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ABSTRACT

Equations of yaw, sway, roll and rudder motions are formulated to represent realistic maneuvering behavior of high-speed ships such as destroyers. Important coupling terms between yaw, sway, roll and rudder were included on the basis of recent captive model test results of a high-speed ship. A series of computer runs was made by using the equations of yaw, sway, roll and rudder motions. Results indicate substantial coupling effects between yaw, roll, and rudder, which introduce changes in maneuvering characteristics and reduce course stability in high-speed operation. These effects together with relatively small GM (which is typical for certain high-speed ships) produce large rolling motions in a seaway as frequently observed in actual operations. Results of digital simulations and captive model tests clearly indicate the major contributing factors to such excessive rolling motions at sea.
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NOMENCLATURE

A  reference area \( (A = BH, L^2, \text{or } BH) \)
a  yaw gain constant
B  ship beam
b  yaw-rate gain constant
c  sway gain constant
d  sway-rate gain constant
\( D_w \)  water depth
e  subscript \( e \) indicates the value at the equilibrium condition
Fr  Froude number \( (U/\sqrt{gL}) \)
g  acceleration due to gravity
H  ship draft
\( I_z \)  moment of inertia referred to z-axis
L  ship length
m  mass of ship
N  hydrodynamic and aerodynamic yaw moment
\( N_r \)  derivative of hydrodynamic yaw moment with respect to yaw acceleration
\( N_v \)  derivative of hydrodynamic yaw moment with respect to sideslip velocity
n  propeller revolutions per second
\( N_\delta \)  derivative of hydrodynamic yaw moment with respect to rudder angle
r  yaw rate
\( t_r \)  time constant of rudder in control system
U  ship speed \( (U = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}) \)
u  component of ship speed along x-axis
component of ship speed along y-axis
hydrodynamic and aerodynamic force component in x-axis direction
hydrodynamic force component along x-axis due to propeller
derivative of hydrodynamic force component along x-axis with respect to surge acceleration
second derivative of hydrodynamic force component along x-axis direction with respect to sideslip velocity and yaw angular velocity
total resistance along x-axis
hydrodynamic and aerodynamic force component along y-axis
derivative of hydrodynamic force component along y-axis with respect to yaw rate
derivative of hydrodynamic force component along y-axis with respect to sideslip velocity
derivative of hydrodynamic force component along y-axis with respect to sideslip acceleration
derivative of hydrodynamic force component along y-axis with respect to rudder angle
drift angle ($\sin^{-1} \frac{v}{U}$)
rudder angle
heading angle of ship

Dimensionless Forms
Most dimensionless expressions in this paper follow SNAME nomenclature. The dimensionless form of a quantity is indicated by the prime of that quantity. Examples are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Typical Symbol</th>
<th>Typical Dimensionless Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>$Y_0$</td>
<td>$Y_0' = \frac{Y_0}{L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td>$Y' = \frac{Y}{\rho g A L^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N' = \frac{N}{\rho g A L^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>$m' = \frac{m}{\rho g A L}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Typical Symbol</td>
<td>Dimensionless Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular velocity</td>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>( r' = r \frac{U}{L} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static force rate</td>
<td>( Y_v )</td>
<td>( Y_v' = Y_v \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static moment rate</td>
<td>( N_v )</td>
<td>( N_v' = N_v \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudder force rate</td>
<td>( Y_\delta )</td>
<td>( Y_\delta' = Y_\delta \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping force rate</td>
<td>( Y_r )</td>
<td>( Y_r' = Y_r \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping moment rate</td>
<td>( N_r )</td>
<td>( N_r' = N_r \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial coefficient</td>
<td>( Y_v )</td>
<td>( Y_v' = Y_v \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial coefficient</td>
<td>( N_v )</td>
<td>( N_v' = N_v \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia</td>
<td>( l_z )</td>
<td>( l_z' = l_z \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>( u )</td>
<td>( u' = u \frac{U}{L} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>( t )</td>
<td>( t' = t \frac{U}{L} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

When a ship is proceeding at a high-speed in a seaway, serious rolling motions are frequently observed in actual ship operations and in model testing in waves.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) Anomalous behavior of rolling and steering was clearly evident, for example, in full-scale tests of a high-speed container ship during cross-Atlantic operations\(^3\).

Certain Naval ships have the following hull form characteristics which have major impacts on ship performance in particular, maneuvering and rolling behavior:

1. High speeds with large L/B ratio and relatively small GM.
2. Fore-and-aft asymmetry (e.g., with a sonar dome at the bow, see Figure 1).
3. Relatively large rudder.

This particular hull form characteristics introduces the possibilities of fairly significant yaw-sway-roll-rudder coupling effects during high-speed operations.

The major objective of this study is to examine the coupled motions of yaw, sway, roll and rudder for high-speed ships (e.g., hull forms similar to destroyers) through digital simulation studies.

Due to lack of available hydrodynamic data, no extensive digital simulation effort has been made previously, in the area of maneuvering performance with inclusion of roll motion effect which should have an important impact during high-speed operations. Recently, under other simultaneous research program at Davidson Laboratory, a high-speed ship was extensively tested in the rotating-arm facility with inclusion of roll motion effect. Test results clearly indicated fairly significant couplings between yaw-sway-roll-rudder motions. Accordingly, a mathematical model was formulated on the basis of these experimental results combined with analytical estimations, for a 500 ft long hull form which is similar to that of high-speed naval ships.

A series of computer runs were made by using equations of yaw, sway, roll and rudder motions on a digital computer.
Results indicated substantial coupling effects between yaw, sway, roll and rudder, which introduce changes in maneuvering and rolling behavior. For example, coupling terms introduce destabilizing effects on course stability and increase turning performance at high-speeds. These coupling effects together with relatively small GM produce large rolling motions in operations in seaways. Effects of yaw- sway- roll-rudder coupling on the possibility of yaw-roll instability were clearly demonstrated in simulation results.

This report has been prepared for the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-67-A-0202-0040. (DL Project 4007/143).
HULL CONFIGURATIONS

A high-speed hull form to be considered in this study includes the following characteristics as shown in a table below:

1. High length-beam ratio and relatively small GM for high-speed operation.
2. Fore-and-aft asymmetry, which is more pronounced for naval ships with appendages than that for commercial ships.
3. Relatively large rudder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length, ( L_{pp} ), ft</td>
<td>500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam at WL, B, ft</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft, H, ft</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudder Area Ratio, ( Ar/E )</td>
<td>1/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Coefficient, ( C_b )</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above mentioned hull-form characteristics introduces a fairly substantial hydrodynamic coupling effects between yaw-sway-roll-rudder motions.

Figure 2 shows two curves which indicate the distance of CG of the local sectional area from the longitudinal centerline at roll angle \( \theta = 0 \) and 15 degrees. The curves can be considered to be equivalent to camberline of the wing section.

Figure 3 shows the other example of the camberline for the hull form shown in the top of the figure.

When roll angle is not zero, the camberline is not straight line, as shown in these figures introducing hydrodynamic yaw moment and side force. This trend is pronounced by the fore-and-aft asymmetry of hull form, in particular, during high-speed operation.

Figure 4 shows, for example, captive model test results of yaw-roll coupling effect, indicating hydrodynamic yaw moment to port introduced by roll angle to starboard.

BASIC EQUATIONS FOR YAW-SWAY-ROLL-RUDDER MOTIONS

On the basis of captive model test results together with analytical estimations, an effort was made to formulate the equations of yaw-sway-roll-rudder motions to represent realistic maneuvering and rolling behavior of a high-speed ship.
Figure A-1 shows the coordinate system used to define ship motions with major symbols which follow the nomenclature used in previous papers. Longitudinal and transverse horizontal axes of the ship are represented by the x- and y- axes with origin fixed at the center of gravity. By reference to these body axes, the equations of motion of a ship in the horizontal plane can be written in the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
1_z \ddot{\phi} &= N \quad \text{(Yaw)} \\
1_x \ddot{\phi} &= K \quad \text{(Roll)} \\
m(\ddot{v}+ur) &= Y \quad \text{(Sway)} \\
m(\ddot{u}-vr) &= X \quad \text{(Surge)}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where \(N\), \(K\), \(Y\), and \(X\) represent total hydrodynamic terms generated by ship motions, rudder and propeller.

Hydrodynamic forces are expressed in terms of dimensionless quantities, \(N', K', Y',\) and \(X'\) based on non-dimensionalizing parameters \(\rho\) (water density), \(U\) (resultant ship velocity relative to the water), and \(A\), i.e.,

\[
\begin{align*}
N' &= \frac{N}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 A} \\
Y' &= \frac{Y}{\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 A}
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

Hydrodynamic coefficients vary with position, attitude, rudder angle, propeller revolution, and velocity of the ship. For example, in the case of hydrodynamic yaw moment coefficient,

\[
N' = N'(v', r', \delta, y'_o, \dot{y'}_o, \dot{\phi'}, n', u', \varphi, \phi', \delta')
\]

(3)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
v' &= \frac{v}{U}, \quad r' = \frac{r}{U}, \quad y'_o = \frac{y_o}{Z}, \quad n' = \frac{n}{n_e}, \quad u' = \frac{u}{u_e}, \quad \text{etc.}
\end{align*}
\]
Finally, the following polynomials were obtained for predictions of ship dynamic motions:

\[ N' = a_1 + a_2 v' + a_3 r' + a_4 v'^2 + a_5 v'^3 + a_6 v'^4 + a_7 v'^5 + a_8 v'^6 + a_9 v'^7 + a_{10} \delta a \\
+ a_{11} v_1 a + a_{12} r a + a_{13} v_1 a + a_{14} \varphi + a_{15} \dot{\varphi} + a_{16} \ddot{\varphi} \]

\[ Y' = b_1 + b_2 v' + b_3 r' + b_4 v'^2 + b_5 v'^3 + b_6 v'^4 + b_7 v'^5 + b_8 v'^6 + b_9 v'^7 + b_{10} \delta a \\
+ b_{11} v_1 a + b_{12} r a + b_{13} v_1 a + b_{14} \varphi + b_{15} \dot{\varphi} + b_{16} \ddot{\varphi} \]

\[ X' = c_1 + c_2 v' + c_3 v'^2 + c_4 v'^3 + c_5 \delta a + X_p \]

\[ K' = d_1 + d_2 v' + d_3 r' + d_4 v'^2 + d_5 v'^3 + d_6 \varphi + d_7 \dot{\varphi} + d_8 \ddot{\varphi} + d_9 \varphi' \]

(4)

ROLL-YAW COUPLED INSTABILITY

Figure 5 shows roll extinction curves obtained in simulation runs on a straight course at 30 knots having GM values of 3 ft and 2 ft. This particular result was obtained in the roll equation uncoupled from yaw and sway equations. The roll response shown in the figure can be considered to be realistic on the basis of comparison with results obtained from model tests of a similar high-speed ship shown in the same figure.

When roll extinction curves were obtained in simulation runs in equations of roll-yaw-sway coupled motions, an important change in rolling and yawing behavior was taken place. Roll-yaw coupled instability was clearly indicated in test runs. Figure 6 shows time history of roll and yaw motions starting on a straight course at 30 knots with an initial roll angle of 10 degrees. The roll extinction curve is approximately the same as that shown in the previous figure at the initial portion of the run. However, subsequent roll and yaw motions are divergent, indicating roll-yaw coupled instability. When an autopilot is adequately included in these yaw-sway-roll coupled motions, stability characteristics of the ship system is improved as shown in Figure 7, where the above mentioned roll-yaw instability is eliminated.
PREDICTIONS OF RESPONSE TO TURNING AND Z-MANEUVERS

Figures 8 and 9 show response to 20°-20° Z-maneuver having GM of 3.0 and 25.0 feet. The approach speed is 30 knots in the tests. A comparison of heading angle response is shown in Figure 8, which clearly indicates a greater overshoot angle with GM of 3.0 feet relative to that with GM of 25.0 feet. It is clearly evident in this figure that course stability characteristics are deteriorated with reduction in GM. Figure 9 shows a substantial difference in rolling behavior with GM of 3 and 25 feet. It should be noted in this figure that the largest roll angle is generated for the case of GM of 3.0 feet when the rudder angle is shifted to the other direction. This clearly indicates that the rudder angle has a counteracting effect to outward heel angle during steady turning.

Figures 10 and 11 show computer-plotted turning and rolling characteristics in deep water. The major parameter changes in computer runs were as follows:

1. Rudder Angle = 35°
2. GM = 2.0', 3.0', 25.0'

Roll angle during enter-a-turn is shown, for example, in Figure 11, which confirms very well previous full-scale observations.

Figures 10 and 11 clearly show the effect of GM on turning and rolling characteristics. Substantial changes in maneuvering characteristics (i.e., reduction in course-keeping and increase in turning performance) are clearly evident in these figures with a decrease in GM.

YAW-SWAY-ROLL-RUDDER COUPLED MOTIONS WITH AUTOPILOT

Roll-yaw coupled instability was clearly indicated in yaw-sway-roll coupled motions in the previous test runs. In actual ship operations, rudder is actively used, introducing important effects on yaw-sway-roll motions.

Let us consider the ship dynamic behavior under the following conditions:

When the ship is proceeding on a straight course, a certain external disturbance (e.g., the roll moment due to beam wind) is given stepwise to the ship. When the ship is rolled to the starboard, for example, due to beam wind from the port, an asymmetry is formed in the underwater
portion of the hull as shown in the previous figure (i.e., Figure 2). As a result, hydrodynamic yaw moment is generated to deviate the ship heading to the port. Subsequently, the rudder is activated by the auto-pilot to the starboard to correct heading angle deviation. This starboard rudder angle produces the roll angle further to the starboard. Under this condition, the possibility of instability exists in the ship systems.

Accordingly, simulations were carried out under the following conditions:

The 500 ft long ship was proceeding on a straight course at an approach speed of 30 knots. A stepwise roll moment (e.g., due to beam wind from the port) was given to the ship. The magnitude of the moment is equivalent to a statically generated roll angle of 5 degrees. The subsequent dynamic response of the ship was computed with inclusion of an autopilot system, which can be represented as:

$$\delta_d = a (\psi - \psi_d) + b \dot{\psi}$$

where

- $\delta_d$ = desired rudder angle
- $\psi$ = desired heading angle
- $a$ = yaw gain
- $b$ = yaw-rate gain

Figures 12 and 13 show oscillatory motions for the case where $GM = 2$ ft, yaw gain = 3, and yaw-rate gain = 0. Instability of the ship systems is clearly evident in the figure.

When GM is increased to 3 ft, the stability characteristics is improved as shown in Figures 14 and 15.

When the autopilot is refined with addition of yaw-rate gain of 0.5, further improvement in the stability characteristics is shown in Figures 16 and 17. It should be noted here that the autopilot refinement substantially improved the rolling behavior as shown in these figures.

The results mentioned in the above clearly indicate the possibility of instability due to a stepwise disturbance. During actual operations in seaways, continuous disturbances are given to the ship due to wind and waves. Accordingly, even marginal yaw-roll-rudder instability can introduce serious rolling problems in seaways.
Such difficulties have been frequently indicated in full-scale observations and model tests. Figure 18 shows, for example, the possibility of yaw instability obtained by J. F. Dalzell during model tests of a high-speed ship in waves.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

The purpose of this study was to develop mathematical equations of yaw, sway, roll and rudder to represent realistic maneuvering behavior of high-speed naval ships, and subsequently to examine yawing and rolling motions during high-speed operations through a series of simulation runs.

Based on recent captive-model test results of a high-speed ship configuration, important coupling effects between yaw, sway, roll and rudder motions were included in the mathematical model. Certain terms such as yaw moment due to roll angle were not adequately considered in previous studies. It was found in this study that these terms have important impact on maneuvering and rolling behavior, introducing the possibilities of instability and serious rolling problems during high-speed operations in seaways.

The major findings obtained in this study are summarized as follows:

(1) Roll angle introduces asymmetry of underwater portion of hull form relative to the longitudinal centerline, which generates yaw moment due to roll (i.e., $N_y\phi$). This particular term introduces a tendency to turn to port when the ship is heeled to starboard, contributing to inherent yaw instability due to roll combined together with other coupling terms such as $K_v$ and $K_\delta$ (i.e., roll-moment due to sideslip and rudder angle, respectively).

(2) When GM is relatively small (which is the case for most high-speed ships), the above-mentioned coupling terms can introduce severe rolling motions in a seaway. This was clearly indicated in substantial rolling motions during turning and Z-maneuvers.

(3) The possibility of yaw-roll instability exists for the ship system with autopilot during high-speed operations with small GM.

(4) Refinement in the autopilot characteristics has important effects on yawing and rolling behavior of the ship.

(5) Serious rolling problems frequently observed during high-speed operation in waves can partly be due to inherent yaw-roll instability (or marginal stability).
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FIGURE 5. ROLLING CHARACTERISTICS
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FIGURE 10. TURNING TRAJECTORY
RUDDER ANGLE, deg

U_o = 30 kt

l = 500 ft

TO STARBOARD

RUDDER ANGLE = 35 deg

50 100 150 200 250 300 Time, sec

ROLL ANGLE, deg

GM = 25.0 ft
GM = 3.0 ft
GM = 2.0 ft

TO PORT

Figure 11. ROLL ANGLE DUE TO TURNING
Conditions: 1. Beam Wind Moment Applied Stepwise
2. Autopilot with Yaw Gain of 3
3. Ship Speed $U=30$ kt, $L=500$ ft
4. $GM = 2$ ft

**FIGURE 12. ROLL-YAW-RUDDER COUPLED MOTION**
Figure 14. Roll-Yaw-Rudder Coupled Motion

Conditions:
1. Beam wind moment applied stepwise
2. Autopilot with yaw gain of 3
3. Ship speed $U=30$ kt, $L=500$ ft
4. $GM = 3$ ft
Conditions:
1. Beam Wind Moment Applied Stepwise
2. Autopilot with Yaw Gain of 3 and Yaw-Rate Gain of 0.5
3. Ship Speed $U=30$ kt, $L=500$ ft
4. $GM=3$ ft

FIGURE 16. ROLL-YAW-RUDDER COUPLED MOTION
FIGURE 17. ROLL-YAW-RUDDER COUPLED MOTION
FIGURE 18. TEST RECORDS OF YAW, ROLL, AND RUDDER OF A CONTAINER SHIP MODEL (6.29-ft long) IN A BEAM SEA, INDICATING YAW INSTABILITY AND COUPLING BETWEEN YAW, ROLL AND RUDDER.
### DISTRIBUTION LIST

(Contract N00014-67-A-0202-0040)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Attn:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>DAVID W. TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH &amp; DEVELOPMENT CENTER</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD 20084</td>
<td>Code 1505 (1) Code 5214.1 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resident Representative</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 715 Broadway (5th Floor) New York, NY 10003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH San Francisco Area Office 760 Market Street, Rm 447 San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, DC 20390 Attn: Code 2027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Commander</td>
<td>NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND (CODE 032C) Washington, DC 20390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Science &amp; Technology Division Washington, DC 20540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Commander</td>
<td>NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH 800 Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217 Attn: Mr. R.D. Cooper (Code 438)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Branch Office 492 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH Branch Office (493) 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, IL 60605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER Norfolk Division Small Craft Engineering Department Norfolk, VA 23511 Attn: D. Blount (6660.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Commander (ADL)</td>
<td>NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER Warminster, PA 19094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Commanding Officer (L31)</td>
<td>NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY Port Hueneme, CA 93043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Contract N00014-67-A-0202-0040)

1 NAVAL UNDERWATER WEAPONS RESEARCH & ENGINEERING STATION
Newport, RI 02840
Attn: Library

1 Commander
NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER
San Diego, CA 92152
Attn: Library (13111)

1 Library
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER
Newport, RI 02840

1 Research Center Library
WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION
CORP OF ENGINEERS
P.O. Box 631
Vicksburg, MS 39180

1 DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
Library TAD-491.1
400 7th Street S.W.
Washington, DC 20590

1 CHARLESTON NAVAL SHIPYARD
Technical Library
Naval Base
Charleston, SC 29408

1 Norfolk NAVAL SHIPYARD
Technical Library
Portsmouth, VA 23709

1 PHILADELPHIA NAVAL SHIPYARD
Philadelphia, PA 19112
Attn: Code 240

1 PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD
Technical Library
Portsmouth, NH 03801

1 PUGET SOUND NAVAL SHIPYARD
Engineering Library
Bremerton, WA 98314

1 LONG BEACH NAVAL SHIPYARD
Technical Library (246L)
Long Beach, CA 90801

1 PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD
Code 202.32
Box 400, FPO
San Francisco, CA 96610

1 MARE ISLAND NAVAL SHIPYARD
Shipyard Technical Library
Code 202.3
Vallejo, CA 94592

1 Assistant Chief Design Engineer
for Naval Architecture (Ct-je 250)
MARE ISLAND NAVAL SHIPYARD
Vallejo, CA 94592

2 U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY
Annapolis, MD 21402
Attn: Technical Library
Dr. Bruce Johnson

1 NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, CA 93940
Attn: Library, Code 2124

1 Study Center
National Maritime Research Center
U.S. MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY
Kings Point
Long Island, NY 11024

1 U.S. MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY
Kings Point
Long Island, NY 11024
Attn: Academy Library

1 BOLT, BERANEK AND NEWMAN
50 Moulton Street
Cambridge, MA 02138
Attn: Library

1 BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION
Center Technical Division
Sparrows Point Yard
Sparrows Point, MD 21219

1 BETHLEHEM STEEL CORPORATION
25 Broadway
New York, NY 10004
Attn: Library (Shipbuilding)

1 Mr. V. Boatwright, Jr.
Research & Development Manager
Electric Boat Division
GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION
Groton, CT 06340

1 GIBBS AND COX, INCORPORATED
21 West Street
New York, NY 10006
Attn: Technical Information Center
(Contract N00014-67-A-0202-0040)

1 FLORIDA ATLANTIC UNIVERSITY
   Ocean Engineering Department
   Boca Raton, FL 33432
   Attn: Technical Library

1 UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
   Department of Ocean Engineering
   2565 The Mall
   Honolulu, HI 96822
   Attn: Library

3 INSTITUTE OF HYDRAULIC RESEARCH
   THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
   Iowa City, IA 52240
   Attn: Library
   Dr. L. Landweber
   Dr. J. Kennedy

1 Fritz Engr'g Laboratory Library
   Department of Civil Engineering
   LEHIGH UNIVERSITY
   Bethlehem, PA 18015

3 Dept. of Ocean Engineering
   MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
   Cambridge, MA 02139
   Attn: Prof. P. Mandel
   Prof. M. Abkowitz
   Dr. J. Newman

1 Engineering Technical Reports
   Room 10-500
   MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
   Cambridge, MA 02139

5 St. Anthony Falls Hydraulic Lab
   UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
   Mississippi River at 3rd Ave., S.E.
   Minneapolis, MN 55414
   Attn: Dr. Roger Arndt
   Mr. J. Wetzel
   Prof. E. Silberman
   Mr. J. Killen
   Dr. C. Song

2 College of Engineering
   UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
   Notre Dame, IN 46556
   Attn: Engineering Library
   Dr. A. Strandhagen

3 Department of Naval Architecture
   and Marine Engineering
   North Dampus
   UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
   Ann Arbor, MI 48109
   Attn: Library
   Dr. T.F. Ogilvie
   Prof. F. Hammitt

3 Davidson Laboratory
   STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
   711 Hudson Street
   Hoboken, NJ 07030
   Attn: Library
   Dr. J. Breslin
   Dr. S. Tsakonas

2 STANFORD UNIVERSITY
   Stanford, CA 94305
   Attn: Engineering Library
   Dr. R. Street

3 WEBB INSTITUTE OF NAVAL ARCHITECTURE
   Crescent Beach Road
   Glen Cove
   Long Island, NY 11542
   Attn: Library
   Prof. E.V. Lewis
   Prof. L.W. Ward

1 APPLIED RESEARCH LABORATORY
   P.O. Box 30
   State College, PA 16801
   Attn: Dr. B. Parkin, Director
   Garfield Thomas Water Tunnel

1 Dr. Michael E. McCormick
   NAVAL SYSTEMS ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
   U.S. NAVAL ACADEMY
   Annapolis, MD 21402

1 Dr. Douglas E. Humphreys
   Code 794
   NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY
   Panama City, FL 32401

1 Library
   PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY
   Applied Research Laboratory
   P.O. Box 30
   State College, PA 16801