTO points but fewer than $2 \cdot NPTO$ points. If immediately after a time break there is a second time break before NPTO points have been read, the few (less than NPTO) points read between the two time breaks are discarded. If a time break occurs while reading points for the first knot interval, the few (less than NPTO) points are discarded and the first four knots repositioned at the first data time after the time break. If a time break occurs during the last interval, the portion of the last interval contiguous to the previous interval is kept and the remainder of the points in the last interval are discarded. If there are at least NPTO points kept, these points form the last interval. If there are less than NPTO points kept, these points are appended to the previous interval so that the number of knot intervals is reduced by one. The time difference between successive data points which is used to define a time break is named FITBRK. FITBRK is dependent on the sample rate. The time difference between successive data points used to define a time break in the first and last knot intervals is FITBRK/5. This smaller value is used in the first and last interval because it is critical to obtain a good fit in these intervals. The flow chart on the following pages more clearly defines the logic for selecting the knot times. The following define the variables in the flow chart:

- **NOTS** = number of interior knots
- **KR** = number of knot intervals
- **TT(·)** = array of knot times
- **NCOUN** = total data point count
- **T(·)** = array of data times
- **R(·)** = array of range measurements
- **MPTS(·)** = array of point counts for knot intervals
- **IBRK** = logical denoting the occurrence of a time break
- **STA** = data start time
- **ETA** = data end time
IF

IF

IBRK = T

THEN ETA = TT(NOTS + 3) + NPTS(NOTS - 1)

ELSE

NPTS(NOTS - 1) = NPTS(NOTS - 1) + NPTS

FAIL = TL

INDX = NCOUN + NPTS(KR)

TL = T(INDX + 1)

DO FOR K = 2, NPTS(KR)

IF

T(INDX + K) ≥ .2 * FITBRK

THEN K-1 ≤ NPT0

ELSE

MPTS(KR) = K-1

ELSE

TT(NOTS + 3) = TL + EPS

ETA = TL

TL = T(INDX) + K
THE LEAST SQUARES NORMAL EQUATIONS

At the $k^{th}$ iteration of the fitting procedure the weighted sum of squares

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j^{(k)} (R(t_j) - \sum_i b_i^{(k)} B_i(t_j))^2$$

is minimized. The least squares normal equations are obtained by differentiating (5) with respect to $b_i^{(k)}$. The least squares normal equations are

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j^{(k)} B(t_j) B^T(t_j) \hat{b}^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j^{(k)} B(t_j) R(t_j)$$

where $B^T(t_j)$ is the vector of cubic B-splines

$$B^T(t_j) = [B_1(t_j) B_2(t_j) \ldots B_n(t_j)]$$

Due to the nature of the B-splines the positive definite matrix on the left of (6) is banded with three bands above and below the main diagonal. To conserve storage the four distinct diagonals of this matrix are stored as columns of a vertical matrix. The dimension of the vector $\hat{b}^{(k)}$ is $4 N_{OTS} + 2$ where $N_{OTS}$ is the number of interior knots. The banded least squared normal equations are solved by a banded Cholesky decomposition algorithm. The sums of both sides of (6) are performed sequentially so that all of the ranges and weights are not needed in core simultaneously. The IRWLS can be continued for a fixed number of iterations or until the fit has converged.

INITIAL WEIGHTS

In many situations the IRWLS procedure works successfully when all of the initial weights are set to one, i.e., the iteration is started with an ordinary
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unweighted least squares solution. We have found that the use of the unweight-
ed least squares start will usually result in convergence of the IRWLS cubic spline to a good fit with outliers correctly identified, but that many fewer iterations are required if a robust choice of initial weights is used. When outliers are present in either the first or last intervals, the choice of initial weights in these intervals is most important.

The initial weights for the robust cubic spline fit are chosen on a localized basis. Let \( R(t_i), i = 1, NPTS(K) \) be the range measurements in the \( K^{th} \) knot interval. To determine the weights \( W_i^{(0)}, i = 1, NPTS(K) \) in the \( K^{th} \) interval a linear curve is robustly fitted to the measurements in the interval. Several methods for robustly fitting the linear curve have been tried, including the nested median method of Siegel \cite{1}, the method of Theil \cite{2}, a modified Theil method, and an M-estimate using a Hampel \( \psi \)-function. Most methods performed about equally well on the data sequences tested. The results of some of these tests are given in Appendix A. Because of its simplicity, the modified method of Theil was selected for routine application. This method is described in the following paragraph.

Let \( \bar{R} \) be the median of the observations in the \( K^{th} \) knot interval.

\[
\bar{R} = \text{median} \{ R(t_i) \} \\
i = 1, NPTS(K) 
\]  

Let \( \ddot{t} \) be the time corresponding to \( \bar{R} \). The median \( \bar{R} \) can be represented as the average of two observations,

\[
\bar{R} = \frac{R(t_{m_1}) + R(t_{m_2})}{2} 
\]

where \( m_1 = m_2 \) if \( NPTS(K) \) is odd. Define the set of slopes \( \{ S_i \} \)
\[ S_i = \frac{R(t_i) - \bar{R}}{t_i - t} \quad i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad (10) \]

Let \( \bar{s} \) be the median of the slopes,
\[ \bar{s} = \text{median} \{S_i\} \quad i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad i \neq m_1, m_2 \quad (11) \]

Let \( \bar{F}_i \) be the residual,
\[ \bar{F}_i = R(t_i) - \bar{s}(t_i - \bar{t}), i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad (12) \]

Let \( \bar{r} \) be the median of these residuals,
\[ \bar{r} = \text{median} \{\bar{F}_i\} \quad i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad (13) \]

Now compute the residuals,
\[ r_i = \bar{F}_i - \bar{r}, i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad (14) \]

The initial weights, \( W_i^{(o)} \), are computed from these residuals using a Hampel \( \psi \)-function.
\[ W_i^{(o)} = \frac{\psi \left( \frac{r_i}{s_k} \right)}{\left( \frac{r_i}{s_k} \right)} \quad i = 1, NPTS(K) \quad (15) \]

Where \( s_k \) is the robust dispersion parameter,
\[ s_k = \text{median} \{|r_i|\}/.6745 \quad (16) \]

Since the main concern in setting the initial weights is to protect the cubic spline fit from the gross outliers, the break points of the Hampel \( \psi \)-function are set at \( a = 2, b = 3, c = 4 \).
SOME EXAMPLES

Several hundred data passes have been run with the fitting procedure described. Since there is an average of maybe five receivers on each data pass, the robust preprocessing method described has been used on more than one thousand measurement sequences. The method has performed successfully on all of these sequences. Most of these sequences are rather uneventful, having only a few isolated outliers. There have been some sequences which have some rather dense bursts of outliers. These sequences best illustrate the ability of the method described to detect outliers. Fig 1 presents a range measurement sequence and Fig 2 the robust cubic spline fit to this sequence. Note that the outliers in Fig 1, which have been darkened, occur in many sizes. The outliers at the top of the graph were added by hand since they all occurred far off scale at the top. The sequence of Fig 1 has about 10% outliers. All outliers have been successfully detected and removed by the robust spline fit. The measurements in Fig 1 have two dense burst of outliers, one in the interval (62356.6, 62359.5) and another in the interval (62367.2, 62375.4). The measurement sequence in Fig 3 has outlier bursts in the intervals (62358.4, 62362.6), (62374.4, 62376.4), and (62379.7, 62382.4). The sequence in Fig 3 has about 15% outliers. The sequence in Fig 5 has bursts of outliers during the intervals (63117.8, 63122.6) and (63128.2, 63131.5). Any points away from the main curve should be considered outliers in Figs 1, 3, and 5. Note also in Figs 1, 3, and 5 that there are time breaks in the measurement sequences, another important consideration in preprocessing. The cubic spline fit to the sequence of Fig 1 is given in Fig 2. The cubic spline fit to the sequence of Fig 3 is given in Fig 4 and the cubic spline fit
to the measurement sequence in Fig 5 is given in Fig 6. The knot intervals in Figs 2, 4, 6 are designed to contain twenty data points. Note that some of the time breaks have been filled with fitted data points. The filling of the time breaks is controlled by the length of the time breaks in relation to the sample rate and the proportion of outliers found in a knot interval. The robust cubic spline preprocessor has deleted all outliers from the measurement sequence, generated measurements during the time breaks as desired, and synchronized different measurement sequences if desired. In addition the measurement variances are available for further processing. The IRWLS cubic spline fit converged in 3 - 4 iterations for the examples displayed. This fairly rapid convergence is dependent on a robust method for choosing good initial weights. Surprisingly, the IRWLS cubic spline iteration for these examples also converges using an unweighted least squares start, but at the expense of more iterations. For the measurement sequences displayed here the IRWLS cubic spline fit converged in 7 - 8 iterations using an unweighted least squares start. Thus, at least in these examples, a good choice of the initial weights results only in a significant improvement in computing efficiency and not in an improvement of fit. Besides a good selection of initial weights, another important choice is the number of data points per knot interval, NPTO. NPTO must be large enough so that is likely that only a fraction, say less than one fourth of the data points in any interval will be outliers. On the other hand, if NPTO is too large, the robust linear curve fit may not be a good enough representation of the variation of the data in the interval.
APPENDIX

This appendix describes several methods of choosing the initial weights for the robust cubic spline preprocessing and compares the results of using these methods on several data sets. Each of these methods robustly fits a linear curve in each of the knot intervals and then computes the initial weights from the curve fit residuals using a Hampel ψ-function. Let \( R(t_1), t_1 = 1, NPTS(k) \) be the range measurements in the \( k^{th} \) knot interval.

Theil Method

Define the slopes \( s_{ij} \)

\[
s_{ij} = \frac{R(t_j) - R(t_i)}{t_j - t_i} \quad j > i
\]

Let \( \bar{s} \) be the median of these slopes,

\[
\bar{s} = \text{median} \{ s_{ij} \}
\]

Define the residuals \( \bar{r}_i \),

\[
\bar{r}_i = R(t_i) - \bar{s} t_i
\]

Let \( \bar{r} \) be the median of the residuals, \( \bar{r}_i \)

\[
\bar{r} = \text{median} \{ \bar{r}_i \}
\]

Then the residuals \( r_i = \bar{r}_i - \bar{r} \) are used to compute the initial weights with a Hampel ψ-function.
Nested Medians

Nested or repeated medians is a robust regression method recently described by Siegel [1]. Siegel shows that this method has the highest breakdown method of any known method. This method is particularly easy to apply for a linear fit. It is similar to the Theil method and modified Theil method already described.

Define the slopes $s_{ij}$,

$$s_{ij} = \frac{R(t_i) - R(t_j)}{t_j - t_i} \quad i \neq j \quad (A-1)$$

Define $\bar{s}_i$ by

$$\bar{s}_i = \text{median}_{j=1, \text{NPTS}(k)} \{s_{ij}\} \quad (A-2)$$

and further let $\bar{s}$ be defined by

$$\bar{s} = \text{median}_{i=1, \text{NPTS}(k)} \{\bar{s}_i\} \quad (A-3)$$

Similarly, let $a_{ij}$ be the intercepts

$$a_{ij} = \frac{R(t_i)t_j - R(t_j)t_i}{t_j - t_i} \quad j \neq i \quad (A-4)$$

Define $\bar{a}_i$ as

$$\bar{a}_i = \text{median}_{j=1, \text{NPTS}(k)} \{a_{ij}\} \quad (A-5)$$
and further define \( \bar{a} \) by

\[
\bar{a} = \text{median}_{i=1,NPTS(k)} \{ \bar{a}_i \} \tag{A-6}
\]

Let \( r_i \) be the residuals

\[
r_i = R(t_i) - \bar{a} - s t_i, \quad i=1, NPTS(k) \tag{A-7}
\]

The weights \( W_i^{(0)} \) are computed from these residuals using a Hampel \( \psi \)-function.

The following data sets were taken from the knot intervals of the data sequences used previously to illustrate the application of the robust range measurement preprocessing. The first data set, shown in Fig A1 is taken from the measurement sequence given in Fig 1. The measurements in this set are from the time interval 62356.6 - 62359.5. The second data set, shown in Fig A2 is taken from the measurement sequence in Fig 5. The measurements in this set are from the time interval 63128.2 - 63131.6. In each of the data sets the weights are calculated from the residuals \( r_i \) by

\[
W_i^{(0)} = \frac{\psi(r_i/s)}{(r_i/s)} \tag{A-8}
\]

where \( \psi(\cdot) \) is a Hampel \( \psi \)-function with breakpoints 2., 3., 4. In both of those data sets there are eight outliers in the sample of twenty. Each of the robust linear methods seem to have no difficulty in identifying the outliers in these data sets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MODIFIED THEIL RES</th>
<th>NESTED MEDIAN RES</th>
<th>THEIL RES</th>
<th>MODIFIED THEIL WEIGHT</th>
<th>NESTED MEDIAN WEIGHT</th>
<th>THEIL WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.2</td>
<td>94462</td>
<td>43071</td>
<td>43013</td>
<td>42937</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.3</td>
<td>50859</td>
<td>-465</td>
<td>-521</td>
<td>-594</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.5</td>
<td>59606</td>
<td>8411</td>
<td>8362</td>
<td>8297</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.6</td>
<td>63825</td>
<td>12696</td>
<td>12649</td>
<td>12588</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.7</td>
<td>122290</td>
<td>71226</td>
<td>71182</td>
<td>71125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>58359</td>
<td>7491</td>
<td>7456</td>
<td>7410</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>79974</td>
<td>29172</td>
<td>29139</td>
<td>29097</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>50699</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>50584</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>169737</td>
<td>119196</td>
<td>119175</td>
<td>119148</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>50472</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>50387</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>50335</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>50275</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>50223</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>50131</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>50085</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>50013</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>49947</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>49901</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE A2**
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