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Abstract

The aim of this paper is to investigate to what extent non-native speech may deteriorate language identification (LID) performances and to improve them using acoustic adaptation. Our reference LID system is based on a phonotactic approach. The system makes use of language-independent acoustic models and language-specific phone-based bigram language models. Experiments are conducted on the SQALE test database, which contains recordings from English, French and German native speakers, and on the MIST database, which contains non-native speech in the same languages uttered by Dutch speakers. Using 5 seconds of telephone quality speech, language identification error rate amounts to 10% for native speech and to 28% for non-native speech, thus yielding an important increase in error rate in the non-native case. We improve non-native language identification by an adaptation of the acoustic models to the non-native speech.

1 INTRODUCTION

In the field of automatic speech processing, intensive research activities have been devoted to speech recognition and transcription. With the growing interest in multilinguality and multilingual systems, language identification (LID) has become a research area of its own [5, 7]. In a multilingual context however speakers may use foreign languages for communication. Under such conditions, i.e. dealing with non-native speech input, system performances are known to decrease. Yet systematic evaluations of such degradation and research efforts to minimize them are still to be fostered.

Various information sources can be exploited in order to identify a given language: acoustic, phonemic, phonotactic, lexical, etc. In practice, for each information level specific resources and corpora are required for the languages to be modeled, and in most LID approaches only acoustic-phonetic and phonotactic models are used. The models are usually trained on native speech. Given the much greater spectral variability commonly observed in non-native speech, performance is expected to degrade when applying to such material.

Studying the impact of non-native speech on LID requires appropriate test material. Ideally a multilingual native speaker database and a multilingual non-native speaker database are required. Both corpora should be similar in style and recorded in comparable acoustic conditions. To our knowledge the MIST database is the first multi-lingual corpus gathering non-native speech; it contains recordings in English, French and German from Dutch speakers. Similar native speech material is provided by the multilingual corpora produced within the LE-SQALE project [6].

In the following, we describe the LID system used for the experiments. We present baseline LID results on native speech using the SQALE test database, and results on non-native speech using the MIST database; by means of these experiments we measure the impact of native versus non-native speech on LID error rates. Finally we investigate the effectiveness of acoustic model adaptation to handle non-native speech.

2 LID SYSTEM

The LID system used in the experiments is based on a phonotactic approach, with a single language-independent acoustic-phonetic decoder. This approach was chosen because, compared to language-specific acoustic modeling, it allows easier extension of the system to new languages, as there is no need...
of specific phonetic knowledge of the new language or of a phonetic labelling of the training databases. The drawback is that it generally requires longer test segments to obtain optimal results as compared to acoustic-phonetic approaches. Previous work showed that the phonotactic approach LID results significantly improve when the test segment length goes from 10s to 45s [4].

The system is more extensively described in another article [1], where it is referenced as LI_HC (language-independent hierarchically clustered phone set). It is illustrated in Figure 1. It uses one single language-independent phone recognizer to label the speech input. The phone sequence output by this phone recognizer is then scored with language-dependent phonotactic models approximated by phone bigrams. The language providing the highest phonotactic probability is hypothesized.

### 2.1 Training database

The LID system was trained using the IDEAL corpus, which is a multi-language telephone speech corpus designed to support research on LID [3]. This corpus contains a large amount of speech (between 15 and 18 hours per language). The different languages were collected under the same conditions, and native speakers were recruited in their home countries. Data have been recorded for British English, Spanish, French and German. All speakers called the LIMSI data collection system ensuring the same recording conditions for the entire corpus. The IDEAL corpus contains about 300 calls for each language (i.e., international calls from native U.K., Spanish, and German speakers and national calls from native French speakers), 250 of them being used for acoustic and phonotactic model estimation (about 13 hours per language).

The calling script was designed to cover a variety of data types: 12 questions to elicit precise responses (7 general questions concerning the call and caller, and 5 prompts asking for times, dates, days of the week and months of the year), 18 items containing predefined texts to read, and 6 questions aimed at collecting spontaneous speech. The acoustic models were trained on all types of material, and the phonotactic models on the spontaneous speech part.

### 2.2 Front-end processing

The front-end processing consists in 12 MFCC plus the energy, augmented by their first and second order derivatives, i.e. a total of 39 coefficients every 10 ms. The same setting was used for processing test data, except that signal frequencies over 3.5 kHz were cut in order to be consistent with the training database which contains only narrow-band telephone speech.

### 2.3 Acoustic models

250 calls from IDEAL (about 9000 sentences, containing up to 13 hours of speech for each language) have been used for acoustic model training. First, 4 language-specific phone sets for English, French, German, and Spanish were trained. All acoustic models are three-state continuous density HMM of context-independent phones. Then a single multi-lingual set of 91 monophone models was obtained by an agglomerative hierarchical clustering of these 4 phone sets, using a measure of similarity between phones [1]. This phone set has proven to allow effective extension to new languages [4].

### 2.4 Phonotactic models

Phonotactic models were estimated on the spontaneous speech part of the 250 training calls which accounts for about 15% of the IDEAL corpus. For
each language, an acoustic-phonetic decoding of the training database was performed using the multilingual phone set. The decoded phone strings are then used to estimate language-dependent bigram models for English, French and German.

3 TEST CORPORA

Experiments were conducted on the SQALE and MIST databases for LID results on native and non-native speech, respectively.

3.1 SQALE database

The development and test data of the SQALE project [6] were used for the native speech experiments. The 4-language (French, British and American English, German) speech database contains 400 sentences per language from 40 speakers, plus some diagnostic sentences which were not used in our experiments. Within the SQALE project the test sentences were chosen to give a reasonable spread of difficulty as determined by sentence length and perplexity. French, English (British or American) and German speakers were recorded reading newspaper texts from Le Monde, Wall Street Journal and Frankfurter Rundschau, respectively.

3.2 MIST database

The MIST database was developed by the TNO Human Factors Research Institute to support research in multi-linguality and non-native speech. 74 native Dutch speakers (52 male, 22 female) uttered 10 sentences in Dutch, and also for most of them in English, French and German: 5 sentences per language identical for all speakers and 5 unique sentences per language and per speaker. The text sources are the same as for the SQALE project concerning English, French and German. We used only unique sentences for evaluation on non-native speech because identical sentences are not phonetically balanced over time. Finally, the selected part of the MIST database contains about 300 sentences per language.

4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We present LID error rates for each language as a function of sentence duration. Every second, the system takes a decision on the speech segment decoded so far. For a given test duration, only sentences longer than this duration were used. In order to reduce duration variability due to pauses and hesitations, the silences labelled by the recognizer are discounted from the sentence duration. For both test corpora, mean sentence duration is about 6 seconds. Few sentences are more than 8s long, and no significant LID results were obtained for segment durations over this duration.

4.1 Results on native speech

Identification results (on a second per second basis) on the SQALE database are provided in Figure 2. For 5 second segments, the global error rate amounts to 10%. This global rate does not show the disparity between languages; indeed, error rates of 16%, 3% and 10% are achieved for English, French and German speech, respectively. For all durations, results on French are significantly better than on the other languages. This might be attributed to the difference between French national and international telephone networks.

4.2 Results on non-native speech

Similar experiments were conducted on non-native speech. The identification results using the three non-native MIST languages are illustrated in Figure 3. On 5 second segments, LID error rates for non-native English, French and German are 23%, 29% and 31%, respectively. The global LID error rate of the three non-native languages is 28%.

4.3 Comparison between native and non-native speech

The comparison of the identification results for native and non-native speech for each language is illustrated
in Figure 4. For French and German, the non-native Dutch accent increases the error rates as expected. But error rate increase for non-native English, though significant, is much lower. The English phonotactic model seems to be more robust with respect to accent variation. Another more linguistically motivated conclusion consists in suggesting that Dutch speakers are best in speaking English as compared to French and German. For 5 second segments, the global error rate amounts to 10% for native speech and to 28% for non-native speech, showing an important increase in error rate (cf. Table 1).

4.4 Adaptation of acoustic models

Better results on non-native speech should be obtained after adapting the LID system to the new conditions. Given the size of the available non native speech material (the MIST test database), an adaptation of the phonotactic models does not seem possible, and only acoustic models adaptation was tested. For a better use of the available data, the non-native MIST data were jack-knifed in 5 sets; the results were obtained by testing each set with acoustic models adapted on the remaining part of the database.

Each non-native sentence of the adaptation subset is aligned with the original prompt using the language-dependent acoustic models and produces a phone segmentation which is converted into the language-independent phone set. For each of the three non-native language, the acoustic models (including means, variances and weights of gaussians) are adapted towards the non-native acoustic realization of the phones. As a result, we get three sets of acoustic models. A weighting factor allows to control the degree of adaptation.

The LID system with adapted acoustic models is finally tested on the left-out fifth of the database. Each test sentence is decoded using the three adapted acoustic models in parallel with the original multilingual phone set, and the four phone sequences obtained are scored with the phonotactic models. The chosen language is the one with the highest global probability.

Figure 5 shows the global LID error rates after adaptation of the acoustic models. On 5 second segment, LID error rates of 21%, 22% and 27% are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>SQALE</th>
<th>MIST</th>
<th>relative increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>×1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>×10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>×3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global rate</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>×2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
achieved for non-native English, French and German respectively (these figures can be compared to those in Table 1). A 14% relative decrease of the global LID error rate is observed for the three non-native languages (24% with adaptation vs. 28% without adaptation); despite the small size of the test set, this improvement can be shown to be significant using McNemar's test [2].

5 CONCLUSIONS

Experiments have been carried out with a phonotactic-based approach LID system on a 3-language task using native and non-native speech (SQALE, MIST corpora).

Using 5 seconds of telephone quality speech, LID error rate increased from 10% for native speech to 28% for non-native speech. Given the limited amount of test data, the test segment duration has been limited to a maximum length of 8 seconds, which stays far away from the typical durations (30s and more) for which the phonotactic LID approach performs best.

Adaptation of the acoustic model sets allowed to significantly reduce the error rate on non-native speech. Using the phonotactic approach, adaptation of the phonotactic models should be more efficient, but it could not be tested with the databases involved.

Needs for further investigation are obvious. Studying the effects of non-native speech on LID requires larger databases including more utterances of longer durations, more languages and various foreign accents. The development cost of such resources is of course a major issue. But the MIST database, even if only devoted to Dutch accent over a few European languages, was clearly an excellent starting point for the study of non-native speech, especially because of its matching with the already studied native SQALE database.
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