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ABSTRACT

The probability density distribution is discussed for two correlated random variables based on an approximation to a normal (Gaussian) law using Hermite polynomials of two variables.

INTRODUCTION

In many physical theories the following problem must be solved:

Given a large number of experimental values of p quantities $x_1, \ldots, x_p$, which are considered as random variables having a known joint probability density

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q)$$

how can an estimate $\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_q$ be made of the q unknown parameters $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q$ such that the surface

$$z = f(x_1, \ldots, x_q, \hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_q)$$

fits the "cloud" of the experimental points as well as possible?

This problem has been solved by several well-known methods during the last few decades; each of these solutions is based on a particular definition of the measure of the discrepancy between the surface and the experimental cloud. There has been much discussion about the best choice for the measure of the discrepancy among the different schools. Nevertheless, it can be said, that in many physical problems estimates can now be made following one or the other of these methods which lead to results of great significance for theoretical physics.

But the situation in fluid dynamics is very different if we want to study a turbulent flow statistically. For instance, taking the most simple case of a homogeneous turbulence, let us suppose that a great number of measurements have been made of velocity components $(U_1, U_2, U_3)$ and $(V_1, V_2, V_3)$ at two different points with a constant interval of time between the two measurements. No theory has yet been established giving the mathematical expression for the joint probability density
\[ f(U_1, U_2, U_3, V_1, V_2, V_3, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q) \]

which these six random variables must follow, and thus we are confronted by a much more difficult situation. Before making any estimate of the unknown parameters \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q \), we must first guess which particular function must be used for the joint probability density.

For this problem, statistical mechanics is able only to give a few hints, which are, however, of great value. In the classical case of a mechanical system with a finite number of degrees of freedom, the probability law, according to J. W. Gibbs, is given by the canonical distribution in the phase space. It has been shown that for some continuous media\(^1\) having a countable number of degrees of freedom (for instance a vibrating string) this result is still true. It is still the normal law which maximizes the entropy (as defined in information theory), and thus generalizes the canonical distribution to the function space describing the phases of the continuous medium.

It seems natural to take as phase space for a homogeneous turbulent flow, the function space of all vector functions \( U_1 (X_1, X_2, X_3), U_2 (X_1, X_2, X_3), U_3 (X_1, X_2, X_3) \) which are square integrable in any bounded domain (this space is called \( \Lambda \) in Reference 2; the reason leading to this choice is discussed in Reference 3). The main feature of \( \Lambda \) is that the energy of any finite portion of the fluid is always finite. A point in \( \Lambda \) is defined by a countable number of coordinates (\( \Lambda \) can be considered as a direct sum of a countable number of Hilbert spaces). Is it then possible to extend the results proved for the vibrating string to the turbulent flow of an inviscid fluid? The answer to this question is negative. The canonical distribution of Gibbs and its extension to some continuous media is essentially based on the hypothesis that the systems are conservative; the canonical distribution and its extensions correspond to a statistical equilibrium.

\(^1\)References are listed on page 23.
If we assume, as we always do in research on turbulence, that the fluid is viscous, then there is a constant dissipation of energy. If through the maximum entropy principle, we associate normal law and statistical equilibrium, we cannot expect that the joint probability density of the random variables would be the normal probability density.

The logical consequence of the preceding remarks is that not being able to take advantage of the results linked with the statistical equilibrium, we must start as near as possible to this case, i.e., as a first step we suppose that our turbulent flow is near the statistical equilibrium. In mathematical terms we must make the hypothesis that the joint probability density is given by the first terms of a series

\[ f_0 (x_1, \ldots x_p, \theta_1, \ldots \theta_q) + f_1 (x_1, \ldots x_p, \theta_1, \ldots \theta_q) + \ldots \]

where \( f_0 \) is the normal probability density and the subsequent terms \( f_1, f_2 \ldots \) mark the difference between the actual statistical state of the system and the statistical equilibrium.

Obviously, the joint probability density can be put in the form

\[ f_0 (x_1, \ldots x_p, \theta_1, \ldots \theta_q) P(x_1, \ldots x_p, \theta_1, \ldots \theta_q) \]

Where

\[ P = 1 + \frac{f_1}{f_0} + \frac{f_2}{f_0} + \ldots \quad (f_0 > 0) \]

As in most of the approximations made in physics, it seems logical to begin by assuming that \( P \) is a polynomial in \( x_1, \ldots x_p \). Because of the exponential form of \( f_0 \), the simplest way to express this polynomial seems to be to use the Gram-Charlier series, based on Hermite polynomials.

In the following pages we will summarize the essential features of the theory, giving special attention to the two-dimensional case, because most of the literature has been confined to the one-dimensional case.

For a general exposition of the theory of Hermite polynomials in one and several variables, see Reference 4; for the one-dimensional Gram-Charlier series, we refer to Cramer, pp 221-231, and Kendall, pp 145-150.
where further bibliographical references are given. We are indebted to Dr. Lieblein, who has pointed out to us papers, References 7 and 8, which are among the very few devoted to the two-dimensional case.

Note that the Gram-Charlier approximation of the bivariate normal law, suggested here, differs on an essential point from that used in the literature known to us.

As a rule, to represent a function of two variables \( f(x, y) \), one uses the polynomials \( H_m(x) H_n(y) \). From a purely theoretical point of view this is perfectly correct because it is well known that if a sequence

\[
\phi_0(x), \phi_1(x), \ldots \phi_n(x), \ldots
\]

defines an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space of functions of one variable \( f(x) \) (which are square integrable), the sequence of the product

\[
\phi_0(x) \phi_0(y), \ldots \phi_m(x) \phi_n(y), \ldots
\]

constitutes an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space of functions of two variables \( f(x, y) \).

This assumption leads to the following representation of the bivariate probability density

\[
P(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma \tau} e^{-\left[\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(y-n)^2}{2\tau^2}\right]} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} A_{j,k} H_j(\frac{x-m}{\sigma}) H_k(\frac{y-n}{\tau})}
\]

Correct from the point of view of the representation of a function of two variables by a series, this development has a major disadvantage. If we assume

\[
A_{0,0} = 1
\]
and all

\[ A_{j,k} = 0 \quad (j \geq 1, k \geq 1) \]

the function

\[ P_0(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma \tau} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(y-n)^2}{2\tau^2}} \]

does not represent the bivariate normal law in the general case; it only fits if the two normal random variables \( x \) and \( y \) are independent.

Consequently, a limited development

\[
P(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma \tau} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(y-n)^2}{2\tau^2}} \sum_{j+k=2n} A_{j,k} H_j \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) H_k \left( \frac{y-n}{\tau} \right)
\]

is a possible approximation of the real probability density, but it is not, strictly speaking, its Gram-Charlier approximation.

To generalize the one-dimensional Gram-Charlier approximation to bivariate probability density, we must start from the exponential

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi \sigma \tau} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(1-r^2)} \left( \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} - 2\tau \frac{xy}{\sigma \tau} + \frac{y^2}{\tau^2} \right) \right]
\]

and use polynomials in \((x,y)\) deduced from this general normal law exactly as the \( H_n \) in one variable is deduced from the one-dimensional law. These polynomials will reduce to products \( H_j \left( \frac{x-m}{\tau} \right) H_k \left( \frac{y-n}{\tau} \right) \) if, and only if, \( r = 0 \).

Fortunately, these polynomials are known. They were discovered by Hermite in 1864 (Reference 4, p 363). It is precisely in the case of two variables that he has made his most original contribution. It is now known that in the one-variable case, Tschebyscheff was his predecessor by 4 years. But in the two-variable case Hermite introduced two adjunct sequences

\[ G_{m,n}(x,y) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{m,n}(x,y) \]
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of polynomials, connected with two positive definite quadratic forms

\[ \phi(x, y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 \]

\[ a > 0, \ b > 0 \ \Delta = ac - b^2 < 0 \]

and its adjunct

\[ \psi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{c}{\Delta} \xi^2 - \frac{2b}{\Delta} \xi \eta + \frac{a}{\Delta} \eta^2 \]

These two sequences of polynomials are biorthogonal.

The remarkable properties of the polynomials \( G \) and \( H \) very easily lead to the true extension of the Gram-Charlier approximation for a bivariate density.

Not only are the formulas for the computation of the coefficients much simpler, but the meaning of the development is also changed. If we suppose all the coefficients \( A_{j,k} = 0 \) except \( A_{0,0} = 1 \), then the unique term left coincides with the general bivariate law with an arbitrary correlation coefficient \( r \).

The main contribution here is thus to substitute for the usual series a new Gram-Charlier series based on the polynomials \( G_{m,n}(x,y) \) and \( H_{m,n}(x,y) \) introduced by Ch. Hermite.

The computation of the sufficient condition for the polynomial \( P_4(x) \) to be positive was made by Mr. M. Pine.

**ONE-DIMENSIONAL GRAM-CHARLIER SERIES**

The Hermite polynomials in one variable \( x \) are defined by the generating function

\[ e^{hx - \frac{h^2}{2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{h^n}{n!} H_n(x) \]  \[ 1 \]

from where we deduce

\[ H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left( e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} \right) \]

\[ 2 \]
If \( n \) is even,
\[
H_n(-x) = H_n(x)
\]
and if \( n \) is odd,
\[
H_n(-x) = -H_n(x)
\]

We have
\[
H_0(x) = 1 \\
H_1(x) = x \\
H_2(x) = x^2 - 1 \\
H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x \\
H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3 \\
H_5(x) = x^5 - 10x^3 + 15x \\
H_6(x) = x^6 - 15x^4 + 45x^2 - 15 \\
H_7(x) = x^7 - 21x^5 + 105x^3 - 105x \\
H_8(x) = x^8 - 28x^6 + 210x^4 - 420x^2 + 105 \\
H_9(x) = x^9 - 36x^7 + 378x^5 - 1260x^3 + 945x \\
H_{10}(x) = x^{10} - 45x^8 + 630x^6 - 3150x^4 + 4725x^2 - 945
\]

The Hermite polynomials satisfy the following equations

\[
\frac{dH_n}{dx} = nH_{n-1} \\
\frac{d^k H_n}{dx^k} = n(n-1)\ldots(n-k+1) H_{n-k} \quad [3]
\]

\[
H_n(x) - xH_{n-1}(x) + (n-1)H_{n-2}(x) = 0 \quad [3']
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 H_n}{dx^2} - x \frac{dH_n}{dx} + n H_n = 0 \quad [3'']
\]
The polynomial $H_n(x)$ has $n$ real roots.

We have the pair of conjugate Fourier transforms

$$
e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} H_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ikx - \frac{k^2}{2}} (ik)^n \, dk \tag{4}$$

($x$ real)

$$\frac{n}{(ik)} e^{-\frac{k^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ikx - \frac{x^2}{2}} H_n(x) \, dx \tag{5}$$

($k$ real)

The Hermite polynomials have the fundamental orthogonality property

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} H_m(x) H_n(x) \, dx = 2 \pi n! \delta_{m,n} \tag{6}$$

which results, for the Fourier coefficients $A_n$, in

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} A_n H_n(x) \right] \tag{7}$$

and

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) H_n(x) \, dx \tag{8}$$

The right-hand side of Equation [7] is known as the Gram-Charlier series of $f(x)$; we will find in Reference 4, pp 351-355, sufficient conditions for the validity of the representation of a given function $f(x)$ by this series.
Let us consider a random variable $X$ having moments of all orders. We put

$$X = m$$ \hfill [9]

$$\frac{(X - m)^2}{\sigma^2} = o^2$$ \hfill [10]

and consider the moment centered at expectation

$$\frac{(X - m)^k}{M_k}, \quad k = 3, 4, \ldots.$$ \hfill [11]

Let us assume that the probability density of $x$ is given by:

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} P_{2n}(x)$$ \hfill [12]

where $P_{2n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2n$ (if we take a polynomial of odd degree $2n + 1$, we will have the consequence that for $x \to -\infty$ or $x \to +\infty$, depending on the coefficient of $x^{2n+1}$, the probability density $P(x)$ will have very large negative values, which is absurd). It is always possible to express any polynomial as a sum of Hermite polynomials. Thus we can write

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{2n} A_j H_j \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) \right]$$ \hfill [13]

Let us note that $P(x)$ is an entire function of order 2 of $x$. 
From the orthogonal property, Equation [6], we get the remarkably simple expression for the coefficients

\[ A_n = \frac{1}{n!} \, H_n \left( \frac{X - m}{\sigma} \right) \]  

namely,

\[ A_0 = 1 \]  
\[ A_1 = 0 \]  
\[ A_2 = 0 \]  
\[ A_3 = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma^3} \]  
\[ A_4 = \frac{1}{4!} \frac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4} - 3 \]  
\[ A_5 = \frac{1}{5!} \left( \frac{\mu_5}{\sigma^5} - 10 \frac{\mu_3}{\sigma^3} \right) \]  
\[ A_6 = \frac{1}{6!} \left( \frac{\mu_6}{\sigma^6} - 15 \frac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4} + 15 \right) \]

We can have equivalent expressions in terms of the cumulants \( K_n \) in place of the centered moments \( \mu_n \). Let us remember that \( \phi(t) \) being the characteristic function

\[ \phi(t) = e^{itX} \]

the cumulants \( K_n \) are defined by the expression
\[
\log \phi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} K_n \frac{(it)^n}{n!}
\]  

the series being convergent in the circle \(|t| < \delta\), and where \(\phi(t) > 0\).

We find

\[
K_1 = 0 \\
K_2 = \sigma^2 \\
K_3 = \mu_3 \\
K_4 = \mu_4 - 3 \sigma^2
\]

Thus, with this notation, we obtain the equivalent expressions

\[
A_0 = 1 \\
A_1 = 0 \\
A_2 = 0 \\
A_3 = K_3 \sigma^2 \\
A_4 = K_4 24 \sigma^4
\]

The expression of the characteristic function \(\phi(t)\) corresponding to the probability density \(P(x)\) defined by Equation [13] can easily be computed. From Equation [5] we obtain

\[
(i\sigma t)^n e^{itx - \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{it x - \frac{(x-m)^2}{2 \sigma^2}} H_n \left(\frac{x-m}{\sigma}\right) dx
\]

thus

\[
\phi(t) = e^{imt - \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}} \left[ \sum_{0}^{2n} A_j (i \sigma t)^j \right]
\]

The simplest way to compute the moments \(\mu_n\) in terms of the \(A_j\) (i.e., the inverse formula of Equation [14]) is to compute the coefficients of \(t\) in the development of the entire function of \(t\) defined by [24].
If we assume that all the $A_n$ for $n \geq 1$ are equal to zero, the probability density $P(x)$ is simply the normal law.

The first step, to use the Gram-Charlier approximation, would be to try

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left[ 1 + A_3 H_3 \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) + A_4 H_4 \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) \right]$$  \[25\]

the coefficients $A_3$ and $A_4$ being computed from the known moments $\mu_3$ and $\mu_4$ by formulas [18] and [19]. In order that [24] be the exact expression of the probability density of $x$, all the coefficients $A_5$, $A_6$, $A_7$, ... computed from the given $\mu_n$ by [20], [21]... must be zero.

Let us note that the characteristic function corresponding to [25] has the expression

$$\phi(t) = e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2} \left[ 1 + A_3 (i\sigma t)^3 + A_4 (i\sigma t)^4 \right]}$$  \[26\]

Thus $\phi(t)$ is an entire function of order 2.

All the moments $\mu_3$, $\mu_4$, $\mu_5$, can easily be expressed in terms of $A_3$ and $A_4$, by computing (from Equation [25]) the development of $\phi(t)$ in a power series

$$\phi(t) = 1 - i\mu t - \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2} + \mu_3 \frac{(it)^3}{3!} + \mu_4 \frac{(it)^4}{4!} + \ldots$$

One way to test the accuracy of the Gram-Charlier approximation, limited to a polynomial of order 4, would be as follows:

a) compute $A_3$ and $A_4$ from the known values of $\mu_3$ and $\mu_4$ by formulas [18] and [19];

b) from these values of $A_3$ and $A_4$ compute the moments $\hat{\mu}_5$, $\hat{\mu}_6$, $\hat{\mu}_7$, ...

$$\hat{\mu}_5 = 10 \sigma^2 \mu_3$$
\[ \hat{\mu}_6 = 15 \sigma^2 \mu_4 - 30 \sigma^6 \]

and then compare these values with the known values \( \mu_5, \mu_6, \mu_7 \).

We could consider the approximation as being good if the differences

\[ |\hat{\mu}_5 - \mu_5|, |\hat{\mu}_6 - \mu_6|, |\hat{\mu}_7 - \mu_7| \]

are all small.

It is interesting to note that in that case the skewness of \( P(x) \) is produced by \( A_3 \) only; if \( A_3 = 0 \), the function \( P(x) \) is even in \( (x-m) \).

As we have already pointed out, in order that \( P(x) \) might be a probability density, the polynomial \( P_{2n}(x) \) must satisfy the condition

\[ P_{2n}(x) \geq 0 \]  \[ [27] \]

for all real \( x \); this condition seems to have been neglected very often.

Let us observe that

\[ P_4(x) = 1 + A_3 H_3 \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) + A_4 H_4 \left( \frac{x-m}{\sigma} \right) \]

is a continuous function of \( A_3, A_4 \). For \( A_3 = A_4 = 0 \) we have

\[ P_4(x) = 1 \]

and the condition is satisfied. Thus, if

\[ |A_3| < B \quad 0 < A_4 < \alpha \]

we are sure that Equation [27] is satisfied.

Putting

\[ a = 3 \frac{A_3}{A_4} \quad b = 3 + \frac{1}{A_4} \]
we find the following bounds

\[ b \geq 9, \quad a \leq \frac{-48 + \sqrt{5766 - 2840}}{9} \]  \[28\]

**JOINT PROBABILITY DENSITY OF TWO RANDOM VARIABLES**

Let \( (X,Y) \) be a pair of random variables; suppose we know the moments

\[ X^j Y^k = m_{j,k} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq (j + k) \leq j \]  \[29\]

We write \( m \) and \( n \) for \( m_{1,0} \) and \( m_{0,1} \)

(the expectations of \( X \) and \( Y \))

\[ \bar{X} = m \quad \bar{Y} = n \]  \[30\]

From \( m_{j,k} \) we can compute the moments centered at expectation

\[ (X-m)^j (Y-n)^k = \nu_{j,k} \]  \[31\]

We write \( \sigma^2 \) and \( \tau^2 \) for \( \nu_{2,0}, \nu_{0,2} \) (the variances of \( X \) and \( Y \)) and \( \tau \sigma \tau \)

for \( \nu_{1,1} \) (\( \tau \) being the correlation of \( X \) and \( Y \)). We always suppose

\[ \sigma > 0 \quad \tau > 0 \]

\[ (X-m)^2 = \sigma^2 \]  \[32\]

\[ (Y-m)^2 = \tau^2 \]

\[ (X-m) (Y-n) = \tau \sigma \quad |\tau| \leq 1 \]

If \( (X,Y) \) follows the normal law,
Prob \[ x < X < x + dx, y < Y < y + dy \] = \( p(x,y) \) \( dx \) \( dy \) \[ \text{[33]} \]

\[ p(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\pi} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \phi(x-m, y-n) \right] \]

where

\[ \phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^2} \left[ \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2} - 2 \frac{xy}{\alpha} + \frac{y^2}{\tau^2} \right] \]

\[ \Delta = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 \tau^2 (1 - r^2)} \]

To represent the given \( m_j, k \) (or \( \mu_j, k \)) as well as possible, we will try a probability law of the type

\[ p(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2\pi} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi(x-m, y-n) \right] P(x,y) \]

\[ \text{[35]} \]

where \( P(x,y) \) is a suitably chosen polynomial. We will give an expression for \( P(x,y) \) in terms of the Hermite polynomials of two variables.

**HERMITE POLYNOMIALS OF TWO VARIABLES**

Consider a positive definite quadratic form

\[ \phi(x,y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2 \]

\[ a > 0 \quad c > 0 \quad \Delta = ac - b^2 > 0 \]

and its adjunct

\[ \psi(\xi, \eta) = \frac{c}{\Delta} \xi^2 - 2 \frac{b}{\Delta} \xi \eta + \frac{a}{\Delta} \eta^2 \]

\[ \text{[37]} \]

Putting
\[ \xi = ax + by \quad n = bx + cy \quad [38] \]

we have the identity

\[ \psi (\xi, n) = \phi (x, y) \quad [39] \]

Following Reference 4, pp 363-387, we define the Hermite polynomials

\[ H_{m,n} (x,y) \text{ and } G_{m,n} (x,y) \text{ by} \]

\[ \exp \left[ h (ax + by) + k (bx + cy) - \frac{1}{2} \phi (h,k) \right] = \sum_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{h^m k^n}{m! n!} H_{m,n} (x,y) \quad [40] \]

\[ \exp \left[ hx + ky - \frac{1}{2} \psi (h,k) \right] = \sum_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{h^m k^n}{m! n!} G_{m,n} (x,y) \quad [41] \]

Let us recall some useful properties of those polynomials

\[ H_{m,n} (x,y) = (-1)^{m+n} \frac{1}{\partial x^m \partial y^n} \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi (x,y)} \right] \quad [42] \]

\[ G_{m,n} (x,y) = (-1)^{m+n} \frac{1}{\partial \xi^m \partial \eta^n} \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{2} \psi (\xi,\eta)} \right] \quad [43] \]

The polynomials \( H_{m,n} \) and \( G_{m,n} \) verify the following conditions:

\[ \begin{cases} 
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_{m,n} (x,y) = am H_{m-1,n} + bn H_{m,n-1} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_{m,n} (x,y) = bm H_{m-1,n} + cn H_{m,n-1} 
\end{cases} \quad [44] \]
\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{m,n}(x,y) &= m G_{m-1,n} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y} G_{m,n}(x,y) &= n G_{m,n-1}
\end{align*} \]  

\[ H_{m,n}(x,y) = \xi H_{m-1,n}(x,y) + a(m-1)H_{m-2,n}(x,y) + b n H_{m-1,n-1}(x,y) = 0 \]  

\[ H_{m,n}(x,y) = n H_{m,n-1}(x,y) + b m H_{m-1,n-1}(x,y) + c(n-1)H_{m,n-2}(x,y) = 0 \]  

\[ G_{m,n}(x,y) = x G_{m-1,n}(x,y) + \frac{c}{\Delta}(m-1)G_{m-2,n}(x,y) - \frac{b}{\Delta} n G_{m-1,n-1}(x,y) = 0 \]  

\[ G_{m,n}(x,y) = y G_{m,n-1}(x,y) - \frac{b}{\Delta} m G_{m-1,n-1}(x,y) + \frac{a}{\Delta}(n-1)G_{m,n-2}(x,y) = 0 \]  

The first polynomials \( H_{m,n} \) have the following expressions:

\[ H_{0,0}(x,y) = 1 \]  

\[ H_{1,0}(x,y) = \xi \]  

\[ H_{0,1}(x,y) = \eta \]  

\[ H_{2,0}(x,y) = \xi^2 - a \]  

\[ H_{1,1}(x,y) = \xi \eta - b \]
\[ H_{0,2} (x,y) = n^2 - c \]
\[ H_{3,0} (x,y) = \xi^3 - 3 a \xi \]
\[ H_{2,1} (x,y) = \xi^2 n - 2b \xi - a n \]
\[ H_{1,2} (x,y) = \xi n^2 - 2b n - c \xi \]
\[ H_{0,3} (x,y) = n^3 - 3 c n \]
\[ H_{4,0} (x,y) = \xi^4 - 6 a \xi^2 + 3 a^2 \]
\[ H_{3,1} (x,y) = \xi^3 n - 3 b \xi^2 - 3 a \xi n + 3 a b \]
\[ H_{2,2} (x,y) = \xi^2 n^2 - c \xi^2 - 2 b \xi n - a n^2 + a c + 2 b^2 \]
\[ H_{1,3} (x,y) = \xi n^3 - 3 b n^2 - 3 c \xi n + 3 c b \]
\[ H_{0,4} (x,y) = n^4 - 6 c n^2 + 3 c^2 \]

The first polynomials \( G_{m,n} \) have the following expressions:

\[ G_{0,0} (x,y) = 1 \]
\[ G_{1,0} (x,y) = x \]
\[ G_{0,1} (x,y) = y \]
\[ G_{2,0} (x,y) = x^2 - \frac{c}{\Delta} \]
\[ G_{1,1} (x,y) = x y + \frac{b}{\Delta} \]
\[ G_{0,2} (x,y) = y^2 - \frac{a}{\Delta} \]
\[ G_{3,0} (x,y) = x^3 - 3 \frac{c}{\Delta} x \]
\[ G_{2,1} (x,y) = x^2 y + 2 \frac{b}{\Delta} x - \frac{c}{\Delta} y \]
\[ G_{1,2} (x,y) = xy^2 + 2 \frac{b}{\Delta} y - \frac{a}{\Delta} x \]
The two sequences of polynomials $H_{m,n}$ and $G_{m,n}$ have the orthogonality property

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi(x,y)} H_{m,n}(x,y) G_{p,q}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \delta_{m,p} \delta_{n,q} \frac{m! \, n!}{\Delta^2}$$

This is the most original contribution of Hermite: the $H_{m,n}$ or the $G_{m,n}$ are not orthogonal to themselves but they are biorthogonal, i.e., they are orthogonal to each other in this very special way.

Let us note that the polynomials $H_{m,n}(x,y)$ and $G_{m,n}(x,y)$ degenerate in a product $H_m(x) H_n(y)$ if, and only if, the coefficient $r$ is equal to zero.

**GRAM-CHARLIER APPROXIMATION OF A TWO-DIMENSIONAL PROBABILITY DENSITY**

Let us now suppose that in the probability law \[35\] the polynomial $P(x,y)$ is the sum of a finite number of Hermite polynomials

$$H_{m,n} \left( \frac{x - m}{\sigma}, \frac{y - n}{\tau} \right)$$
In the quadratic forms [36] and [37] we will assume

\[ a = \frac{1}{1 - r^2}, \quad b = \frac{r}{1 - r^2}, \quad c = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \]  

[51]

thus

\[ \Delta = ac - b^2 = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \quad |r| \leq 1 \]  

[52]

and [36] becomes

\[ \phi (x,y) = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \left( x^2 - 2 \, r \, x \, y + y^2 \right) \]  

[53]

the adjunct form [37] being

\[ \psi (\xi, \eta) = \xi^2 + 2 \, r \, \xi \, \eta + \eta^2 \]  

[54]

the variables (\xi, \eta) and (x,y) being connected by

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} (x - r \, y) \quad \eta = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} (- r \, x + y) \]  

[55]

Now it is obvious that, when (x,y) follows the normal law, we have

\[ p_o (x,y) = \frac{1}{2 \, \pi \, \sigma \, \tau \, \sqrt{1 - r^2}} \exp \left[ - \frac{1}{2} \phi \left( \frac{x - m}{\sigma}, \frac{y - n}{\tau} \right) \right] \]  

[56]

where the quadratic form \( \phi \) is defined by [53].

Let us point out again that \( p_o (x,y) \) is the probability for a pair of random variables \( X, Y \) which are not necessarily independent; it applies even if the correlation \( r \) of \( X, Y \) is not zero. Now the principle of our method is to use the two-dimensional Gram-Charlier series:

\[ p (x,y) = p_o (x,y) \left[ \sum_{j + k = 0}^{j + k = 4} A_{j,k} \, H_{j,k} \left( \frac{x - m}{\sigma}, \frac{y - n}{\tau} \right) \right] \]  

[57]

where \( H_{j,k} (x,y) \) are the Hermite polynomials [48] \( a, b, c, \xi, \eta \) having the values [51] and [55].
Because of the orthogonality property [50], we obviously have
the fundamental result
\[ A_{j,k} = \frac{1}{j! k!} \mathcal{G}_{j,k} \left( \frac{x - m}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{y - n}{\tau} \right) \]  

Equations [49] give the values of the coefficients \( A_{j,k} \) in terms of the moments \( \mu_{j,k} \) (defined by [31]); we have

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{0,0} &= 1 & A_{1,0} &= 0 & A_{0,1} &= 0 \\
A_{2,0} &= 0 & A_{1,1} &= 0 & A_{0,2} &= 0 \\
A_{3,0} &= \frac{\mu_{3,0}}{6 \sigma^3} & A_{2,1} &= \frac{\mu_{2,1}}{2 \sigma^2 \tau} & A_{1,2} &= \frac{\mu_{1,2}}{2 \sigma \tau^2} \\
A_{0,3} &= \frac{\mu_{0,3}}{6 \tau^3},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{4,0} &= \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{\mu_{4,0}}{\sigma^4} - 3 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{3,1} &= \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\mu_{3,1}}{\sigma^3 \tau} - 3 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{2,2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\mu_{2,2}}{\sigma^2 \tau^2} - 1 - 2 \tau^2 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{1,3} &= \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{\mu_{1,3}}{\sigma^3} - 3 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{0,4} &= \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{\mu_{0,4}}{\tau^4} - 3 \right) .
\end{align*}
\]
Of course, as for the one-dimensional case, to test the fitness of the approximation, we must compute $A_{j,k}$ for $j + k = 5$ and see whether they are small.

$$A_{5,0} = \frac{1}{120} \left[ \frac{\mu_{5,0}}{\sigma^5} - 10 \frac{\mu_{3,0}}{\sigma^3} \right]$$

etc.
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