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EVALUATION OF AN EXPERIMENTAL COLLAPSIBLE
ALUMINUM HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS CONTAINER

AUTHORIZATION: ChBuSand1 ltr to OIC, USNSHDF, BayNJ, file S-82
(HRS:el)S-3C-3(10), dtd 26 July 1950

PURPOSE: To evaluate the performance of a Collapsible
Aluminum Household Container manufactured by
Northwest Consolidators, 1402 Loman Building,
Seattle 4, Washington, and determine its ac-
ceptability for Navy use.

CONCLUSION:
1. The aluminum household container is struc-
turally sound.
2. The load capacity may be safely increased
   from the rated 1800 lbs. (7.5 lbs. per cu.ft.)
   to 1700 lbs. (15 lbs. per cu.ft.)
3. The sling hooks (lifting lugs) are inadequate
   and should not be used for handling purposes.
4. Prolonged exposure to weather or salt spray should be avoided.

5. The roof design precludes tiering of containers.
INTRODUCTION

The Bureau of Supplies and Accounts procured an experimental lot of thirty (30) Collapsible Aluminum Household Effects Containers, which were distributed as follows:

24 Containers to Naval Supply Center, Oakland, California
5 Containers to Naval Supply Depot, Seattle, Washington
1 Container to U.S. Naval Supply Research and Development Facility, Bayonne, New Jersey

The experimental container was subjected to a series of accelerated laboratory and field tests, designed to simulate all possible hazards that the container may encounter during warehousing, stevedoring, and transportation operations. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the results of these tests.

Field activities have been requested to submit operational usage data on each container in actual use. When sufficient data is accumulated, it will be evaluated and incorporated in a final report.
ASSEMBLED UNIT

Overall Dimensions - 96-1/2" x 71" x 78" high

Shipping Cubes - 310 Cubic Feet

Clear Inside Dimensions - 91" x 66" x 71-1/4" high

Cargo Cubes - 243 Cubic Feet

Tare Weight - 535 lbs.

Skid Height - 4" 

Assembly Time - 1.5 Man hours

Disassembly Time - 1.1 Man hours
KNODDOWN UNIT

Overall Dimensions - 96-1/2" x 71" x 17-1/2"

Shipping Cube - 69.5 Cubic feet

Tare Weight - 535 lbs.

CONSTRUCTION - Drawing No. CC12-2, D.C. Taylor, 407 Zeman Building,
Seattle, Washington

The basic construction of the container consists of corrugated aluminum sheets with the corrugations .27" deep and with a pitch of .67". The unit is assembled with 3/8" - 16 N.C. steel nuts and bolts.
Floor Section - Double aluminum corrugated sheets riveted perpendicular to each other, lower sheet - .064" thick, upper sheet - .032" thick. Loose 3/8" plywood sheet is placed within to act as flooring.

Side Sections - Double aluminum corrugated sheets, .032" thick, riveted perpendicular to each other.

Roof Section - 3/16" aluminum plate riveted to .032" corrugated aluminum sheet.

Framing - All framing members are made of aluminum extrusions and have the following shapes:

- Floor Framing - 1-1/4" x 2" x 1-1/4" x 3/16" Z Section
- Corner Posts - 1-1/4" x 2" x 1-1/4" x 3/16" Z Section
- Roof Framing - 1" x 3-1/4" x 1/8" angle
- Side Panel Framing - 1-1/4" x 1-1/4" x 1/8" angle

Skids - Two 4" x 2.66" structural aluminum I beams with 10" x 2-1/2" openings for fork trucks.

Sling Hooks - Four 1" eye bolts, 3/8" shank, bolted to floor frame members.
1. Determination of Characteristics
   a. Weight and Cube
   The container was weighed and all pertinent dimensions taken to ascertain the shipping and cargo cube of the container when fully assembled, as well as the shipping cube when the container is knockdown.
   b. Time required for Assembly and Disassembly
   The container was assembled and disassembled by two men using 9/16" box wrenches. The assembly time included the unbolting of the roof from the lower side panels and complete erection of the unit. Similarly, the disassembly time included the complete dismantling of the unit, placing the upper side panels into the lower section, and bolting the roof onto the lower side panels.

2. Weather Resistance Test
   To simulate a heavy rain, the container was subjected to a water spray from a 1-1/2" fire hose equipped with a fog nozzle. The spray was directed at the test unit from various directions and angles for a period of thirty minutes. At the conclusion of the test, a side panel was removed and the interior of the unit was examined for evidence of water entry.
3. Load Test

The container was loaded to its rated capacity of 1800 lbs. with a well balanced load so that shifting was held to a minimum. The deflection in the floor section was then determined.

4. Handling Test

a. The loaded container was lifted with a 6000 lb. fork truck and transported approximately 50 feet. Ease of entry of forks into openings in skids was noted.

b. Two wire slings were placed under the floor of the container adjacent to the skids. The loaded unit was then lifted by a crane. Resistance of the bottom edges of the container to crushing was noted.

c. The container was placed on 6" wood rollers. The test unit was moved approximately 50 ft. on these rollers. Particular attention was paid to the deflection of the skids at the fork truck openings.

5. Drop Test

One skid of the test unit was placed on a 6" x 8" timber approximately 8 feet long. The other side of the container was lifted by fork truck and a 4" x 4" x 18" block was placed vertically under one corner. The container was examined in this position and any undue deflection or warping noted. The 4" x 4" x 18" block was then pulled out from under the unit.
allowing the container to strike the pavement with a fall of 18". This operation was repeated by placing the block under each of the four corners successively, and then repeated again using a 4" x 4" x 24" block. At the conclusion of the test the floor was examined for further deflection.

6. Stevedoring Test

a. This test was designed to determine the suitability of the container for stevedoring operations. It was exposed to simulated conditions which might be encountered due to faulty cargo handling equipment, improper operation by personnel, or unavoidable circumstances when being loaded on or unloaded from cargo ships. The test was divided into three parts.

(1) Snub Test - The loaded container was lifted approximately 25 ft. above the ground by a crane equipped with wire slings. The brake of the crane was released and the container lowered at full speed until it reached a point approximately 5 feet above ground. At that time, the brake was applied suddenly and the load brought to a quick halt. This test was repeated twice.

(2) Drop Test - The loaded container was lifted by a crane equipped with slings to a point 18" above the ground. The brake of the crane was released and the test unit dropped freely with the skids
striking the concrete pavement squarely. This operation was repeated a second time with the container falling freely from a point 24" above the ground.

(3) Swing Test - To simulate a container striking the ship's side during hoisting and loading operation, the test unit was held by a crane approximately one foot above the ground next to a substantially solid wall. The container was pulled away from the wall a distance of approximately 10 feet with a cable attached to a fork truck and equipped with a quick release mechanism. The release mechanism was activated and the container was allowed to strike the wall squarely on a side panel. The operation was repeated and the container so held that it struck the wall squarely at a corner.

At the conclusion of these tests, the container was examined for floor deflection and other damage. It was dismantled to determine ease of disassembly.

A 125% overload was added, making a total load of 4000 lbs. in the test unit, and tests No. 3 through 6 inclusive were repeated. At the conclusion of the second series of tests, the container was re-examined and again disassembled and assembled.

SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

1. Assembly and Disassembly

Initial assembly of the Collapsible Aluminum Household Effects Container disclosed that five bolt holes did not line up. These holes
were enlarged in order that the container could be fully assembled. Bolting the upper and lower side panels together was awkward and time consuming due to the small clearance around the bolt heads. These bolts are located between the aluminum corrugations and it is necessary to use 9/16" box wrenches to effectively turn them. Even then, the bolts can only be turned less than 90 degrees at one time.

2. Weather Resistance

The weather resistance test disclosed that a small amount of water seeped in between the horizontal angles connecting the upper and lower side panels. This condition is undesirable if the loaded container is to be exposed to the elements for any practical length of time.

3. Load Test

There was no appreciable deflection in the floor of the container when it was loaded, as rated, with 1800 lbs. When the load was increased to 4000 lbs., the maximum deflection in the floor was approximately 1/4". This deflection is not excessive and the aluminum corrugations were not stressed beyond their elastic limit.

4. Handling

The lifting lugs, or sling hooks for this container consist of four 1" eye bolts with 3/8" shanks bolted to the floor framing sections. They
could not be tested since one was torn off the container during transit from the west coast and another so weakened that it snapped when a slight force was applied to it. In lieu of the lifting lugs, two wire slings were placed under the container, parallel and adjacent to the skids, and the unit was then lifted by a crane. In addition to being lifted by a crane, the Handling Test indicated the loaded unit can be readily handled by a fork truck or moved a short distance as into the wings of a ship by wooden rollers.

5. **Edge Drop and Stevedoring**

The container when loaded either with 1800 lbs. or 4000 lbs. withstood the Edge Drop Test and Stevedoring Tests in an excellent manner. The unit was slightly damaged during these tests. The damage consisted of minor deformation of corrugations, bending of roof corner, etc., and did not impair the further utilization of the container.

At the conclusion of the tests the container was disassembled, unloaded, inspected, and reassembled again without too much difficulty. Only one bolt could not be replaced.

**Conclusions and Recommendations**

The tests to which the container was subjected indicate that it is structurally sound. The manufacturer's rated load of 1800 lbs. equals
approximately 7.5 lbs. per cubic foot. This is a low capacity rating.
Since the container is structurally sound and successfully withstood the
tests with a 4000 lb. load, it is recommended that the rated capacity of
this container be increased to 15 lbs. per cubic foot or 3700 lbs.

The eye bolt "sling hooks" are inadequate for lifting the container
with a crane and should not be used for that purpose. Instead two wire
slings should be placed under the container parallel and adjacent to the
skids when the unit is to be lifted with a crane. The present sling
hooks might be used to secure the unit to the deck of a truck, railway
car or ship.

No means are provided in the interior of the container to fasten
household articles to the floor or sides. It is recommended that either
bars be welded to the interior corrugations of the side panels or some
other means be provided to secure household articles within the container.
This will require that one side panel be designated and so stenciled that
it be the last panel bolted to the loaded container and the first panel
unbolted when the container is to be unloaded.

If it is anticipated that the containers will be exposed to the
elements for appreciable lengths of time, it is recommended that a
thin gasket be secured to the lower framing angle of the upper side
panels. This will provide a tight horizontal joint between side panels,
and eliminate water from entering along that joint. If, however, the
anticipated usage of the container is such that it will seldom be exposed to the elements, the weather resistance, as presently constructed, is sufficient.

The roof design of the container is such that it precludes tiering of the containers, one on another, or placing of heavy loads on the roof.
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ADDENDUM TO EVALUATION OF AN EXPERIMENTAL
COLLAPSIBLE ALUMINUM HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS CONTAINER

AUTHORIZATION: ChBuSandA ltr to Oinc, USNSRDF, Bay, N. J.,
File CW-3 All/3 dtd 23 July 1951

PURPOSE: Summation and evaluation of field reports and
recommendations, to determine modifications for
maximum utility of the container in the transpor-
tation of household effects.

CONCLUSION: Minor modification and reinforcement using
similar materials will permit conformance to
recommendations received in field service
reports.
SUMMATION OF FIELD REPORTS

1. Twenty-nine containers were distributed about October 1950 to the Naval Supply Center, Oakland, and the Naval Supply Depot, Seattle, to be used in normal transportation of household effects by common carrier. The using facilities were furnished forms and requested to supply data for field service evaluation and compilation by NSRDF.

2. Fifty-nine separate reports have been received to date covering twenty-seven of the containers, two have yet to be heard from, while the thirtieth is on hand in the laboratory, to be held for further development work.

3. Seventeen separate suggestions have been tabulated with frequency of mention as shown in Table A:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE A</th>
<th>Number of Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional support required on bottom for protection against the forks.</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A metal or plywood plaque to be provided for stenciling or attaching shipping identification and codes.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stronger bolting or fastening on the opening side. In some cases the threads stripped under load.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide interior fittings to permit strapping and bracing of a part load. These could be straps, bars, strap eyes or eye bolts.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide additional bracing for the top to support a load.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TABLE A</td>
<td>Number of Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnish a third skid in the center for additional protection of the bottom against damage by fork trucks.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top panel should be furnished in heavier gauge to resist cutting by sharp top loads.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide leveling blocks under flooring to prevent springing, so that bolting will be easy.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use standard thread so that the bolts are easily replaceable from stock bins.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place recessed handles in panels to eliminate handling hazard in assembly and disassembly.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The bottom portion of the front panel should be a permanent part of the base to prevent misalignment.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Bolting&quot; on front panel should be reversed so that vibration will not loosen the bolts and drop them out.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use standard nuts and bolts through drilled holes instead of bolts in tapped holes.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a larger size container capable of carrying 3 or 4 rooms, this container was called a room size.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attach bolts by a short length of chain.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnish a small container for excess bolts when not in use.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place a pad eye on each corner of the top so that wire straps may be run through while loading and unloading on shipboard.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Twenty-five of the reports characterized the containers as either satisfactory, good or excellent. Twenty-five of the reports made no special comment, only filling in the blanks furnished.
5. Some selected comments:

1. On container #3, NAS Pensacola, considered the aluminum containers more satisfactory than plywood which are frequently received from overseas in poor condition, i.e., the bottom pulled out and exposing the contents. Out of every fifty plywood containers received at Pensacola, approximately one is reusable.

2. On container #5, N. Y. Naval Shipyard, Brooklyn, estimates most shipments of household effects within continental United States are by moving van. Therefore these containers were recommended for overseas shipments and consigned only to naval activities for use in return shipments.

3. On container #24, N.C. Norfolk advises the top was damaged, i.e., one foot long cut apparently made by a sharp instrument. There may have been an attempt at pilferage on this box car shipment, however, as nothing was reported missing, the second skin or inside corrugation may have saved the contents.

4. In no case was there any report of damage to the contents that could be assigned to the container construction.

6. The following modifications are applied to the design of the container to accommodate the most advantageous modifications recommended, and with a view toward using similar materials:

1. An X1 aluminum sheet between the I beam skins and against the bottom corrugation for protection against fork truck forks.
2. A 0.064 aluminum plaque 18" x 24" placed on one long panel to permit marking with shipping identification and codes.

3. Bolt specifications changed to 1/16" - 1/4 NC for increased strength, and one hole per fastening elongated to allow for inaccuracies in drilling.

4. Two vertical aluminum straps, 1" x 1/8", per side, from top to bottom to permit tie-down of the load.

5. An additional corrugation added to the roof, below and at right angles to the present corrugation.

6. Top panel flat sheet increased to 0.064 aluminum.

7. Two rope handles per panel recessed within corrugations to permit safe handling of panels.

8. An open top 0.032 aluminum box 3/4" x 3/4" x 3/4" placed in one corner to hold excess bolts (and nuts) when disassembled.

7. The modification of this container for overseas transportation would require, as a minimum, attention to redesign and strengthening the unit for tying or loading on top, and provision for lifting, such as sling hooks or eyes, or some type of lifting lug.

At present, NSRDF has a sub-project NT03-016(a) to develop a similar container suitable for overseas use.

8. The containers were intended for movements within the continental United States. However, nine of the containers did manage to move by sea transportation. These were:

   #6 from Norfolk to Puerto Rico
   #10 from Oakland to Pearl Harbor
9. (a) Appended is a shipment history by container number, date, destination, weight and carrier.

(b) The revised drawing is appended.

10. Discussion

The .064" sheet under the bottom between the skids was considered necessary for protection against scuffing. Several pictures were furnished by using facilities showing gouging and scuffing from forks. It was noted in the laboratory test container that fifteen rivets in the bottom had failed around the corners. This occurred because the I beam skids were cut 1\(\frac{1}{4}\) inches too short on each end, and therefore did not support the Z beam frame around the bottom. This was a deviation from the drawing, which called for the skids to be brought out right to the edge of the container and therefore supporting the Z beam and the entire panel load.

The marking plaque should also assist in indicating the front for loading and unloading.

Bolting size was increased from 3/8" - 16 NC to 7/16" - 14 NC to accommodate requests for stronger bolting. Some reports stated that threads stripped under load when closing container. Evidently the mating surfaces were drawn together and one or two
turns taken by hand on the bolts, and upon releasing the load the threads stripped. This condition was probably aggravated by the fact that the bolting was not as originally specified, 3/8" - 24 NF being furnished instead of 3/8" - 16 NC, in which case each individual bolt thread was weaker.

The heavier roof sheet and the extra roof corrugation were considered desirable for increased durability, should the carrier attempt to use the cube above the container.

After the initial assembly by Oakland and Seattle, we have no further reports on assembly and disassembly, and conclude the containers were not shipped collapsed.

Appendix: (a) Shipment History
(b) Revised drawing
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTAINER NUMBER</th>
<th>SHIPPED</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>GROSS</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>CARRIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 Jan 51</td>
<td>NSD Seattle</td>
<td>N Gun Pac WashDC</td>
<td>18 Jan 51</td>
<td>2731</td>
<td>2201</td>
<td>50 ft DD Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 Jun 51</td>
<td>N Gun Pac WashDC</td>
<td>NAS Pensacola</td>
<td>22 Jun 51</td>
<td>2685</td>
<td>2155</td>
<td>Mec Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 Jan 51</td>
<td>NSD Seattle</td>
<td>N Gun Pac WashDC</td>
<td>18 Jan 51</td>
<td>2740</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>50 ft DD Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 Jun 51</td>
<td>N Gun Pac WashDC</td>
<td>NAS Pensacola</td>
<td>22 Jun 51</td>
<td>2685</td>
<td>2155</td>
<td>Mec Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 Nov 51</td>
<td>NAS Pensacola</td>
<td>NS San Diego</td>
<td></td>
<td>2155</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>NSD Seattle</td>
<td>Charleston N.B. S. Car.</td>
<td>14 Mar 51</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>Truck-Enclosed Van</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30 Jan 51</td>
<td>NSD Seattle</td>
<td>N. Shpyd Bklyn</td>
<td>26 Feb 51</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Natl Crldg Corp-Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 Mar 51</td>
<td>NYN Shpyd Bklyn</td>
<td>N Clearfield Ogden Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Frt Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N Clearfield Ogden Utah</td>
<td>NYN Shpyd Bklyn</td>
<td>5 Jun 51</td>
<td>2460</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 May 51</td>
<td>NSD Seattle</td>
<td>N SD Los Angeles</td>
<td>15 May 51</td>
<td>2424</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>40 ft SD Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 Jul 51</td>
<td>NSD San Pedro</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk Va</td>
<td>4 Sept 51</td>
<td>2507</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>50 ft DD Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Oct 51</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk Va</td>
<td>NS San Juan PR</td>
<td>19 Oct 51</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>USS Notecourt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21 Jun 51</td>
<td>NSD Or Lakes Ill</td>
<td>N SD San Diego Cal</td>
<td>19 Oct 51</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6 Mar 51</td>
<td>OIC FTB NSC Ukld</td>
<td>NYN Shpyd Bklyn</td>
<td>26 Mar 51</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>Box Car 40' 6&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21 Jun 51</td>
<td>NSD Or Lakes Ill</td>
<td>N SD Upton II.</td>
<td>19 Oct 51</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>RR Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTAINER NUMBER</td>
<td>SHIPPED</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>WEIGHT GROSS</td>
<td>NET</td>
<td>CARRIER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nov 51</td>
<td>FTB Oakland</td>
<td>Fray 564 via SS Hawaiian Builder</td>
<td></td>
<td>3225</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>SS Hawaiian Builder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21 Jun 51</td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td>Upton I11</td>
<td></td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>19 Jun 51</td>
<td>NSD Gr Lakes</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td></td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>RR Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21 Jun 51</td>
<td>FTB NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td></td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>17 Apr 51</td>
<td>FTB NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td>24 Apr 51</td>
<td>2385</td>
<td>1855</td>
<td>Box Car 50' 6&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Jun 51</td>
<td></td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td>FTO NSC Norfolk/Ya 30 Jul 51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>21 Jun 51</td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td>Upton I11</td>
<td></td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Jul 51</td>
<td>NSD Gr Lakes I11</td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td>CIC FTB NSC Oakland for Pearl Harbor</td>
<td></td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31 Oct 51</td>
<td>NSC Oakland Cal</td>
<td>Fray 564 via USNS Gen Aultman</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>RR Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTB NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Fray 564 via USNS Gen Aultman</td>
<td></td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>USNS Gen Aultman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3 Jul 51</td>
<td>NO REPORT</td>
<td>N Gun Fac WashDC 12 Jul 51</td>
<td></td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>USNS Gen Aultman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>22 Aug 51</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>USN Acad Annapolis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>Motor Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept-Oct</td>
<td></td>
<td>MSC Norfolk</td>
<td>NSD Guantanamo Bay-Sept-Oct Cuba</td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Nov 51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Guantanamo Bay Cuba MSC Norfolk</td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>Truck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USS Corduba
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTAINER NUMBER</th>
<th>SHIPPED DATE</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>RECEIVED DATE</th>
<th>WEIGHT GROSS</th>
<th>NET</th>
<th>CARRIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>14 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Phil N Shpyd Phil</td>
<td></td>
<td>2725</td>
<td>2195</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2 Jun 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>N Sub Base Com</td>
<td></td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>14 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Phil N Shpyd Phil</td>
<td></td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>14 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>9 Jun 51</td>
<td>2592</td>
<td>2062</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>14 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Phil N Shpyd Phil</td>
<td></td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26 Apr 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NAS Millington Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>8 Nov 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NAS Millington Term</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSD Seattle Wash</td>
<td>NS NAS Seattle Wash</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>N Gun Pac Wash DC</td>
<td>12 Jul 51</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>17 Apr 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>USN Acad Annapolis</td>
<td>4 Dec 51</td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>Trucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22 Jan 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td>24 Apr 51</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSD San Diego Cal</td>
<td>FTO NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>30 Jul 51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 Jul 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>N Gun Pac Wash DC</td>
<td>12 Jul 51</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22 Jan 51</td>
<td>FTD NSC Oakland</td>
<td>USN Acad Annapolis</td>
<td></td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>Quantanamo Bay Cuba Sept-Oct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAS McAlester</td>
<td>6 Feb 51</td>
<td></td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>40' DD Box Car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTAINER NUMBER</td>
<td>SHIPPED</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>WEIGHT</td>
<td>CARGO</td>
<td>GARDNER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 Oct 51</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>San Juan PR</td>
<td>2230</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>USS Bouteecourt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 Jul 51</td>
<td>NSC Cr Lakes Ill</td>
<td>Pearl Harbor</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nov 51</td>
<td>FTA Oakland</td>
<td>Fray 564</td>
<td>3225</td>
<td>2695</td>
<td>SS Hawaiian Builder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (with 28)</td>
<td>7 Jun 51</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31 Oct 51</td>
<td>NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Fray 564</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>USNS Gen Aultman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31 Oct 51</td>
<td>NSC Oakland</td>
<td>Fray 564</td>
<td>2185</td>
<td>1655</td>
<td>USNS Gen Aultman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Sept-Oct</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>NSD Guantanamo Bay Cuba</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>USS Cerduba</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 Nov 51</td>
<td>NSD Guantanamo Bay Cuba</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 (with 15)</td>
<td>7 Jun 51</td>
<td>NSD San Diego</td>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Sept-Oct</td>
<td>NSC Norfolk</td>
<td>NSD Guantanamo Bay Cuba</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>1695</td>
<td>LST 1079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTES

MATERIAL: ALUMINUM CORRUGATION .032" INDUSTRIAL ALLUARD
PITCH = .87 DEEP
EXTRUSIONS:
- 1/2" x 1/4" x 1/8" ANGLE 61S-T6
- 1" x 3/8" x 1/8" ANGLE 63S-T6
- 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/8" Z 61S-T6
- 4" x 2.66" I BEAM 61S-T6

PLATE = 3/16" 52S-H 32
S-N = .032 & .064 52S-H 32
G-1/4" = 1" x 1/8" 52S-H 32

NUTS & BOLTS 1/4" x 1/4" N.C. STEEL - CAP. PLATE
- ALTERNATE BOLTS 1/2" & PERMANENT THREADED FITTING
- ALL NUTS - FLAT 7/6" x 1/6" 2S-H 18

ENDS: SIDE & END PANELS - CORR. TO CORR. RIVET EVERY THIRD PITCH IN BOTH DIRECTIONS.
TOP - EVERY OTHER PITCH & STAGGER BETWEEN ROWS.
BOTTOM - EVERY THIRD PITCH END-WISE & EVERY OTHER PITCH LENGTH-WISE.
SIDE & END PANELS CORR. TO ANGLES EVERY OTHER PITCH
I-BEAM & PLATE TO CORR. EVERY OTHER PITCH STAGGER ACROSS 8 OF BEAM.
BOTTOM PANEL BOLTED
THIS END ONLY-
OPPOSITE END RIVETED

ONE RUN OF DRILLED
BOLT HOLES ELONGATED,
ON ONE SIDE OF ALL
BOLTED JOINTS

B-B

C-C & D-D
- 3/16" R.M. 53S-761

TWO .032" SHEETS OR ONE .064"

- 2" DIA. SHACKLE HOLE

TO TOP PANEL ONLY

1" x 3 1/4" x 1/2" ANGLES

NUT PLATE SELF LOCKING

ALTERNATE FASTENING

PLAN VII
ALUMINUM IDENTIFICATION
PLAQUE .064" X 18" X 24"
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