Concentration of Rift Valley Fever and Chikungunya Viruses by Precipitation
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Simple and efficient methods for concentrating Rift Valley fever (RVF) virus and chikungunya (CHIK) virus are described. Ammonium sulfate, potassium sulfate, or alcohol was used as a precipitating agent and the precipitate was resuspended to volumes suitable for further processing and purification. The methods permitted concentration of live RVF virus and CHIK virus about 100-fold with negligible losses of virus. RVF virus retained a high level of infectivity with potassium aluminum sulfate and alcohol, but CHIK virus retained a higher infectivity level with ammonium sulfate than with potassium aluminum sulfate. The data indicate that serum plays an important role in the concentration of both viruses, at least when the sulfate methods are used.

Certain viruses have been concentrated by adsorption to salts and molecular gels, e.g., polioviruses on aluminum hydroxide gels (14, 16) and on calcium and aluminum phosphate gel (19), fowl plaque influenza, Newcastle, and mumps viruses on calcium phosphate (3, 15) or aluminum phosphate (5, 11), and poliovirus and enteroviruses by precipitation with cations (6, 7, 10), by chromatography on cellulose ion-exchange columns (8, 18), and by precipitation with methanol with further purification by elution, ultracentrifugation, and enzymatic treatment (9, 17).

In contrast to the methods mentioned above, which require complex equipment, this report describes simple procedures for concentrating Rift Valley fever (RVF) and chikungunya (CHIK) viruses. In these procedures, the viruses are precipitated or adsorbed to ammonium sulfate or potassium sulfate, or they are extracted by an alcohol technique.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viral strains. The small-plaque variant (1) of the pantropic van Wyk strain (V. R. Kaschula, D.V.S. Thesis, Univ. of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa) of RVF virus was used. Origin and maintenance of this strain were described earlier (20). The African Chik-2 strain of CHIK virus, harvested 42 hr post-infection, was prepared as a 10% mouse brain suspension in medium 199 containing 400 units of penicillin and 400 fig of streptomycin per ml.

Viral assay procedures. Diluent for RVF virus was one part of medium 199 and two parts of Hanks balanced salt solution (v/v) supplemented with 10% calf serum; CHIK virus was diluted in heart infusion broth. Fort Detrick Swiss-Webster strain mice weighing 8 to 10 g and suckling mice less than 30 hr old were used, respectively, for the RVF and CHIK virus assay. Eight mice per dilution were challenged intracerebrally with 0.03 ml of viral material. Deaths were recorded for 6 days postinoculation; only those occurring after 24 hr were used in the calculation of the 50% mouse intracerebral lethal dose (MICLD50) by the probit method (4).

Tissue strains and cultural procedure. Two variants of Earle's L cells were used to propagate the RVF virus: (i) a selected clone designated clone II made from the L-MA line initially obtained from Donald Merchant, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, and (ii) the L-DR line obtained from William F. Daniels, Fort Detrick, Frederick, Md. Growth medium for L-MA clone II was medium 199 supplemented with 0.5% Bacto-agar; medium for the L-DR cells was composed of Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% bovine serum, as modified by Daniels et al. (2). These cultures were routinely plated on Mycoplasma Agar Medium (Grand Island Biological Laboratories, Grand Island, N.Y.) and were found to be free from Mycoplasma-like growth. Cell cultures were routinely grown in antibiotic-free medium. Suspension cultures of cells were grown in shaker flasks (21).

Prior to infection with RVF virus, tissue cells near the peak of the log phase were diluted to approximately 2 x 10^6 cells/ml in the same type of growth medium used to produce the culture. RVF at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of either 0.01 or 0.001 (ratio of virus MICLD50 to a single tissue cell (20)) was seeded directly into the tissue cell culture. Flasks were incubated at 37 C for 72 hr on a reciprocating shaker (3 inch (7.6-cm) stroke, 100 strokes/min).

A variant of Earle's L cell, CCLI 929, was used to propagate CHIK virus in a monolayer system. Cells were grown in medium 199 supplemented with
5% calf serum and containing 100 units of penicillin and 100 μg of streptomycin per ml. At the time of virus inoculation, the growth medium was replaced with fresh medium. An MOI of 2.5 was used to inoculate the 24-hr-old monolayer culture in Roux bottles. After 48 hr of incubation at 37°C, the fluids were pooled and titrated in suckling mice.

Concentration of virus. Cellular debris was removed from the RVF virus suspension by low-speed centrifugation (480 X g for 10 min) in a Servall RC-2 centrifuge at 4°C, and the cold supernatant fluid (4°C) was concentrated on the same day. Cell debris was not a problem with CHIK virus grown monolayer, so the centrifugation step was not necessary prior to concentration.

Three techniques were employed. In the first, stock solution of 100% saturated ammonium sulfate was prepared, the pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 1 N sodium hydroxide, and, to each original starting volume of cold (4°C) supernatant fluid, stock (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added intermittently in small amounts with the pH maintained at 7.4 by use of NaHCO₃. After 15 min, the cold (4°C), treated supernatant fluid was centrifuged for 30 min at 6,000 X g in the SS-34 rotor of a Servall RC-2 centrifuge operating at 4°C. The volume of supernatant fluid was measured and titrated. The precipitate was then suspended in an equal volume of medium 199 plus 10%; bovine serum.

The second concentration technique employed alum (potassium aluminum sulfate, KAI (SO₄)₂·12H₂O) to precipitate the virus from the supernatant fluid. A 5%; stock solution of alum was prepared, and the pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 1 N sodium hydroxide. To each 100-ml volume of cold (4°C) supernatant fluid, 2 ml of 5%; stock solution was added (final concentration of alum was 0.1%). The alum stock solution was added intermittently while the pH was kept at 7.4 with sodium bicarbonate. After 15 min, the cold (4°C), treated supernatant fluid was centrifuged in the SS-34 rotor of a Servall RC-2 centrifuge operating at 4°C for 30 min at 6,000 X g. The volume of the supernatant fluid and precipitate was measured, and they were titrated and discarded as described above.

In the third procedure, the supernatant after tissue-cell removal was cooled to -1°C in a dry ice and water mixture, and precooled absolute methanol (-10°C) was added in a ratio of 1:5 (alcohol to virus supernatant). The virus-alcohol mixture was held at -10°C overnight or approximately 16 to 18 hr, after which the mixture was centrifuged for 30 min at 6,000 X g in the SS-34 rotor of a Servall RC-2 centrifuge operating at 4°C. The supernatant was decanted, and the precipitate was suspended in an equal volume of peptone-supplemented medium 199 with 10% bovine serum added.

Analysis of data. The techniques utilized to concentrate both RVF and CHIK viruses provide certain known values: i.e., the volume and concentration of (i) the original virus suspension, (ii) the supernatant fluid, and (iii) the precipitate. These concentrations were calculated on both per milliliter and total volume bases. Results reported show the degree of concentration achieved and the per cent recovery of infectivity.

RESULTS

The results with both CHIK and RVF viruses provide some direct evidence that the virions are associated with the serum protein and that they are readily concentrated by precipitation with one or the other of the sulfates or the alcohol employed.

Tables 1 and 2 summarize the results obtained with ammonium sulfate and alum, respectively. Both viruses reacted similarly in that larger infectivity losses occurred in serum-free than in serum-supplemented medium. Greater concentration of RVF virus occurred with alum than with ammonium sulfate, and the converse was true for CHIK virus. In all cases, by either of the two precipitation techniques, the volume was reduced 98%; or more. However, two of five attempts to precipitate CHIK virus produced in serum-free medium resulted in no precipitation by ammonium sulfate (Table 1).

Substantial losses in infectivity occurred in both viruses grown in serum-free medium (Table 1) and concentrated by ammonium sulfate precipitation. Although the volume of the virus suspension was effectively reduced to 2%; of the original volume, only 5.2 and 28.5%; of the initial infectivity were recovered in the precipitates for RVF and CHIK viruses, respectively. Similar results were obtained when RVF virus was propagated in serum-supplemented medium and concentrated by this process. The initial volume was reduced to 1%; but only 12.9%; of the original infectivity was recovered in the precipitate. In contrast, when CHIK virus was propagated in serum-supplemented medium and precipitated by this process, a high degree of concentration was achieved. The initial volume was reduced to 2%; with 73.5%; of initial infectivity recovered; however, 1 to 5%; of the original infectivity was found in the supernatant. This demonstrated an overall loss of infectivity of approximately 21 to 25%. Table 3 shows an example of a single test when 3,000 ml of virus suspension was reduced to 60 ml without loss of infectivity.

The original titer of CHIK virus suspensions had no effect on the efficiency of the process, because starting materials with both high (9.0 log₁₀ MICLD₅₀/ml) and low (7.7 log₁₀ MICLD₅₀/ml) infectivity titers were concentrated as much as 100-fold without appreciable loss of infectivity. This concentration technique proved to be rapid and very convenient for concentrating CHIK virus to volumes suitable for storage and preparative ultracentrifugation.

When ammonium sulfate proved ineffective in concentrating RVF virus, it was postulated that the properties of the two viruses differed either in the isoelectric points of their protein or in the
Table 1. Concentration of Rift Valley fever (RVF) and chikungunya (CHIK) viruses by ammonium sulfate precipitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Infectivity (log₁₀ MICLD₅₀/ml)</th>
<th>Recovered infectivity (% of total vol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Original suspension</td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum-free</td>
<td>RVF (4 replications)</td>
<td>6.2                1.0</td>
<td>6.5         0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHIK (5 replications)*</td>
<td>7.9                0.45</td>
<td>8.8         0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum-supplemented</td>
<td>RVF (3 replications)</td>
<td>7.0                1.46</td>
<td>7.6         0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHIK (24 replications)</td>
<td>8.5                0.07</td>
<td>9.8         0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Precipitate was not recovered in two cases; thus, the data for the original suspension are based on five replicates, but all remaining data are based on three.

Table 2. Concentration of Rift Valley fever (RVF) and chikungunya (CHIK) viruses by potassium aluminum sulfate precipitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Infectivity (log₁₀ MICLD₅₀/ml)</th>
<th>Recovered infectivity (% of total vol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Original suspension</td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum-free</td>
<td>RVF (10 replications)</td>
<td>6.9                0.38</td>
<td>7.2         0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHIK (6 replications)</td>
<td>7.7                0.32</td>
<td>8.3         0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum-supplemented</td>
<td>RVF (17 replications)</td>
<td>6.4                0.19</td>
<td>8.1         0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHIK (12 replications)</td>
<td>8.1                0.16</td>
<td>8.7         0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Example of the concentration achieved on large volumes of chikungunya (CHIK) and Rift Valley fever (RVF) virus suspensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Vol</th>
<th>Log₁₀ MICLD₅₀/ml</th>
<th>Log total MICLD₅₀ *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHIK</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVF</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Volume × MICLD₅₀/ml = total MICLD₅₀.

Therefore, different precipitants were tested.

Data on the concentration of these two viruses by alum precipitation are given in Table 2. Both viruses propagated in serum-free medium showed a substantial decrease in volume, but, again, exhibited large losses both in infectivity and in recovery of initial infectivity in the precipitate.

In contrast, RVF virus was concentrated by alum precipitation in serum-supplemented medium (Table 2). The volume of RVF virus culture was reduced to 1/10 with better than 100% recovery of initial infectivity in the precipitate. Table 3 shows an example of a single run in which 600 ml of virus suspension was reduced to 13 ml with no loss of infectivity. Infectivity titrations of the supernatant showed a retention of 25 to 50% of initial infectivity and suggested that more virus was present than in the initial culture. Note that quantitation of results in this paper is based on infectivity and not on number of virus particles present in the culture material. Polsen and Levitt (13) presented evidence suggesting that RVF virus produces infective particles that cannot be sedimented, that are filamentous, and that contain lipoid material. This explanation or that of incomplete virus (12) may explain these results.

Conversely, CHIK virus lost 82.5% of its initial infectivity after alum precipitation, in contrast to 25% lost after ammonium sulfate precipitation.

Results for precipitation of RVF virus with alcohol were obtained by using one concentration of alcohol (20%), one holding period (18 hr at
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- 10 C), and one centrifuge speed (2,000 rev/min), for the concentration of RVF virus particles. This method resulted in concentration of infective virus about 100-fold with little loss of infectivity (Table 4). The supernatant was completely clarified and void of infectious virus particles after one centrifugation; thus, the time-consuming task of additional centrifugation was eliminated. Quantita-
tion of the precipitate showed 50 to 80% recovery of infectivity after concentration by this process.

DISCUSSION

Advantages of the precipitation techniques employed here are that a large volume of material can be concentrated to a volume (Table 3) suitable for storage and preparative ultracentrifugation, and that virus infectivity is not lost during the concentration process under conditions favorable for that particular virus (alum and alcohol precipitation for RVF virus and ammonium sulfate precipitation in serum-containing medium for CHIK virus). We cannot explain why one salt (ammonium sulfate) concentrates CHIK virus and another salt (potassium aluminum sulfate) concentrates RVF virus. It is apparent, however, that serum does play an important role in the concentration of both viruses. These concentration techniques further have the advantage of simplicity and a scale-up potential for any volume of culture. They should be particularly useful for purification of antigen for vaccine production. In addition, the alcohol precipitation method appears to offer potential for concentrating viruses that contain essential lipid material and are sensitive to ether or other fat solvents.
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