

JCSG Minutes (FINAL)

Col Mayes kicked off the conference and CDR Franchetti led off for the JCSG Agenda.

JOpsC Way Ahead: CDR Franchetti briefed the JOpsC way ahead and JETCD reorganization.

CJCSI 3010 Amendment Review: Mrs. Baldino briefed that we plan to capture “fact of life” changes in an amendment to the 3010, vice re-writing the document.

- Discussion regarding staffing of concepts through JSAP vs. KMDS. Joe explained that KMDS is used for the JCIDS process. We will continue to staff concepts through JSAP.
- COCOMS and Services would like to review the proposed amendment before it goes up for approval. Joe concurred, adding that they may see something we have not seen.

A – J7 send out proposed amendment for informal comment and concurrence

- There was some concern about short suspenses. Joe agreed that we should follow the timelines in the instruction. He added that we do – and will continue to do – informal advance staffing.

A – J7 ensure compliance with and sensitivity to the timelines

- Discussion about “how should we question ‘strategic guidance’” with respect to whether or not something should really become a concept. It was recognized that sometimes we will be required to develop concepts as directed above, although the vetting process – proposal through signature – gives the concept the community to voice concerns along the way.

CCJO Revision Way Ahead: LTC Beagle briefed the deliberate plan for CCJO revision.

- Lots of discussion about how will we involve the interagency in this process. The USCG representative felt very strongly that we should lay out the need for their participation in an effort to continue to educate the IA on the ideas contained in the concepts. He felt that it is good to expose the IA to concepts – the more the better with more frequent engagement.

A – J7 review plans for IA interaction in the CCJO revision process

- Continued discussion about the need to be careful of the Strategic message we send with the CCJO. We don’t want to signal to the IA, “don’t worry, we’ve got it.”
- Col Mayes volunteered that experimentation community can also work with the IA in a “discovery manner” which may be more comfortable for them. This may identify things we need to further explore.
- Col Mayes expressed the need to ensure the experimentation plan for the CCJO is built into the campaign plan.

A – J7 feed general experimentation plan into campaign plan

- Discussion about “who” will be on the writing team and how will they be invited.

A – J7 determine the “whos” and notify them, as well as giving them a rough timeline and location for writing

Training JFC: Mr. Abahazy gave an informative brief on the Training JFC.

Shaping JOC: Mr. Schulz gave an informative brief on the Shaping JOC.

- SOUTHCOM brought up that we may want to also red team the issue of a non-friendly nation wanting to provide assistance.
- Discussed the need to ensure the planners are providing feedback to the document.
- Discussion about the relationship of concept to CBA, with authors providing valuable insights to inform the CBAs. Authors need to be engaged as CBA evolves to provide a frame of reference.

Strategic Comms JIC: Mr. Schulz gave an informative brief on the Strategic Comms JIC.

- Several people mentioned they had never seen or chopped on the proposal. Joe said we would send it out so everyone knew what went up.
A – J7 send out proposal as it was submitted
- The USCG rep recommended that the State Dept be involved...not to chop on it, but to be educated on it.

CWMD JIC: MAJ Klingaman gave an informative brief on the CWMD JIC.

- Col Mayes suggested that the experiments for this JIC need to be linked vice having separate experiments.
A – J7 review experimentation plan and coordinate with JFCOM J9

NORTHCOM LOE: CDR Voboril discussed the upcoming LOE.

- Discussion about how it relates and can be tied to the Noble Resolve series.
- Noble Resolve was briefed later in the meeting for everyone’s information.

JCIDS:

- Mr. Cooper answered several questions about the revision.

JSPS: Mrs. Baldino briefed the new system.

- Discussion about whether this is the right time to revise the CCJO. Joe felt that it is the right time to do it as all of the documents are recursive.
- Follow-on discussion about whether or not we had the “right” military problem in the CCJO. When we do the revision this will be something looked at.
- The 3010 implies that every JOC will be revised every two years. The reality is that each JOC needs to be reviewed for revision every two years through the JSAP process to determine if there are changes to be made. Revision does not imply whole scale re-write. It may only be minor changes and a new version number to remain consistent with the numbering system.
- Discussion about the format for the data call and concerns about opening the floodgates for concepts. Joe concurred that the format needs to be correct.

- Concerns about if we really need a data call this year, since the CCJO will just be coming out next year and may cause changes to the lower documents. Institutionally we need to get out foot in the door this year.
- Joe mentioned that we need to brief the 2* peer group on this – need to figure out how.

A – J7 review wording of the “revision” section of 3010 for clarity.

A – J7 review the concepts proposals section of the data call for proper framing.

A – J7 brief the 2-Star peer group, if time permits.

JCAs: LTC Torres briefed the changes to JCAs and the way ahead.

- Desire to have the new JCAs hung on the web site as soon as possible. Ralph will get them posted by mid-next week.
- Discussion about relationship to UJTLLs. We will work to ensure they are in alignment with the JCAs and Ralph will try to show the tie to UJTLLs during the decomposition.

A – J7 post JCA slides to the website

Ideas for JICS:

- DLA: Discussion about whether this can just be folded into the JFC as an appendix. Will discuss with J4 and review the proposed “military problem” and scope.
- Security Sector reform:
 - o Concern that this is more a civil support mission, and we are not sure we want this to become a military mission. The question that this tee’s up (again) is the IA involvement in this process. Looking out 20 years, we will need to have higher levels of interaction with the IA. There is some thought that the CCJO should open its aperture and put out hooks for the IA community.
 - o EUCOM brought up that we need to get these sorts of discoveries found during the writing process out for discussion. The JOC cannot cover everything in detail. We need to capture these ideas and follow up on them.
- Operational Access:
 - o This idea has a long history
 - o General thought is that this should be included in existing documents, not in a separate JIC. Perhaps JFEO needs to be re-done.
 - o USAF expressed concern that it would be tied too tightly to the Shaping JOC, whereas this affects everything we do.
 - o In the end, Joe is open to hearing the case that it should be a separate JIC.

A – J7 provide feedback to idea “writers”