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SUBJECT: Operational Report of United States Army, Hawaii, for Period Ending 30 April 1968, RCS CSPOR-65 (R1)

THRU: Commander in Chief
United States Army, Pacific
ATTN: GPOP-OT
APO 96558

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for
Force Development
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20310

1. Section 1, Operations - Significant Activities:

   a. 4th Battalion, 21st Infantry, 11th Infantry Brigade.

      During the reporting period USARHAW equipped, trained, and deployed the 4th Battalion, 21st Infantry, 11th Infantry Brigade. The battalion deployed at REDCON 2 as a result of shortages in communication and electronic equipment. Training was oriented toward unconventional warfare and jungle operations. Airmobile training was not accomplished due to the non-availability of helicopters in the command. The unit participated in squad, platoon, company, and battalion ATT's. All ATT's were successfully completed.

   b. USAR Reorganization.
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(2) Under authority contained in ref 1b(1) above, U. S. Army Reserve Units in Hawaii were reorganized in accordance with plans contained therein. All units were reorganized as outlined in the plan, effective 15 Dec 67, except for three units which were inactivated as follows: 301st Army Band, 15 Jan 68; 155th Ordnance Company and Hawaii Training Center Infantry, 1 Apr 68. Except for the inactivation of the three aforementioned units, major changes were: Redesignation of the 807th Signal Company from Base Maintenance to Cable Construction and activation of an AG Company (Pers Svc). Total authorized Troop Unit strength was reduced by 39 spaces from 2,660 to 2,621.

c. Annual Service Practice (Nike-Hercules). The Hawaii Army National Guard participated in Annual Service Practice at McGregor Range, New Mexico. The evaluation was conducted in three phases:

(1) Phase I - Preparation Phase consisting of weekly checks and adjustments in both the fire control and launcher areas and missile assembly. During this phase the unit was rated on its ability to prepare the Nike system for combat.

(2) Phase II - Prefire Phase. During this portion of the evaluation the unit was tested in tactics and crew performance. This phase verifies the results of the Preparation Phase.

(3) Phase III - Firing Phase. This was the climax consisting of the actual engagement of electronic targets with two live missiles.

d. General Orders.

(1) The following USARHAW General Orders were published during the quarter:

(a) GO No. 3 - Pohakuloa Training Area further assigned to U. S. Army Garrison, Schofield Barracks, effective 15 Jan 68.
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(b) GO No. 4 - United States Army, Hawaii, Officers' Open Mess assigned to ACoFS, G1, USARHAW, effective 25 Jan 68.

(2) The following are USARPAC General Orders affecting USARHAW:

(a) USARPAC GO 9 - 5 Jan 68, activated and organized the 556th Personnel Service Company (Type A), effective 10 Jan 68, and reassigned unit from USARPAC to 4th Brigade, 6th Infantry Division effective 11 Jan 68.

(b) USARPAC GO 13 - 8 Jan 68, USARPAC Inventory Control Point discontinued and USARPAC Material Management Agency organized effective 10 Jan 68.

(c) USARPAC GO 81 - 2 Feb 68, inactivated 171st MI Platoon and 319th MI Battalion, and reorganized MISD, USARPAC, effective 15 Feb 66.

e. Budget and Funding.

(1) FY 1969 Command Operating Budget (COB).

(a) The FY 1969 COB for Operation and Maintenance, Army (OMA), Family Housing Management Account (FHMA), and Reserve Personnel, Army (RPA) appropriations were submitted to HQ USARPAC on 29 Feb 68.

(b) The FY 1969 OMA COB reflected a total of $48,529,000; $44,157,000 in direct obligation authority (DOA); $178,000 in funded reimbursements; and $4,194,000 in automatic reimbursements.

(c) In comparison with the FY 1968 Annual Funding Program (AFP), the fund guidance for FY 1969 represented a decrease of $3,283,000 in DOA due primarily to elimination of the following one-time FY 1968 costs associated with the deployment of the 11th Infantry Brigade and activation of the 4th Brigade, 6th Infantry Division.
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Outloading Costs, 11th Inf Bde (BP 2000) $ 300,000
WABTOC Requirements, 11th Inf Bde (BP 2000) 1,000,000
Activation Requirements, 4th Bde, 6th Inf Div (BP 2000) 1,923,000
TOTAL $3,233,000

(d) The FY 1969 funded reimbursements program of $178,000 reflects commissary area increase of $28,000 over FY 1968 requirements. Automatic reimbursements of $4,194,000 for FY 1969 reflect programming at the same level as FY 1968.

(e) The unfinanced requirements section of the FY 1969 OMA COB reflected the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MAP/OMA Realignment - MACV</td>
<td>$ 35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MAP/OMA Realignment-COMUSMAC Thailand</td>
<td>988,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MAP/OMA Realignment-DEP/JUSMAC Thailand</td>
<td>1,088,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Renovation of Ammunition</td>
<td>93,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Calibration Services Under Navy Contract</td>
<td>36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>In-House Calibration Support</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Supplies and Equipment-USARHAW HQ</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Support of Army Dependent Youth Activities</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Reduction of Essential Maintenance Backlog</td>
<td>1,829,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,221,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(f) The FY 1969 FMMA COB reflected a total of $3,760,000 representing an increase of $174,000 over FY 1968 APP of $3,586,000. This increase covers upward adjustments in labor and material costs ($74,000) and for reduction of deferred maintenance backlog ($100,000). The unfinanced requirements portion of the FY 1969 FMMA COB included the following:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Replacement of Movable Equipment</td>
<td>$197,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reduction of Essential Maintenance Backlog</td>
<td>$463,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$660,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(g) The FY 1969 RPA COB reflected the same dollar guidance as the FY 1968 APP; $500,000 in BP 3200 (Reserve Personnel); and $114,000 in BP 3300 (Reserve Officer Candidates).

(2) FY 1969 Operation and Maintenance, Army (OMA). The following supplements to the FY 1969 OMA COB were forwarded to HQ USARPAC:

(a) The FY 1969 OMA COB Decrement List reflecting dollar totals, based on a 10% reduction by budget programs (BP), was submitted on 8 Mar 68.

(b) The FY 1969 OMA COB Maintenance and Operation of Real Property Supplement covering distribution of FY 1967 BPA 9030 costs to the corresponding AMS accounts reported in AR 37-100-69 by object class (i.e., military personnel, civilian personnel, contract and others), was submitted on 15 Mar 68.

(c) The FY 1969 OMA COB Service Charge Supplement covering distribution of Maintenance and Materiel ($4,226,000) and Administrative Motor Services ($1,264,000) charges to the benefiting customer accounts was forwarded on 15 Mar 68.

(3) FY 1968 Annual Funding Program (APP). 3d Qtr, FY 68 OMA funding actions which resulted in a net increase of $167,500 to a revised APP of $47,747,900 were as follows:

Oct 67 CA Pay Raise                        +382,000
Increase in BP 2400 SEA Support           + 5,000
Reduction in BP 2000 SEA Support          -219,000
Reduction in BP 2500 .012 and .015        - 500
Limitation Funds                          +167,500
Net Change                                +167,500
hcit-ot
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f. operations.

(1) lease coverage - kawailoa and kahuku training areas
(h.r. 2d qtr, fy 68). kahuku training area lease with campbell
estate (8005 acres - $22,022 yearly) has been extended to 30
jun 68. request has been made for another one-year extension
effective 1 jul 68, pending execution of a ten-year lease.
pacific ocean division engineer is still negotiating for a ten-
year lease on kawailoa training area.

(2) transfer of kekaha m/r, kauai to navy. transfer memo-
randum between the secretary of the army and secretary of the
navy dated 2 feb 68, made the transfer of kekaha m/r (234.97
acres) effective 1 jul 67. on the latter date, physical custody
and responsibility for the installation was placed with navy.

g. support.

(1) terminal workload.

(a) import tonnage handled by the u. s. army transportation
terminal, honolulu, during the quarter amounted to 48,487 mton.
of this total, 47,224 mton came from conus, the remaining 1,263
mton from other ports. the major breakdown was 17,344 mton
general cargo; 12,528 mton pov (1,034 ea); and 6,266 mton other.

(b) export tonnage handled by the terminal this quarter
amounted to 35,859 mton. of this total, 19,390 mton was general
cargo; 10,154 mton pov (874 ea); and 6,315 mton other cargo.
seven hundred eighty-four (784) pov were shipped to conus and
90 pov to other destinations. in addition, the principal local
commodities which were a part of the total export tonnage 35,859
mton are: 4,996 mton pineapples, 27 mton flour and 672 mton
sugar. inter-island government bill of lading shipments total
1,254 mton.

(c) common use land transportation (cult).
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(a) The CULT workload for this quarter was as follows: 51,711.3 STON of cargo; 63,433 passengers which generated a total of 633,319 ton miles and 911,074 passenger miles respectively. Commercial contractors hauled for the Government 764.52 STON of Army/Air Force Exchange cargo, 113 Matson containers, and 2,206,180 gallons of POL products during the quarter. The cost to the Government was $1,108.55 for the PX cargo, $1,469.00 for the Matson containers, and $22,024.60 for POL products. A comparison of CULT operations, this quarter and the previous quarter follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2d Qtr. FY 68</th>
<th>3d Qtr. FY 68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short tons</td>
<td>50,680.0</td>
<td>51,711.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ton miles</td>
<td>650,747.0</td>
<td>633,319.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers</td>
<td>69,299.0</td>
<td>63,433.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger miles</td>
<td>1,000,084.0</td>
<td>911,074.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) With the exception of total short tons hauled, a decrease in all areas was evident over the previous quarter. This situation is normal for 3d Quarter operating due to decrease in activities during the post-holiday period. If past experience will prevail, an increase can be expected during the 4th Quarter. Increase in tonnage is attributed to movement of high density cargo over relative short distances.

(3) Personnel Movements Division Workload. The Personnel Movements Division (PMD) completed the following during the
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period: passengers (PAX) processed surface, in and out, 328; PAX processed air, out 12,294; PAX processed special mission, in and out 385; household goods (HHG) shipments received, 431; HHG shipments picked up, 446; HHG shipments moved by local drayage, 259. A total of 1,373,703 pounds of special mission air cargo moved during the month of January. During this period, 2,695,407 pounds of air cargo moved by channel traffic. A total of 255 HHG (171 inbound and 84 outbound), shipments reweighed with an approximate savings to the Government of $9,502.42.

(4) Remains processed by the U. S. Army Mortuary, Hawaii. Mortuary services were provided for the following deceased personnel during the 3d Qtr, FY 68:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Army</th>
<th>Navy</th>
<th>Force</th>
<th>Marines</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty Military</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependents and Civilians</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Section 2, Lessons Learned: Commanders' Observations, Evaluations and Recommendations.

a. Personnel.

(1) Observation. At the present time there is a critical shortage of personnel in MOS 13D20, 13A10, and 11C1N.

(2) Evaluation. These seriously hamper the effectiveness of 2d Bn, 21st Arty and 53d Inf Plat (DC) both of which are PREUSARNUCV units.

(3) Recommendation. That additional emphasis be placed on keeping PREUSARNUCV units up to strength.
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b. Operations. None.

c. Training.

Annual Service Practice - Air Defense Units.

(a) Observation. Prior to FY 68, the Annual Service Practice firings for the Nike-Hercules units located in Hawaii were conducted at a missile range established on Oahu and operated each year for a period of approximately two months. Units were evaluated by a USARHAW evaluation team organized from the same personnel responsible for training assistance and supervision throughout the year.

(b) Evaluation. Although prior fiscal year Annual Service Practices have been generally successful in their overall results, it became increasingly apparent that a change was warranted. The cost, both in dollars and personnel resources of establishing a missile range on Oahu solely for these firings, was extremely high. Safety implications became increasingly serious in view of the continuing expansion of construction and population in the vicinity of the range. From a training viewpoint, the use of the same personnel responsible for assisting and supervising the maintenance of a high state of operational readiness throughout the year as "black hat" evaluators during ASP, created an undesirable attitude between the personnel being tested and the evaluators. From an operational viewpoint, even though the evaluation team through continuing liaison with USARADCOM attempted to establish the same standards used for the Nike-Hercules units on the mainland, practical considerations introduced some doubt as to whether the standards used within USARHAW were higher or lower than those used throughout ARADCOM. In view of the above, JA approved a recommendation submitted by this headquarters to send the Nike-Hercules units from Hawaii to the range operated by ARADCOM starting in FY 68. The resulting uniformity in evaluation standards, the training advantages gained
through the expertise of the ARADCOM evaluation team, the improved morale, and the better training relationships between USARHAW personnel and the Nike-Hercules units conclusively demonstrated that this change will continue to improve the operational readiness of Nike-Hercules units in Hawaii.

(c) Recommendation. That the Nike-Hercules units from Hawaii continue to be sent for Annual Service Practice to the missile range operated by USARADCOM in New Mexico.

d. Intelligence. None.

e. Logistics. None.

f. Organization. None.

g. Reports.

(1) Operational Reports - Lessons Learned (ORLL):

(a) Observation: The scope and format of the ORLL are not compatible with optimum reporting of the activities of this headquarters.

(b) Evaluation: Headquarters, USARHAW is a major Army headquarters engaged in general and special staff activities. Training conducted at this command is in strict accordance with directives from Headquarters, USARPAC and appropriate Army Training Programs, and are not conducive to reporting under Section 2 of the ORLL. Evaluation of this training can most effectively be accomplished in geographical areas conducting combat or stability operations. It is believed that historical summary is a more appropriate document from this headquarters.

(c) Recommendation: This headquarters be relieved of the requirement to submit Section II of the ORLL or be permitted to re-establish the Quarterly Historical Report.
(2) Suspense Date for the ORLL:

(a) Observation. The short suspense date for submission of the ORLL is unrealistic.

(b) Evaluation. The preparing organization is allowed 15 days to finalize and submit its ORLL. In major headquarters the staff sections must prepare feeder reports after the end of the reporting period. These feeders must then be rearranged into topical narrative format, edited, and retyped in final form. To accomplish these functions and produce a meaningful finished product requires more than the 15 days allowed. Attempts to meet the prescribed suspense date may result in omission of important data or inclusion of extraneous or inaccurate information due to haste. AR 525-15 imposes no suspenses on commanders indorsing the ORLL. It appears, therefore, that the date of receipt by ACSFOR is not crucial.

(c) Recommendation. That the suspense date for submission of the ORLL be extended for preparing organizations to a minimum of 30 days.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

[Signature]

JOHN D. GIERE
ILT, AGC
Asst AG
GPOE-PT (1 Jul 68) 1st Ind
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HQ, US Army, Pacific, APO San Francisco 96558 20 JUL 1968

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, Department of the
Army, Washington, D.C. 20310

1. This headquarters has evaluated subject report and concurs therein
subject to the comments in subsequent paragraphs.

2. Reference paragraph 1e, Budget and Funding. The data contained in
the report were current at the time the USARHAW Command Operating
Budget (COB) was submitted to Headquarters USARPAC on 29 February 1968.
In the development of the USARPAC COB for submission to DA on 15 April
1968, the following updating of the USARHAW COB was affected:

   a. Unfinanced requirements of $4,221,000:

      (1) Programmed within theater dollar guidance provided by DA
      Program and Budget dollar guidance:

         (a) PRI 1 BP 2400 MAP/GNA Realignment - MACV $ 35,000
         (b) PRI 4 BP 2300 Renovation of Ammunition 93,000
         (c) PRI 5 BP 2300 Calib Service - Navy MIIPR 36,000
         (d) PRI 6 BP 2300 In-House Calibration Support 25,000
         (e) PRI 7 BP 2500 Supplies and Equip - USARHAW 37,000
         (f) PRI 8 BP 2000 Spt of Army Dependent Youth Activities 90,000

      (2) Recognized and submitted to DA in the theater COB:

         (a) PRI 2 BP 2100 MAP/GNA Realignment - COMUSNACTHAI 988,000
         (b) PRI 3 BP 2100 MAP/GNA Realignment - DEPCJUSMAGTHAI 1,088,000
         (c) PRI 9 BP 2000 Reduction in Essential Maint Backlog
             (HAW submitted $1,829,000) 535,000
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b. USARHAW COB for FHPA submitted items (1) and (2) which were included in theater COB to DA. Headquarters USARPAC added item (3).

(1) Replacement of Movable Equipment 197,000

(2) Reduction of Essential Maintenance Backlog 463,000

(3) Weatherproof 76 units at Schofield Barracks 74,000

3. Reference paragraph 2a, Personnel. Detailed requisition information on current and projected MOS shortages in USARPAC PREUSARNUCV units was forwarded to DA (ATTN: OPS-GEN) by Secret letter, this headquarters, GPOP-OP, Subject: Letter of Transmittal (U), dated 15 May 1968.

4. Reference paragraphs 2g(1) (a) (b) (c): Nonconcur. AR 525-15 and USARPAC Reg 525-15 are considered to be appropriately applicable to Headquarters, USARHAW. Since this is the first report submitted under AR 525-15, some degree of misinterpretation developed. These problems have been solved by subsequent consultation with representatives of the preparing office.

5. Reference paragraph 2g(2) (c): Nonconcur. An informal DA survey, correlating the submission dates of various ORR with their content indicates that, in general, those units which submit excellent reports are submitting them within the prescribed 15 day suspense period. It is apparent that organizations which collect data throughout the reporting period, and simply collate the data at the end of the period, are producing the best reports and are having the least difficulty in meeting the suspense date imposed by AR 525-15.

FOR THE COMMANDER IN CHIEF:

[Signature]

Cl. SHORFT
CPT. ACC
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Cy furn:
CG USARHAW
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