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This report is part of a study whose ultimate objective is the development of adequate procedures for estimating the backscattering from a class of rough surfaces at oblique angles of incidence. In order to avoid a complete reliance on the physical optics approximation which is inaccurate at angles well away from specular and does not provide a reliable estimate of the de-polarizing effect of the surface, the approach that is adopted is to postulate a simple and deterministic base surface which is itself 'rough'. By taking this base to be perfectly conducting, periodic and of infinite extent, the true surface field can be determined, as can the scattering at all angles of incidence. More general surface configurations such as might be appropriate to the sea are then treated by a modification or perturbation of the field on the periodic base.

The first step in this process is to investigate the scattering of a plane wave by a two-dimensional, perfectly conducting periodic surface. This is the only topic considered in the present report.
Numerical procedures are developed for the digital solution of the integral equations for the currents induced in a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface when a plane electromagnetic wave is incident. Data are obtained for both the surface and far fields for a variety of sinusoidal surfaces for plane waves of either polarization at oblique as well as normal incidence, and the results are compared with the predictions of physical optics.
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INTRODUCTION

The problem of the scattering of an electromagnetic wave by a periodic surface is one which is easy to formulate but difficult to solve and it is only recently, with the advent of the high speed computer, that reliable results have been obtained.

Prior to this time, a variety of approximate analytical treatments had appeared in the literature, most of them being based on the approach originated by Lord Rayleigh (1878). Assuming the surface to be infinite in extent and making use of the periodicity in (say) the coordinate x, Rayleigh expanded the scattered field in discrete spectrum of outgoing plane waves, which representation was assumed to hold right down to the surface. Application of the boundary condition leads to a single equation, valid for all x, from which to determine the complex amplitudes of the scattered waves, infinite in number. It is at this stage that approximations must be made, and the literature is replete with methods all of which are similar in character. Thus, for a perfectly conducting sinusoidal surface, Rayleigh (1878) obtained a solution by successive approximation based on the initial neglect of all attenuated waves; for the same surface, Tai (1948) proceeded via an orthogonal mode expansion, followed by matrix truncation, and others have pursued essentially the same path. An analogous treatment for a periodic interface between two homogeneous media was developed by Rayleigh (1907), and applied to a sinusoidal profile by Pavageau (1963) and to a triangular profile by Bousquet (1963).

At best, all such solutions are valid only for corrugations whose height is much smaller than the free space wavelength, and in an attempt to overcome this restriction, Meecham (1956) used a variational method to find the angular distribution of scattered energy for a perfectly conducting grating. The scattered field was represented as a linear combination of known solutions of the
wave equation whose coefficients were obtained by a least squares fit to the boundary condition at the surface, and the procedure was then applied to a triangular (or saw tooth) profile. A somewhat different approach was taken by Eckart (1953) and Brekhovskikh (1952) who both used variations on the Kirchhoff approximation, and by Senior (1959) who employed physical optics. In either case the determination of the scattered field is reduced to quadratures, and Senior showed that for a plane wave at normal incidence on a sinusoidal grating, the physical optics integral can be evaluated exactly to give the complex amplitudes of the scattered waves. As indicated in Appendix A of this Report, the same is true for both polarizations, and for oblique incidence as well as normal. It should be emphasized, however, that the solution is still approximate by virtue of the postulated surface field distribution, and the failures of the physical optics estimate of the surface fields are examined in Chapter III of this Report.

A method which is quite distinct from all of the above was developed by Sivov (1964) who used conformal transformation and a consideration of the static limit to analyze reflections from periodic surfaces with shallow and deep corrugations. The procedure is similar to that recently employed by Millar (1969, 1970) to investigate the inherent limitation of Rayleigh's method. As first noted by Lippmann (1953), it is not in general valid to assume that the expansion of the scattered field as a discrete spectrum of outgoing waves alone holds over the entire scattering surface, and this fact was later verified by Petit and Cadilhac (1964) in the case of a sinusoidal grating. In any general treatment of the grating problem it is therefore necessary to allow 'ingoing' waves in the immediate vicinity of the surface.

Without exception, all of these analytical attempts to determine the fields scattered by periodic surfaces are subject to approximation, either implicit or
explicit, and it is only with the use of high speed computers that have permitted
the direct digital solution of integral equations that reliable results have been
obtained.

Most of the initial work in this area was carried out by the French inves-
tigators, such as Petit, Cadilhac and Wirgin, and was motivated by the desire
for more efficient optical diffraction. In his early papers, Petit (see, for
example, 1963) followed Rayleigh's approach in expressing the scattered field
as a discrete spectrum of outgoing waves alone, leading to a matrix equation
for the determination of the spectral amplitudes. Since the matrix was then
truncated and inverted numerically, it will be appreciated that the method is
no more than a digitization of that originated by Rayleigh. However, in later
papers (Petit, 1967), the Rayleigh assumption was circumvented by using an
integral equation formulation. Series expansions were adopted for the incident
and scattered fields and the integral equation converted to a matrix equation
which was solved numerically. Specific results were obtained for plane wave
incidence on gratings with triangular profiles, and the efficiencies computed.
A rather different approach was taken by Pavageau (1967) who derived the in-
tegral equation directly in terms of the unknown surface current. The equation
was cast in the form of a nonhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind
and solved by iteration.

Methods which are very similar to that which we shall use have been em-
ployed by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) and by Green (1970). The former con-
sidered scattering by periodic structures, either dielectric or perfectly con-
ducting. The integral equation was obtained from Green's theorem and the
modified Green's function expressed in either of two ways depending on the
parameters of the surface. The first (space harmonic) representation is
analogous to that used by Petit; the second consists of an infinite series of
Hankel functions, and was computed using a Mellin transform and an asymptotic comparison scheme. Numerical data were obtained for sinusoidal profiles. Green (1970) also used the space harmonic representation, but improved its convergence by summation techniques. Data were presented for the surface fields and diffraction efficiencies of perfectly conducting gratings with triangular profiles.

The present work also employs the numerical approach, and is concerned with the scattering of electromagnetic waves by infinite, perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surfaces of arbitrary but continuous profile. Plane wave incidence is assumed, with either E or H polarization, and both normal and oblique incidence are considered. A representation of the Green's function is employed that is similar to that used by Green (1970), and the convergence improved still further by subtracting the dc terms. This has the added advantage of making explicit the behavior of the Green's function in a neighborhood of its singularity. Digital programs have been written for computing the surface and scattered fields, and the program listings are included in Appendix B. Data are presented for a variety of sinusoidal profiles, and the results compared with the physical optics predictions.
FORMULATION OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR NUMERICAL SOLUTION

We consider here an infinite, perfectly conducting periodic surface illuminated by a plane electromagnetic wave. Since the surface is assumed two dimensional in the sense of being independent of a Cartesian coordinate z, the entire problem is two dimensional, and the most general solution can be deduced from the particular solutions appropriate to incident plane waves having either $E$ or $H$ in the $z$ direction, i.e. parallel to the corrugations. In either case, the problem is essentially scalar.

2.1 Formulation

It is convenient to develop first the integral equation in the somewhat simpler case of a scattering surface of finite extent. Let $S$ (see Fig. 2-1) be this surface, and surround it by another closed surface $S_R$. Let $\psi(r)$ and $G(r|\mathbf{r'})$ be two scalar functions which are continuous, together with their first and second derivatives, on $S$ and $S_R$ and throughout the volume $V$ enclosed by them. Assume, moreover, that $\psi(r)$ satisfies the homogeneous wave equation

$$\nabla^2 + k^2 \psi(r) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

inside and on the boundaries of $V$, whereas

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2) G(r|\mathbf{r'}) = - \delta(r-r') \quad (2.2)$$

Applying Green's theorem to the volume $V$, we obtain
FIG. 2-1: Geometry for the application of Green's theorem.
by virtue of the properties of the delta function. We now identify $\psi(r)$ with a total field

$$\psi^{\text{tot}}(r) = \psi^i(r) + \psi^s(r)$$

where $\psi^i(r)$ is an incident plane wave originated by a source at infinity (and therefore outside $V$), and $\psi^s(r)$ is the field scattered by the surface $S$. Since $\psi^s(r)$ must satisfy a radiation condition at infinity, its contribution to the integral over $S_R$ decreases to zero as the surface $S_R$ recedes to infinity, whereas $\psi^i(r)$ contributes itself. Equation (2.3) then becomes

$$\psi^{\text{tot}}(r) = \psi^i(r) + \int_S \left\{ G(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r'}) \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \psi(\mathbf{r'}) - \psi(\mathbf{r'}) \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} G(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r'}) \right\} dS' ,$$

and in spite of the assumptions of an incident plane wave and a surface of finite extent, Eq. (2.4) is also valid for an arbitrary incident field and for a surface $S$ extending to infinity. In the latter case, however, the proof is by no means trivial (Jones, 1952).

The particular situation of concern to us is that in which $S$ is doubly infinite and divides space into two regions. It is then sufficient to integrate over only the upper ('illuminated') side of the surface. We also assume that $S$ is independent of the coordinate $z$, and this allows us to distinguish two particular cases according
to the polarization of the incident field. Mks units are employed and a time factor $e^{j\omega t}$ suppressed throughout.

2.1.1 $E$ (or horizontal) Polarization

If $E^i_z = E^i_z$, the electric vector in the scattered field will also be confined to the $z$ direction, and we can make the identification

$$\psi(r) = E^\text{tot}_z(r).$$

Since the normal derivative of $E^\text{tot}_z$ is related to the surface current density $K_z$ by the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} E^\text{tot}_z(r') = -j\omega \mu K_z(r'),$$

where $\mu$ is the permeability of free space, Eq. (2.4) can be written as

$$E^\text{tot}_z(r) = E^i_z(r) - j\omega \mu \int_S \left\{ G(z'|z) K_z(z') + \frac{1}{j\omega \mu} E^\text{tot}_z(r') \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(z'|z') \right\} dS'. $$

At the perfectly conducting surface $S$ the boundary condition is

$$E^\text{tot}_z(r) = 0$$

and hence, on allowing $r$ to approach the surface, Eq. (2.7) gives rise to the integral equation
For a two-dimensional problem the free space Green's function is

\[ G(\vec{r}|\vec{r}') K_z(\vec{r}'; \vec{r}) ds' = \frac{1}{j \omega \mu} E_z^i(\vec{r}) \]  
(2.9)

where \( K_0^2 \) is the zero order Hankel function of the second kind. The final integral equation is therefore

\[ \int_{S} K_z(\vec{r}') H_0^2(k |\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| ) d\Omega = \frac{4}{\omega \mu} E_z^i(\vec{r}) \]  
(2.11)

from which \( K_z \) has to be determined.

2.1.2 H (or vertical) Polarization

If \( H^i = H_z^i \), the total magnetic field is likewise in the z direction, and on making the identification

\[ \psi(\vec{r}) = H_z^{\text{tot}}(\vec{r}) \]  
(2.12)

Eq. (2.4) becomes

\[ H_z^{\text{tot}}(\vec{r}) = H_z^i(\vec{r}) + \int_{S} \left\{ G(\vec{r} | \vec{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n} H_z^{\text{tot}}(\vec{r}') - H_z^i(\vec{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(\vec{r} | \vec{r}') \right\} d\Omega' \]  
(2.13)

The boundary condition at the perfectly conducting surface \( S \) is
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial n} H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r) = 0 \tag{2.14}
\]

and on allowing \( r \) to approach the surface, Maué (1949) has shown that (2.13) reduces to

\[
\frac{1}{2} H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r) = \frac{1}{2} H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r') - \int_{S} H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r') \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(r | r') \, ds'. \tag{2.15}
\]

The quantity \( H_{z}^{\text{tot}} \) is the induced current density, and since this current flows tangential to the surface in a plane perpendicular to the \( z \) direction, we can write

\[
K_{t}(r) = H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r) \hat{n}
\]

where \( \hat{n} \) is a unit vector tangential to \( S \). Inserting finally the expression (2.10) for the free space Green's function, we have

\[
\int_{S} K_{t}(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} H_{0}^{(2)}(k | r - r' |) \, dt' = 4i \left\{ H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r) - \frac{1}{2} K_{t}(r) \right\}, \tag{2.17}
\]

i.e.

\[
\int_{S} K_{t}(r) H_{1}^{(2)}(k | r - r' |) \cos(\theta_{t}, | r - r' |) \, dt' = 4i \left\{ H_{z}^{\text{tot}}(r) - \frac{1}{2} K_{t}(r) \right\}. \tag{2.18}
\]

This is an integral equation from which to determine \( K_{t} \).

2.2 Reduction of the Integral Equations for Periodic Surfaces

We now make use of the fact that the incident field is a plane wave and that the surface \( y = f(x) \) is periodic with period \( d \), i.e.
\[ f(x + md) = f(x), \quad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots \quad (2.19) \]

As before, it is convenient to consider separately the cases of E and H polarization.

2.2.1 E Polarization

Let us assume that

\[ E_z (r') = e^{-j(k(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)} \quad (2.20) \]

where \( \theta \) is the angle of incidence with respect to the normal to the mean surface. The integral equation is as shown in Eq. (2.11), but since the integration extends from \(-\infty\) to \(\infty\), this is not appropriate for a numerical solution. However, by invoking the periodic property of the surface, the integral can be reduced to one over a single period alone at the expense of a more complicated form for the kernel.

From Floquet's theorem, we have that

\[ K_z (r + md \hat{x}) = K_z (r) e^{-jkm \sin \theta}, \quad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots \quad (2.21) \]

Moreover,

\[ df' = \sqrt{1 + \left[ f'(x') \right]^2} \, dx' \]

where \( f'(x) \) is the derivative of \( f \) with respect to \( x \). This allows us to express the integral as one along the \( x' \) axis, and since

\[ |z - z'| = \sqrt{(x-x' - md)^2 + (y-y')^2} \]
we obtain
\[
\int_0^d \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)}\left( k \sqrt{(x-x'-md)^2+(y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkm\sin\theta} \sqrt{1+\left(f'(x')\right)^2} K_0(x') dx'
\]
\[= \frac{4}{\omega' e^{-jk(x\sin\theta - y\cos\theta)}}. \tag{2.22}
\]
valid for \(0 \leq x \leq d\) with \(y = f(x)\), \(y' = f(x')\).

In arriving at (2.22) we have implicitly assumed that interchanging the order of integration and summation is valid (a fact which is by no means obvious), but even so Eq. (2.21) is not a very promising equation for numerical purposes because of the extremely poor convergence of the infinite series constituting the kernel. To rectify this situation, consider
\[
P_1 = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)} \left( k \sqrt{(x-x'-md)^2+(y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkm\sin\theta}. \tag{2.23}
\]
Using the Fourier integral representation of the Hankel function, the Poisson summation formula (see, for example, Morse and Feshbach, 1953) applied to (2.23) gives
\[
P_1 = \frac{2}{\omega} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{d} \cdot \frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin\theta} \cdot e^{-j|y-y'|/X_m} X_m, \tag{2.24}
\]
where
\[
X_m = \sqrt{k^2 - \left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin\theta\right)^2} \tag{2.25}
\]
and the chosen branch of the square root is that for which
\[\text{Im} X_m < 0\]
in order to satisfy the radiation condition.

The expression (2.23) for \( P_1 \) has been used by Yen (1962) and Green (1970), and is equivalent to that obtained by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) using a space harmonic expansion. The features that should be noted are that if \( y \neq y' \) the successive terms decrease exponentially with increasing \( |m| \) for all

\[
| m + \frac{kd}{2\pi} \sin \theta | > \frac{kd}{2\pi},
\]

and that in addition there is an algebraic decrease (proportional to \( m^{-1} \)) provided by the factor \( \frac{1}{|m|} \) in the denominator.

We can produce still a further improvement in the convergence properties of the series by separating out the zero-frequency (\( k=0 \)) terms, and this has the added advantage of making explicit the behavior of \( P_1 \) in the vicinity of the singular point \( x'=x, \ y'=y \). Putting \( k = 0 \) in (2.24), we have

\[
P_1 \bigg|_{k=0} = -\frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|m|} \frac{2\pi}{d} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} (x-x') - \frac{2\pi}{d} |y-y'|} \left( -\frac{2\pi}{d} (x-x') - \frac{2\pi}{d} |y-y'| \right)
\]

and since

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} = -\frac{d}{2\pi} \log \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} (x-x') - \frac{2\pi}{d} |y-y'|} \right\}
\]

(see, for example, Collin, 1960), provided \( y' \neq y \), it follows that
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Hence, by subtracting the zero frequency value of each term of (2.24) and then adding (2.27), the integral equation (2.21) takes the form

\[
\int_0^d G_1(x, y; x', y') K_z(x') e^{\frac{jkx' \sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \left[ f'(x') \right]^2}} dx' = \frac{2d}{\omega \mu} e^{jky \cos \theta},
\]

where

\[
G_1(x, y; x', y') = \frac{1}{k \cos \theta} e^{-j |y-y'| \cos \theta}
\]

\[
- \frac{j \delta}{2\pi} \log \left[ \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} |y-y'| + j(x-x') \} \right\} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} |y-y'| - j(x-x') \} \right\} \right]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{-j 2m \pi}{d} (x-x') \left\{ \frac{e^{-j |y-y'| X_m^+}}{X_m^+} + \frac{e^{-2m \pi |y-y'|}}{\frac{2m \pi}{d}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{j 2m \pi}{d} (x-x') \left\{ \frac{e^{-j |y-y'| X_m^-}}{X_m^-} + \frac{e^{-2m \pi |y-y'|}}{\frac{2m \pi}{d}} \right\}
\]

(2.29)
with
\[ X_m^+ = \sqrt{k^2 - (\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k\sin\theta)^2}, \]
\[ X_m^- = \sqrt{k^2 - (\frac{2m\pi}{d} - k\sin\theta)^2}. \]  
(2.30)

We observe that the Green's function has now been expressed as the sum of a term involving explicitly the amplitude and the angle of incidence, a logarithmic function representing the true behavior of \( G \), in a neighborhood of its singularity, and two series which are themselves differences of two convergent series.

2.2.2 H Polarization

The incident field in this case is taken to be

\[ H_z^I (r) = e^{-jk(x\sin\theta - y\cos\theta)} \]  
(2.31)

and the reduction of the integral equation (2.17) to a form suitable for numerical solution proceeds in much the same way as for \( E \) polarization.

Since
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x')^2)}} \left\{ -f'(x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} \right\}, \]

Eq. (2.17) can be written as

\[ \int_0^d D_2 K^j (x') dx' = 4i \left\{ H_z^I (r) - \frac{1}{2} K_j (r) \right\} \]  
(3.32)
where

\[ P_2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left| f(x') \right|^2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)} \left( k \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkd \sin \theta} \]

\[ = -\frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_m} \left\{ f(x') \left( \frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin \theta \right) - X_m \, \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \times \]

\[ \times \left\{ e^{-j \left( \frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin \theta \right)(x-x')} - j |y-y'| X_m \right\} \]

\[ (2.33) \]

in which \( \text{sgn}(\tau) \) is the signum function and \( X_m \) is given in (2.25). The zero frequency (\( k=0 \)) limit of \( P_2 \) is

\[ P_2 \bigg|_{k=0} = \frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m}{|m|} f(x') + j \, \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')} - \frac{2\pi}{d} |m(y-y')| \]

\[ = \frac{2}{d} \left\{ f(x') + j \, \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d} |(x-x')-j|y-y'|} \]

\[ + \frac{2}{d} \left\{ -f(x') + j \, \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j\frac{2m\pi}{d} |(x-x')-j|y-y'|} \]

and since

\[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j|m|\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{2} \cot \frac{\tau}{2} \]
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we have

\[
P_2\bigg|_{k=0} = \frac{2}{d} f'(x') + \frac{d}{4} \left\{ f'(x') + j \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \cot \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{d} (x-x') + j \frac{\pi}{d} |y-y'| \right\}
+ \frac{1}{d} \left\{ -f'(x') + j \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \cot \left\{ \frac{\pi}{d} (x-x') + j \frac{\pi}{d} |y-y'| \right\}.
\] (2.34)

Hence, by subtracting the zero-frequency value of each term of (2.33) and then adding (2.34), the integral equation (2.32) takes the form

\[
\int_0^d G_2(x, y; x', y') K_t(x') dx' = j d \left\{ 2H_2^t(x) - K_t(x) \right\}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq d,
\] (2.35)

where

\[
G_2(x, y; x', y') = -j \text{sgn}(y-y') - \left\{ f'(x') \text{tan}\theta - \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} e^{-jksin\theta (x-x')} - jkcos\theta (y-y')
- je^{-jksin\theta (x-x')} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{2m\pi}{d} (x-x')} \left[ e^{\frac{-j |y-y'| X_m^+}{X_m}} \right]
\left\{ f'(x')\left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + jksin\theta \right) - X_m^+ \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} - e^{\frac{2m\pi}{d} |y-y'|}
\left\{ jf'(x') - \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\}
- je^{-jksin\theta (x-x')} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{2m\pi}{d} (x-x')} \left[ e^{\frac{-j |y-y'| X_m^-}{X_m}} \right]
\left\{ f'(x')\left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + jksin\theta \right) - X_m^- \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} e^{\frac{2m\pi}{d} |y-y'|}
\left\{ jf'(x') + \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\}
+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ f'(x') + j \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \cot \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{d} (x-x') + \frac{\pi}{d} |y-y'| \right\}
+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -f'(x') + j \text{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \cot \left\{ \frac{\pi}{d} (x-x') + \frac{\pi}{d} |y-y'| \right\}.
\] (2.36)
In spite of the obvious complexity of the Green's function $G_2$, its form is directly analogous to $G_1$ in consisting of certain explicit terms, a pair of cotangent functions representing the true behavior of $G_2$ in a neighborhood of its singularity, and two series which are themselves differences of two convergent series. Once again, therefore, the singularity of the Green's function has been separated out.

We further note that the integral equations (2.28) and (2.35) have been derived without approximation. In consequence, the formulation so far is exact for any two dimensional perfectly conducting periodic surface which is smooth in the sense of having a continuous first derivative.

2.3 Numerical Procedures

Methods for the numerical solution of integral equations have been extensively discussed in the literature (see, for example, Harrington, 1968). The general procedure consists of reducing the equation to a finite set of algebraic equations, i.e., to a matrix equation, and can be illustrated by considering

$$
\int_0^d G(x, x')K(x')dx' = F(x) , \quad 0 \leq x \leq d . \tag{2.37}
$$

We assume that the unknown function $K(x')$ can be expanded in terms of linearly independent base functions $\phi_n(x')$ such that

$$
K(x') \simeq \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \phi_n(x') \tag{2.38}
$$

where the $a_n$ are the associated constants. Substitution of (2.38) into (2.37) gives

$$
\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \int_0^d G(x, x') \phi_n(x')dx' = F(x) \tag{2.39}
$$
and the solution of the integral equation has now been reduced to the determination of the constants, \( a_n \), \( n = 1, 2, \ldots N \).

There are several possible ways of finding the \( a_n \), e.g. least squares fit, Galerkin's method, and the collocation method, and it is the last of these that we shall employ.

The collocation method converts (2.39) into a system of \( N \) linear equations by forcing the two sides of (2.39) to be equal at \( N \) sampling points in the interval \((0, d)\). This is simply a point matching procedure and results in the matrix equation

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \int_{0}^{d} G(x_m, x') \phi_n(x') \, dx' = F(x_m), \quad 0 \leq x_m \leq d, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots N. \tag{2.40}
\]

There now remains the problem of choosing the base functions \( \phi_n(x') \), and here again there are several possible choices, e.g. rectangular, quadratic and sinusoidal. By appropriate choice, we can economize in the number of sampling points required for an 'accurate' approximation to the solution \( K(x) \), and experience has shown that a rectangular function is not in general a good choice, whereas sinusoidal interpolation often works rather well.

The particular form of sinusoidal interpolation that we have adopted is predicated on the use of sampling points which are uniformly distributed in \( 0 \leq x' \leq d \). The range of integration is therefore broken up into \( N \) increments of length \( \Delta = d/N \) (see Fig. 202). Furthermore, let \( x'_n \) be the midpoint of the \( n \)'th cell, i.e. \( x'_n = (n - \frac{1}{2}) \Delta \), and let \( \Delta x'_n \) denote the interval \( x'_n - \frac{\Delta}{2} \leq x' \leq x'_n + \frac{\Delta}{2} \). We assume that

\[
K(x') = \begin{cases} 
A_n + B_n \sin k(x' - x_n) + C_n \cos k(x' - x_n) & \text{if } x' \in \Delta x'_n \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \tag{2.41}
\]
FIG. 2-2: Illustration of the interpolation procedure.
and specify the constants $A_n$, $B_n$ and $C_n$ by continuing the form appropriate to:

$x^n \in \Delta x'_n$ out to the centers of the adjacent cells and imposing continuity. Defining $K_n = K(x_n)$ and $K_{n_{+1}} = K(x_{n_{+1}})$, we have

\[
K_n = A_n + C_n, \\
K_{n+1} = A_n + B_n \sin k \Delta + C_n \cos k \Delta, \\
K_{n-1} = A_n - B_n \sin k \Delta + C_n \cos k \Delta,
\]

from which we obtain

\[
A_n = \frac{-K_{n+1} + 2K_n \cos k \Delta - K_{n-1}}{2(\cos k \Delta - 1)}, \\
B_n = \frac{K_{n+1} - K_{n-1}}{2\sin k \Delta}, \\
C_n = \frac{-2K_n + K_{n+1} + K_{n-1}}{2(\cos k \Delta - 1)}.
\]

Substitution of (2.41) and (2.42) into (2.40) now gives

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{\Delta x'_n} G(x_m, x') \left[ K_n \left\{ \frac{\cos k \Delta - \cos k(x'_n - x'_i)}{\cos k \Delta - 1} \right\} \\
+ K_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{-\sin k \Delta + (\cos k \Delta - 1) \sin k(x'_n - x'_i) + \sin k \Delta \cos k(x'_n - x'_i)}{2 \sin k \Delta (\cos k \Delta - 1)} \right\} \\
+ K_{n-1} \left\{ \frac{-\sin k \Delta - (\cos k \Delta - 1) \sin k(x'_n - x'_i) + \sin k \Delta \cos k(x'_n - x'_i)}{2 \sin k \Delta (\cos k \Delta - 1)} \right\} \right] dx'
\]

\[= F(x_m), \quad (2.43)\]

where $x_m = (m - \frac{1}{2}) \Delta$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. We note in passing that when $n = i$ or $N$
the periodicity of the problem must be used to determine the constants \( K_{-1} \) or \( K_{N+1} \) required in (2.43).

The above procedure is immediately applicable to the integral equations for \( E \) and \( H \) polarizations on inserting the appropriate values for the Green's function and the forcing function, but a brief comment is desirable concerning the treatment of the singular cell. The Green's functions of concern to us are singular when \( x = x' \), the singularity being logarithmic for \( E \) polarization, and a first order pole for \( H \) polarization, and it is therefore necessary to modify the numerical scheme when \( x_m = x_n \). In line with the usual practice, we divide the singular cell into three portions:

\[
(x_n - \frac{\Delta}{2}, x_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}), \quad (x_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, x_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}), \quad (x_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, x_n + \frac{\Delta}{2})
\]

with \( 0 < \epsilon < \Delta \). The first and third segments are handled by the standard numerical technique, whereas the central portion is treated analytically by means of a limiting process (Andreasen, 1964).
III

APPLICATION OF THE NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE
AND PRESENTATION OF DATA

3.1 Discussion

Although the only data that we shall present are for sinusoidally corrugated surfaces, it is well to remember that the formulation given in Chapter II is quite general and appropriate to any perfectly conducting, two-dimensional periodic surface. It is not even necessary that the surface have continuous first derivative, and providing care is taken of any singularities at sharp edges, the procedure is applicable to surfaces whose first derivative is only piecewise continuous as in the case of a saw-tooth profile. The particular numerical scheme that has been adopted is especially suitable for surfaces having \( 2\pi a/d >> 1 \), where \( a \) is the peak deviation of the surface from its mean, and \( d \) is the period. In the infinite series representation of the Green's function (see Eqs. (2.29) and (2.36)), the first few terms (for which \( |m\lambda/d + \sin \theta|<1 \) ) are oscillatory, but for larger \( m \) the terms decrease exponentially at a rate which is ultimately determined by the quantity \( 2\pi a/d \). Whereas the earlier terms correspond to propagating modes in the scattered field, the later ones correspond to evanescent modes, and it is only necessary to include a few of the latter in order to achieve adequate accuracy. It is therefore apparent that for surfaces of relatively small period ( \( d < \lambda \) ) the infinite series can be approximated by the first few terms alone.

The unknown function in the integral equation is the current induced in the surface, and this is the quantity that is computed initially. Knowing the surface field it is, of course, a trivial matter to determine the scattered field, and the means of obtaining this in the form that is most convenient for our purposes is described in Section 3.2.
As noted earlier, all of the numerical results given in this report are for sinusoidal surfaces whose profile is taken to be
\[ y = a \cos \frac{2\pi x}{d}. \] (3.1)

Several different combinations of \( d/\lambda \), \( a/\lambda \) and incidence angle are considered, and the distributions of surface and scattered fields computed. Comparisons with the predictions of physical optics are also given.

3.2 The Scattered Field

When a plane wave is incident on a periodic surface, the scattered field can be represented as an angular spectrum of plane waves, which spectrum is discrete by virtue of the periodic nature of the boundary condition at the surface. Each of the infinity of waves making up the spectrum has associated with it a diffraction angle which may be real or complex and is determined by the grating law. Whereas the amplitude of the wave is a function of the profile size and shape, and the directions of incidence and diffraction, the diffraction angle depends only on the value of \( d/\lambda \) and the direction of incidence.

A finite number of the diffracted waves represent propagating modes and these are the important ones far from the boundary. The remaining modes are evanescent and though these do not serve to carry energy away from the surface, they do play a vital role in affecting the amplitudes of the propagating modes.

The number of modes that propagate can be determined from the expressions for \( X_\pm^m \) given in Eq. (2.30): if \( X_+^m \) is real, the corresponding mode propagates without attenuation, whereas if \( X_-^m \) is pure imaginary, the mode is evanescent.

To find the (complex) amplitudes of the diffracted waves we proceed as follows.

Let \( y = f(x) \) be the profile of the surface and, for convenience, assume the incident plane wave to be \( E \) polarized. We then have
\[ E_z^s = e^{-jk(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)} \]

(see Eq. (2.20)), and by invoking the periodicity of the surface, the scattered field can be written as

\[ E_z^s = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-j(\beta_m x + X_m y)} \quad (3.2) \]

for \( y \geq \max. f(x) \), where

\[ \beta_m = \frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin \theta, \]

and

\[ X_m = -\sqrt{k^2 - \beta_m^2}. \]

The field arising from the currents induced in the surface is given by

\[ E_z^d(x, y) = \frac{\omega \mu}{4} \int_0^d P_1 \sqrt{1 + \left(\Gamma(x')\right)^2} K_z(x') \, dx' \quad (3.3) \]

where \( P_1 \) is as shown in (2.24). In particular, this is valid for \( y \geq \max. f(x) \), and hence, by combining (2.22), (2.24), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

\[ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-j(\beta_m x + X_m y)} = \int_0^d \frac{\omega \mu}{2dX_m} e^{-j\left\{\beta_m (x-x')+X_m (y-y')\right\}} \sqrt{1 + \left(\Gamma(x')\right)^2} K_z(x') \, dx'. \]

from which we obtain

\[ A_m = \frac{\omega \mu}{2dX_m} \int_0^d e^{j(\beta_m x' + X_m y')} \sqrt{1 + \left(\Gamma(x')\right)^2} K_z(x') \, dx'. \quad (3.4) \]

If the incident wave is H polarized, the procedure is directly analogous.

For the incident magnetic field shown in (2.31), we write
Having determined the current distributions \( K_z(x') \) and \( K_T(x') \), it is therefore a trivial matter to compute the amplitudes of all the diffracted modes, both propagating and evanescent, and the amplitudes of the propagating waves for several different values of \( d/\lambda \), \( a/\lambda \) and \( \theta \) are given in Tables III-1 through III-4.

A widely used technique for estimating the field which is scattered by an object is the physical optics method in which the surface field is approximated by its geometrical optics value. Although the estimates are deficient as regards the polarization characteristics of the scattering, the method often provides an adequate approximation of the scattering if all dimensions (including radii of curvature) of the scattering surface are large compared with the wavelength, and because of its great convenience, the method is commonly employed in rough surface scattering analyses even when all radii are not large. A matter that is then of some debate is whether shadowing should be taken into account, and, if so, how. According to the physical optics method the current induced in the surface is

\[
K = 2 \hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}^i
\]

(3.7)

in the illuminated region, and

\[
K = 0
\]

(3.8)

in the geometrical shadow, where \( \hat{n} \) is the outward normal to the surface.
TABLE III-1: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: \(d = 0.2 \lambda\), \(a = 0.1\lambda\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\theta)</th>
<th>(E) WAVE</th>
<th>(H) WAVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mag. (A_0)</td>
<td>Phase (\phi_0) (^\circ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 0^\circ)</td>
<td>1.0050</td>
<td>50.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 30^\circ)</td>
<td>0.6425</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 60^\circ)</td>
<td>0.6425</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \(\phi_0\) | \(\phi_0\) \(^\circ\) | \(\phi_0\) \(^\circ\) | \(\phi_0\) \(^\circ\) | \(\phi_0\) \(^\circ\) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Numerical Solution | 1.0050 | 50.81 | 0.990 | 44.33 |
| Physical Optics w/o Shadow | 0.6425 | 0 | 0.7251 | 0 |
| Physical Optics w/ Shadow | 0.6425 | 0 | 0.1253 | 15.96 |

TABLE III-2: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: \(d = 1.9\lambda\), \(a = 0.25\lambda\), \(\theta = 0^\circ\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\theta)</th>
<th>(E) WAVE</th>
<th>(H) WAVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mag. (A_0)</td>
<td>Phase (\phi_0) (^\circ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 0^\circ)</td>
<td>0.4920</td>
<td>19.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 30^\circ)</td>
<td>0.3042</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta = 60^\circ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III-2: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: $d = 0.4\lambda$, $a = 0.2\lambda$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\theta = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 60^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 60^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mag. $</td>
<td>A_0</td>
<td>$ Phase $\phi_0^0$</td>
<td>Mag. $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Solution</td>
<td>0.9998 -80.81</td>
<td>1.0230 49.89</td>
<td>0.9350 72.81</td>
<td>1.0140 34.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Optics w/o Shadow</td>
<td>0.0549 0</td>
<td>0.7450 0</td>
<td>0.0549 0</td>
<td>0.6450 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Optics w/Shadow</td>
<td>0.0549 0</td>
<td>0.4750 60.37</td>
<td>0.0549 0</td>
<td>0.1290 48.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III-4: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: $d=0.2\lambda$, $a=0.03\lambda$, $\theta = 0^\circ$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\theta = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 60^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 0^\circ$</th>
<th>$\theta = 60^\circ$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mag. $</td>
<td>A_0</td>
<td>$ Phase $\phi_0^0$</td>
<td>Mag. $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Solution</td>
<td>1.0000 8.12</td>
<td>0.9986 -0.55</td>
<td>0.9986 -0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Optics</td>
<td>0.9647 0</td>
<td>0.9647 0</td>
<td>0.9647 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In many instances, however, some of the failures in the resulting estimates of the scattering can be traced to the discontinuity in the surface field created at the shadow boundaries, and improved estimates can be had by using (3.7) over the entire surface, shadowed as well as lit.

In the present case of a periodic surface, the amplitudes of the diffracted modes predicted by either version of the method can easily be obtained by inserting the postulated expressions for the current into Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6). A computer program has been written to carry out the calculation, and the appropriate results are included in Tables III-1 through III-4. It will be noted that the results produced by either version of the method show very little agreement with the exact data. In general, the physical optics values, with or without shadowing included, tend to be too small, and we further note that if shadowing is excluded (or is not present), the physical optics estimates are the same for both polarizations (see Appendix A).

It must be admitted, however, that for all of the surfaces considered, the minimum radii of curvature are too small to provide reasonable hope for the physical optics method to be accurate. With a sinusoidal surface, the minimum radius of curvature, $\rho_{\text{min}}$, occurs at the peaks and troughs, and the radius increases to become infinite where the surface crosses its mean. For the four different surfaces embraced by Tables III-1 through III-4, the values of $k\rho_{\text{min}}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
d & = 0.2\lambda, \\
a & = 0.1\lambda, \\
& = 1.9\lambda, \\
& = 0.25\lambda, \\
& = 0.2\lambda, \\
& = 0.03\lambda, \\
\end{align*}
$$

To judge from this listing, one might expect the physical optics method to be most successful for the second surface (Table III-2), but in practice it works best for the fourth surface, which has a much smaller radius, but a small
enough value of \( a/\lambda \) to be nearly plane.

Before leaving this discussion of physical optics, we note that if shadowing is ignored or, because of the incidence angle \( (\theta < \tan^{-1}\frac{d}{2\pi a}) \), is not present, a simple analytical expression for the amplitudes of the diffracted modes can be obtained by an extension of the treatment in Senior (1959). An outline of the procedure is given in Appendix A, and the results obtained from these formulas are in agreement with the computed values in the last line of each of Tables III-1 through III-4.

3.3 The Current Induced on a Sinusoidal Surface

We here examine the nature of the surface field distribution for five different sinusoidal surfaces, considering first the results for \( E \) polarization and then those for \( H \) polarization. In each case, the modulus and phase (in degrees) of a normalized current are plotted as functions of \( x/\lambda \) over a single period of the surface running from peak to peak. The horizontal scale is therefore the horizontal distance in wavelengths and not the distance along the actual surface.

The particular current normalization chosen is such that for \( E \) polarization the quantity plotted is \( \frac{\mu}{k} K_z \), the physical optics value of which is

\[
\frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + \left[f'(x) \sin \phi \right]^2}} (\cos \phi - f'(x) \sin \phi) e^{-jk(x \sin \phi - f(x) \cos \phi)} ,
\]

whereas for \( H \) polarization the quantity plotted is simply \( K_t \) whose physical optics approximation is

\[
2 e^{-jk(x \sin \phi - f(x) \cos \phi)} .
\]

In each of the following figures, the exact computed values are shown as circled points, and are joined by a broken line only to guide the eye; the physical optics approximation is shown as a solid line.
3.3.1 E Polarization

For a surface having $d = 0.2 \lambda$ and $a = 0.1 \lambda$, the results for the three incidence angles $\theta = 0$ (normal incidence), $30^\circ$ and $60^\circ$ are given in Figs. 3-1 through 3-3, respectively. We observe that for this relatively small period most of the current is concentrated in the vicinity of the surface peaks, with the current being almost zero in the troughs. There is, indeed, almost an exponential decrease in the current modulus away from the peaks, and the main effect of increasing the incidence angle is to scale the curves, leaving the general shape unchanged. The phase is somewhat more sensitive to $\theta$, and whereas the curve is almost flat for $\theta = 0$, the structure increases noticeably with $\theta$.

Since $k p_{\text{min}} = 0.064$ for this surface, it is not surprising to find that the physical optics approximation bears no resemblance to the exact data. This is particularly true of the modulus; the exact curve is a great deal more simple than in the physical optics one. The physical optics phase is also poor for $\theta = 0$, but agrees better for the larger $\theta$, at least in an average sense.

The effect of decreasing the amplitude of the surface while keeping the period constant is illustrated in Figs. 3-4 and 3-5 for the case of normal incidence. It is observed that as the height decreases, so does the current concentration near the peaks, but even for $a$ as small as $0.01 \lambda$ (Fig. 3-5) there is still almost a 2:1 variation between the peak and trough values. The phase, on the other hand, is much more nearly constant, and is more akin to that for a flat surface than a sinusoidal one.

Results for $d = 0.4 \lambda$ and $a = 0.2 \lambda$ with $\theta = 0$ and $60^\circ$ are shown in Figs. 3-6 and 3-7. By comparing Fig. 3-6 with 3-1 it is seen that doubling both $d$ and $a$ has little effect on the modulus of the current, but has a marked effect on the phase. This is true also of the curves for oblique incidence (cf. Figs. 3-7 and 3-3).
FIG. 3.1: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, θ = 0°.

---

Physical optics.
FIG. 3-2: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 0.2a$, $a = 0.1a$ and $\theta = 30^\circ$; ---, exact; , physical optics.
FIG. 3-3: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 0.2\lambda$, $a = 0.1\lambda$ and $\theta = 60^\circ$: ---, exact; --, physical optics.
FIG. 3-4: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 0.2\lambda$, $a = 0.03\lambda$, and $\theta = 0^\circ$: ..., exact; ... physical optics.
FIG. 3-5: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 0.2\lambda$, $a = 0.01\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$; --o--, exact; -----, physical optics.
Fig. 3-6: Modified surface field for E polarization with $c = 0.4 \lambda$, $a = 0.2 \lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$: -- -- = exact; physical optics.
FIG. 3-7: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ and θ = 60°: -- , exact; --- , physical optics.
All of the surfaces so far considered have had rather small values of \( d/\lambda \) and \( a/\lambda \), and have not been such that one could expect physical optics to provide a reasonable approximation. For a surface with a much larger period, \( d = 1.9 \lambda \) with \( a = 0.25 \lambda \), at normal incidence, the appropriate curves are shown in Fig. 3-8. Physical optics now constitutes a more accurate approximation, and though there are still noticeable discrepancies, qualitatively as well as quantitatively, the physical optics curves do approximate the exact data in a mean sense. The exact current modulus still has a maximum at the surface peaks, but the minimum now occurs where the surface slope changes sign, i.e. where the surface crosses the x axis. The phase remains fairly constant over the lower part of the concave portion of the surface, but changes rapidly from positive to negative and vice versa where the modulus has its minimum.

Since the particular case considered in Fig. 3-8 has also been treated by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) using their numerical program, it is appropriate to compare the data. This is done in Fig. 3-9. The agreement is very good in spite of the rather different numerical procedures involved in the two methods.

3.3.2 H Polarization

For each of the situations considered above, we have also computed the surface fields when the incident wave is H polarized, and we now present these data in the same sequence as we did for E polarization.

The results for incidence at \( \theta = 0^\circ, 30^\circ \) and \( 60^\circ \) on a surface having \( d = 0.2 \lambda \) and \( a = 0.1 \lambda \) are shown in Figs. 3-10 through 3-12. Eight sampling points were used in the computations. We observe that the curves for the modulus are roughly sinusoidal in form and are relatively insensitive to changes in \( \theta \), with at most a slight reduction in amplitude as \( \theta \) increases. In contrast to the behavior for E polarization, however, the maximum now occurs in the surface trough, with a minimum at the peak. There is again no agreement between the exact data and physical optics.
FIG. 3-8: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 1.8\lambda$, $a = 0.25\lambda$, and $\theta = 0^\circ$; -- - , exact; -- , physical optics.
FIG. 3-9: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 1.9\lambda$, $a = 0.25\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$. 

- ○ Tong and Senior 
- × Neureuther and Zaki (1969)
FIG. 3-10: Modified surface field for H polarization with $d = 0.2\lambda$, $a = 0.1\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$: --o--, exact; ---, physical optics.
FIG. 3.11: Modified surface field for H-polarization with d = 0.22, a = 0.1, and θ = 30°: ---, exact; ..., physical optics.
FIG. 3-12: Modified surface field for H polarization with \( d = 0.2A, a = 0.1A \) and \( \theta = 60^\circ \). - - - - - - - exact; 
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The effect of changing the surface amplitude while keeping the period constant is illustrated in Figs. 3-13 and 3-14 for the case of normal incidence. As the surface height decreases, the numerical results approach the physical optics values, a fact which is not surprising since physical optics is most effective for a planar surface. As in the case of E polarization, the phase curve is relatively flat even for \( a = 0.03\lambda \), and does not show the variation displayed by the physical optics plane.

Figures 3-15 and 3-16 show the results for \( d = 0.4\lambda \) and \( a = 0.2\lambda \) with \( \theta = 0 \) and \( 60^\circ \), respectively. By comparing Fig. 3-15 with 3-10 it is seen that doubling both \( d \) and \( a \) has a somewhat larger effect on the current modulus curve than was the case for E polarization. In particular, there is a notable change of shape, with the minimum no longer occurring at the surface peak. Similar changes occur for \( \theta = 60^\circ \) (cf. Figs. 3-16 and 3-10). Once again, there is no agreement between the exact data and physical optics, either in modulus or phase.

The current distribution for a surface with larger period (\( d = 1.9\lambda \) and \( a = 0.25\lambda \)) at normal incidence is presented in Fig. 3-17. The modulus has a marked oscillation, quite distinct from that found for E polarization, with three maxima per period. One of these is at the surface trough, and the current is again a minimum at the surface peak. The agreement with physical optics is poorer than for E polarization, with neither modulus nor phase being approximated to any real extent. The exact data are, however, in agreement with those obtained by Neureuther and Zaki (1960) for this case, as evident from Fig. 3-18.

### 3.4 Energy Conservation

In solving problems of electromagnetic scattering from perfectly conducting surfaces, a common procedure for checking the accuracy of the solution
FIG. 3-13: Modified surface field for H polarization with \( d = 0.2\lambda, a = 0.03\lambda \) and \( \theta = 0^\circ \), exact: 

Normalized Current Modulus

Phase (degrees)
FIG. 3-14: Modified surface field for H polarization with $d = 0.27\lambda$, $a = 0.01\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$: -.... exact; --- physical optics.
FIG. 3-15: Modified surface field for H polarization with $d = 0.4\lambda$, $a = 0.2\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$; —o—, exact; ———, physical optics.
FIG. 3.16: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.4λ, n = 0.2λ, and θ = 60°. ---, exact; physical optics.
FIG. 3-17. Modified surface field for H polarization with $d = 1.9\lambda$, $a = 0.25\lambda$, and $\theta = 0^\circ$. --- physical optics.
FIG. 3-18: Modified surface field for H polarization with $d = 1.9\lambda$, $a = 0.25\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$. 

- Tong and Senior
- Neureuther and Zaki (1969)
is to determine the degree to which the law of conservation of energy is fulfilled. This is essentially the check employed by Petit and Cadilhac (1964), Neureuther and Zaki (1969) and Green (1970), as well as by many others. However, as pointed out by Amitay and Galindo (1969), energy conservation does not provide a measure of accuracy of a solution found by the Ritz or other related methods; and hence, in order to use energy conservation to check other than computational round-off errors, care must be exercised in choosing the method of solving the integral equation numerically.

The method that has been adopted in this Report is a point matching and sinusoidal interpolation scheme, and since the solution does not automatically satisfy energy conservation, we are able to use this as a check. The relation that must be fulfilled is

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{N} |A_m|^2 \text{Re}(X_m) = k \cos \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

where \( N \) is the number of propagating modes. The extent to which this is satisfied by the numerical results that we have obtained is shown in Table III-5. For comparison purposes, the percentage errors implied by the physical optics method, with and without shadowing, are also included. Whereas all of the exact data satisfies the energy conservation law to within (about) one percent or better, the only case where the physical optics solutions does so is when \( d = 0.2\lambda \) and \( a = 0.01\lambda \). Such a surface is very close to being planar.

3.5 Convergence Check

In solving an integral equation numerically it is always desirable to carry out a convergence test,

a) to determine the number of sampling points necessary to achieve the required accuracy, and
### TABLE III-5: Conservation of Energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E WAVE</th>
<th>Physical Optics</th>
<th>H WAVE</th>
<th>Physical Optics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>w/o Shadow</td>
<td>w/Shadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d/\lambda$</td>
<td>$a/\lambda$</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9970</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8564</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5013</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.9990</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.0042</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5068</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) to test whether or not the numerical solution approaches a stable value as the number of sampling points is increased. Although it has not been proved mathematically that the accuracy can be improved by increasing the number of samples, it would appear reasonable to believe so on a physical basis.

Figures 3-19 and 3-20 show the results of a convergence test applied to the case $d=0.2\lambda$, $a=0.1\lambda$ and $\theta=0$ for E and H polarizations respectively. It can be seen that the solution does appear stable, and that surprisingly good results are obtained even with as few as 4 sampling points.

Two other aspects of the computer program that should be mentioned are the computational time consumed and the number of terms included in the summation of the infinite series for the modified Green's functions. For E polarization, a typical figure for the computational time on an IBM 360 machine using 12 sampling points is about 60 seconds. This time includes the computation of the amplitudes of the diffracted modes, the energy conservation check and the calculation of the physical optics results. A typical figure for H polarization using 8 sampling points is 28 seconds. The time increases roughly as the square of the number of points, and most of it is eaten up in the computation of the matrix elements.

Except for the large period surface ($d=1.9\lambda$, $a=0.25\lambda$), where the number of terms retained in the Green's function series was 6, only the first three terms were required. Tests that were performed indicated that three was sufficient.
FIG. 3-19: Modified surface field for E polarization with $d = 0.2\lambda$, $a = 0.1\lambda$ and $\theta = 0^\circ$ computed using 4, 10 and 12 sampling points.
FIG. 3-20: Modified surface field for H polarization with \( d = 0.2\lambda \), \( a = 0.1\lambda \) and \( \theta = 0^\circ \) computed using 4, 6 and 8 sampling points.
IV

CONCLUSIONS

We have here considered the problem of a plane electromagnetic wave incident on a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface, and have developed numerical procedures for the direct digital solution of the integral equations for the surface fields. By using special summation techniques followed by the subtraction of the dc term to improve the convergence of the series for the modified Green's function, a relatively efficient procedure has been arrived at, and this has been programmed for a computer.

Data have been obtained for the surface fields on several different sinusoidal surfaces when illuminated by E and H polarized plane waves at oblique as well as normal incidence. It is found that the polarization has a marked effect on the field. Since most of the surfaces considered had relatively small values of d/λ and a/λ, there is little agreement with the physical optics estimate of the surface field. However, this is also true for the one surface of much larger period that was examined.

Knowing the surface field, the amplitudes of the diffracted waves in the discrete angular spectrum representation of the scattered field can be computed, and this has been done using the exact surface fields as well as the physical optics estimates with shadowing either included or ignored. Here again the physical optics predictions are deficient, and whereas the results derived from the numerical program satisfy the conservation of energy law, the physical optics values do not.

In the continuation of this study, the numerical program will be applied to a wider variety of periodic surfaces in order to build up an understanding of the surface field behavior, including its dependence on profile size and shape, and the direction and polarization of the incident field. It is our hope that this will
enable us to develop synthesis procedures for the efficient computation of the fields scattered by periodic surfaces of large amplitude and period, leading ultimately to better prediction techniques for rough surfaces in general.
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APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL OPTICS APPROXIMATION

Although the main purpose of this report is the development of a numerical technique for the determination of exact values for the surface and, hence, scattered fields, we have found it desirable to compare the results obtained with those provided by the physical optics approximation. This approximation is a physically-based one which postulates an explicit form for the surface field arrived at by assuming each element of the surface to bear that current which it would were it part of the local tangent plane. The calculation of the scattered field is then reduced to quadratures.

In many instances, however, and a periodic sheet is one, an analytical evaluation of the physical optics integral is a difficult procedure, particularly in such cases where part of the surface is shadowed, and some of the shortcomings of physical optics estimates in general certainly arise from sloppiness in the evaluation of the integral. In still other cases, the physical optics result proves to be more accurate if shadowing is ignored* (see, for example, Adachi, 1965) and it is of interest to observe that for a sinusoidal sheet it is then possible to produce an exact evaluation of the integral. Needless to say, however, the resulting scattered field is still subject to the unknown errors inherent in the use of the physical optics approximation, and to the neglect (if present) of all shadowing effects.

The procedure is directly analogous to that given by Senior (1959) for the particular case of an H polarized plane wave at normal incidence on a sinusoidal sheet and consists of three steps:

* This modified method is sometimes called 'extended physical optics'.
(i) writing down the physical optics integral for the scattered field without any shadowing included;

(ii) asymptotically evaluating this expression appropriate to an observation point at large distances from the sheet; and

(iii) matching this expression to a discrete angular spectrum of waves to obtain their amplitudes. Since these amplitudes are independent of the field point, we have, in effect, produced an exact evaluation of the integral valid certainly in the half space above the sheet.

Let us take the equation of the perfectly conducting sheet to be

\[ y = a \cos Kz \]  

where \( a \) is the amplitude of the corrugations and \( 2\pi/K = d \) is the period. If the incident field is E-polarized, we write

\[ E = A e^{-jk(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)} \]  

(cf. Eq. 2.20), implying

\[ H = -Y (\cos \theta x + \sin \theta y) e^{-jk(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)} \]  

where \( Y \) is the intrinsic admittance of free space.

By virtue of the periodicity of the sheet and, hence, of the problem as a whole, the scattered field can be expressed as a discrete spectrum of waves which waves are certainly outgoing as regards \( y > a \). Thus, we have

\[ E^g = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-jk(\sin \theta m + y \cos \theta m)} \]  

where
and that branch of the square root is chosen having imaginary part non-positive.

Application of the physical optics approximation, followed by steps (i) through (iii) above now gives

\[ A_m = -\frac{\mu_m}{\sqrt{k^2 - (mK + k\sin \theta)^2}} \left( \cos \theta - \frac{mKa}{\mu_m} \sin \theta \right) J_m(\mu_m) \]  

(A.6)

where

\[ \mu_m = a \left( k \cos \theta + \sqrt{k^2 - (mK + k\sin \theta)^2} \right) \]  

(A.7)

In particular,

\[ A_0 = -J_0 \left( 2a k \cos \theta \right), \]

and as \( a \to 0 \),

\[ A_0 \to 1, \quad A_m \to 0, \quad m \neq 0, \]

in agreement with the known solution for a flat sheet.

If the incident field is \( H \)-polarized, we take

\[ H_i = \frac{A}{2} e^{-jk(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)}, \]  

(A.8)

implying

\[ E_i = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \cos \theta \frac{A}{2} + \sin \theta \frac{A}{2} \right) e^{-jk(x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)}, \]  

(A.9)

and expand the scattered magnetic field as

\[ H^s = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-jk(x \sin \theta_m + y \cos \theta_m)} \]  

(A.10)
where $\theta_m$ is as before. On evaluation of the physical optics integral, we now obtain

$$A^t_m = A_m \quad (A.11)$$

The equivalence of the results for the two polarizations is consistent with the known fact that the physical optics approximation for a perfect conductor is inherently polarization insensitive.

Results computed from Eqs. (A.6) and (A.11) agree with those given in the appropriate columns of Tables III-1 through III-4 and obtained from a numerical evaluation of the integrals in Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6).
APPENDIX B: COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Two separate computer programs have been written, one for E polarization and the other for H polarization. Each program consists of the following parts.

1. Main Program
   The functions which this performs are
   a) reading in the input data consisting of the amplitude and period of the surface (measured in wavelengths), the angle of incidence, the number of sampling points and the number of terms employed in the series summation;
   b) calling the subroutine which computes the matrix coefficients, including the analytical treatment of the singular term;
   c) computing the physical optics current;
   d) calling the subroutine which inverts the matrix and hence obtains the induced surface field; and
   e) printing out the appropriate numerical results.

2. Subroutines
   a) Subroutine CAVE
      This determines the appropriate constants in the sinusoidal interpolation formula and calls the integration subroutine CSIMEQ to integrate the kernel function over the sampling cell.
b) Subroutine FUN
   The constants in the sinusoidal interpolation formula are here computed.

c) Subroutine SUBC
   This computes the kernel function of the integral equation.

d) Subroutine CIMPS
   The numerical integration is performed using the Newton-Cotes method.

e) Subroutine CSIMEQ
   This performs the matrix inversion using a Gauss reduction method.

f) Subroutine ENERGY
   The amplitudes and phases of the diffracted waves are computed and the scattered energy found.
**C THIS IS THE MAIN PROGRAM FOR THE STUDY OF EM SCATTERING FROM A PERFECTLY CONDUCTING PERIODIC SURFACE FOR THE E WAVE**

**DIMENSION A(20,20),R(20),W(20),Z(20),ZMAG(20),PHASE(20),IZW(20)**

**1.DIST(20),PD(20),PHAS(20),ZMA(20),DIST(20)**

**COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,M,M,THFTA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS**

**7,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,ISING,PID,PSO,NFA,EKAME/DATA3/CY,FUNX,DATA4/A**

**EXTERNAL GUN**

**19 READ 1, XD,AMP,THFTA,N,MM**

**TF (N .6T. 200) GO TO 9**

**PRINT 1, XD,AMP,THETA,N,MM**

**1 FORMAT (3F19.5,2I5)**

**C TO CALCULATE OUT SOME CONSTANTS FOR COMPUTATION**

**ACUY=0.02**

**INT=2**

**PI=3.14159265**

**PII=2.*PI**

**XN=N**

**DFL=XD/XN**

**CS=COS(PII*DFL)**

**SS=SIN(PII*DFL)**

**CSN=CS-1.**

**STH=SIN(THETA)*PII**

**CTH=COS(THETA)*PII**

**CSS=2.*CSN**

**PSO=PII**

**DFLTA=DEL/100.**

**CFY=IN.*1.1*CTH**

**MDEL=DEL/2.**

**PII=PII/XN**

**TY=(2.*DFLTA/CTH**

**TG=(0.1.1)*STH**

**A7= XD/(3.*PII**

**DCS=COST(2.*PII*DEL)**

**DSS=SIN(2.*PII*DEL)**

**AME=AMP*PID**
C TO TREAT THE SERIES SUMMATION IN THE SINGULARITY TERM

\[ \text{SIM1} = (0, 0, 0) \]
\[ \text{M2} = 10 + \text{M2} \]
\[ \text{XI} = 1 \]
\[ \text{A1} = \text{PSO} \]
\[ \text{A2} = (-\text{PT} + \text{XI}/\text{XN} + \text{SSTH}) * \text{X} \]
\[ \text{IF} (\text{A1} \leq \text{A2}) \text{ GO TO 201} \]
\[ \text{SIM1} = \text{SIM1} + 1 / \text{SORT} (\text{A1} - \text{A2}) + \text{XN}/\text{XI}/\text{PII} * (0, 1, 1) \]
\[ \text{GO TO 203} \]

201 \[ \text{SIM1} = \text{SIM1} - (1 / \text{SORT} (\text{A2} - \text{A1}) - \text{XN}/\text{XI}/\text{PII} * (0, 1, 1) \]

203 \[ \text{A4} = (\text{PII} * \text{XI}/\text{XN} + \text{SSTH}) * \text{X} \]
\[ \text{IF} (\text{A1} \leq \text{A4}) \text{ GO TO 202} \]
\[ \text{SIM1} = \text{SIM1} + 1 / \text{SORT} (\text{A1} - \text{A4}) + \text{XN}/\text{XI}/\text{PII} * (0, 1, 1) \]
\[ \text{GO TO 21} \]

202 \[ \text{SIM1} = \text{SIM1} - (1 / \text{SORT} (\text{A4} - \text{A1}) - \text{XN}/\text{XI}/\text{PII} * (0, 1, 1) \]

21 \[ \text{CONTINUE} \]
\[ \text{NAC} = \text{TY}/\text{XN}/\text{PII} * (0, 1, 1) * (4 * \text{DF} * \text{ALOC}(\text{DF}) - \text{DF} 2) + \text{SIM1} * 2 \]

C TO CLEAR THE MATRICES

\[ \text{NO} 2 \text{ I} = 1, N \]
\[ \text{NO} 2 \text{ K} = 1, N \]
\[ \text{A} (I, K) = (0, 0, 1) \]
\[ \text{CONTINUE} \]

C TO FILL UP THE MATRICES

\[ \text{NO} 3 \text{ I} = 1, N \]
\[ \text{XI} = 1 \]
\[ \text{XI} = \text{XI} * \text{DF} \]
\[ \text{XI} = (\text{XI} - 0.5) * \text{DF} \]
\[ \text{XI} = 360.0 * \text{XI} \]
\[ \text{XI} = \text{XI} / \text{HNDF} \]
\[ \text{CALL GIMPS(XIX, XI, ACUY, INT, GUN, STOR, INDEX)} \]
\[ \text{IF} (1, F0, 1) \text{ GO TO 205} \]
\[ \text{DIST} (I) = \text{DIST} (I - 1) + \text{CAR} (\text{STOR}) / 2 \]
\[ \text{DIST} (I) = \text{DIST} (I - 1) + \text{CAR} (\text{STOR}) \]
\[ \text{GO TO 206} \]

205 \[ \text{DIST} (I) = \text{CAR} (\text{STOR}) \]
\[ \text{DIST} (I) = \text{DIST} (I) / 2. \]

206 \[ \text{SINC} = \text{SIN} (\text{PI} * \text{XI}) \]
\[ \text{R} (I) = \text{R} 2 * \text{EXP} (0.0 - 1.0) * \text{SINC} * \text{CTH} * \text{AMP} \]
\[ \text{NO} 3 \text{ K} = 1, N \]
\[ \text{XK} = \text{K} \]
\[ \text{XX} = (\text{XX} - 0.5) * \text{DF} \]
C TO CALCULATE PHYSICS OPTICS CURRENT
CAR=(0.,1.)=(XK*STH*AMP*COS(PID*XX)*CTH)
PI(X)=1000./6. /PI/SORT(1.+(AME*SN(PID*XX))**2)>(*AME*SN(PID*XX)*
1STH,C,TH)/PI*CFX(P,AR)
IF (K<FOO.1) GOTO 4
R1=XX-HDEL
R2=XX+HDEL
CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACIY,INT,1,2,3)
GOTO 3
4 R1=XX-HDEL
R2=XX-Delta
CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACIY,INT,1,2,3)
R1=XX+Delta
R2=XX+HDEL
CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACIY,INT,1,2,3)
TF=TC*XI
A(I,1)=A(I,1)+SORT(1.+(AME*SN(PID*XI))**2)*CEXP(TE)*DAG
3 CONTINUE
C TO INVERT THE MATRIX TO GET SOLUTION
CALL CSYMEO(N,N,GA,GA,IZU)
DO 251 I=1,N
WI(I)=CONJG(W(I))
251 CONTINUE
CALL ENERGY
C TO PRINT OUT THE NECESSARY RESULTS
PRINT 32
32 FORMAT (10X,2R 1,A X,15HZ FLFLCT. DEGREE,RX,6HI REAL,12X,11HI IMAGIN
ARY)
PRINT 33,(1,10I1,W(I),I=1,N)
33 FORMAT (10X,13,10X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,17.5)
DO 35 I=1,N
ZMA(I)=CARS(W(I))
ZMA(I)=CARS(PO(I))
PHASE(I)=(1AO./POI)*ATAN(AIMAG(W(I))/REAL(W(I)))
PHAS(I)=(1AO./POI)*ATAN(AIMAG(PO(I))/REAL(PO(I)))
35 CONTINUE
PRINT 36
36 FORMAT (10X,2H1,AR,13H7 FLEC. DEGREE,AR,11H1 MAGNITUDE,AR,7H1 PHAS
1F)
   PRINT 37,(1,'i1),7MAG(i),PHASE(i),I=1,N)
37 FORMAT(10X,13,10X,F7.7,3X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
   PRINT 43
43 FORMAT (7H RESULTS FOR PHYSICAL OPTICS)
   PRINT 42
42 FORMAT (10X,2H1,AR,14H SURFACE DISTANCE,AR,6H1 REAL,12H1 IMAGI
1NARY)
   PRINT 44,(1,DIS(i)),4(i),I=1,N)
44 FORMAT(10X,13,10X,F4.3,3X,F20.5,2X,F17.5)
   PRINT 44
44 FORMAT(10X,2H1,AR,14H SURFACE DISTANCE,AR,11H1 MAGNITUDE,AR,7H1 PH
1AS)
   PRINT 47,(1,DIS(i)),7MAG(i),PHAS(i),I=1,N)
47 FORMAT(10X,13,10X,F4.3,3X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
   GO TO 19
9 STOP
FND
SUBROUTINE CAVFIAK,DI,F,IN,NA,NB,NC
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XN,N,AMP,MM,THETA,/DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PI1,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,DS,C,T,H,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSD,NFA,1,K,AME/ DATA3/COY, FUNX/ DATA4/A
2, W
COMPLEX A, COY, FUNX, TA1, TA2, TA3, W
EXTERNAL FUN
R1=AA
R2=AA
ACIY=CC
INT=JN
NFA=NA
CALL CIMP$R1,R2,ACIY,INT,FUN,TA1,INDEX)
NFA=NA
CALL CIMP$R1,R2,ACIY,INT,FUN,TA2,INDEX)
NFA=NC
CALL CIMP$R1,R2,ACIY,INT,FUN,TA3,INDEX)
A(I.K)=A(I.K)+TA1
IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
IF (K .EQ. N) GO TO 2
A(I,K+1)=A(I,K+1)+TA2
A(I,K-1)=A(I,K-1)+TA3
GO TO 3
1 A(I,2)=A(I,2)+TA2
A(I,N)=A(I,N)+TA3
GO TO 3
2 A(I,N-1)=A(I,N-1)+TA3
A(I,1)=A(I,1)+TA2
3 RETURN
END
COMPLEX FUNCTION FUN(X)
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/ X0,N,AMF,WM,WMT,THEFAM/DATA2/ XI,XK,PII,CS,SS,CN,DCS
1 ,DSS,CNH,STN,CSS,CINC,PID,PS0,NFN,1,K,AMF/DATA3/CON, FUNX/DATA4/A
2,M
COMPLEX A,M,FUNX,CON
XW=PI1*(X-XK)
1 IF (NFE .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
2 IF (NFE .EQ. 2) GO TO 2
3 IF (NFE .EQ. 3) GO TO 3
1 FUN1=(CS-COS(XW))/CSN
GO TO 11
2 FUN1=(-SS-SIN(XW))/CSN+SS*COS(XW))/((CSN**2)*SS)
GO TO 11
3 FUN1=(-SS-SIN(XW)/CSN+SS*COS(XW))/((CSN**2)*SS)
11 V=X
CALL SINC(V)
FUN=FUN1*FUNX
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SINC(V)
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATAX/XD,N,A,AM,M,T,ETA1/DATE2/ XI,XK,PI,PI1,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
C SSS,CH,STH,CSS,CS1C,P1,P2,PSN,1,K,AME/DATAX/COM,FXN/DATE4/A
2,W
COMMON X,FUXN,COV,XX1,XX2,1,1,2,F,F3,F3,YY2,TE,T1,Y,YZ1,Y22,ZZ1,ZZ2
1.CEXP,CLOGXH,FF3,W
YY=ARS(SINC-CSN(P1D#V)*AMP
Y=COV*YY
YY2=(0.1,1.)=YY
X1=(0.1,1.)*(V-X1)
C1=P1D1*((1.0,0.)*YY*(0.1,1.)*(XI-V))
C2=P1D2*((1.0,0.)*YY*(0.1,1.)*(XI-V))
T1=(1.0,0.1)-CEXP(C1)*(1.0,0.1)-CEXP(C2))
F=P1D*(1.0,1.)*XH/PI1*CLOG(T1)
F3=(n,0.)
N=1 M=1 MM
X=H
XX=P1D*XM
XTH=(XX+STH)**2
TF (PSO,LE. XTH) GO TO 2
771=SORT(PSO-XTH)*(0,1,0.)
GO TO 3
277=SORT(XTH-PSO)*(0,1,0.)
3 XSH=((-XX+STH)**2
IF (PSO LE. XSH) GO TO 4
772=SORT(PSO-XSH)*(1,1,0.)
GO TO 5
4772=SORD(XSH-PSO)*(1,1,0.)
5 xx1=XX*XH
XX2=(n,0.1)*XX
YY1=YY*XX
Y1=YY2*Z1
Y22=YY2*Z2
TF=EXP(Y11)/XX2
FF3=CEXP(-XX1)*(CEXP(Y11)/Z1-1)+CEXP(XX1)*(CEXP(Y22)/Z2-1)
F3=F3+FF3
1 CONTINUE
6=1.+(AME*STN(P1D#V)**2
FINX=F3*(1)*SORT(H)*CEXP((n,0.1)*STH#V)
RETURN
FND
SUBROUTINE SIMPS(A,R,F,MAXI,YINTG,XIP,INDEX)
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO DO THE INTEGRATION
COMPLEX FAFA,XIP,XI,ENFWX,XI,S,XINTG
FAFA=XINTG(A)+XINTG(R)
XH=R-A
XIR=XH*.5
XI=XIR*FAFA
XNEW=A+XIR
XHA=XIR/3.
INDEX=0
1004 ENFWX=XINTG(XNEW)
1001 IF (INDEX .GT. 0 ) GO TO 1003
1002 INDEX=1
YJ=XHA*(FAFA+ENFWX*4.)
1004 XI=(XI+XI*3.)*.25
INDEX=INDEX+1
IF (INDEX .GT. MAXI ) GO TO 1009
1010 XH=XH*.5
XNEW=A+XH*.5
C=10.0,0,)
1005 IF (XNEW .LT. R ) GO TO 1006
1007 XIP=(XI+XH*.51)/2.
IF ( CARC (XIP-XI) .LE. CARC(F*XIP) ) GO TO 1009
1010 XI=XIP
GO TO 1004
1003 S=S+ENFWX
XNEW=XNEW+XH
GO TO 1005
1009 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE C1MFC(IDIM,N,A,X,R,JPRM)
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO INVERT THE MATRIX
COMPLEX A,X,R,DFT,SM,C
DIMENSION A(IDIM,1DIM),X(1DIM),R(1DIM),JPRM(1DIM)
DFT=(1.,0.)
DO 13 I=1,N
13 JPRM(I)=I
C FIND THE ELEMENT OF MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE
DO 1 K=1,N
C=A(K,K)
II=K
JJ=K
DO 2 J=K,N
DO 2 I=K,N
IF (CABS1(C)-CABS1(A(I,J))) gt 2
3 C=A(I,J)
II=I
JJ=J
2 CONTINUE
DFT=DFT*C
IF (CABS1(DFT)) ge 20,20,30
20 PRINT 100
100 FORMAT (OH SINGULAR )
CALL EXIT
30 R(I)=R(I)/C
C DIVIDE EACH ELEMENT OF THE II=TH ROW BY C
KPR=K+1
DO 4 J=K,N
4 A(I,I)=A(I,I)/C
A(I,J)=A(I,J)/C
IF (I .EQ. K) GO TO 60
C. SWITCH THE K-TH ROW AND THE I-I=TH ROW
DO 5 J=K,N
C=A(K,J)
A(K,J)=A(I,J)
A(I,J)=C
5 CONTINUE
C=R(K)
R(K)=R(I)
R(I)=C.
60 IF (J,J .EQ. K) GO TO 70
C. STORE THE LOCATION OF THE COLUMN OF THE MAX PIVOT
I=IPRM(J)
.RPRM(J,1)=IPRM(K)
IPRM(K)=I
C. SWITCH THE K-TH AND THE J=TH COLUMNS
DO A I=1,N
C=A(I,K)
A(I,K)=A(I,J)
A(I,J)=C
7 CONTINUE
C. GET SUB= COLUMN OF ZEROS IN THE K-TH COLUMN
70 IF (K .EQ. N) GO TO 1
DO 8 J=KPO,N
DO 8 K=KPO,N
A(I,J)=A(I,J)-A(I,K)*A(K,J)
A(I,J)=R(I)-A(I,K)*R(K)
7 A(I,J)=-0.0
8 CONTINUE
C. OBTAIN THE X=S BY BACK SUBSTITUTION
DO 9 T=1,N
K=N-I+1
KPO=K+1
SUM=X(I)*A(K,J)
IF (KPO-N) 24,24,26
24 DO 10 J=KPO,N
SUM=SUM+X(J)*A(K,J)
10 CONTINUE
26 X(K)=R(K)*SUM
9 CONTINUE
C. ORDER THE X=S
DO 11 I=1,N
11 R(I)=X(I)
DO 12 I=1,N
I=IPRM(I)
12 X(I)=R(I)
RETURN
END
REAL FUNCTION CARST(I)
COMPLEX 7
IF (REAL(7), EQ, 0., AND. AIMAG(7), EQ, 0.) GO TO 10
CARS1 = CARS(7)
GO TO 20
10 CARS1 = 0.
20 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE ENERGY
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO CHECK THE ENERGY CONSERVATION

DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XD,ND,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PI1,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,DO5,C,THIT,CTHI,CS,SS,SSNC,DCS,PSO,MAE/DATA3/CONV,FINX/DATA4/A
2,4
C COMPLEX A,AA,CC,RAW1,RAW2,CFXP,Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4,CMPLX,CSORT,YY1,YY2,
1CT1,SR1,W,CONV,FINX,CONJG
YN=N
DFI= XD/XN
DN 311 MN=1,A
M=MN-1
YN=XN
YY=(PSO-(P1*XM+PI1*SIN(THETA))**2)*(1.,0.)
YY2=(PSO-(-P1*XM+PI1*SIN(THETA))**2)*(1.,0.)
CR1=CSORT(YY1)
CR2=CSORT(YY2)
RAW1=(0.,0.)
CRW2=(0.,0.)
DN 322 K=1,N
XK=X
X2=(XK-5.)*DFL
Y1=(0.,1.)*((P1*XM+PI1*SIN(THETA))*XK
Y2=(0.,1.)*(-P1*XM+PI1*SIN(THETA))*XK
FR= COS(P1*XK)
Y3=AMP*FR*SR1*(0.,1.)
Y4=AMP*FR*SR2*(0.,1.)
PRR=1.+((AMP*P1*SIN(P1*XK))**2
RAW1=CFXP(Y1)+CFXP(Y3)*SORT(PRR)*DFL/CSORT(YY1)*W(K)+RAW1
CRW2=CFXP(Y2)+CFXP(Y4)*SORT(PRR)*DFL/CSORT(YY2)*W(K)+CRW2
322 CONTINUE
RA=CONJG(RAW1)
CC=CONJG(CRW2)
AK=(PI**2/25./XD)**2/PI1
W1=RA*RAW1*SR1*A5
W2=CC*CRW2*SR2*A5
PRINT 333,W1,W2
333 FORMAT (2F20.7)
IF (REAL(YY2).LT.0.) GO TO 444
311 CONTINUE
444 RETURN
END
S DATA
0.2 0.01 0.5236 200
S STOP
THIS IS THE MAIN PROGRAM FOR THE STUDY OF EM SCATTERING FROM A PERFECTLY
C. CONDUCTING, PERIODIC SURFACE FOR THE H-WAVE
DIMENSION A(20,20),R(20),W(20),Z(20),MAG(20),PHASE(20),IW(20)
1,DA(20),PA(20),PZ(20),RI(20),RI(20),AMAG(20),PAE(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XD,AM,T,MM,THETA/DATA2/ X1,XK,PI,PI,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,STN,P10,PS0,NEA,IK,AME/DATA3/CNY,FUNX/DATA4/A
2,W
COMPLEX A,R,W,TF,CFXP,CNY,DAF,FUNX,TG,STH1,TY,CMLX,SC,CONJG
1,CA,PI,P2
19 READ 1, XD, AM, THETA, N, MM
1F (N.GT.100) GO TO 9
PRINT 1, XD, AM, THETA, N, MM
1 FORMAT(3F10.5,2F5)
C. TO CALCULATE OUT SOME CONSTANTS FOR COMPUTATION
INT=7
ACTV=0.05
PI=3.14159265
PD=7.*PI
V=V
DFT=XT/R
CS=cos(P1-.*DFT)
SS=sin(P1-.*DFT)
CSN=CS-1.
STH=sin(THETA-.*PI)
CTH=cos(THETA-.*PI)
CSS=2.*CSN*SS
PSO=PI1**2
DFT=CSF/100.
CNV=(0.01)*CTH
HDFL=DFT/2.
PS=PI1/*XD
TG=(0.01)*STH
DCS=cos(2.*PI1*DFT)
DSS=sin(2.*PI1*DFT)
AMF=AM*P2
C. TO CLEAR THE MATRICES
DO 2 K=1,N
DO 2 I=1,N
AF(I,K)=(0.,0.)
2 CONTINUE
C THIS PART OF THE PROGRAM IS TO CALCULATE THE PHYSICAL OPTICS CURRENT

DO 4 K=1,N
  YK=K
  YK=(YK-.5)*DFL
  CAR=(N,.1,1)*XCOS(P1*K)*CTH
  P1(K)=.5/SORT(1,+(AMF*SN(P1*YK))**2)*CEXP(CAR)
  P2(K)=P1(K)*AMF*1M(P1*YK)
  P1(K)=SORT(REAL(P1(K))**2*REAL(P2(K))**2)
  P1(K)=SORT(AMAG(P1(K))**2*AMAG(P2(K))**2)
  AMAG(K)=SORT(1.1)**2*RI(K)**2)
  PASE(K)=180./PI*ATAN(AMAG(P1(K)))/REAL(P1(K))

4 CONTINUE

5 PRINT 5,(K,P1(K),P2(K),AMAG(K),PASE(K),K=1,N)

5 FORMAT((2H1=,15.2F15.5,2F15.5,F15.5,F15.3))

C TO FILL UP THE MATRICES

DO 3 K=1,N
  XI=1
  YT=(XI-0.5)*DFL
  GC=(N,.1,1)*XCTH
  A(T,1)=CEXP(GC)*IX*(N,.1,1)
  Z1=3AN.*XI
  SINC=CEXP(P1*XI)
  A(1)=CEXP(1.),2.)*SIN@CTH*AMG)*1-.2)*XI

3 CONTINUE

C TO FILL UP THE MATRIX TO GET SOLUTION

CALL CSMF01(N,A,M,P,174)

34 CONTINUE
CAI1 ENERGY
PRINT 32
32 FORMAT (10X,2H1,8X,15H7 FLECT., DEGREES,RX,6H1 REAL,12X,11H1 IMAGINARY)
PRINT 33,(1,6H1,1X,W(I),1=1,N)
33 FORMAT (10X,13,10X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,F17.5)
DO 35 I=1,N
7MAG(I)=CABS(W(I))
PHASE(I)=(180,/PI)*ATAN(AIMAG(W(I))/REAL(W(I)))
35 CONTINUE
PRINT 34
34 FORMAT (10X,2H1,8X,13H7 FLEC.,DEGREES,RX,11H1 MAGNITUDE,RX,7H1 PHASE
1F)
PRINT 37,(1,2H1,7MAG(I),PHASE(I),1=1,N)
37 FORMAT(10X,13,10X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
GO TO 19
STOP
END
SUBROUTINE TAVE (AA, PR, CC, IH, NA, NR, NC)
DIMENSION A (20, 20), W (20)
COMMON /DATA1/ X0, N, AMP, MH, THETA /DATA2/ XI, XK, P1, PII, CS, SS, CSN, DCS
1, DCS, CTH, STW, C5, SINC, PII, DSO, NFA, 1, K, AME /DATA3/ COY, FJNX /DATA4/ A
2, W
COMPLEX A, COY, FJNX, TAI, TA2, TA3, W
EXTERNAL FIN
A1 = AA
A2 = MM
ACIY = CC
INT = IN
NFA = NA
CALL CIMP5 (R1, R2, ACIY, INT, FIN, TAI, INDEX)
NFA = NA
CALL CIMP5 (R1, R2, ACIY, INT, FIN, TA2, INDEX)
NFA = NC
CALL CIMP5 (R1, R2, ACIY, INT, FIN, TA3, INDEX)
A (1, K) = A (I, K) + TA1
IF (K .EQ. 10) GO TO 1
IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 2
A (I, K + 1) = A (I, K + 1) + TA2
A (I, K - 1) = A (I, K - 1) + TA3
GO TO 3
1 A (1, N) = A (1, N) + TA2
A (1, N) = A (1, N) + TA3
GO TO 3
2 A (1, N - 1) = A (1, N - 1) + TA3
A (1, 1) = A (1, 1) + TA2
END
COMPLEX FUNCTION F1NX(X)
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,M,K,FETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,P1,P11,CS,SS,CN,COS
1,CSS,CST,KST,CSS,COS,10,PSO:NFA,1,K,AMF/DATA3/CNY,FUNIX/DATA4/A
2,W
COMPLEX X,FUNIX,CNY,W
XH=PI/10*(X-XK)
1 IF (NFA .LE. 0) GO TO 2
IF (NFA .LE. 2) GO TO 2
IF (NFA .LE. 3) GO TO 3
1 FNX=CS-COS(XH)/CNY
GO TO 11
2 FNX=CS-COS(XH)/CNY+SS*COS(XH)/CNY
GO TO 11
3 FNX=-CS-COS(XH)/CNY+SS*COS(XH)/CNY
GO TO 11
11 X=F
CALL SUNC(V)
FUN=FNX+FUNIX
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SURF(V)
DIMENSION A(la,1a),W(1a)
C.CM0NN /DATA1/XN,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/X1,XK,PI,P11,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,SS,CTH,STH,SS,CSCI,PI,PSO,NFA,1,K,AME/DATE3/COV,FINX/DATE4/A
C.W
COMPLEX A,FN,F,VX1,X1,F2,F3,VY1,TE,Y1,YZ2,V YZ2,CEXP,XY,FF3,
1SGN,AMP,ARN,FT3,CTH,CCOS,ZZ1,ZZ2,W
YN=0
IF (XK-XN/2.) 17,17,19
17 AM1=AMP*CONS(P1DEV)
G0 TO 70
19 AM2=AMP*CONS(P1DEV)
20 AM2=AMP*CONS(P1DEV)
IF (AM1-AM2) 14, 13, 1A
13 STOP
14 SGN=(N.,-1.)
G0 TO 8
1A SGN=(N.,1.)
A OFN=AME*CONS(P1DEV)
VY=ARC*CONS-COS(P1DEV)*AMF
V=CPY*VY
VY=V(N.,-1.)OY
XY=(N.,-1.)OY
F2=CEXP(Y1)/CTH-(N.,1.)*OFN+CTH*OFN)-SGN
F3=(N.,N.1)
G0 1 Y=1,NM
X=YN
VX=PI*UX
X=TH-XX*CTH)**2
IF (PSO .LE. XP) G0 TO 2
771=FACT(PSO-XTH)*1.0,N.1)
G0 TO 3
2 771=FACT(XTH-PSO)*1.0,N.1)
3 XSH=(-XX*STH)**2
IF (PSO .LE. XP) G0 TO 4
773=FACT(PSO-XSH)*1.0,N.1)
G0 TO 5
4 \( \text{FF3} = \text{CFXP}(-XX12; \text{CFXP}(YY1)/ZZ1)(0.1, 1) \times \text{DEN}(XX1+STH)+\text{SGN} \times ZZ1 \)
5 \( \text{FF3} = \text{CFXP}(-XX12; \text{CFXP}(YY1)/ZZ1)(0.1, 1) \times \text{DEN}(XX1+STH)+\text{SGN} \times ZZ1 \)

1 \( \text{CONTINU} \)
2 \( \text{AP} = \text{PI}/(XX1-V) \times (1,0,1,0,1) \times \text{PI}/(XX1-V) \)
3 \( \text{ARN} = \text{PI}/(XX1-V) \times (1,0,1,0,1) \times \text{PI}/(XX1-V) \)
4 \( \text{FT3} = \text{PI} \times (0,1,0,1,0) \times \text{CFXP}(\text{ARN}, \text{ARN}, \text{ARN}, \text{ARN}, \text{ARN}) \)
5 \( \text{FINX} = \text{CFXP}(\text{FINX}, \text{FINX}, \text{FINX}, \text{FINX}, \text{FINX}) \)
6 \( \text{RETURN} \)
7 \( \text{FIN} \)
86
SUBROUTINE ENERGY
COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XX,PI,PI1,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,SSS,C,H,SHH,CSS,SINC,PI1,PSO,NSA,IK,AME/DATA3/CN,CFINX/DATA4/A
2,W
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMPLEX A,WR,FR,AW1,GR2,CF XP,YY1,YY2,YY3,YY4,CMPLX,CSORT,YY1,YY2
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO CHECK THE ENERGY CONSERVATION
YN=N
DF1=XD/XN
DT 311 MN=1,15
M=M-1
YN=M
IT=P1D*XN+P11*SIN(THETA)
IT=-P1D*XN+P11*SIN(THETA)
YY1=(PS0-IT)**2* (1.+0.)
YY2=(PS0-IT)**2* (1.+0.)
SR1=CSORT(YY1)
SR2=CSORT(YY2)
RAW1=(0.,0.)
CRAW2=(0.,0.)
DO 327 K=1,N
YK=XK
YX=(XK-5.)*DF1
V1=(0.,1.)*(PI*D*XN+PI1)*SIN(THETA)*XK
V2=(0.,1.)*(-PI*D*XN+PI1)*SIN(THETA)*XK
FR=AMP*CS(P1D*XK)
FV=AMP*SIN(P1D*XK)
V3=FR*SR1*(0.,1.)
V4=FR*SR2*(0.,1.)
RAW1=CF XP(YY1)*CF XP(YY3)*DF1/SR1*(SR1-1)*P1D*FV*W(K)/X D/2.+BBW1
CRAW2=CF XP(YY1)*CF XP(YY4)*DF1/SR2*(SR2-1)*P1D*FV*W(K)/X D/2.+CRAW2
327 CONTINUE
333 FORMAT(2F20.1)
IF (REAL(YY2),LT,0.) GO TO 444
311 CONTINUE
444 RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE CTMPS(A,X,ST,X,J,FZ,XINTG,XIP,IND)  
CO. THIS SUBROUTINE IS FOR INTEGRATION  
COMPLEX FAFR,XIP,XJ,FNFW,XI,S,XINTG  
FAFR=XINTG(A)+XINTG(R)  
XH=R-A  
XIR=XH/5  
XI=XIR*FAFR  
FNFW=A+XIR  
XHA=XIR/3.  
INDEX=0  
1004 FNFW=XINTG(FNFW)  
1001 IF (INDEX .GT. 0 ) GO TO 1003  
1002 INDEX=1  
   Y1=XH*(FAFR+FNFW*4.0)  
1004 XI=(XI+XI)*3.1*25  
   INDEX=INDEX+1  
   IF (INDEX .GT. MAX) GO TO 1009  
1010 XH=XH/5  
   FNFW=A+XH/5  
   S=(0.0,0.0)  
1005 IF (FNFW .LT. R ) GO TO 1006  
1007 XIP=(XJ+XH/2)*S1/3.  
   IF (CABS(XIP-XI) .LT. CABS(E*XIP)) GO TO 1009  
1008 XI=XIP  
   GO TO 1004  
1003 S=S+FNFW  
   FNFW=FNFW+XH  
   GO TO 1005  
1009 RETURN  
END
END

SUBROUTINE CSINM(DIMX, A, X, R, JPRM)

C THIS SUBROUTINE IS FOR MATRIX INVERSION

COMPLEX A(X, X)

DIMENSION A(DIMX, DIMX), X(DIMX), R(DIMX), JPRM(DIMX)

DO 13, I = 1, N
13 JPRM(I) = I

C FIND THE ELEMENT OF MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE

DO 1 K = 1, N
1 C = A(K, K)
   IF (CABS1(C) .GE. CABS1(A(I, J))) 3, 2, 2
2 C = A(I, J)
   II = I
   JJ = J
   2 CONTINUE
   JPRM(I) = JPRM(C)
   DO 3, J = 1, N
3 A(I, J) = A(I, J) / C

C DEFINE EACH ELEMENT OF THE II-TH ROW BY C

KPRM = K + 1
   DO 4, J = K + 1, N
4 A(I, J) = A(I, J) / C
   A(I, J) = (1, 0, 0)
   IF (I . GE. K) GO TO 60

C SWITCH THE K-TH ROW AND THE II-TH ROW

DO 5, J = 1, N
5 A(K, J) = A(I, J)
   A(I, J) = A(K, J)
   C = R(K)
   R(K) = R(I)
   R(I) = C
60 IF (J . GE. K) GO TO 70

C STORE THE LOCATION OF THE COLUMN OF THE MAX PIVOT

JPRM(I) = JPRM(J)
   JPRM(J) = JPRM(K)
   JPRM(K) = I
C GET SUM OF COLUMN OF ?PONS IN THE K=TH COLUMN
70 IF ( K .GE. N) GO TO 1
    DO 7 I=KPO,N
    DO 7 J=KPO,N
    A(I,J)=A(I,J)-A(I,K)*A(K,J)
    R(I)=R(I)-A(I,K)*R(K)
    A(I,K)=O,N
1 CONTINUE
C OBTAIN THE X=S BY BACK SUBSTITUTION
   DO 9 I=1,N
   K=N-I+1
   KPO=K+1
   SUM=O,N
   IF (KPO .LT. N) 24,24,76
   24 DO 10 J=KPO,N
   SUM=SUM+X(J)*A(K,J)
   10 CONTINUE
   26 X(K)=R(K)-SUM
   9 CONTINUE
C ORDER THE X=S
   DO 11 I=1,N
   11 R(I)=X(I)
   DO 12 I=1,N
   TI=JPRM(I)
   12 X(TI)=R(I)
   RETURN
END
REAL FUNCTION CARS1(Z)
COMPLEX Z
IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0.) GO TO 10
CARS1=CARS(Z)
GO TO 20
10 CARS1=O
20 RETURN
END
SDATA 0.2 0.01 0.5234 200 3
STOP
763 LINES PRINTED
Numerical procedures are developed for the digital solution of the integral equations for the currents induced in a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface when a plane electromagnetic wave is incident. Data are obtained for both the surface and far fields for a variety of sinusoidal surfaces for plane waves of either polarization at oblique as well as normal incidence, and the results are compared with the predictions of physical optics.
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