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SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

An essential element of the PIPSI and DERIVP computer procedures is the use of maps of standardized format to represent the inlet and nozzle/aftbody performance characteristics. This volume of the contract documents contains the existing library of performance characteristics for inlets and nozzle/aftbodies. It also contains descriptions of the configurations and the baseline derivative parameters.

The plotted data maps and derivative parameters contained in this document represent the data that are converted (in the form of data tables) into computer disk files for rapid use by the calculation procedures of the PIPSI and DERIVP computer programs.
SECTION II
INLET CONFIGURATIONS AND PERFORMANCE MAPS

This section contains descriptions of the geometric characteristics of the eighteen inlet configurations in the inlet library and their performance characteristics. The performance characteristics are presented in the form of plotted data in the standardized format required as input to the PIPSI and DERIVP computer programs. For the exact card format to use in converting the plotted data in this document (and other data which the user may wish to use) into data tables for use by either of the computer programs, the user should refer to Volume II (PIPSI Program) and Volume III (DERIVP Program).

A summary of the inlet types that are included in the inlet library is presented in Figure 1. The design Mach number for each inlet is also shown in Figure 1. A brief description of the sources used to obtain the inlet configurations and data is presented in Figure 2.

The derivative parameters for each of the inlet configurations are summarized in Figure 3.

2.1 INLET DESCRIPTIONS AND PLOTTED DATA

This section contains sketches of each inlet geometry and the plotted performance data for each inlet. In a general case, the performance characteristics for each inlet are defined by a series of fourteen plots. For some inlets, however, not all the performance plots are required because (for example) some of the inlet designs do not require bypass or bleed. In such cases, where tables would be zeroed out, those plots have been omitted from this document. In several cases, the input data plots for each configuration representing the variation of local inlet Mach number as a function of free-stream Mach have also been omitted because the free-stream Mach number is equal to the free-stream Mach number for these configurations.
Figure 1  Matrix of Inlet Maps
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inlet No.</th>
<th>INLET CONFIGURATIONS AND SOURCES OF DATA USED TO DEVELOP THE INLET MAPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A-7 type inlet; developed from published A-7 data and engineering analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F-8 type inlet; developed from published F-8 inlet data and analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Subsonic inlet type; based on data and methods from Boeing subsonic inlet (i.e., 707, 727, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Subsonic inlet type; based on data and methods used to develop Boeing 747-type inlets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Normal shock inlet; based on data from Rockwell tests of F-100 airplane inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Normal shock-type inlet; based on data from Rockwell F-100 inlet, Boeing LWF inlet tests, and OD LWF inlet data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fixed-Geometry, 2-shock inlet; based on data from Boeing LWF inlet tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Four-shock, variable ramp inlet; theoretical design based on analysis, optimized for Mo = 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Four-shock, variable ramp inlet; based on data from NR inlet tests of IPS model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fixed-geometry, single cone inlet; based on analytical design for a Mo = 1.6 VTOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3-Shock, half-round inlet with variable-diameter centerbody; analytical design for a supersonic Navy VTOL configuration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3-Shock, half-round inlet with variable second cone angle; OD tailor-mate tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mixed-compression; analytical design documented in AFFDL-TR-72-147-vol IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mixed compression; based on XB-70 type configuration and data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mixed compression; based on NASA AMES configuration and tests of a mach 3.5, 2-D inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Mixed compression axisymmetric; based on a Boeing analytical study of an AST inlet for NASA AMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mixed compression axisymmetric; based on data from NASA AMES tests of Mach = 3.0 inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mixed compression axisymmetric; based on results of Boeing analytical studies for a NASA AMES mach 3.5 inlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Sources of Data for Inlet Maps
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DERIVATIVE PARAMETERS</th>
<th>DEFINITION</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Aspect Ratio</td>
<td>( W_{C}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subplate Contour</td>
<td>( A_{L2}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Ramp Angle</td>
<td>Deg.</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Mach Number</td>
<td></td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cool Lap Distance</td>
<td>( R_{L}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeoff Door Area</td>
<td>( A_{T}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Cowl Angle</td>
<td>Deg.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend Ext Nozzle Type</td>
<td>Conv. or C-D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend Ext Nozzle Angle</td>
<td>Deg.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend Ext Flap Aspect Ratio</td>
<td>( W_{FL}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blend Ext Flap Area</td>
<td>( A_{FL}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass Ext Nozzle Type</td>
<td>Conv. or C-D</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass Ext Nozzle Angle</td>
<td>Deg.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass Ext Flap Aspect Ratio</td>
<td>( W_{FL}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass Ext Flap Area</td>
<td>( A_{FL}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsonic Diffuser Area Ratio</td>
<td>( A_{2}/A_{1} )</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuser Total Wall Area</td>
<td>Deg.</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrust/Capture Area Ratio</td>
<td>( A_{T}/A_{C} )</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. Summary of Baseline Inlet Configurations**
2.1.1 Inlet Configuration #1 - Subsonic, Chin Inlet

This inlet is a subsonic, scoop-type inlet mounted under the nose of the forebody. No boundary layer bleed or bypass are employed, and the configuration is characterized by a rather long subsonic diffuser. A reasonably blunt cowl lip is used and angle-of-attack shielding is provided by the presence of the fuselage forebody. This configuration is similar to the A-7 aircraft inlet. Available inlet test data from similar configurations were supplemented by engineering analyses (such as the calculation procedures in Reference 1) to develop the maps of inlet performance. A sketch of the inlet configuration is shown in Figure 4. The performance characteristics of the inlet are presented in Figure 5.

Figure 4: Subsonic Chin Inlet
Figure 5. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #1
Figure 5. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #1 (continued)
Figure 5. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #1 (continued)
Figure 5. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #1 (concluded)
2.1.2 Inlet Configuration #2 - Supersonic, Chin Inlet

This inlet is a fixed geometry, nose-mounted cone-scoop configuration. The inlet has no boundary layer control bleed system or bypass system. It is designed for a maximum Mach number of 1.60. The cowl lip is relatively sharp, and the subsonic diffuser is relatively long. The inlet performance characteristics for this inlet were developed from the data published in Reference 2 and analyses methods described in Reference 1. A sketch of the inlet geometry is presented in Figure 6. The performance characteristics of the inlet are presented in Figure 7.

Figure 6. Supersonic Chin Inlet
Figure 7. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2
Figure 7. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2 (continued)
Figure 7. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2 (continued)
Figure 7. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2 (continued)
Figure 7. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2 (concluded)
2.1.3 Inlet Configuration #3 - Subsonic, Pitot Inlet

This inlet is designed for a Mach number of 0.5. It has a very blunt lip; no bleed or bypass systems are used and the subsonic diffuser is short.

The performance characteristics of this inlet were generated from test data obtained during wind tunnel tests to develop the 747 fixed-lip inlet (Reference 3). A sketch of the inlet is presented in Figure 8 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 9.

Figure 8. Subsonic Fixed Lip Inlet
Figure 9. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #3
Figure 9. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #3 (continued)
Figure 9. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #3 (continued)
Figure 9. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #3 (continued)
Figure 9. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #3 (concluded)
2.1.4 Inlet Configuration #4 - Subsonic, Pitot-Type Inlet

This subsonic inlet is designed for a Mach number of 0.8. It has a relatively thin lip (for a subsonic inlet) and has no boundary layer bleed or bypass system. Blow-in doors are used at takeoff and low speed.

The performance characteristics of this inlet were developed from the data of Reference 3. A sketch of the inlet is presented in Figure 10 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 11.

Figure 10. Subsonic Blow-In Door Inlet
Figure 11. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #4
Figure 11. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #4 (continued)
Figure 11. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 44 (continued)
Figure II. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #4 (concluded)

\[ \frac{A_d}{A_o} \]
2.1.5 Inlet Configuration #5 - Supersonic, Normal Shock Inlet

This inlet is designed for a Mach number of 1.50. It has no bleed or bypass system. The subsonic diffuser is relatively long and the cowl lip is relatively sharp, for reduced drag at supersonic speeds.

The inlet performance characteristics are based on the test data contained in Reference 4. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 12 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 13.

Figure 12. Supersonic Normal Shock Inlet (First Version)
Figure 13. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #5
Figure 13. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #5 (continued)
Figure 13. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #5 (continued)
Figure 13. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #5 (continued)
Figure 13. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 45 (concluded)
2.1.6 Inlet Configuration #6 - Supersonic, Normal Shock Inlet

This inlet has no boundary layer bleed or bypass system. Cowl lips are sharp, for reduced drag at higher supersonic Mach numbers.

The inlet performance characteristics are based on the test data from Reference 4 up to Mach 1.5. Above Mach 1.5 inlet performance is calculated from normal shock total pressure losses and subsonic diffuser losses for a duct loss coefficient. Unpublished test data were also available for a normal shock inlet up to Mach 2.0 from Boeing Lightweight Fighter inlet development tests. These data were used to substantiate the recovery predictions. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 14, and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 15.

![Supersonic Normal Shock Inlet (Second Version)](image-url)
Figure 15. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #6
Figure 15. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #6 (continued)
Figure 15. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #6 (continued)
Figure 15. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 46 (concluded)
2.1.7 **Inlet Configuration #7 - Fixed Geometry, Two-Shock Inlet**

This inlet configuration has a single 70° external ramp compression surface and is equipped with a throat slot for boundary layer bleed. Bleed air is dumped overboard through a fixed geometry convergent nozzle at an exit angle of 15°. The sideplates are cutback 75% (as compared to full sideplates-ramp tip to cowl lip). The inlet is designed for Mach 1.6, but will operate up to Mach 2.0 without ramp shock ingestion. The performance characteristics of this inlet are based on test data from Reference 5 and engineering analysis. A sketch of the inlet geometry is shown in Figure 16 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 17.

![Figure 16. Fixed-Geometry Two-Shock Inlet Design for Mach 1.60](image)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #2 (continued)
Figure 17. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #7 (concluded)
2.1.8 **Inlet Configuration #8 - Variable Ramp, Four-Shock, Two-Dimensional, External Compression Inlet**

This inlet has two movable external ramps, a 7.3° initial ramp angle, a boundary layer control bleed system consisting of porous bleed on the second and third ramp surfaces, sideplates, and a throat bleed slot located aft of the normal shock. The throat slot also acts as a bypass to remove excess inlet airflow for matching engine airflow demand with inlet supply.

The inlet performance characteristics were built up from engineering analyses and available data from similar configurations and components. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 18 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 19.

---

**Figure 18. Mach 2.0 Four-Shock Variable-Geometry Inlet**
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #6
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #8 (continued)
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #6 (continued)
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #8 (Continued)
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 48 (continued)
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #8 (continued)
Figure 19. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #8 (concluded)
2.1.9 Inlet Configuration #9 - Four-Shock, Variable-Geometry, External Compression, Two-Dimensional Inlet

This inlet is designed to have shock-on-lip at Mach 2.5. The inlet is an external compression, horizontal ramp design. It has internal boundary layer bleed through a porous third ramp panel and a throat panel. The bleed from each of these panels is collected in separate, divided plenum compartments and then is exited overboard through convergent nozzles provided by exit louvers. The bleed flow is exited at an angle of 20 degrees relative to the fuselage reference line. The inlet is oriented down at an angle of 2 degrees relative to the F.R.P. so that the initial fixed ramp angle of 4 degrees (relative to the F.R.P.) will provide 6 degrees of compression at the +2 degrees angle of attack flight attitude.

The first two ramps are fixed, but the third ramp and throat panel are movable. This provides capability to vary shock geometry and throat area. The maximum throat area corresponds to \( \frac{A_{\text{throat}}}{A_c} = 0.70 \). This is obtainable by collapsing the third ramp to the 6-degree position.

A bypass system is provided forward of the engine entrance to dump excess inlet air overboard. The bypass doors are convergent-divergent nozzles provided by movable doors. The bypass air is collected through porous material into a plenum chamber surrounding the duct, then is exited through the doors.

To achieve high performance at takeoff (\( M = 0.20 \)), either the maximum throat area would have to be increased or takeoff doors may be added.

This inlet configuration is based on the model configuration and data of Reference 6. The inlet configuration is shown in Figure 20, and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 21.
Figure 20. Mach 2.5 External Compression Inlet
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9 (continued)
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9 (continued)
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9 (continued)
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9 (continued)
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #8 (continued)
Figure 21. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #9 (concluded)
2.1.10 Inlet Configuration #10 - Half-Round, External Compression, Translating-Spike Inlet

The side mounted half-round inlets have translating 25 degrees half-angle cone centerbodies. The movable centerbody is used to provide a large throat area for low speed operation and, by translating forward, can also provide shock-on-lip for high recovery, low drag supersonic operation at Mach 1.60.

A moderately blunted, fixed cowl lip and large blow-in doors are used to achieve high total pressure recovery and low distortion at static and low-speed conditions.

No boundary layer bleed or bypass are used. In the normal process of developing an inlet of this type, wind tunnel tests would be conducted to optimize the inlet configuration. If these tests show that the addition of internal boundary layer bleed is necessary, no more than 1 - 2% of inlet air would be required for bleed. The addition of this bleed would not significantly change the configuration.

The inlet performance characteristics of this configuration are based on engineering analysis. The inlet configuration is shown in Figure 22 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 23.

Figure 22. Supersonic (M_0=1.60) Half-Round Inlet
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 22. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (continued)
Figure 23. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #10 (concluded)
2.1.11  **Inlet Configuration #11 - Half-Round, Expanding-Centerbody, Three-Shock, External Compression Inlet**

This inlet uses an expanding second cone to achieve changes in compression surface angle and throat area. Boundary layer bleed is provided in the form of porosity on the second cone and a throat slot.

The inlet performance characteristics are based on the results of engineering analysis. The geometric features of the inlet are shown in Figure 24. The inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 25.

---

*Figure 24. Half Round External Compression Mach 2.0 Inlet*
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11 (continued)
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11 (continued)
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11 (continued)
Figure 26. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 411 (continued)
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11 (continued)
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #11 (continued)
Figure 25. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (concluded)
2.1.12 Inlet Configuration #12 - Half-Round, Three-Shock, External Compression, Variable Cone Inlet

This inlet has a fixed $18^\circ$ first cone angle and a variable second cone angle. Porous plate boundary layer bleed is provided on the second cone in the region of the design terminal shock location. The boundary layer bleed flow is routed aft and exits through low angle louvers or a door well aft of the cowl lip. Design throat Mach number is 0.7.

The inlet performance characteristics of this configuration are based on data from Reference 7 and engineering analysis. The geometry of the inlet is shown in Figure 26 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 27.

Figure 26. Half-Round External-Compression Inlet for Mach 2.5
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (continued)
Figure 27. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #12 (concluded)
2.1.13 Inlet Configuration #13 - Mixed Compression, Two-Dimensional, Variable-Geometry Inlet

The mixed compression inlet is a suitable candidate for the fighter/bomber mission instead of an external compression inlet because it offers the potential for higher pressure recovery, lower drag, and better matching characteristics for the sustained Mach 2.5 high speed flight condition. Also, it does not have to meet the same requirements for extremely high maneuverability (high angles-of-attack), which would be difficult to control for the mixed compression inlet.

Boundary layer bleed is accomplished by use of porous ramps, cowl, and side-plates. Bleed air is collected in divided plenum chambers behind the porous walls and is then dumped overboard through choked convergent nozzles as near the plenum chamber as possible. Divided plenums are used to provide optimum bleed capability at lowest drag penalty. Movable ramp and throat panels are used to achieve the best inlet geometry over a wide range of flight Mach numbers.

A bypass system is also used to dump excess inlet air overboard and maintain the terminal shock in its design location just downstream of the geometric throat during started operation and just forward of the lip during external compression operation (M < 2.0). Bypass doors are assumed to be variable geometry C-D nozzles.

For takeoff and low speed operation, the ramp system is collapsed to provide a maximum throat area equal to 0.765A_c. Takeoff doors having a throat area equal to .12 A_c per engine will also be required. These should be located near the aft end of the subsonic diffuser near the engine.

The ramp geometry was selected to provide shock on lip operation at Mach 2.60. This provides a small margin for angle-of-attack transients and overspeed at Mach 2.50.
The inlet performance characteristics are based largely on the results of a study documented in Reference 8. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 28 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 29.

Figure 28. Mach 2.5 Mixed-Compression Two-Dimensional Inlet
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (continued)
Figure 29. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #13 (concluded)
2.1.14 Inlet Configuration #14 - Mixed Compression, Variable-Geometry, Two-Dimensional Inlet

The inlet is a mixed-compression type, with inlet "starting" occurring at Mach 2.0. Below Mach 2.0, the inlet operates in the external compression mode. Extensive boundary layer bleed is used on the inlet internal ramps, sideplates, and cowl to avoid problems with shock-boundary layer interactions. Three separate plenum chambers are used for collecting the boundary layer bleed air before it is exited overboard through choked convergent exit nozzles. The use of three separate plenums makes it possible to operate with a relatively high plenum pressure and hence, less drag.

The inlet ramp system is designed to provide shock-on-lip operation at Mach 3.0. Approximately 1% supersonic spillage is allowed to help insure that shocks are not ingested at inadvertent overspeed conditions or transient angle-of-attack maneuvers. Full sideplates are provided to minimize sideplate spillage.

A variable bypass system is provided ahead of the engine to bypass excess inlet airflow and help restart the inlet. The maximum bypass door throat area is 0.50 $A_C$. It is assumed that a maximum inlet throat area equal to at least 0.70 $A_C$ can be achieved by retracting the ramps. The requirement for takeoff doors to provide good recovery and low distortion during takeoff can be examined when engine airflow demand characteristics are known.

The total pressure recovery versus mass flow plots have been estimated by using the test results from XB-70, (Reference 9), SST, Boeing in-house studies and tests, and theory. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 30 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 31.
Figure 30. Mach 3.0 Mixed-Compression Two-Dimensional Inlet
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
External Compression Mode \((M_0 < 2)\)

\[ \frac{D_{\text{split}}}{q_0 A_c} \]

\[ A_o/A_o \]

Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (continued)
Figure 31. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #14 (concluded)
2.1.15 **Inlet Configuration #15 - Mixed Compression, Variable-Geometry, Two-Dimensional Inlet**

The initial ramp surface angle is fixed at $7^\circ$. Boundary layer bleed is collected in three divided plenum chambers.

The inlet performance characteristics for this configuration are based on the data contained in Reference 10 and engineering analysis. The geometry of the inlet is shown in Figure 32 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 33.

![Figure 32. Mach 3.5 Two-Dimensional Mixed-Compression Inlet](image-url)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (continued)
Figure 33. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #15 (concluded)
2.1.16 **Inlet Configuration #16 - Mixed-Compression, Axisymmetric, Translating-Centerbody Inlet**

This inlet configuration utilizes a sophisticated boundary layer control bleed system developed using the analytical techniques described in Reference 11. The inlet starting Mach number is 1.60.

The inlet performance characteristics of this configuration were obtained from information contained in Reference 12 and engineering analysis. The geometry of the inlet is shown in Figure 34 and the performance characteristics are presented in Figure 35.

![Figure 34. Mach 2.35 Mixed-Compression Axisymmetric Inlet](image-url)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 416 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (continued)
Figure 35. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #16 (concluded)
2.1.17 Inlet Configuration #17 - Mixed-Compression, Axisymmetric, Translating Centerbody Inlet

The design Mach number for this inlet is 3.0. The initial cone angle is 10°. Boundary layer control bleed flow is removed through porous bleed holes on cowl and centerbody surfaces. Four individual bleed zones were provided.

The performance characteristics of this inlet are based on the design studies and data reported in Reference 13 and engineering analysis. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 36 and the inlet performance characteristics are presented in Figure 37.

Figure 36. Mach 3.0 Axisymmetric Mixed-Compression Inlet
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (continued)
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (continued)
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (continued)
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (continued)
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (continued)
Figure 37. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #17 (concluded)
The mixed-compression inlet was designed for a Mach number of 3.5. A sophisticated boundary layer control bleed system was provided based on the results of detailed analyses. The cowl bleed system included four separate bleed plenums with separate overboard exits for each plenum. The centerbody includes 12 bleed plenums in a "traveling" bleed arrangement. Excess inlet airflow can be exited through bypass doors.

The inlet performance characteristics of this configuration are based on the data and design in Reference 14, supplemented with additional engineering analyses. The inlet geometry is shown in Figure 38 and the performance characteristics are presented in Figure 39.

Figure 38. Mach 3.5 Axisymmetric Mixed-Compression Inlet
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #18
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #18 (continued)
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 418 (continued)
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #18 (continued)
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration 418 (continued)
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #18 (continued)
Figure 39. Performance Characteristics for Inlet Configuration #18 (concluded)
SECTION III
NOZZLE/AFTBODY CONFIGURATIONS AND PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS

Eight different nozzle/aftbody configuration concepts were selected to use for generating a library of aftbody drag characteristics. The types of aftbodies selected are shown in Figure 40, together with the four basic nozzle types used to generate nozzle $C_{FG}$ maps.

As shown in Figure 40, each of the aftbodies has a configuration number associated with it and a computer file name that represents the aftbody drag map data in tabulated form. Figure 41 presents a summary of each of the aftbody configurations and sources of the aftbody drag maps.

Following Figure 41, each of the nozzle/aftbody configuration area distributions is presented along with the predicted drag map for that configuration. The drag maps shown are for the fully-expanded ($P_g/P_o = 1.0$) condition.

The derivative parameters for each of the baseline aftbody configurations consist of the following items:

1) A "baseline" area distribution that consists of a table of coordinates of body cross-sectional area versus fuselage station

2) A "baseline" radial tail orientation angle. (The program is structured to accept an input table of incremental drag coefficient as a function of free-stream Mach number and radial tail attachment angle. Insufficient data are available at present, however, to complete the data tables; therefore, the incremental drag correction is zero for all configurations.)

3) A "baseline" fore-and-aft tail position, $(X - X_g)/(X_{10} - X_g)$

4) A "baseline" base area ratio, $A_B/A_{10}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV MAP</th>
<th>DRAG MAP</th>
<th>AXISYMMETRIC</th>
<th>2-DIMENSIONAL</th>
<th>CV MAP</th>
<th>DRAG MAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CV1</td>
<td>208N-TTY</td>
<td>CONVERGENT-DIVERGENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>CV2D</td>
<td>DCD2 D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD2R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DCD2 D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SING-2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CVRP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CV2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATS 2DM3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 40. Nozzle/Aftbody Files
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONFIG. NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FILE NAME</th>
<th>SOURCE OF DRAG MAP DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single axisymmetric convergent-divergent nozzle installation based on Boeing LWF configuration</td>
<td>208NTTY</td>
<td>Predicted from parametric data relating drag as a function of $R/D_m$, $\beta$, $P_p/P_a$, and $D_g/D_g$, checked by single nozzle experimental data and IMS method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Twin axisymmetric convergent-divergent nozzles in a closely-spaced aftbody installation based on Boeing ATS studies</td>
<td>CD2R</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distribution; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ from ESIP contract test results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Single axisymmetric plug nozzle installation based on using a plug nozzle installed on the same aftbody as in Configuration #1 above</td>
<td>DRP1</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distribution; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ from plug nozzle test data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Twin axisymmetric plug nozzle installation based on using a twin plug nozzle installed on the same aftbody as in Configuration #2 above</td>
<td>DRP2</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distributions; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ from plug nozzle test data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Single two-dimensional convergent-divergent nozzle in an ATS-type aftbody configuration</td>
<td>DCD2D1</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distributions; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ were same as 2-D wedge nozzle correlations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Twin two-dimensional convergent-divergent nozzles in an ATS-type closely-spaced aftbody configuration</td>
<td>DCD2D2</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distributions; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ were same as 2-D wedge nozzle correlations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Single two-dimensional wedge nozzle installed in a supercruiser aftbody</td>
<td>SING2D</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distributions; Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ from two-dimensional nozzle test data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Twin two-dimensional wedge nozzles installed in closely-spaced ATS-type configuration aftbody</td>
<td>ATS2DM3</td>
<td>Calculated IMS$\tau$ parameters from area distributions. Drag correlations vs. IMS$\tau$ from two-dimensional nozzle test data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 41. Summary of Aftbody Configurations and Drag Maps
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The baseline area distributions are presented in this section for each of the library aftbody configurations. As discussed above, radial tail location effects data maps are not available due to insufficient data. Baseline fore-and-aft tail locations for each configuration are shown in the figures that accompany each area distribution plot. Each of the baseline nozzle/aftbody configurations has no base area; therefore the "baseline" base area ratios, $A_B/A_{10}$ for all configurations is zero.

The nozzle/aftbody configurations and their associated drag maps are presented in Figure 42 through 57.
Figure 42. Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Single Round C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 43. Drag for a Single Round C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 44 Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Twin Round Nozzle Configuration
Figure 45. Drag for a Twin Round C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 46. Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Single Round Plug Nozzle Installation
Figure 47. Drag for a Single Round Plug Nozzle Installation
Figure 48. Nozzle/Aftbody area Distribution for a Twin Round Plug Nozzle Installation
Figure 49. Drag for a Twin-Round Plug Nozzle Installation
Figure 50. Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Single 2-D C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 51. Drag for a Single 2-D C-D Nozzle/Aftbody Installation
Figure 52. Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Twin 2-D C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 53. Drag for a Twin 2-D C-D Nozzle Installation
Figure 54. Nozzle Aftbody Area Distribution for a Single 2-D Wedge Nozzle Installation
Figure 55. Drag for a Single 2-D Wedge Nozzle/Aftbody Installation
Figure 56. Nozzle/Aftbody Area Distribution for a Twin 2-D Wedge Nozzle Installation

\[
\frac{(X - X_0)}{(X_{10} - X_0)} = 0.28
\]
Figure 57. Drag for a Twin 2-D Wedge Nozzle Configuration
SECTION IV

NOZZLE INTERNAL CONFIGURATIONS AND $C_{F_G}$ MAPS

Four different nozzle internal configurations were selected and utilized to provide a library of typical nozzle internal performance characteristics. These nozzles included:

1) Axisymmetric convergent-divergent nozzle
2) Axisymmetric plug nozzle
3) Two-dimensional convergent-divergent nozzle
4) Two-dimensional wedge nozzle

4.1 NOZZLE GEOMETRIES AND $C_{F_G}$ MAPS

Each of the nozzle configurations is described in this section of the report, followed by plotted data showing the variation of nozzle gross thrust coefficient, $C_{F_G}$, as a function of nozzle total pressure ratio $P_{T8}/P_0$. 
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4.1.1 Nozzle Configuration #1 - Axisymmetric, Convergent-Divergent Nozzle

Axisymmetric convergent-divergent nozzles can vary in complexity from a lightweight fixed geometry to a relatively heavy fully variable exit area and throat area design. The configuration selected for this study is a simple variation of the fixed geometry design utilization mechanically slaved nozzle exit area and throat area to obtain variable internal expansion as a function of powersetting (throat area). This type of design is currently used on the J101/F404 and F100 turbofan engines. The baseline nozzle internal divergence half-angle ($\theta_{DIV}$) for this configuration is 11.48° which occurs at a nozzle area ratio of 1.60. The geometry of the nozzle and the nozzle performance map are shown in Figure 58.
4.1.2 Nozzle Configuration #2 - Axisymmetric Plug Nozzle

Important design variables of axisymmetric plug nozzles include the plug angle, cowl internal angle, cowl exit to throat area ratio, throat inclination angle, and cowl boattail angle. Various methods can be applied to achieve plug nozzle throat area variation. The selected plug configuration shown above utilizes variable plug and cowl geometry to achieve throat area and expansion area ratio control at dry and A/B powersetting. The baseline plug half-angle, $\theta_p$, for this configuration is $10^\circ$. The nozzle geometry and performance characteristics are shown in Figure 59.
4.1.3  Nozzle Configuration #3 - Two-Dimensional Convergent-Divergent Nozzle

The non-axisymmetric C-D nozzle configuration is based on concepts studied under the AFFDL Non-Axisymmetric Nozzle program (ITESC). The concept allows independent actuation to control throat area and exit area. The design employs divergent flaps to achieve a maximum internal area ratio of 1.6. The sidewalls are cut back to reduce weight and cooling requirements. The baseline aspect ratio for this configuration is 1.0. The baseline divergence half-angle, $\theta_{DIV}$, is $22^\circ$ at a nozzle area ratio $A_g/A_8$ of 1.6. The geometry of the nozzle and the performance characteristics are shown in Figure 60.
Figure 60  Gross Thrust Coefficient for a 2-D/2-D Nozzle
4.1.4 Nozzle Configuration #4 - Two-Dimensional Wedge Nozzle

The non-axisymmetric wedge nozzle configuration is the Boeing 2-D Airframe Integrated Nozzle concept featuring airframe/nozzle structural and aerodynamic integration. A variable geometry centerbody wedge provides independent throat and exit area control allowing optimization of thrust/drag performance over a wide range of dry and A/B powersetting. The cowl geometry is fixed. The baseline aspect ratio for this configuration is 1.0 and the baseline wedge half-angle, $\theta_p$, is 10°. The nozzle geometry and performance characteristics are presented in Figure 61.
Figure 61  Gross Thrust Coefficient for a 2-D Wedge Nozzle
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