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For many years it was accepted that antihistamines were among the safest medications in the world, and this reputation was enhanced by the development of the so-called second generation compounds, which were largely free of adverse effects on vigilance and performance. It was against this background that there was wide agreement that they could be used safely by aircrew. However, cardiotoxicity has now become an issue with these antihistamines,¹ and the confidence which was once placed in their use for aircrew requires re-examination.

With certain antihistamines, plasma concentrations of the parent compound, caused by overdosage, inhibition of metabolism, or hepatic insufficiency, may lead to prolongation of the QTₐ interval, and thus to ventricular dysrhythmias similar to those seen with quinidine. Such dysrhythmias are likely due to blockade of the rapidly activating component (Iₖr) of the delayed rectifier potassium channel, since inhibition of this channel is common to virtually all drugs that prolong the QT interval.² There is no evidence of any correlation between Iₖr inhibition and antihistamine potency or H₁ receptor blockade.

Inhibition of metabolism is a particularly important issue and some individuals may even be poor metabolisers. Antihistamines such as terfenadine and astemizole are metabolised by the P400 enzyme CYP3A4 to compounds which have little or negligible cardiac effects. The enzyme may be inhibited by anti-fungals such as ketoconazole, itraconazole and terbinafine, the macrolide antibiotics erythromycin, clarithromycin and troleandomycin, the azalide antibiotic azithromycin, 6,7-dihydroxybergamottin (an active principle of the flavonoids of grapefruit juice), and ethinylestradiol. Cimetidine and ranitidine are H₂-antihistamines which also inhibit CYP3A4. Caution must be exercised with any coadministered drug which inhibits the enzyme, and thus may raise the plasma concentration of the parent antihistamine or its metabolite.

Caution must also be exercised with the use of antihistamines in individuals with congenital prolongation of the QTₐ interval, bradycardia, ischemic heart disease, congestive cardiac failure, electrolyte changes (especially hypokalemia) and drugs that prolong the QTₐ interval such as quinidine. All potential H₁-antihistamines must be screened for cardiac toxicity, as some individuals may be susceptible to plasma concentrations near the therapeutic range.

At the time of writing, there are two antihistamines which may be considered for use by aircrew. These are fexofenadine, the metabolite of terfenadine, and loratadine. The metabolite of loratadine, desloratadine, is under clinical development. Fexofenadine and loratadine have been shown to be clinically effective, are believed to be free of central effects, and have low, if any, cardiotoxic effects.
Cetirizine has sedative activity, and is therefore not suitable for use by aircrew.¹

**LORATADINE**

Loratadine is rapidly and completely absorbed, reaching peak plasma levels within 1 to 2 hours after ingestion. The elimination half-lives of loratadine and its metabolite (descarboethoxy-loratadine) are 8 to 14 hours and 17 to 24 hours respectively.⁴⁵ This elimination rate allows loratadine to remain active over 24 hours, enabling once daily dosing.

Performance studies have shown that loratadine (10mg) is free of effects on performance and sedation and so is suitable for those involved in skilled work or driving.⁶¹ Loratadine has no effect on a wide variety of psychomotor skills including reaction time, vigilance, visuomotor coordination, visual acuity or digit symbol substitution. Studies using subjective and objective (daytime sleep latencies) measures of sleepiness have also shown no sedative effect. Further, the lack of any sedative effect of loratadine has also been shown in driving tests.⁸

In contrast with terfenadine and astemizole, loratadine is believed to be free of adverse cardiac effects in humans. However, there are reports which suggest it may be associated with atrial arrhythmias,⁹¹⁰ and studies have shown that loratadine in therapeutic concentrations¹¹ can modulate potassium currents in isolated human atrial myocytes.¹² The analysis of Lindquist & Edwards,¹³ however, has been questioned.¹⁴¹⁵ The study by Crumb¹⁶ evaluated the effect of loratadine on different potassium channels; studies using isolated ventricular myocytes have shown only a 10-15% suppression of the Iₖr channel at a loratadine concentration of 2.5 μM, a level which is probably clinically unachievable.¹⁷

There is little, if any, firm evidence from clinical studies to support an increased risk of arrhythmias from loratadine. Co-administration of agents known to inhibit the metabolism of loratadine with high plasma concentrations have not led to changes in the QTc interval. Further, exposure to four times the recommended daily dose of loratadine, i.e., 40mg once daily, for 13 weeks has failed to show changes from baseline in any electrocardiographic parameter, and there was no evidence in any individual of prolongation of the QTc interval.¹⁸

**FEXOFENADINE**

Fexofenadine is a racemic mixture of two pharmacologically active isomers. It is the active metabolite of terfenadine, and is a highly specific H₁-receptor antagonist free of anticholinergic and antiadrenergic activity. It is rapidly absorbed by the oral route, reaching peak plasma levels within 1 to 3 hours. It is excreted unchanged by the biliary and renal routes and has an elimination half life of 11 to 15 hours.

In a study carried out at the UK Defence Evaluation and Research Agency Centre for Human Sciences, digit symbol substitution, tracking and vigilance tasks, as well as objective (daytime sleep latencies) and subjective measures of sleepiness, were studied in healthy volunteers from one hour to eight hours post-ingestion using 120, 180 and 240mg. There were no changes in performance or sleepiness with any dose of fexofenadine at any time compared with placebo.¹⁶

The effects of fexofenadine in doses up to 240mg daily have also been studied on driving and on psychomotor performance.¹⁷ Volunteers were treated for five days with each of four different doses of fexofenadine (60mg twice daily, 120mg twice daily, 120mg once daily, 240mg once daily). On days one, four, and five of each treatment period the subjects underwent a highway driving test and a battery of psychomotor performance tests. The results for all fexofenadine doses were not significantly different from placebo.

In view of the clear cut cardiotoxic effects of terfenadine, careful attention has been given to the possibility that its metabolite, fexofenadine, might also modulate cardiac conduction. However, animal studies and human studies specifically designed to examine the effect of repeated doses of fexofenadine on the electrocardiogram have failed to show any changes of significance in the QTc interval.

In a letter to the Lancet,¹⁸ Pinto et al raised the possibility of QT lengthening from fexofenadine in a cardiac patient, which in turn led to correspondence with the manufacturer, Hoechst-Marion-Roussel. Giraud¹⁹ pointed out that the patient reported by Pinto et al had several risk factors for ischemic heart disease, with evidence of progressive coronary artery disease and a
possible inferior infarction. Of even greater significance, there was evidence of QTc prolongation before the initiation of therapy with fexofenadine, and the first documented episode of ventricular tachycardia occurred during a drug-free interval, four days after discontinuing fexofenadine. Pinto et al. disputed the importance of the coronary disease, noting that the coronary lesion documented at follow-up angiography would not likely have been of hemodynamic significance; however, they did not dispute the other two points. Review of the original report by Pinto et al. also shows that the subject had pre-existing left ventricular hypertrophy, likely due to hypertension. Furthermore, the reported fluctuations in the measured QTc interval fell within the range of expected variability. Pratt et al. found, when comparing single tracings before and after exposure to a drug, that an increase in QTc of at least 60 msec was necessary before one could reasonably ascribe the difference to the medication. In essence, then, this was a report of questionable cardiotoxicity from fexofenadine, in one individual with numerous confounding factors, against a background of preclinical and clinical evidence that fexofenadine has no significant QT lengthening effect.

CONCLUSION

At the time of writing there would appear to be two antihistamines which could be used by aircrew. Both loratadine (10mg daily) and fexofenadine (120-180mg daily) are free of adverse effects on vigilance and performance. Though it is not possible in all circumstances to exclude an adverse effect on cardiac conduction, the considered evidence is that both loratadine and fexofenadine are acceptable for aircrew, and that it is not possible to state a preference for one drug over the other.
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