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Tests were conducted of a 0.1475o aileron, on a low-drag XF-60 fighter wing section to determine methods of obtaining low aileron hinge moments while maintaining aileron effectiveness. It was found that the arrangement with a simple leading tab has important advantages over the plain aileron, and is suitable for use when extreme aileron travel at high speed is not necessary. If low hinge moments are required with large aileron deflections, the geared-tab arrangement appears to be satisfactory.
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TESTS OF A 0.1475c AILERON WITH A TAB ON LOW-DRAG SECTION

FOR CURTISS XP-60 AIRPLANE IN THE LOW-TURBULENCE TUNNEL

By A. E. von Doenhoff and W. J. Underwood

INTRODUCTION

Preliminary two-dimensional tests of the hinge-moment characteristics of a 0.1725c internally balanced aileron on the subject XP-60 wing section indicated that more internal balance would be required if light stick forces were to be obtained at high speeds. The present series of tests were therefore undertaken in order to investigate methods of reducing the slope of the hinge-moment curve while still maintaining the required aileron effectiveness.

TESTS AND RESULTS

Measurements of the hinge moment and lift coefficient were made in the low-turbulence tunnel on an aileron having the following characteristics:

aileron chord, $c_a = 0.1475c$

aileron overhang $= 0.6c_a$

aileron tab $= 0.26c_a$

where $c = $ wing chord

The ends and gap between the leading edge of the aileron and the model were sealed as shown in figures 1 and 2. The effective balance, that is, the distance from the hinge point to the middle of the gap, is 0.669$c_a$. Because this aileron was of shorter chord than those previously tested on this model, the skirts overhanging the aileron were extended. One-eighth-inch clearance was maintained between the skirt ends and the aileron.
Tests were made with the following tab motions:

- motion no. 1, tab locked ... \( \delta_t = 0 \) degrees
- motion no. 2, tab leading ... \( \delta_t = \frac{\delta}{2} \) degrees
- motion no. 3, tab leading ... \( \delta_t = \frac{\delta}{2} \) degrees
- motion no. 4, tab leading ... \( \delta_t = 4.77 \sin \theta_a \) degrees

where

\( \delta_t \) is the tab deflection relative to the aileron deflection, and

\( \delta_a \) is the aileron deflection.

The relations, motions no. 2 and no. 3, were obtained with a simple bar linkage. The relation, motion no. 4, was obtained with the geared mechanism shown in figures 3 and 4.

The results of these tests are given in figures 5 and 6.

**DISCUSSION**

In figure 5, the aileron with motion no. 1 (tab locked) was overbalanced except at the outer limits of the aileron motion. Because of this overbalance, a leading tab was added which would increase the effectiveness of the 0.1475 \( \theta_a \) aileron as well as reduce the overbalance. As shown, the aileron with motion no. 2 \( \left( \frac{\delta_t}{\delta_a} = 0.25 \right) \) was still slightly overbalanced for small deflections although the effectiveness was increased slightly over that for the aileron with the tab locked. With motion no. 3 \( \left( \frac{\delta_t}{\delta_a} = 0.50 \right) \), the aileron was slightly underbalanced, giving a negative slope of the hinge-moment curve throughout the range of aileron deflections. The effectiveness was again increased due to the faster tab motion.

Data for motion no. 4 \( \left( \delta_t = 4.77 \sin \theta_a \right) \) given in figure 6 show that the sinusoidal tab motion results in a nearly linear relation between hinge moment and aileron deflection. Although
the geared tab gave slightly higher hinge moments than motion no. 3 in the range of low aileron deflections, the hinge moments using motion no. 4 can be varied by a simple adjustment of the amplitude of the tab motion (see fig. 3).

The aileron effectiveness of the 0.1475c aileron with tab motions no. 3 and no. 4 was practically the same as the effectiveness of the 0.1725c aileron obtained in the preliminary tests.

Other qualities of the aileron in addition to those given directly in figures 5 and 6 have a bearing on the choice of aileron design. It may be pointed out that the arrangement with a simple leading tab has certain advantages over the plain aileron. The tab ratio can be easily adjusted during flight testing to give the desired range of stick forces. Furthermore, an analysis of the data indicates that the change in hinge moment due to rolling is considerably less for the aileron with a leading tab than for a plain aileron of larger chord having the same effectiveness. When equally balanced in the low-deflection range, the tabbed aileron has slightly lower hinge moments for large aileron deflections than the plain aileron, because of the tendency of the tab to lose its effectiveness at these large deflections, thus relieving the unbalanced hinge moment at a point where the tab is no longer required. The beneficial effect on hinge moments at large deflections is of course smaller than with the geared tab, for which it is possible to effect large reductions in the hinge moments at large aileron deflections.

Comparison of these two-dimensional results with similar tests on the left wing panel of the 0.36-scale model tested in the 7'-by-10-foot tunnel shows a discrepancy in the percent balance needed to give zero slope to the hinge-moment curve. The two-dimensional results from the low-turbulence tunnel show that on an aileron without a tab an effective balance of 0.62\(c_a\) is required; whereas the necessary percent balance, as judged from the 7'-by-10-foot tunnel data, is 0.56\(c_a\). About one-half of this discrepancy may be due to a decrease in the unbalanced portion of the hinge moment caused by three-dimensional flow at the ends of the aileron. The remainder of the discrepancy remains unexplained, although scale effects and the effects of model supports may account for some of the difference between the tests in the two tunnels.

CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in this report show several possible arrangements for obtaining low aileron hinge moments while maintaining aileron effectiveness. The simple leading tab has
some important advantages over the plain aileron and is suitable for use when extreme aileron travel at high speed is not necessary. If low hinge moments are required with large aileron deflections, the geared arrangement appears to be satisfactory from the aero-
dynamic point of view.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Langley Field, Virginia, November 29, 1941.

REDUCING LINKAGE FOR CONTROLLING THE AMOUNT OF TAB DEFLECTION. THE PIVOTS "A" & "B" ARE ON TANGENTS OF THEIR RESPECTIVE ARMS. RADIUS ARM AT "A" BEING \( \frac{1}{2} \) OF RADIUS ARM AT "B".

CURTISS LOW DRAG SECTION - AILERON NO SCALE

AILERON CHORD, \( c_{10} = 0.175 \) ft

178 = 0.26 c_{10}
Figure 4. - The 0.1475c aileron showing geared tab mechanism.
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