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SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Interval iteration is a powerful computational method with applications to the solution of linear and nonlinear systems of equations, integral equations (see MRC TSR #2128), and differential equations. Because of this diversity, it is helpful to have a general theory to act as a guide to specific applications. This report gives such a general theory, based on only a few simple assumptions to give it as wide a scope as possible. The notions of convergence and divergence of interval iteration are defined and their implications with regard to existence or nonexistence of solutions are presented. It turns out that convergence of interval iteration is assured if the initial interval contains a solution, and the iteration in this case can provide improved lower and upper bounds for the solution. Divergence of interval iteration, on the other hand, shows that the initial interval did not contain a solution, and thus may be discarded. These properties are compared with the well-known results for ordinary iteration, and shown to be converse in a certain sense. Remarks on how to use interval iteration in applications are made, and the theory is also shown to hold if the computation is restricted to a finite set of elements, as on an ordinary computer. Construction of interval extensions which are exactly representable in the finite case is explained, and it is observed that interval iteration will converge or diverge in a finite number of steps in actual computation, and an upper bound is obtained for this number which depends only on the initial interval chosen. Now that microprogrammed interval operations can be performed with speeds comparable to floating point arithmetic, interval iteration can be applied to a wider class of problems to obtain solutions of known reliability.

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.
A THEORY OF INTERVAL ITERATION

L. B. Rall

1. A fixed point problem. Suppose that \( \phi \) is an operator which maps a partially ordered topological space \( S \) into itself. A fixed point \( y^* \in S \) of \( \phi \) satisfies the equation

\[
(1.1) \quad y = \phi(y);
\]

solving this equation is called the fixed point problem for \( \phi \) in \( S \).

The partial ordering relation in \( S \) will be denoted, as usual, by "\( \leq \)". Elements \( \underline{y}, \overline{y} \) of \( S \) such that \( \underline{y} \leq \overline{y} \) define an interval \( Y = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}] \) in \( S \), which is the nonempty set

\[
(1.2) \quad Y = [\underline{y}, \overline{y}] = \{ y \mid \underline{y} \leq y \leq \overline{y}, y \in S \}.
\]

The elements \( \underline{y}, \overline{y} \) are called respectively the lower and upper endpoints of \( Y \). The set of all intervals in \( S \) is denoted by \( IS \). The elements \( y \) of \( S \) are identified with the corresponding degenerate intervals \( y = [y, y] \) which have equal endpoints.

The following assumptions will be made concerning the topology of \( S \):

(i) Intervals are closed subsets of \( S \);

(ii) Each nondegenerate interval contains a limit point of countable order.

The last assumption means that if \( Y \) is nondegenerate, then it contains a limit point \( l \) such that each neighborhood of \( l \) contains at least a countable number of points of \( Y \) different from \( l \). This technical property is called \( N_0 \)-compactness by Sierpiński [10].

2. Interval extensions and interval iteration. An operator which maps the set \( IS \) of intervals in \( S \) into itself is called an interval operator in \( S \). An interval operator \( \phi \) is said to be an interval extension of an operator \( \phi \) in \( S \) if (i) it is an extension in the sense that

\[
(2.1) \quad \{ \phi(y) \mid y \in Y \} \subseteq \phi(Y), \quad Y \in IS,
\]
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and (ii) \( \phi \) is \textit{inclusion monotone}, that is,

\begin{equation}
Y \subseteq Z \implies \phi(Y) \subseteq \phi(Z), \quad Y, Z \in IS.
\end{equation}

\( \phi \) is called an interval extension of an interval operator \( F \) if \( F(Y) \subseteq \phi(Y) \) for \( Y \in IS \) and (2.2) holds.

**Definition 2.1.** Given an initial interval \( Y_0 \) and an interval operator \( \phi \), the sequence \( \{Y_n\} \) defined by

\begin{equation}
Y_{n+1} = Y_n \cap \phi(Y_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\end{equation}

is said to be generated by interval iteration starting from \( Y_0 \).

Note that, from (2.3),

\begin{equation}
Y_0 \supset Y_1 \supset Y_2 \supset \ldots,
\end{equation}

hence, interval iteration generates a nested (or descending) sequence of closed sets.

**Definition 2.2.** The interval iteration process (2.3) is said to diverge if

\begin{equation}
Y_n = \emptyset \quad \text{(empty)}
\end{equation}

for some positive integer \( N \); otherwise,

\begin{equation}
Y^* = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty Y_n
\end{equation}

is nonempty by the Cantor theorem ([10], pp. 34-35), and the interval iteration is said to converge to the limit \( Y^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} (Y_n) \) given by (2.6).

Thus, according to this definition, convergence and divergence of interval iteration have a converse relationship, as one would expect.

**Theorem 2.1.** If \( \phi \) is an interval extension of the operator \( \phi \) in \( S \), and the initial interval \( Y_0 \) contains a fixed point \( y^* \) of \( \phi \), then the interval iteration (2.3) converges; furthermore,

\begin{equation}
y^* \in Y^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} (Y_n) = \bigcap_{n=0}^\infty Y_n.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** This follows, as is well known [3], [6], from (2.3) and mathematical induction, since \( y^* \in Y_k = \phi(y^*) = y^* \in \phi(Y_k) \), and thus \( y^* \in Y_{k+1} = Y_k \cap \phi(Y_k) \neq \emptyset \). QED
The contrapositive of Theorem 2.1 is the following result, which is more inconclusive.

Theorem 2.2. If \( \phi \) is an interval extension of \( \phi \), and the interval iteration (2.3) diverges, then the initial interval \( Y_0 \) contains no fixed points \( y^* \in \phi \).

This assertion was noted by Nickel [8] in connection with an interval version of Newton's method.

Observe that convergence of interval iteration is a necessary, not sufficient, condition for the existence of a fixed point \( y^* \in Y_0 \) of \( \phi \); divergence, on the other hand, is a sufficient condition for nonexistence of fixed points of \( \phi \) in \( Y_0 \).

3. Comparison with ordinary iteration. The ordinary iteration method

\[
y_{n+1} = \phi(y_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

is often used to attempt to generate a sequence \( \{y_n\} \) which converges to a fixed point \( y^* \) of \( \phi \), starting from some initial point \( y_0 \). If \( \phi \) is continuous in the topology for \( S \), in which also \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y^* \in S \), then \( y^* \) will be a fixed point of \( \phi \), and if \( Y_0 \) is a closed subset of \( S \) such that \( \{y_n\} \subseteq Y_0 \), then \( y^* \in Y_0 \). On the other hand, if \( Y_0 \) is a subset of \( S \) which does not contain a fixed point of the continuous operator \( \phi \), then the sequence generated by the iteration (3.1) cannot converge to a point of \( Y_0 \).

The first alternative will be called convergence into \( Y_0 \), and the second divergence from \( Y_0 \). On the basis of these definitions and the corresponding concepts for interval iteration given in Definition 2.2, a comparison of ordinary and interval iteration is shown in Figure 3.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinary Iteration ( y_{n+1} = \phi(y_n) )</th>
<th>Interval Iteration ( Y_{n+1} = Y_n \cap \phi Y_n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convergence (into ( Y_0 )) = ( y^* \in Y_0 )</td>
<td>Existence (( y^* \in Y_0 )) = ( \emptyset )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence (( y^* \in Y_0 ))</td>
<td>Convergence (( Y = \bigcap_{n=0} Y_n \neq \emptyset ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonexistence (( y^* \in Y_0 ))</td>
<td>Divergence (some ( Y_N = \emptyset ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divergence (from ( Y_0 ))</td>
<td>Nonexistence (( y^* \in Y_0 ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.1. Ordinary and interval iteration compared.
Thus, interval iteration stands in a converse relationship to ordinary iteration under the above assumptions. It is worth noting that in metric spaces $S$, the convergence of the ordinary iteration process (1.1) often depends on being able to choose the initial point $y_0$ "close" to the fixed point $y^*$, and that some operators $\phi$ have fixed points $y^*$ which repel the iteration sequence $(y_n)$ for all $y_0 \neq y^*$. The convergence of interval iteration, on the other hand, follows if the initial interval $Y_0$ is "large enough" to contain a fixed point $y^*$ of $\phi$.

4. Applications of interval iteration. Interval iteration may be applied in several ways to the fixed point problem (1.1).

1°. Suppose that the interval $Y_0$ is known to contain a fixed point $y^*$ of $\phi$, perhaps on the basis of a nonconstructive fixed point theorem. In this case, the interval iteration (2.3) will converge, and may be used to obtain lower and upper bounds for $y^*$, namely,

$$y_n \leq y^* \leq \bar{y}_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where $Y_n = (y_n, \bar{y}_n)$, and in the limit,

$$y^* \leq y^* \leq \bar{y}^*,$$

where $Y^* = (y^*, \bar{y}^*)$ is the limit (2.6) of $(Y_n)$.

The bounds (4.1) give improved results as long as the inclusions (2.4) are strict. However, if $Y_{N+1} = Y_N$ for some positive integer $N$, then

$$y^* = \bigcap_{n=0}^{N} Y_n = Y_N,$$

this is called finite convergence of the interval iteration (2.3). If finite convergence takes place, then the best lower and upper bounds obtainable for $y^*$, starting from $Y_0 = (y_0, \bar{y}_0)$, are

$$y^* = x_N \leq y^* \leq \bar{y}_N = \bar{y}^*.$$

2°. If it is not known whether or not $Y_0$ contains a fixed point $y^*$ of $\phi$, interval iteration may still be useful in one of the following ways.
(i) As long as the interval iteration is producing intervals which decrease at each step (strict inclusion holds in (2.4)), then an existence test that fails because \( Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_{N-1} \) are "too large" may succeed for \( Y_N \). This \( Y_N \) may then be taken as the initial interval \( Y_0 \), and one has the favorable case 1 discussed above.

(ii) If the iteration produces an empty intersection (divergence), then this establishes conclusively that the initial interval \( Y_0 \) does not contain a fixed point \( y^* \) of \( \phi \), so that this interval may be excluded from further consideration.

There is, of course, a third possibility:

(iii) The interval iteration (2.3) leads only to an interval \( Y^* \) in which no conclusive assertion about existence or nonexistence of a fixed point \( y^* \) of \( \phi \) is available. (\( Y^* \) may be the limit \( y^* \) if obtained in a finite number of steps, or otherwise.)

Possible alternatives in this situation include partition of the resulting interval \( Y^* \) into subintervals for further examination, a strategy developed by Moore and Jones (7), or acceptance of \( Y^* \) as a "generalized" or "pseudosolution" (relative to the initial interval \( Y_0 \)) of the fixed point problem. This latter choice may be useful in the development of an interval version of regularization of solutions of ill-posed problems.

5. Interval iteration on a grid. The ordinary iteration process (3.1) is a poor model of what actually occurs in computation, since it is usually impossible to carry out the indicated transformations exactly. Interval iteration, on the other hand, is readily adaptable to actual machine computation, and its theory can be preserved intact.

Suppose that \( G \) (called a grid) is a finite subset of the space \( S \). Here, one may think of the set of numbers which have exact representations on a given computer, and finite Cartesian products of such a set. The subset of \( IS \) consisting of intervals with endpoints in \( G \) will be denoted by \( IG \), that is,

\[
IG = \{ [a, b] \mid a, b \in G \}.
\]

The union of all intervals in \( IG \), considered as subsets of \( S \), defines a closed
subset \( D \) of \( S \), since \( IG \) is a finite collection of closed sets \([10]\). The operation of directed rounding will now be defined in \( ID \), the set of all intervals in \( S \) having endpoints in \( D \).

**Definition 5.1.** For \( x \in D \), the **upward rounding operator** \( \nabla \) to \( G \) is defined by

\[
\nabla x = \min \{ b \mid b \geq x, \ b \in G \},
\]

and the **downward rounding operator** \( \Delta \) to \( G \) by

\[
\Delta x = \max \{ a \mid a \leq x, \ a \in G \}.
\]

For \( X = [x, \bar{x}] \in ID \), the **directed rounding operator** \( [ \) to \( IG \) is defined by

\[
[X] = [\nabla [x, \bar{x}], \Delta [x, \bar{x}]], \quad X = [x, \bar{x}] \in ID.
\]

It follows immediately from this definition that \( [ \) is an inclusion monotone interval operator. Furthermore, if \( \phi \) maps \( D \) into itself and \( \phi \) is an interval extension of \( \phi \), then \([ \) will be an interval extension of \( \phi \) which maps \( IG \) into \( IG \). Thus, for actual computation, it may be assumed that the interval extension \( \phi \) of \( \phi \) in (2.3) has been constructed to map \( IG \) into itself. This means that the transformed intervals \( \phi(Y_n) \) will be exactly representable in terms of elements of \( G \) for \( Y_n \in IG \). The theory of interval iteration given above applies to operators of this type without modification. Furthermore, under the following reasonable assumption, the entire interval iteration (2.3) may be carried out exactly, using only elements of the grid \( G \).

**Assumption 5.1** (Intersection Property). If \( X, Z \in IG \), then \( X \cap Z = \emptyset \), the empty set, or \( X \cap Z \in IG \).

Thus, if \( IG \) has the intersection property, and the interval extension \( \phi \) of \( \phi \) has been constructed to map \( IG \) into itself, then the selection of an initial interval \( Y_0 \in IG \) will assure that the intervals \( Y_1, Y_2, \ldots \) generated by the interval iteration (2.3) also belong to \( IG \). Furthermore, this interval iteration on \( IG \) will always converge or diverge in a finite number of steps. To see this, let \( G \# X = G \# [x, \bar{x}] \) denote the number of grid points (elements of \( G \)) contained in the interval \( X \in IG \). Then, the following result holds.
Theorem 5.1. On IG, the interval iteration (2.3) will converge or diverge in at most $G#(Y_0)$ steps.

Proof. If the interval iteration (2.3) has not terminated in $n$ steps by divergence or convergence, then it will have generated distinct intervals $Y_0, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \in IG$, including the initial interval $Y_0$. Since (2.4) holds, one has

$$G#(Y_n) \leq G#(Y_{n-1}) - 1 \leq G#(Y_{n-2}) - 2 \leq \ldots \leq G#(Y_0) - n.$$  \hfill (5.6)

Thus, the maximum length of a sequence of distinct nested intervals in IG is $v = G#(Y_0) - 1$, since each interval in IG must contain at least one point of $G$. If the interval iteration has not terminated by the $v$th step, then

$$G#(Y_v) = 1$$

by (5.5), which implies that $Y_v$ is a degenerate interval. Now, one has either $Y_v \subseteq \Phi(Y_v)$, in which case $Y_{v+1} = Y_v$ (convergence in $v$ steps), or $Y_v \cap \Phi(Y_v) = \emptyset$ (divergence in $v + 1 = G#(Y_0)$ steps). \hfill QED

Thus, in actual computation, convergence or divergence of an interval iteration is an observable event in principle, since one works on a grid of machine numbers. Of course, $G#(Y_0)$, although finite, could be prohibitively large; however, termination of interval iteration is usually observed in far fewer steps. The construction of the interval operator $\Phi$ is crucial to the success of interval iteration [3], but depends heavily on the nature of $S$, $\Phi$, and the grid $G$ available.

6. Solution of equations. In many applications, $S$ is a linear space, and the problem of interest is to find a solution $x^*$ of the equation

$$f(x) = 0.$$ \hfill (6.1)

This may be transformed into a fixed point problem (1.1) in many ways by the introduction of suitable iteration operators $\Phi$. For example, one may take

$$\Phi(x) = x - Yf(x)$$ \hfill (6.2)

to define $\Phi$, where $Y$ is an invertible linear operator in $S$. Given an interval extension $F$ of $f$, the corresponding interval extension $\Phi$ of $\Phi$ is
using interval arithmetic [4], [6]. If $S$ is a Banach space, and $f$ has a Fréchet derivative $f'$, then

\begin{equation}
\phi'(x) = I - Yf'(x),
\end{equation}

where $I$ denotes the identity operator, and a more accurate interval extension of $\phi$ can be constructed on the basis of its mean-value form [1]. Let $F'$ be an interval extension of $f'$, then the corresponding interval extension $\phi'$ of $\phi$ is given by

\begin{equation}
\phi'(x) = I - YF'(x),
\end{equation}

and the mean-value form of (6.2) is, for $y \in X$,

\begin{equation}
\phi(x) = y - Yf(y) + (I - YF'(X))(x - y),
\end{equation}

and this interval extension $\phi$ of $\phi$ is called the Krawczyk iteration operator. It arose from consideration of an interval version of Newton's method [2], and has many useful properties [3], [5], [9]. In actual practice, computation would be done with a rounded version of (6.6). Suppose that $F, F'$ are interval extensions in $\mathbb{IG}$ of $f, f'$, then

\begin{equation}
\phi(x) = \bar{\phi}(y - YF(y) + (I - YF'(X))(x - y))
\end{equation}

will have values in $\mathbb{IS}$ for $y \in G, X \in \mathbb{IG}$. An even more rounded interval extension of (6.6) to $\mathbb{IG}$ is

\begin{equation}
\phi(x) = \bar{\phi}(\bar{\phi}(y - \bar{\phi}(y)) + \bar{\phi}(I - \bar{\phi}(YF'(X)))(x - y)),
\end{equation}

which models a realistic computational interval operator.
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