

Serial No. 594.957  
Filing Date 31 January 1996  
Inventor William K. Burns  
Robert W. McElhanon

NOTICE

The above identified patent application is available for licensing. Requests for information should be addressed to:

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**  
Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
CODE OCCC3  
ARLINGTON VA 22217-5660

19960327 052

19960327 052

## Adhesion Enhancement For Underplating Problem

### Field of the Invention

1  
2 This invention pertains to improving adhesion between a  
3 metallic surface and a resist to reduce or prevent underplating or  
4 separation therebetween during electroplating.

### Description of the Background

5  
6 This invention was inspired by the development of a three  
7 dimensional fabrication process for creating high depth-to-width  
8 aspect ratio microstructures. This fabrication process is based on  
9 the three well established technologies of vacuum deposition of  
10 metal films: conventional UV photolithography, and electrochemical  
11 deposition of metals and alloys. There is a growing interest in  
12 using this combination of these three technologies for device  
13 fabrication in a variety of applications.

14 The basic steps of this process start with a selected  
15 substrate material where the surface is metallized using vacuum  
16 deposition to create a plating base. A thick layer on the order  
17 of 15-200 microns of conventional UV photoresist is applied to the  
18 metallized surface. A desired two-dimensional pattern on the  
19 photoresist is exposed to a mercury vapor lamp through a mask. The  
20 photoresist is developed, forming a three-dimensional impression of  
21 the photomask pattern. The substrate is then put into an  
22 electroplating bath or an electrolyte solution where the  
23 photoresist molds the electrochemically deposited metal or alloy  
24 into a three-dimensional structure.

25 One of the problems encountered when using photoresist  
26 material to form molds for shaping electrochemically deposited

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 metals or alloys is that unless the electrolyte and photoresist are  
2 compatible, ions of certain metals and alloys migrate through the  
3 interface between the photoresist and the plating base during  
4 plating. This is referred to as underplating and it occurs  
5 continuously during the electrodeposition, though at a much slower  
6 rate than the deposition itself. Due to this slower rate, for  
7 depositions of only 5 or 10 microns thickness, underplating may not  
8 be a difficult problem. But, the accumulation of underplated metal  
9 during a 15, 50, or 100 micron thick deposition often ruins the  
10 final structure.

11 To control underplating photoresist used to mold thick  
12 electrochemically deposited metallic three-dimensional structures,  
13 there are two methods currently used. The first method utilizes or  
14 develops an electrolyte solution with chemical characteristics that  
15 control the underplating. The second method uses a low current  
16 density during electroplating to minimize the underplating rate.

17 Selecting an electrolyte solution based on its ability to  
18 control underplating often leads to numerous other problems to  
19 overcome. To begin with, the chemical properties of an electrolyte  
20 solution are a major determinant of the physical properties of the  
21 final deposited metallic object. It is preferable in many cases to  
22 select an electrolyte solution based on the desired properties of  
23 the deposited metallic object. Additionally, electrolyte solutions  
24 which are effective at controlling underplating can contain more

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 hazardous chemicals than other solutions. Due to the increasing  
2 regulation of hazardous material shipment and disposal, the  
3 elimination of these chemicals from manufacturing processes is  
4 becoming a requirement for economically viable operations. And  
5 finally, an electrolyte solution which is effective at controlling  
6 underplating can often chemically attack the photoresist which  
7 forms the mold for shaping the electroplated metallic object. To  
8 use such a solution requires additional treatment of the  
9 photoresist to enable it to hold up during plating, which then  
10 leads to requiring more aggressive and potentially hazardous  
11 chemicals for removing the photoresist after plating.

12 Controlling the underplating of photoresist by using a low  
13 current density during electroplating is limited and sometimes  
14 inconsistent in the final results. It is believed that this method  
15 provides some control over underplating because a reduction in  
16 current density affects the underplating rate more than the plating  
17 rate. However, underplating is not eliminated with this method and  
18 can still cause major problems with thick electroplated structures.  
19 Additionally, reducing the plating rate makes electroplating thick  
20 structures an excessively slow process for industrial applications.

21 The underplating problem is not limited to situations where a  
22 thick resist layer is deposited on a metallic surface and a thick  
23 metallic interconnect is plated in the mold formed by the resist.  
24 USP 4,624,749 to Black et al discloses electrodeposition of

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 submicron metallic interconnects for integrated circuits where the  
2 underplating problem is encountered. In order to reduce or  
3 eliminate the underplating between the resist and the metallic  
4 surface, the Black et al patent relies on the combination of  
5 toughening the resist skin and pulsing the electroplating current  
6 during the electroplating deposition of a metal or alloy

7 Summary of the Invention

8 It is an object of this invention to improve adhesion between  
9 a metallic surface and a resist disposed thereon;

10 It is another object of this invention to reduce or eliminate  
11 underplating between a metallic surface and a resist disposed  
12 thereon which takes place during plating of a metallic material on  
13 the metallic surface adjacent the resist.

14 These and other objects of this invention are attained by  
15 providing a layer of a sacrificial material between a metallic  
16 surface and a resist disposed thereon to reduce or eliminate  
17 deposition of a metallic material between the metallic surface and  
18 the resist during electroplating deposition of the metallic  
19 material on the metallic surface adjacent the resist.

20 Brief Description of the Drawings

21 A more complete appreciation of the subject invention can be  
22 obtained by reference to the detailed description of invention and  
23 the accompanying drawings in which like numerals in different  
24 figures represent the same structures or elements wherein:



Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 tendency of the resist to separate from the sacrificial layer and  
2 allow deposition of metal or alloy during the electroplating.

3 Adhesion

4 of the sacrificial layer to the plating base layer is sufficient to  
5 prevent separation and deposition of the metal or alloy on the  
6 plating base.

7 The sacrificial material used between the interface of the  
8 plating base and the resist also acts as a protective coating  
9 during the resist processing. It is well known that after  
10 developing the resist, a thin scum layer of contamination remains  
11 on the substrate surface. This contamination is very difficult to  
12 remove, usually requiring an oxygen plasma ashing, which can cause  
13 other problems. Using the fabrication method described herein, the  
14 contamination adheres to the sacrificial material disposed between  
15 the plating base and the resist and is removed in the same  
16 selective etch with the sacrificial material, leaving a clean and  
17 contamination-free plating base surface. This is very significant  
18 as the condition of the plating base is a major determinant of the  
19 quality of the final electrochemical deposition.

20 Although the substrate can be any semiconductor, electro-optic  
21 or metallic material such as silicon, fused silica, gallium  
22 arsenide, indium phosphate, lithium niobate, lithium tantalate, or  
23 potassium titanium phosphate, the preferred substrate is lithium  
24 niobate. The substrate can be of any dimension, thickness or

Serial No. . . . .  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 materials desired. The typical substrate is a semiconductor,  
2 however, the substrate used in the example is a dielectric disk of  
3 lithium niobate about 3 inches in diameter and about 500 microns  
4 thick. A number of microstructures can be formed on such a disk.

5 The fabricating method of the present invention includes the  
6 steps of depositing an adhesion layer on a cleaned substrate;  
7 depositing a plating base on the adhesion layer; depositing a  
8 sacrificial layer on the plating base; depositing a resist on the  
9 sacrificial layer; exposing, developing and removing the exposed  
10 and developed resist, thus uncovering a portion of the sacrificial  
11 layer; removing the uncovered sacrificial layer to uncover the  
12 plating base; plating a metallic object on the uncovered plating  
13 base; removing the unexposed and undeveloped resist disposed on the  
14 sacrificial layer; removing the sacrificial layer that is uncovered  
15 when the resist is removed; and removing the plating base that is  
16 uncovered when the sacrificial layer is removed.

17 The steps of depositing the adhesion layer, the plating base  
18 and the novel sacrificial layer on a suitable substrate are  
19 typically carried out by vacuum evaporation in a chamber, typically  
20 at a low vacuum and at about room temperature. The pressure in the  
21 chamber is on the order of  $10^{-6}$  Torr. Thickness of the adhesion  
22 layer should be a minimum that promotes adhesion of the plating  
23 base to the substrate. In practice, the adhesion layer is a thin  
24 film of a few hundred angstroms thick, such as about 100-700

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 angstroms. Although any material can be used, typically, the  
2 adhesion layer is titanium, tantalum, chromium, nickel or tungsten.  
3 The various layers disposed directly or indirectly on the substrate  
4 usually includes the adhesion layer which is disposed on the  
5 substrate, the plating base which is disposed on the adhesion  
6 layer, and the sacrificial layer which is disposed on the plating  
7 base.

8 In certain applications, a barrier layer is provided between  
9 the substrate and the adhesion layer. For example, in fabricating  
10 electro-optic modulators, a thin film of silicon dioxide barrier  
11 layer is provided, typically by vacuum evaporation, to serve as a  
12 buffer between the metal above and the waveguides below.

13 The plating base is a metallic surface on which a metallic  
14 material can be deposited by electroplating from a plating  
15 solution under the action of an electrical current. Suitable  
16 plating base is a malleable, highly electrically conducting  
17 metallic material selected from metals and alloys. A typical  
18 plating base can be gold, silver, platinum, palladium, copper,  
19 aluminum or an alloy thereof or an alloy with different materials.  
20 Gold is the preferred plating base because of its superior signal  
21 conducting properties and its resistance to oxidation. Although  
22 the gold plating base can be any desired thickness, typically its  
23 thickness is on the order of less than 1 micron.

24 The novel sacrificial layer can be any metallic material that

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 promotes adhesion between the plating base and the resist that is  
2 deposited above. This layer reduces or eliminates underplating  
3 that typically takes place during electroplating deposition of a  
4 metallic material in the form of deposition of the metallic  
5 material on the plating base between the plating base and the  
6 resist disposed thereon. Although the preferred sacrificial layer  
7 is titanium, it can be tantalum, chromium, nickel or tungsten and  
8 mixtures thereof. Thickness of the sacrificial layer should be  
9 such as to reduce or eliminate underplating and typically it is  
10 below 1 micron, more typically a few hundred angstroms, such as  
11 about 200-700 angstroms.

12 If thickness of the sacrificial layer is too thin, such as  
13 below about 50 angstroms, then it will not be effective to reduce  
14 or prevent underplating, however, if this thickness is too great,  
15 such as in excess of about 0.5 microns, then no additional  
16 advantage is achieved.

17 Before depositing a resist on the sacrificial layer, the  
18 resulting structures are typically dehydration baked to promote  
19 adhesion between the sacrificial layer and the resist disposed  
20 thereabove. This dehydration bake is accomplished by placing the  
21 structure in an ordinary gravity oven maintained at appropriate  
22 temperatures and duration to remove surface moisture. Typically,  
23 this temperature is in the approximate range of 100 - 200° C and  
24 duration is a 10 hours or less, particularly in the approximate

1 range of 0.5 - 4 hours. Although higher temperature will reduce  
2 duration, the temperature must not be so high as to damage any  
3 aspect of the plating base.

4 Fig. 1 illustrates planar substrate 10 with planar plating  
5 base 12 disposed on the substrate and planar sacrificial layer 14  
6 disposed on the plating base.

7 When the substrate is cool enough from the dehydration bake  
8 step, the step of depositing a conventional resist on the  
9 sacrificial layer is carried out in a known manner. The resist can  
10 be applied in more than one layer to build up the resist thickness.  
11 After each resist is deposited on the sacrificial layer, the resist  
12 can be hardened in an oven.

13 The conventional way of depositing a resist on a substrate is  
14 by puddling a small amount thereof in the middle of the substrate  
15 and then spinning the substrate at a predetermined rpm to deposit  
16 the desired thickness of the resist. This procedure can be repeated  
17 to incrementally build up the desired thickness. This procedure  
18 typically results in an edgebead which is removed to maintain a  
19 planar layer of the resist on the substrate.

20 To activate the resist so that it can be suitably exposed, the  
21 structure is pre-exposure baked at an elevated temperature to  
22 activate a photo-active compound in the resist. Typically, this is  
23 accomplished by placing the substrate with the resist thereon on a  
24 hotplate and heating it from about room temperature to an elevated

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 temperature below about 100°C. To achieve the photosensitivity  
2 necessary to expose a thick layer, i.e., greater than about 10  
3 microns, of a photoresist, the resist on the substrate is hydrated  
4 by keeping it in a humid atmosphere so that it absorbs sufficient  
5 water vapor. Typically, hydration of the photoresist can be  
6 accomplished at a relative humidity of about 45% and a holdtime of  
7 less than a few hours, such as about 1 to a couple of hours.

8 Any suitable resist can be used, including positive and  
9 negative resists. Although positive, novolac-based photoresists  
10 are preferred, others can also be used especially if exposure is  
11 effected with x-rays rather than photons. Vertical and horizontal  
12 extent of the resist deposits on the sacrificial layer depend on  
13 the particular application contemplated for the finished product.  
14 In fabricating integrated circuits where metallic material lines  
15 are typically submicron in thickness and width, it is necessary  
16 that width and height of the unexposed resist be also submicron,  
17 however, in certain modulators, the plating object may be in excess  
18 of 10  $\mu\text{m}$  thick, requiring a thicker resist. Generally speaking, in  
19 the context of the invention disclosed herein, resist thickness can  
20 vary from submicron to 200 microns, but more typically, thickness  
21 of the resist is in excess of about 10 microns, such as 10 to 200  
22 microns, especially 15 to 50 microns. The underplating problem  
23 addressed by the present invention is more pronounced with thicker  
24 resists, such as 10 to 20 microns.

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1           After hydration, the steps of exposing the resist through a  
2 mask, developing and removing it are carried out. Exposure can be  
3 effected with light or another source of energy, such as x-rays.  
4 Typically, exposure of the resist is accomplished with light  
5 provided by a high pressure mercury vapor lamp and the exposure  
6 duration is just long enough to achieve complete photochemical  
7 reaction in the desired areas.

8           The exposed resist is then developed and removed in the open  
9 spaces corresponding to the pattern of the mask uncovering at least  
10 one portion of the sacrificial layer. Development of the exposed  
11 resist is typically accomplished by immersing the substrate with  
12 the resist thereon in a suitable developing solution for several  
13 minutes or spraying the developing solution across the substrate  
14 surface until all of the exposed resist has been dissolved and  
15 removed. After developing and removing the resist, the structure  
16 with the resist thereon is rinsed in deionized water, blow dried  
17 with a dry gas and subjected to microscopic examination to  
18 ascertain the character and condition of the unexposed resist on  
19 the structure.

20           After exposure, development, removal and microscopic  
21 inspection of the resist, the resist is treated to stabilize it  
22 against thermal flow. This is typically accomplished with reactive  
23 ion etcher by subjecting the unexposed resist on the structure to  
24 a plasma for up to several minutes.

1           The stabilized resist on the structure is then hardbaked to  
2 drive-off any residual solvent in the resist and to improve  
3 adhesion of the unexposed resist to the sacrificial layer on which  
4 it is disposed. Typically, this is conventionally accomplished in  
5 an oven or on a hot plate in less than 24 hours.

6           Fig. 2 illustrates the structure after removal of the exposed  
7 and developed resist and space 18 created in place of the removed  
8 resist showing uncovered sacrificial layer. Numeral 16 in Fig. 2  
9 denotes resist.

10           The novel step of removing the uncovered sacrificial layer in  
11 the space is done to uncover the plating base 12 on which a  
12 metallic material in the form of a metallic structure 20 is  
13 deposited from a plating solution. Removal of the uncovered  
14 sacrificial layer 14 is typically effected with an appropriate  
15 chemical or plasma etching process. It should be, however,  
16 understood that any etching technique can be used which is  
17 effective in disintegrating the sacrificial layer without damaging  
18 plating base 12.

19           Fig. 3 illustrates the structure after removal of sacrificial  
20 layer 14 in space or micromold 18.

21           Since the next step in the fabrication method is  
22 electroplating, selected areas of the unexposed resist are removed  
23 along the edge of the structure in order to provide electrical  
24 contacts to the plating base.

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1           Deposition of a metallic material is accomplished in a known  
2 manner by immersing the structure and the unexposed resist thereon  
3 in a plating solution and depositing metallic material 20 under  
4 influence of an electrical current on the plating base in space 18  
5 created after removal of the exposed resist. The space 18 is also  
6 referred to as an open-ended micromold since it serves to confine  
7 deposition of the metallic material as a mold does in a  
8 conventional molding operation. Electro-deposition of metallic  
9 material 20 is conducted in a conventional manner by attaching one  
10 portion of the structure with the unexposed resist thereon to the  
11 cathode side of a DC electrical power supply and another portion to  
12 the anode side of the power supply and plating the metallic  
13 material in the form of metallic object 20 on the plating base to  
14 the desired thickness.

15           Plating rate can be increased by heating the plating solution  
16 to an elevated temperature, typically below 100°C, such as between  
17 40 and 80°C. A certain minimum temperature dependent current  
18 density is required in order to initiate electroplating. Although  
19 the minimum current density is a variable which depends on many  
20 parameters, typically, current density below about 0.1 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>  
21 fails to produce meaningful plating. For purposed herein, current  
22 density of below about 5 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, and especially 0.5 - 2 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>,  
23 typically suffices to plate the metallic materials of an acceptable  
24 character and at an acceptable rate. It is contemplated that

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 plating of the metallic material of desired thickness will take  
2 less than several days, typically on the order of 20 hours or less.

3 Thickness of the plated object can vary greatly depending on  
4 what is desired. It can be submicron if only an interconnect  
5 structure is desired but it can be much thicker for other  
6 applications such as novel sensor designs, fiber optic connectors  
7 and devices, microcoils for electronics applications, microparts  
8 for micromachines, and even micro-sized electric motors. Sufficient  
9 to say, it is contemplated that thickness of the plating object can  
10 be in excess of 200 microns although typically, this thickness is  
11 from submicron to below 200 microns, especially in the range of  
12 5- 50 microns. The width of the plated metallic object is typically  
13 less than its thickness and more typically, it is 3 to 30 $\mu$ m.

14 Fig. 4 shows the substrate with plated object 20 disposed in  
15 space 18, the plated object being composed of a metallic material  
16 that can be same or different from metallic layer 12. The top  
17 surface of plated object 20 is typically below the top surface of  
18 resist 16.

19 After the electroplating operation is complete, the  
20 microstructure is removed from the plating bath and the steps of  
21 removing the unexposed and undeveloped resist, the sacrificial  
22 layer, the plating base and the adhesion layer are carried out  
23 sequentially. The resist disposed on the sacrificial layer is  
24 unexposed resist which is removed in a known manner, as by

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 dissolving it in a common solvent, such as acetone. After removing  
2 the resist, what is uncovered is the sacrificial layer which is  
3 disposed on the plating base which, in turn, is disposed on the  
4 adhesion layer which in turn, is disposed on the barrier layer,  
5 which, in turn, is disposed on the substrate. The uncovered  
6 portions of the sacrificial layer, the plating base therebeneath  
7 and the adhesion layer beneath the plating base are removed in a  
8 known way. The plated object remains disposed on a portion of the  
9 plating base. The plating base remaining on the substrate and is  
10 coextensive with the plated object disposed directly above.

11 Fig. 5 illustrates plated object 20 disposed on plating base  
12 which in turn is disposed on substrate 10.

13 The invention having been generally described, the following  
14 example is given as a particular embodiment of the invention to  
15 demonstrate the practice and advantages thereof. It is understood  
16 that the example is given by way of illustration and is not  
17 intended to limit in any manner the specification or the claims  
18 that follow.

19 Example

20 This example demonstrates the fabrication method disclosed  
21 herein after high speed, i.e., above GHz, electro-optic modulators  
22 have been formed by infusing waveguides in a lithium niobate  
23 substrate. The substrate was a Z-cut disk 3" in diameter and 0.5  
24 millimeters thick which was cleaned by a standard cleaning

1 procedure.

2 The surface of the substrate was then sputter coated from a  
3 5" silicon dioxide target at a pressure of  $6-7 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr using RF  
4 power of 150 watts. The silicon dioxide thin film coating was 0.9  
5 micron thick and was deposited on the lithium niobate substrate as  
6 a barrier layer to separate the waveguide below from the structure  
7 above. The silicon dioxide coating was then cleaned by the  
8 standard cleaning procedure.

9 The substrate was sequentially coated in situ with three  
10 separate films in an electron beam evaporator with the first being  
11 the titanium adhesion layer about 200 Å thick, the second being the  
12 gold plating base about 1500 Å thick, and the third being the novel  
13 titanium sacrificial layer about 500 Å thick. The coated substrate  
14 was then dehydration baked in a gravity oven at 150°C for about 2  
15 hours to remove surface moisture.

16 After cooling the coated substrate, AZ 4620 positive, novalac  
17 photoresist was applied by puddling about 2 ml thereof in the  
18 center of the coated substrate on the sacrificial layer and  
19 spinning the substrate at 2000 rpm for 30 seconds to provide a  
20 first resist layer on the substrate. The resist is characterized  
21 by the presence of the diazonaphthoquinone sulfonic acid photo-  
22 initiator. The first resist layer was slightly hardened by placing  
23 the coated substrate in a convection oven at 90°C for 3 minutes.  
24 A second layer of the resist was applied as was the first and then

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 hardened in the same way to produce a total resist thickness of  
2 24 $\mu$ m. The formed resist edgebead was removed manually using a  
3 foam-tipped swab soaked in acetone.

4 The coated substrate consisting of the silicon dioxide  
5 barrier layer on the substrate, the titanium adhesion layer  
6 disposed on the barrier layer, the gold plating base disposed on  
7 the adhesion layer, the titanium sacrificial layer disposed on the  
8 plating base and the photoresist disposed on the sacrificial layer,  
9 was pre-exposure baked on a hot plate for 360 seconds at 110°C, and  
10 allowed to stand for 20 minutes to permit the photoresist to absorb  
11 water vapor.

12 The coated substrate was then exposed by placing a 4"x4"  
13 quartz plate mask with a desired pattern in a thin chromium film on  
14 the top surface of the resist and projecting onto and through the  
15 mask for about 60 seconds all wavelengths of a 350W high pressure  
16 mercury vapor lamp with the "H" line (405nm) reading about 17  
17 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> intensity. The exposed resist on the microstructure was  
18 then developed and removed in about 4 minutes in a 4:1 mixture of  
19 deionized water and the resist developer, rinsed with deionized  
20 water, blow-dried with dry nitrogen and subjected to microscopic  
21 inspection to determine character of the unexposed resist which now  
22 formed a micromold around the space where the exposed resist was  
23 removed.

24 After developing, rinsing, blow drying and microscopic

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 inspection, the unexposed resist on the microstructure was  
2 subjected to the PRIST treatment to stabilize the resist against  
3 thermal flow. The coated substrate was placed in a reactive ion  
4 etcher (RIE) and treated with plasma (150m Torr helium and 50m Torr  
5 carbon tetrafluoride, 50 watts power for 45 seconds) to harden the  
6 photoresist. The plasma hardened coated substrate was hardbaked in  
7 a convection oven for 1 hour at 110°C. The oven was initially cool  
8 and was turned on after the coated substrate was inserted. The  
9 coated substrate was allowed to slowly cool to room temperature  
10 before being removed from the oven, which took at least about 120  
11 minutes.

12 Next, the titanium sacrificial layer was removed in the area  
13 that was to be electroplated by submerging the microstructure in an  
14 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) etching solution having the  
15 following composition:

16 deionized water - 200 ml  
17 30% hydrogen peroxide - 17 ml  
18 ammonium hydroxide - 9 ml  
19 EDTA powder - 10g

20 The titanium sacrificial layer was removed by placing the  
21 microstructure in the EDTA etching solution for about 5-10 minutes  
22 with some agitation.

23 In preparation for the electroplating procedure, the resist  
24 was removed from the periphery at the opposite sides of the

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 microstructure at selected areas to serve as electrical contacts.  
2 Removal of the resist was done with acetone soaked and methanol  
3 soaked swabs.

4 Before electroplating was commenced, the Sel-Rex 402 gold  
5 electroplating solution containing cyanide gold complex was heated  
6 to 50-60°C and stirred with a magnetic rod to accelerate plating.  
7 The microstructure was clipped on the electrical contact areas to  
8 the anode and cathode sides of a DC power supply and lowered into  
9 the plating solution. The current from the power supply was slowly  
10 increased to the current density of about 1mA/cm<sup>2</sup> and the  
11 microstructure was kept in the plating solution for about 6 hours  
12 to deposit a gold plating object 16 μm thick and 8 μm wide.

13 After completing electroplating, the resist around the plating  
14 object was removed using acetone, the titanium sacrificial layer  
15 was removed using the EDTA etching solution, the gold plating base  
16 was removed with an iodine etching solution, and the titanium  
17 adhesion layer was also removed with the EDTA etching solution.  
18 The iodine etching solution that was used to remove the gold  
19 plating base had the following composition:

20 ethyl alcohol - 400 ml  
21 dionized water - 40 ml  
22 iodine crystals - 40g  
23 potassium iodide crystals - 24g

24 After removal of the various layers, the gold plating object

Serial No.  
Inventors: McElhanon et al.

Patent Application  
Navy Case No. 76,763

1 remained on the remaining strip of the sacrificial layer which in  
2 turn was disposed on the remaining strip of the plating base which  
3 in turn was disposed on the remaining strip of the adhesion layer  
4 which in turn was disposed on the lithium niobate substrate coated  
5 with silicon dioxide.

6 Many modifications and variation of the present invention are  
7 possible in light of the above teachings. It is, therefore, to be  
8 understood that within the scope of the appended claims, the  
9 invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described  
10 herein.

11



