2

WT 1326(EX)
EXTRACTED VERSION

OPERATION REDWING—PROJECT 4.1

Chorioretinal Burns

R. S. Fixott
J. E. Pickering
D. B. Williams
D. V. L. Brown
H. W. Rose, M.D.
School of Aviation Medicine, USAF
Randolph AFB, TX

31 July 1956

NOTICE:
This is an extract of WT-1326, Operation REDWING, Project 4.1.

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 13 1986
S D
B

Extracted version prepared for
Director
DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY
Washington, DC 20305-1000

1 September 1985

AD-A995 474
DTIC FILE COPY
86 3 13 021

Best
Available
Copy

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to sender.

PLEASE NOTIFY THE DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY, ATTN: STTI, WASHINGTON, DC 20305-1000, IF YOUR ADDRESS IS INCORRECT, IF YOU WISH IT DELETED FROM THE DISTRIBUTION LIST, OR IF THE ADDRESSEE IS NO LONGER EMPLOYED BY YOUR ORGANIZATION.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No 0704-0188
Exp Date Jun 30 1986

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY N/A since Unclassified	3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
2b DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A since Unclassified	
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) WT-1326(EX)
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Aviation Medicine, USAF 6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Defense Atomic Support Agency
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Randolph AFB, TX	7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Washington, DC
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION 8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO / PROJECT NO / TASK NO / WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)
OPERATION REDWING—PROJECT 4.1
Chorioretinal Burns, Extracted Version

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)
Fixott, R.S.; Pickering, J.E.; Williams, D.B.; Brown, D.V.L.; and Rose, H.W., M.D.

13a TYPE OF REPORT	13b TIME COVERED	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15 PAGE COUNT
	FROM 560501 TO 560731	560731	43

16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION This report has had sensitive military information removed in order to provide an unclassified version for unlimited distribution. The work was performed by the Defense Nuclear Agency in support of the DoD Nuclear Test Personnel Review Program.

17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Operation REDWING	Thermal Radiation	Zuni Burst
18	3		Eyes	Erie Burst	Cherokee Burst
6	21		Radiation Burns	Mohawk Burst	Navajo Burst

19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)

This Redwing project was designed to furnish supplemental information on the requirements for protection against retinal burns utilizing both rabbits and monkeys as experimental animals. Chorioretinal burns were produced by various segments of the thermal pulse. This was accomplished by two series of time-fractionating shutters. The first group, the early-closing shutters, were open at time zero and closed at increasing intervals of time. The second series, the delayed-opening shutters, were closed at time zero and subsequently opened for preselected time increments during the flash. The feasibility of protection by fixed-density optical filters was explored. Two types of protective electronic shutters were field tested.

Corollary technical objectives were to: (1) determine whether blink reflexes would prevent chorioretinal burns; (2) ascertain which portions of the time-intensity pulse can produce thermal injury to the retina and choroid of the eye; (3) determine the time required for blink reflex in rabbits and monkeys exposed to the extreme light intensity of the nuclear

20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☒ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Mark D. Flohr	22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 325-7559 22c OFFICE SYMBOL DNA/ISCM

DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR — 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE
UNCLASSIFIED

19. ABSTRACT (Continued)

detonations; (4) explore the feasibility of ocular protection by means of fixed-density optical filters or combinations of filters; and (5) tests, under field conditions, protective shutter devices that are in the developmental state and are designed to close more rapidly than the blink reflex.

FOREWORD

Classified material has been removed in order to make the information available on an unclassified, open publication basis, to any interested parties. The effort to declassify this report has been accomplished specifically to support the Department of Defense Nuclear Test Personnel Review (NTPR) Program. The objective is to facilitate studies of the low levels of radiation received by some individuals during the atmospheric nuclear test program by making as much information as possible available to all interested parties.

The material which has been deleted is either currently classified as Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data under the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (as amended), or is National Security Information, or has been determined to be critical military information which could reveal system or equipment vulnerabilities and is, therefore, not appropriate for open publication.

The Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) believes that though all classified material has been deleted, the report accurately portrays the contents of the original. DNA also believes that the deleted material is of little or no significance to studies into the amounts, or types, of radiation received by any individuals during the atmospheric nuclear test program.

Accession For
NTIS GRA&I
DTIC TAB
Unannounced
Justification
By
Distribution/
Availability Codes
Dist | Avail and/or Special
A-1

QUALITY INSPECTED 3

UNANNOUNCED

FOREWORD

This report presents the preliminary results of one of the projects participating in the military-effect programs of Operation Redwing. Overall information about this and the other military-effect projects can be obtained from WT-1344, the "Summary Report of the Commander, Task Unit 3." This technical summary includes: (1) tables listing each detonation with its yield, type, environment, meteorological conditions, etc.; (2) maps showing shot locations; (3) discussions of results by programs; (4) summaries of objectives, procedures, results, etc., for all projects; and (5) a listing of project reports for the military-effect programs.

ABSTRACT

Project 4.1 of Operation Redwing was a sequal to the study of chorioretinal burns during Operation Upshot-Knothole in 1953, in which nuclear devices in the range of 20 kt produced burns in the eyes of rabbits at distances of 2 to 42.5 statute miles from ground zero. Additionally four cases of accidental burns were produced at distances of 2 to 10 miles.

The Redwing study, reported herein, was designed to furnish supplemental information on the requirements for protection against retinal burns utilizing both rabbits and monkeys as experimental animals. Chorioretinal burns were produced by various segments of the thermal pulse. This was accomplished by two series of time-fractionating shutters. The first group, the early-closing shutters, were open at time zero and closed at increasing intervals of time. The second series, the delayed-opening shutters, were closed at time zero and subsequently opened for preselected time increments during the flash. The feasibility of protection by fixed-density optical filters was explored. Two types of developmental protective electronic shutters were field tested.

Results at yields of [ ] demonstrated that the blink reflex does not protect against chorioretinal burns. Average blink reflex time (BRT) for rabbits was essentially the same on both shots: 362 and 382 msec at [ ] respectively. In contrast, the average BRT for monkeys at 160 msec for [ ] nearly doubled to 293 msec for [ ]. The [ ] shot caused retinal lesions at 8.1 statute miles. The device of [ ] produced burns at 7.5 miles but not as far as 14.4 miles. Additional information is needed in order to determine the limiting parameters for retinal burning over the entire range of weapon yield.

In the case of the [ ] device, no burns were produced by the first pulse alone, which terminated at [ ]. Retinal burns were not sustained until the interval of [ ] was reached, after which the incidence was about 65 percent. The failure to produce injury by exposures of less than the initial [ ] of the detonation discounts the contribution of the first pulse to burn production under the experimental conditions.

Four of thirteen exposures during the first pulse of the [ ] device produced retinal burns, not including one case of shutter failure. Both explosions produced a number of burns during the second pulse. Of the rabbits protected only by their natural blink reflex, about 80 percent received burns at 8.1 miles from the [ ] device and at 7.5 miles from the [ ] device. In the comparable group of monkeys, 75 and 100 percent received chorioretinal burns from the smaller and larger devices, respectively. In both rabbits and monkeys, the [ ] device at 7.5 miles produced lesions approaching one human optical disk diameter—about four times greater in diameter than those caused by exposure to the smaller device at about the same distance (8.1 miles). Evidence obtained on early closing shutters indicated that a dosage of about [ ] at the cornea will produce burns during the initial 70 to 100 msec of the nuclear explosion.

Burns were not obtained from weapons of multimegaton yield at distances of 12.9 and 21.6 miles. Actually, the total thermal yield received at these distances was on the order of [ ] respectively, which was ample for retinal burning. Although not conclusive, it appears that the low irradiance during the first 300 msec of the blast failed to deliver energy sufficient for burning before blinking oc-

curred, but possibly was enough to cause blinking that could provide protection during the remainder of the blast. Animals exposed at 10.7 miles from the detonation did not receive burns. A contributing factor undoubtedly was attenuation by severe rain squalls at the time of detonation.

The optical filters tested at near-threshold distances prevented retinal burns. At intermediate distances, filters reduced the incidence and severity of the lesions. The results obtained on protective shutters were inconclusive with respect to protection against retinal burns; however, information was obtained invaluable to the future development of this equipment.

Loss of animals from sun stroke or heat prostration during the afternoon of D-1 threatened to be a problem, particularly where repeated shot postponement occurred after the animals were placed in the exposure racks. There was also some indication that light reflected to the unexposed eye may have caused blinking before certain of the shutters opened. Recommendations include provision for a trailer type of exposure facility, light-tight and airconditioned.

PREFACE

The authors desire to express appreciation to: William C. Plum, U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, for technical advice and much of the thermal data used in planning and preparing this report; Joseph Mahoney, Project 8.3, U.S. Army Chemical Center, for the loan of calorimeters used in measuring thermal yields at exposure sites during participation in Shots Mohawk and Navajo; and to Captains Wayne E. Gulley and Robert D. Metcalf, USAF, MSC, Wright Air Development Center, for technical advice and assistance in preparation and use of optical filters and electronically controlled shutters.

The authors also wish to acknowledge the assistance of the personnel of the School of Aviation Medicine, USAF, who participated in all phases of the testing program. Special credit is due to Captain Milford D. Harris, USAF, VC, for supervision of animal shipment and care of the Eniwetok Proving Ground; Everett O. Richey and Henry M. Borella, for assistance in maintenance and operation of electronic equipment; Lieutenants Stanley Brois and Sanford Sigoloff, for technical and logistic assistance; A/1C Robert La Fontaine, for retinal photographic coverage; and S/Sgt Ronald Hannaford and A/2C Gerard Hefner, for assistance in animal care and maintenance and operation of exposure equipment.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD ---------- 4

ABSTRACT ---------- 5

PREFACE ---------- 7

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION ---------- 13
1.1 Objectives ---------- 13
1.2 Military Significance of Chorioretinal Burns ---------- 13
1.3 Background ---------- 13
1.4 Theory ---------- 14
1.4.1 Concentration Effects ---------- 14
1.4.2 Yield ---------- 14
1.4.3 Distance ---------- 14
1.4.4 Transmission ---------- 15
1.4.5 Irradiance and Dosage ---------- 15

CHAPTER 2 PROCEDURE ---------- 17
2.1 Shot Planning ---------- 17
2.2 Operational Procedure ---------- 17
2.2.1 Bikini Atoll ---------- 17
2.2.2 Eniwetok Atoll ---------- 18
2.3 Instrumentation ---------- 20
2.3.1 Pulse-Fractionating Shutters ---------- 21
2.3.2 Protective Devices ---------- 21
2.3.3 Energy Measurements, Spectral ---------- 22
2.3.4 Thermal-Energy Measurements and Calculations ---------- 22
2.3.5 Exposure Cages and Racks ---------- 23
2.3.6 Supporting Photography and Timing Signals ---------- 23
2.4 Animals ---------- 23

CHAPTER 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ---------- 28
3.1 Shot Erie ---------- 28
3.1.1 Thermal Measurements ---------- 28
3.1.2 Blink-Reflex Exposures ---------- 28
3.1.3 Early-Closing Shutters ---------- 32
3.1.4 Delayed-Opening Shutters ---------- 32
3.1.5 Filter Studies ---------- 33
3.2 Shot Mohawk ---------- 33
3.2.1 Thermal Measurements ---------- 33
3.2.2 Blink-Reflex Exposures ---------- 33
3.2.3 Early-Closing Shutters ---------- 35
3.2.4 Delayed-Opening Shutters ---------- 35
3.2.5 Filter Studies ---------- 35
3.2.6 Protective Electronic Shutters, Electromechanical ---------- 35
3.2.7 Protective Electronic Shutters, Electrophysical ---------- 38

3.3 Shots Cherokee, Zuni, and Navajo ---------- 41

CHAPTER 4 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ---------- 42
4.1 Conclusions ---------- 42
4.2 Recommendations ---------- 42

REFERENCES ---------- 44

FIGURES

2.1 Ophthalmoscopic observation of chorioretinal lesions in the rabbit ---------- 19
2.2 Fundus photography of the chorioretinal burns in the rabbit ---------- 19
2.3 General view of maintenance facilities of Project 4.1, on Site David, Eniwetok Atoll ---------- 20
2.4 Box diagram for electronic timing of shutters ---------- 21
2.5 Exposure racks and cages for time-intensity studies (optical shutters not shown) ---------- 24
2.6 Exposure racks and cages for blink-reflex studies ---------- 25
2.7 Principal exposure facility for Project 4.1, Site David ---------- 25
2.8 Interior view of monkey housing at Site David ---------- 26
2.9 Rabbit housing on Site David ---------- 26
3.1 Photographs of animal and human eyes taken by same retinal camera under similar conditions of lighting and distance ---------- 29
3.2 Photographs of double burns sustained by animals ---------- 30

TABLES

1.1 Thermal Yield During the First 300 msec of Nominal, Intermediate, and Megaton Atomic Detonations ---------- 15
2.1 Shots Considered for Participation at Eniwetok Atoll ---------- 18
2.2 Shots Considered for Participation at Bikini Atoll ---------- 18
2.3 Density and Designation of Filters Tested on Project 4.1 ---------- 22
3.1 A Summary of Parameters of Blink-Reflex Exposures for All Shots Tested by Project 4.1 ---------- 31
3.2 Blink-Reflex Exposures. Sizes of Chorioretinal Burns Sustained by Rabbits at Various Distances from Shot Erie ---------- 32
3.3 Blink-Reflex Exposures. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits and Monkeys at 8.1 Statute Miles from Shot Erie ---------- 34
3.4 A Comparison of Calculated Versus Measured Thermal Yield During First 300 msec of the Detonation ---------- 35
3.5 Early-Closing Shutters. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits Exposed at 8.1 Statute Miles to Increasing Increments of the Thermal Pulse from Shot Erie ---------- 36
3.6 Delayed-Opening Shutters. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits Exposed at 8.1 Statute Miles to Various Segments of the Thermal Pulse Following the First Maximum from Shot Erie ---------- 37
3.7 Filter Exposures. Chorioretinal Burn Production in Rabbits at Various Distances from Shot Erie ---------- 37

3.8 Blink-Reflex Exposures. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits and Monkeys at 7.5 Statute Miles from Shot Mohawk ----------38
3.9 Early-Closing Shutters. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits Exposed at 7.5 Statute Miles to Increasing Increments of the Thermal Pulse from Shot Mohawk ----------39
3.10 Delayed-Opening Shutters. Chorioretinal Burn Parameters for Rabbits Exposed at 7.5 Statute Miles to Various Segments of the Thermal Pulse Following the First Maximum from Shot Mohawk ----------40
3.11 Filter Exposures. Chorioretinal Burn Production in Rabbits at a Single Distance from Shot Mohawk ----------40

Chapter I
INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The primary objective of this project was to obtain information on the requirements for protection of the eyes against chorioretinal burns[1] from nuclear detonations of various yields.

Corollary technical objectives were to: (1) determine whether blink reflexes will prevent chorioretinal burns; (2) ascertain which portions of the time-intensity pulse can produce thermal injury to the retina and choroid of the eye; (3) determine the time required for blink reflex (BRT) in rabbits and monkeys exposed to the extreme light intensity of the nuclear detonation; (4) explore the feasibility of ocular protection by means of fixed-density optical filters or combinations of filters; and (5) test, under field conditions, protective shutter devices that are in the developmental state and are designed to close much more rapidly than the BRT.

1.2 MILITARY SIGNIFICANCE OF CHORIORETINAL BURNS

Because of the nature of nuclear warfare, personnel cannot always be aware of the time and location of detonation. In less time than it takes to blink the eye, personnel without protection can sustain permanent and serious ocular damage. Of paramount concern is that retinal burns can be sustained at distances greatly exceeding the limits for other prompt and significant biological effects.

1.3 BACKGROUND

For more than 90 years, medical literature has documented cases of retinal damage caused by eye exposure to radiant energy from the sun. By far, the majority of these cases were seen in persons watching eclipses of the sun without eye protection; hence, the common term for such lesions became "eclipse blindness." More recently the same type of lesion has been produced by exposure to nuclear detonations.

During Operation Upshot-Knothole (1953) chorioretinal burns were produced in the eyes of rabbits at distances between 2 and 42.5 miles (Reference 1). Four cases of accidental

---

[1] The problem of flashblindness (Reference 1) also arises from exposure to the burst and may be experienced at visible light intensities approaching the threshold of chorioretinal burning. Although in some respects it would have been desirable to have included flashblindness within the scope of the present investigation, the requirements for using relatively large numbers of human subjects exposed under rigidly controlled conditions has restricted this endeavor at the present state of knowledge to the Nevada Test Site.

burns were produced in humans at 2 to 10 miles from ground zero. Permanent scotomata (blind areas) have resulted in these individuals. These lesions, as well as those of eclipse blindness, are produced by the same spectral components of mainly visible and some infrared. There is, however, a marked difference in the rate at which injury is produced.

Eclipse blindness is sustained through a contracted pupil, which markedly limits the quantity of radiation energy admitted into the eye during any interval of exposure. Because the rate of energy delivery is low and there is loss of heat by conduction, eclipse blindness is produced by protracted periods of exposure. An appreciable portion of energy from the nuclear detonation, however, can be delivered almost instantaneously. The result is to produce burns in a fraction of the time required to blink the eye. Moreover, such exposures might well be to a widely dilated pupil of the eye at night. In the same interval of time, a wide-open pupil admits approximately 50 times the energy passed by a contracted one. Among other factors, such as weapon yield, the lack of retinal burns during Hiroshima incident was attributed to the detonation in bright sunlight when the pupils of the eyes of the observers were constricted to small size (References 2 and 3).

1.4 THEORY

1.4.1 Concentration Effects. In viewing the nuclear fireball, the energy received by the retina is proportional to the relative opening of the eye (pupillary diameter divided by the focal length), the irradiance, (cal/cm^2)/sec, at the cornea, and the duration of the exposure. About 20 percent of the incident energy is absorbed by the ocular media between the cornea and the retina (Reference 4). Despite absorption by ocular media, the transmitted energy is concentrated by the optical system to form a relatively small image, which results in a net increase in irradiance of the retina. For example, using sunlight as an energy source, it was found in rabbits that 30 msec of exposure to an irradiance of 476 (mg cal/cm^2)/sec at the cornea produced a minimal retinal lesion of 1 mm in diameter (Reference 5). The radiant dosage at the cornea was 14.3 mg cal/cm^2. Considering a pupil of 5 mm in diameter and about 80 percent energy transmission through the eye, it is apparent that the retinal dosage was greater by a factor of 20—about 280 mg cal/cm^2 under these conditions.

Energy incident upon the retina is further concentrated by its absorption to the greatest extent by the monocellular layer of pigmented epithelium. Since this layer of the retina is only about 5 microns thick in the well pigmented eye, the instaneous absorption of thermal energy in such a small volume results in a comparatively high, localized temperature rise. Instances have been observed in which heating is sufficient to cause explosive retinal detachment, which appears to be due to steam formation between the retina and subjacent tissues. An analogy is found in the 4+ cutaneous burn produced by exposure to the nuclear flash (Reference 6). In the latter case the dry, ruptured blebs of epidermis are caused by the explosive production of steam between the epidermis and dermis of the skin.

1.4.2 Yield. The yield of a nuclear weapon or device determines the size of the fireball, which in turn governs the size of the image on the retina of the eye. The apparent radius of the fireball image is inversely proportional to the distance. Since the area of the image is a function of the square of its radius, the area of the image is therefore inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the fireball.

1.4.3 Distance. Ideally, radiant thermal energy from the fireball is transmitted to the retina at a rate that is also inversely proportional to the square of the distance. Since the decrease of irradiance with distance progresses at the same rate as the dimin-

uation of image size, the net effect is to maintain a constant irradiance upon the image area of the retina. Under these conditions, the capability of the eye to resolve an image large enough to cause a significant burn appears to be the only limit to distance at which a retinal burn could be produced.

1.4.4 Transmission. Fortunately, from the perspective of biological hazard, atmospheric attenuation is an important factor in reducing irradiance. This is in addition to that caused by the inverse-square law with respect to distance. Atmospheric transmission in the hot, dry climate of the Nevada Test Site (NTS) ranges between 83 and 95 percent per mile in the range of 3,000 to 6,000 angstroms. Transmission of 80 to 85 percent transmission per mile usually is encountered at the Marshall Island area (Reference 7). The difference in transmission at the Eniwetok Proving Ground (EPG) is attributed to high humidity and salt spray from the reef formations. While a difference of 10 percent transmission per mile may seem small, a brief example demonstrates otherwise. At 100 percent transmission per mile, a weapon of 100 kt would produce 1 cal/cm^2 at 10 miles distance. At 90 percent transmission per mile the dose is reduced to 0.35 cal/cm^2; at 80 percent, to 0.12 cal/cm^2. Considered reciprocally, at 90 percent transmission per mile, 0.12 cal/cm^2 could be projected to 14 miles; or, at 100 percent transmission, to about 28 miles.

1.4.5 Irradiance and Dosage. The principal power pulse of the detonation is invariably preceded by a flash of much-smaller energy content, which terminates in 12 to 15 percent of the time required for the development of the maximum irradiance of the second pulse. Although the first pulse produces less than 1 percent of the total thermal yield of the weapon, it reaches a maximum in the first 10 msec of the explosion and, as a consequence, at short distances may play a significant role in flashblindness and chorioretinal burning (References 8 and 9).

The shape of the power pulse and, consequently, irradiance is an exponential function of time and is complex beyond the scope of this brief treatment. It is of interest, however, to examine the first 300 msec of the general thermal pulse of weapons in the range of interest of this project. The interval of 300 msec is taken here as an approximation of blink reflex time for rabbits viewing an intense flash of light.

It is observed in Table 1.1 for the 0-to-300-msec interval that the percent of total thermal yield decreases as weapon yield increases. The net thermal yield during this

TABLE 1.1 THERMAL YIELD DURING THE FIRST 300 MSEC OF NOMINAL, INTERMEDIATE, AND MEGATON ATOMIC DETONATIONS

Total Yield	Total Thermal Yield*	Increment of Total Thermal Yield Radiated During 0-300 msec†	
kt	kt	kt	pct
20	6.67	3.46	52
200	66.7	12.0	18
2,000	667	33.4	5

* Calculated at ⅓ total yield.
† Calculated according to Section 2.3.4.

period, nevertheless, increases as weapon yield increases, but not nearly so grossly as suggested by the total yield ratio. For example, a hundredfold increase in weapon yield of 20 kt to 2,000 kt results in about a tenfold increase in the theoretical thermal output during the interval of concern.

It is apparent from the foregoing that the multimegaton weapon is able to radiate a

harmful dosage to greater distances and, in this sense, is more dangerous in terms of chorioretinal burn production.

At isothermal yield distances, where the incident thermal energy is the same, the dosage received in the first 300 msec decreases as the weapon yield increases. The importance of this relationship at low incident thermal energy is illustrated by the following example calculated from the data of Table 1.1. Consider distances where the incident thermal energy at the exposure site is 500 mg cal/cm^2. During the first 300 msec, the 20-kt device produces about 52 percent of the total incident thermal energy at the exposure site, or 260 mg cal/cm^2, which is ample for chorioretinal burn production. The 2 Mt device for the same time interval produces less than 5 percent of its incident thermal energy at the exposure site, or less than 25 mg cal/cm^2. Allowing for reciprocity in the example cited in Section 1.4.1, this dosage is probably not sufficient to cause retinal damage; but of considerable importance, it may stimulate the blink reflex, thereby protecting the eye from the remainder of the flash, wherein higher irradiance is produced.

Chapter 2
PROCEDURE

2.1 SHOT PLANNING

Previous investigations on chorioretinal burns were accomplished by exposure at distances from 2 to 42.5 miles from the kiloton-range nuclear devices of Operation Upshot-Knothole. Initial pretest plans for the present study, therefore, envisioned participation in two shots of multimegaton yields, Cherokee and Zuni, and a shot of about [illegible] Erie. Shot participation considered for Eniwetok and Bikini Atolls is shown in Tables 2.1 and 2.2, respectively.

In order to obtain effects from a wider range of yields, the scope of the study subsequently was expanded to include participation during Shots Flathead, Lacrosse, Osage, and Mohawk. Exposure facilities were established at Site David (Station 410.01), Eniwetok Atoll, and at Site Nan (Station 411.01) Bikini Atoll, a range of 8.1 to 22.3 miles from the target areas.

Adequate results were not obtained from Shots Cherokee, Zuni, and Lacrosse. Considered in the light of this experience, Shots Osage and Flathead, were eliminated from the project schedule. Shot Navajo was selected for participation in lieu of these shots.

Because it now seemed possible that Shot Erie might not produce burns on Site David, simple exposure racks, without shutters but with filters, were constructed and placed on Sites Alvin, Van, Uriah, and Tom, successively closer to the shot location. It was not possible to move the exposure site at Site David forward at this time, because of the location and scheduling and intervening events. Although echeloning the exposure station in depth did not succeed in bracketing the threshold distance for burn production by Shot Erie, it did, however, produce an array of burns which otherwise would not have been possible.

In order to determine the probability of producing burns in the exposed animals on Site David during Shot Mohawk, the energy anticipated at this location was calculated for the first 300 msec of the flash from Shot Mohawk and was compared with that which had produced burns in the animals on Site David from Shot Erie during the same time increment. The results forecasted for a low yield of Shot Mohawk indicated that burns might not be sustained on Site David. Accordingly, the exposure facilities at that location were dismantled and reassembled on a flatbed trailer and subsequently moved to Site Yvonne (Station 77), where chorioretinal burns were subsequently sustained. The failure to obtain burns using the simple exposure racks at David sustained the decision to move to Yvonne for this event.

To assure adequate results from Shot Navajo, the main exposure facility at Site Nan was advanced to Site How (Station 411.02), about 5.5 miles closer to the location of the shot. In addition, a simple exposure rack with ten rabbits was placed at the 200-foot level of the photography tower (Station 70) on Nan.

2.2 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURE

2.2.1 Bikini Atoll. At about 1330 hours on D−1 for Shots Cherokee and Zuni, the animals were removed from the temporary housing facilities on Site Nan and transported

by truck to the exposure facility, Station 411.01. The animals were placed in the exposure cages beginning about ½ hour later. Shutter apertures were adjusted to individual eyes, and electrical connections were made with the shutter solenoids. Blue-box and

TABLE 2.1 SHOTS CONSIDERED FOR PARTICIPATION AT ENIWETOK ATOLL

Shot	Estimated Yield	Type	Distance from Exposure Site at David
			statute mile
Osage *		Air drop	6.2
Erie		300-foot tower	8.1
Lacrosse		Surface	8.9
Mohawk		300-foot tower	14.4
			Yvonne †
Mohawk		300-foot tower	7.5

* Subsequently eliminated from participation.
† Distance from exposure site at Yvonne.

shutter action was checked and rechecked several times. All instrumentation was left in the firing position before departure of personnel from the area.

At 1700 hours on the same day, personnel were evacuated by landing craft medium (LCM) to the USS Badoeng Strait (CVE 116) in the Bikini Lagoon. At about 0900 hours

TABLE 2.2 SHOTS CONSIDERED FOR PARTICIPATION AT BIKINI ATOLL

Shot	Estimated Yield	Type	Distance from Exposure Site at Nan
			statute mile
Flathead *		Barge	16.2
Zuni		Surface	12.8
Cherokee		Air drop	21.6
Navajo		Barge	16.1
			How †
Navajo		Barge	10.6

* Subsequently eliminated from participation.
† Distance from exposure site at How.

on D-day, personnel were transported to the exposure facility by helicopter (H−20). Recovery of the animals required 30 minutes from time of arrival of personnel at the site. The animals were then transported to the southeastern tip of the landing strip of Nan. Pickup at this location was by C-47 aircraft, which arrived at Site Fred (Eniwetok Atoll) about 80 minutes later.

The incoming aircraft was met by a project truck. Transportation to Site David was by LCM. The rabbits were subjected to ophthalmoscopic examination (Figure 2.1) and lesions were documented by fundus photography (Figure 2.2). Monkeys were checked similarity, but under general anaesthesia. A re-examination of the animals was accomplished the next day. The eyes with lesions were enucleated immediately after the animals were sacrificed. The globes were placed in formalin for preservation prior to shipment to the continental United States. Animals without lesions were held in reserve until near the end of the operation.

For Shot Navajo, the animals were transported to the exposure station on Site How by LCM and returned by helicopter to Nan for transhipment to David.

2.2.2 Eniwetok Atoll. Since the thermal energy received at Site David was below the threshold of cutaneous burning and other hazards, personnel were allowed to remain at

Figure 2.1 Ophthalmoscopic observation of chorioretinal lesions in the rabbit.



Figure 2.2 Fundus photography of the chorioretinal burns in the rabbit.

this site during shot time. Placement of animals was at night on D−1, in order to avoid undue exposure of animals to the afternoon sun. Project personnel using protective goggles viewed the shot at the side of the animal-exposure facility. The presence of project personnel at the site at shot time was particularly advantageous in one instance, when arcing of an electrical connection occurred at H−4 minutes. Rerouting of a circuit through an extension cord saved one tier of exposure shutters that would have been inoperative.

After the detonation, animals were recovered and transported by truck about ¼ mile

Figure 2.3 General view of maintenance facilities of Project 4.1, on Site David, Eniwetok Atoll. Tent in the left foreground housed up to 670 rabbits. Building at the right housed up to 48 monkeys, the shutter and electronic test and assembly laboratory, and a semi-darkened ocular examining room.

to the maintenance facility on David (Figure 2.3). Subsequent procedure was identical to that of the foregoing section.

Animals and temporary exposure racks used on Sites Tom, Uriah, Van, and Alvin were transported thereto and returned to David by LCM. A DUKW was used for movement on and between the island chain between Tom and Alvin. Animals were placed in the temporary racks between 1300 to 1700 hours on D−1, the racks having been set up during the same hours on D−2. Recovery of animals was between H + 2 and H + 4 hours. Recovery of temporary racks was accomplished between 1300 and 1700 hours on D + 3.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

The instrumentation used in this operation is divided into two general groups accordi

to purpose: (1) timing and shutter mechanisms for fractionating the light pulse timewise and (2) protective shutters and filters.

2.3.1 Pulse-Fractionating Shutters. The shutters used for fractionating the light pulse consisted of two types (Reference 10). One was a simple shutter which was cocked into an open position and was closed by an electrical impulse at the proper time. This device is referred to as an "early-opening shutter." The second type, a Kodak, Synchro Rapid 800, when triggered by an electrical impulse, opened, remained open a preselected interval of time, then closed. This device is referred to as a "delayed-opening shutter." Time of shutter actuation for the various shutters is shown in the tabular data section of Chapter 3.



Figure 2.4 Box diagram for electronic timing of shutters.

In order to have the shutter time accurate to 1 msec, the time base was established by the use of two crystal-controlled oscillators, with a frequency output of 1 kc ± 0.005 percent. Signals from the oscillator were fed into electronic gates, normally closed, but which were open by a pulse from Edgerton, Germeshausen, and Grier, Inc. (EG&G) blue boxes at the instant of detonation.

When the electronic gates were opened, 1-kc signals were fed into 2-decade scalers of special design. The scalers, when driven by the 1-kc signals, put out signals at predetermined millisecond intervals. Output from the scalers triggered a series of thyratrons, which discharged a series of condensers through the shutter solenoids, thus activating the shutters at the appropriate time.

The above systems, as shown in the box diagram (Figure 2.4), were carried in the duplicate system throughout to prevent loss of data in the event of any single system failure.

2.3.2 Protective Devices. Electromechanical Shutter. This device was developed by the Aero-Medical Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, under contract with the Electronic Corporation of America. This shutter consists of parallel grid lines etched on two superimposed transparent plates, which can be displaced laterally in opposing directions. In the open position the grid lines are coincident, and the subject has a relatively clear forward field of view. The light transmission in the open position is approximately 38 percent. In the closed position the transparent plates, with their respective grids, are displaced out of coincidence sufficient to completely occlude all forward vision. The shutter mechanism, mounted on a standard rabbit-exposure cage, is triggered by the short rise of the leading edge of the light pulse striking a phototube. The signal produced by this tube is amplified and triggers a thyratron, which discharges a condenser to actuate the actual shutter mechanism. The time required for the shutter to close is on the order of 500 μsec. An additional small photodetector was located behind each shutter. The signal produced by this tube served to activate a recording device used

to record the time required for the shutter to close. Six shutters of this type were tested.

Electrophysical Shutter. This protective device was developed by the USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, under contract with Bair Associates. The shutter is based on an electrophysical phenomenon (Kerr cell). The reduction in light transmission is a result of polarization of crystals following a change in electrical potential. This shutter is activated by the light pulse striking a phototube. Closure time is on the order of 1 μsec. Four shutters of this type were tested.

Fixed-Density Optical Filters. This type of optical device is designed to transmit a preselected portion of the spectrum. The filters were mounted on the rabbit-

TABLE 2.3 DENSITY AND DESIGNATION OF FILTERS TESTED ON PROJECT 4.1

Density	Designation
2.0	Neutral
2.4	Neutral
3.8	Neutral
4.6	Neutral
9.3	Neutral
4	Green
4.6	Green
4.7	Green
5	Green
6	Green
7	Green
5.0	Cosmetan
Red and IR	Combination red transmitting and infrared absorbing
IR	Infrared absorbing

exposure cages with a specially designed aluminum filter holder. The several types of fixed-density filters used in this project with their respective densities and transmission factors are listed in Table 2.3.

2.3.3 Energy Measurements, Spectral. Initial planning on the requirements of this project envisioned the use of a spectroscope as an integral part of the animal-exposure facilities. This equipment was to furnish both qualitative and quantitative information on the spectrum of the flash as a function of time. This information was needed in order to extrapolate to other parameters of exposure which might be encountered in a variety of situations.

The inclusion of spectroscopic equipment would have more than quadrupled the cost of the project. Moreover, it appeared probable that the equipment could not be developed in time for the operation. A final consideration that led to the elimination of this equipment was reliable information that the desired measurements had been accomplished by a research group from the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) and the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL). Accordingly, spectral measurements were not accomplished by Project 4.1.

2.3.4 Thermal-Energy Measurements and Calculations. Thermal measurements were not made by this project, except during Shots Navajo and Mohawk. The instrumentation used for this purpose was obtained on loan from Project 8.3 (Chemical Warfare Laboratories). This instrumentation will be described separately in a report by that project. Information on irradiance, thermal dosage, and atmospheric transmissivity (wherever

available) was obtained from Project 8.1 (U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory) and Project 5.7 (Wright Air Development Center).

Incident thermal energy at the various exposure sites was calculated from the expression:

$$Q = \frac{W\,10^3}{D^2}\ \exp(-KD)$$

Where: Q = incident thermal energy in mg cal/cm^2

W = total yield in kilotons

D = slant distance in statute miles, and

K = 2.59×10^{16}k (fractional absorption/statute mile, where k = linear coefficient/cm).

Thermal energy dose at the cornea of the eye was calculated from Q, above, using Figure 17, "Capabilities of Atomic Weapons", TM 23-200; June 1955, Armed Forces Special Weapons, Washington, D.C., wherein percent of emitted thermal energy at any time, t, is shown graphically as a function of relative time, t/t_{2max}. In the calculations, BRT or the interval during which a given shutter was open, was substituted for time, t. Actual time to the second maximum, t_{2max}, was used in all cases.

2.3.5 Exposure Cages and Racks. The chief problem in the design of exposure cages was to immobilize each animal's head so that its attention could be directed toward the detonation. The salient features of the exposure cages are discernible in Figures 2.5 and 2.6. Exposure racks are shown in Figure 2.7.

2.3.6 Supporting Photography and Timing Signals. Motion-picture cameras were furnished and operated by EG&G. Included were two cameras operating at 500 to 600 frames/sec with 200 time markers per second. These were used to photograph the shutter array in order to furnish a record of the actual shutter movements. They were backed up by two gun-sight-aiming-point (GSAP) cameras operating at 64 frames/sec.

A third high-speed camera, supported by one GSAP camera, was used to photograph the sixteen animals in the blink-reflex array.

Evaluation of the films obtained from these six cameras gave actual times to the nearest 5 msec of shutter actions and blink reflexes.

Finally, EG&G furnished timing signals to operate an alarm bell and, for Shots Mohawk and Navajo, two calorimeters. The alarm bell was used to awaken the animals shortly before time zero and to orient their eyes in the direction of ground zero.

2.4 ANIMALS

A total of 650 pigmented rabbits of mixed breed, of both sexes and weighing about 5 to 7 pounds each, was obtained from an animal supplier in the vicinity of San Antonio, Texas. The rabbits were received in lots of about 150 each and were immediately checked for disease and physical condition that might render them poor risks under field conditions.

Figure 2.5 Exposure racks and cages for time-intensity studies (optical shutters not shown).



Figure 2.6 Exposure racks and cages for blink-reflex studies.



Figure 2.7 Principal exposure facility for Project 4.1 at Sit : David. The two tiers of exposure cages on the left are the pulse fractionating shutters described in Section 2.3.1. The center cages are for blink-reflex studies described in Section 3.1.2. The two tiers of cages to the right of center are protective shutters and filters. Electromechanical shutters are on the upper three cages in the last two tiers. Blue boxes are discernible to the left of center.



Figure 2.8 Interior view of monkey housing at Site David.

Figure 2.9 Rabbit housing on Site David.

Pathological ocular defects, particularly, were cause for rejection. Groups of ten rabbits were maintained in each of 65 cages designed for ease of transport to and housing in the EPG.

A total of forty male monkeys, Macaca Rhesus, was obtained from the primate colony at the School of Aviation Medicine. Hardy specimens about 3½ years of age and weighing about 8 pounds were selected from the animals of the colony. As in the case of rabbits, pathological ocular abnormalities were cause for rejection. The monkeys were housed individually in multiple dwelling cages of five units each. Both the rabbit and monkey cages were designed for placement in tiers in order to occupy a minimum of floor space. Superficial details of the animal facilities required for this operation are discernible from Figures 2.8 and 2.9.

For several shots the exposure facility faced into the afternoon sun, which caused overheating of the animals after placement. Some losses noted in the results as "dead on recovery" were incurred in this manner. This problem was particularly serious during Shot Navajo, the last participation for Project 4.1. Placement and recovery of animals on three consecutive D−1 days resulted in the loss of 35 rabbits and 5 monkeys through heat prostration or heat exhaustion. On the final day animals were placed after 1800 hours. No loss was incurred. This problem of animal attrition due to heat can be eliminated in future studies of this type by incorporation of the exposure facilities in airconditioned trailers.

Chapter 3

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Chorioretinal burns were sustained on two shots, Erie and Mohawk. Detailed results on these shots are found in Tables 3.2 through 3.10. No burns were sustained on four shots, Lacrosse, Cherokee, Zuni, and Navajo, apparently because of a low radiant dosage during the period of the blink reflex. Parameters of blink-reflex exposures for all six shots are summarized in Table 3.1. Blink-reflex exposures are those that were limited only by the blink-reflex response of the individual animal, in contrast to other exposure series (which were accomplished behind early-closing, delayed opening, and protective shutters).

Chorioretinal burns were subjectively classified according to ophthalmoscopic appearance as mild, moderate, or severe. Lesion size was related to the human optic disk diameter (Figure 3.1).

3.1 SHOT ERIE (15.9 KT)

3.1.1 Thermal Measurements. No thermal measurements were accomplished. Calculated incident thermal energy (Section 2.3.4) at each exposure site is shown in Table 3.1.

3.1.2 Blink-Reflex Exposures. Chorioretinal burns were produced in 22 of 26 rabbits among the five exposure stations in this series (Table 3.2). Distances ranged between 2.9 and 8.1 miles. Lesion sizes ranged from about ⅛ to 2 human optic disk diameters. Smaller (and apparently less-severe) lesions were encountered at increasing distances from the fireball, as theoretically predicted by the diminuation of image size and increasing atmospheric attenuation of thermal energy with distance. Four animals sustained double or dumbell-shaped burns (Figure 3.2), which were noted in earlier studies (Reference 1). This type of lesion is caused by movement of the eye during exposure to the flash. It has been estimated that the rabbit is susceptible to retinal burning at distances about 25 percent greater than those equally harmful to man (Reference 9). By analogy, the distance here of 8.1 statute miles extrapolates to 6.5 for man. Both burn size and the high incidence of burns (80 percent) at the farthest exposure site, David, indicates that burning could have been produced at somewhat greater distances under the conditions of this test.

Eight of ten rabbits at David (Table 3.3) sustained burns on viewing the first 289 to 437 msec of the detonation. For these intervals, dosage at the cornea is calculated at mg cal/cm^2 (Section 2.3.4). Average values are at 382 msec. Limited data at 300 msec (Table 3.4) indicates that the actual thermal yield was about 25 percent less than that predicted by the calculations herein. Accordingly, the range of corneal dosage is probably more nearly or an average of about Double burns sustained by Rabbits D-90 and D-54 indicate that lesions could have been produced by less-severe parameters of exposure than are presented here. This observa-

Mild. Approximately $\frac{1}{8}$ disk diameter with little or no visible halo. Rabbit F-31

Moderate. Approximately 1 disk diameter with definite halo and slight hemorrhage. Rabbit E-53

Severe. Approximately 2 disk diameters with wider edematous halo. Rabbit A-45



Normal. Human optic disk. Airman R

Figure 3.1 Since actual measurement of the burned area was neither feasible nor accurate, the burn size was stated in relation to the size of the human optic disk observed with the ophthalmoscope. All photographs, animal and human were taken using the same retinal camera under similar conditions of lighting and distance.



Double burn. Monkey 571



Double burn. Monkey 701

Double burn. Rabbit A-45

Figure 3.2 Movement of the eye as a reflex action adjusting fixation was stimulated by the fireball. This movement occurred before the blink reflex protected the retina. Double burns thus produced were not observed in animals behind staggered shutters.

tion supports the contention that retinal burns could have been produced in rabbits, and presumably man, at distances greater than 8.1 and 6.5 statute miles, respectively.

Six of eight monkeys received chorioretinal burns on viewing the first 109 to 312 msec of the burst from Shot Erie (Table 3.3). For these intervals, the calculated dose at the cornea ranges between . Average values are at 160 msec. From compensation as in the preceding paragraph, it seems probable that the average value would be on the order of about .

The predominance of minimal lesions observed in this series suggests that 8.1 miles was near threshold for production of chorioretinal burns in the monkey under the condi-

page 31 deleted.

tions of the experiment. The average BRT for the monkey at 160 msec is a little less than half of the average of 382 msec required for the rabbit to blink his eye. Human BRT has been variously reported from 50 to 100 msec and apparently overlaps the range for the monkey. These and other factors strongly suggest that the monkey should be used for chorioretinal burn studies in the future.

3.1.3 Early-Closing Shutters. Retinal burns were sustained by 8 of 27 rabbits exposed behind early-closing shutters at 8.1 miles on Site David (Table 3.5). Rabbits behind four malfunctioning shutters were considered as "no exposures." Burns were not encountered

TABLE 3.2 BLINK-REFLEX EXPOSURES. SIZES OF CHORIORETINAL BURNS SUSTAINED BY RABBITS AT VARIOUS DISTANCES FROM SHOT ERIE.

Subjects viewed the fireball from its initiation for a period limited only by the blink reflex of the individual animal. The BRT at David ranged between 289 and 437 msec, averaging 382 msec among those animals sustaining burns (Table 3.3). All lesions are single except where shown as double. Size of lesions shown as fraction or multiple of human optic disk diameters (d.d.).

Position Number	Burn Production, Location of Site, and Distance in Statute Miles				
	Tom, 2.9 mi.	Uriah, 3.6 mi.	Van, 3.8 mi.	Alvin, 4.9 mi.	David, 8.1 mi.
1	2 d.d., severe	1 d.d., moderate	1 d.d., moderate, hemorrhage	½ d.d., moderate	no burn
2	double; 1½ d.d., severe; ¾ d.d., severe	double; ½ d.d., moderate; ½ d.d., moderate	1½ d.d., moderate, hemorrhage	1 d.d., moderate	½ d.d., mild
3	no burn	½ d.d., moderate	animal escaped	2½ d.d., moderate, hemorrhage	½ d.d., mild
4	dead on recovery	dead on recovery	2 d.d., moderate, hemorrhage	½ d.d., mild	no burn
5	dead on recovery	dead on recovery	½ d.d., moderate	1 d.d.	double; ½ d.d., mild; ¾ d.d., moderate
6	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	no burn	double; ½ d.d., mild; ½ d.d., mild
7	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	½ d.d., mild
8	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	½ d.d., mild
9	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	½ d.d., moderate
10	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	no exposure	½ d.d., moderate

in any of the first fourteen exposures in the range of 0 to 7.5 through 0 to 59 msec. It was thought earlier that two animals might have sustained burns during the interval of the first pulse, One, however, proved to have resulted from shutter failure; the other was a case of uncertain retinal pigmentation, noted frequently in the gray rabbit.

Burns were first encountered in the increment of 0 to 67.5 msec, which corresponds to a calculated thermal dosage of the cornea of about Four, or possibly five, of eight rabbits received burns in the range of 0 to 67.5 through 0 to 107 msec. The time of the maximum of the second pulse occurred at Beyond this period, four of the remaining five exposures resulted in burns. The extremely low incidence of burning (one of fifteen) until reaching the rapidly ascending portion of the second pulse discounts the contribution of the first flash to burn production under the conditions of the experiment.

3.1.4 Delayed-Opening Shutters. Three chorioretinal burns were sustained from ten exposures in this series of rabbits (Table 3.6). Four shutter failures and two cases of

photographic film failure were considered as "no exposures." None of the exposures included the maximum of the first flash, which terminated at . The time of the maximum thermal flux was at One minimal burn was produced by of exposure to about on the ascending portion of the second pulse. Another minimum lesion was sustained by of exposure to about on the descending segment of the pulse. A third (and somewhat larger) lesion, ½ optic disk diameter, included both ascending and descending portion of the curve of the second pulse.

3.1.5 Filter Studies. Burns produced behind filters on Sites Uriah and Van at 3.0 and 3.8 miles, respectively, were somewhat similar in appearance to blink-reflex lesions sustained on Site David at 8.1 miles distance from ground zero (Tables 3.2 and 3.7). From this similarity it is inferred that the filters reduced the thermal rate and yield incident at the cornea at Uriah and Van to a level comparable to that at David.

All filters and combinations of filters tested either reduced the severity of, or prevented, burning. However, no conclusions are possible concerning the relative burn production of various segments of the thermal spectrum.

3.2 SHOT MOHAWK

3.2.1 Thermal Measurements. An incident thermal energy at was measured at the Site Yvonne exposure station by equipment on loan from Project 8.3. The reliability of the measurement is not known, but is in agreement with calculated at a transmission of 93 percent/mile for 7.5 statute miles. At David, a distance of 14.4 miles, an incident thermal energy of was measured by the same equipment. At 93 percent transmission/mile, the calculated value is The disparity between measured and calculated values may be attributed to instrumentation operating at its lower limit of resolution.

3.2.2 Blink-Reflex Exposures. Chorioretinal burns were produced in six of eight rabbits and eight of eight monkeys at Site Yvonne (Table 3.8), a distance of 7.5 statute miles from the fireball. This extrapolates for man to about 5.1 miles (based on the difference in focal distances of rabbit and man). Among the rabbits, lesion size was about the same as the diameter of the human optic disk. Minor hemorrhaging was observed in only one instance. those which were encountered at 3 to 5 miles distance from Shot Erie (Tables 3.1 and Blink reflex time as determined from photography ranged from 250 to 454 msec, with an average of 362 msec. Corresponding thermal dosage at the cornea calculated at

The correlation of the size of burns with the fireball diameter is found in a comparison of the BRT data from Shot Mohawk with that from Shot Erie. Large burns of 1-human-disk diameter were produced by exposure to Shot Mohawk at Site Yvonne (7.5 miles from of yield at a transmission of 90 percent/mile).

Burns were not produced in eight rabbits at Site David by Shot Mohawk, a distance of 14.4 miles. Accordingly, it is estimated that burns would not have been produced in man

at 11.5 miles, except where less atmospheric attenuation prevails. Note is made that this condition obtains at high altitudes.

Although burns were not produced at Site David by Shot Mohawk, a distance of 14.4 statute miles, the incident thermal energy available during the blink reflex time is calculated at [ ] This range appears sufficient to cause injury compared

TABLE 3.3 BLINK-REFLEX EXPOSURES. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS AND MONKEYS AT 8.1 STATUTE MILES FROM SHOT ERIE

Exposure site was located on David Island. No hemorrhaging was observed. Size of burns are shown as fractions of human optic disk diameter (d. d.). Total thermal energy incident at the site and thermal doses at the cornea were calculated according to Section 2.3.4.

Animal Number	Period of Exposure (Blink Reflex Time)	Calculated Thermal Dose at the Cornea	Size of Burn and General Description
	msec	mg cal/cm²	
Rabbits:			
D-50	0 to 289		⅓ d. d., mild
D-52	0 to 375		¼ d. d., mild
D-55	0 to 375		¼ d. d., mild
D-90	0 to 380		double; ⅓ d. d., moderate; ⅓ d. d., mild
D-54	0 to 437		double; ⅓ d. d., mild; ⅓ d. d., mild
D-56	0 to 437		¼ d. d., mild
D-51*			no burn
D-49*			no burn
A-21†			⅓ d. d., moderate
A-26†			⅓ d. d., moderate
Average:	382		
Monkeys:			
569	0 to 109		¼ d. d., bilateral, mild
545	0 to 125		no burn
735	0 to 125		minimal, bilateral, mild
524	0 to 140		no burn
606	0 to 156		minimal, right eye, mild
745	0 to 156		minimal, right eye, mild
707	0 to 203		minimal, right eye, mild
712	0 to 312		¼ d. d., bilateral, mild
Average:	160		

* Unable to determine BRT from photography.
† On water tower, 25 feet above main exposure site

with [ ] reference to data at 300 msec (Table 3.4) shows that the measured energy is about a third of that calculated for the same time interval. Accordingly, the incident thermal energy for Mohawk at David adjusts to about [ ] which might explain the lack of burns at 14.4 miles.

Among the monkeys, both eyes were burned in eight of eight animals. Double burns were encountered in two instances (Figure 3.2). In one case, minor hemorrhaging in one eye and, in another instance, central hemorrhaging in both eyes were observed. Lesions

ranged in size between ⅛ and 1 optic-disk diameter with the preponderance on the order of ½ to 1 disk diameter.

3.2.3 Early-Closing Shutters. Retinal burns were sustained by 7 of 26 rabbits exposed behind the early-closing shutters at Site Yvonne (Table 3.9). Six exposure failures were counted as "no exposure."

The time for the minimum following the first pulse was [illegible] It is noted that four of thirteen rabbits received burns during this period. In fact, two animals sustained retinal damage as early as the first 15.6 to 31.1 msec of the flash.

All of the lesions from the first pulse were mild and small, ranging from pin point to about ½ human disk diameter in size. Although four animals sustained burns prior to [illegible] no other burns were produced behind shutters that closed from 60 to 250 msec after time zero, except in one case at 109 msec limit, wherein a small double burn occurred. This apparent inconsistency in the data is unexplained. Two exposures at 0 to 952 msec resulted in equivalent lesions of 1 optic-disk diameter.

3.2.4 Delayed-Opening Shutters. Three, or possibly four, of sixteen rabbits sustained burns in this series (Table 3.10). The interval of 15.6 to 250 msec produced a doubtful lesion in one of two animals exposed. In two of two rabbits, the increment of 15.6 to 1,000 msec produced burns of essentially the same size and severity as those observed in the

TABLE 3.4 A COMPARISON OF CALCULATED VERSUS MEASURED THERMAL YIELD DURING FIRST 300 MSEC OF THE DETONATION*

blink-reflex study. The interval of 485 to 635 msec caused a small mild burn in one of two animals. Although the animal was exposed only about half of its blink-reflex time, the dose rate was near the maximum for this shot. The time of the maximum was [illegible] No burns were produced after the first 60 seconds of the flash.

3.2.5 Filter Studies. Only two burns were produced in 27 exposures behind the fixed-density optical filters. These lesions were both ½ human disk diameter in size and were of moderate severity. Neither burn was produced behind the least-protective filters (Table 3.11).

3.2.6 Protective Electronic Shutters, Electromechanical. Electromechanical, as well as the electrophysical shutters (to be discussed below), are developmental devices rather than prototypes or reproduction models. Evaluations on this project were intended to determine the effectiveness of their operation at their present stage of development. Infor-

mation derived from Operation Redwing could serve as a guide for the development of future devices of this or similar nature.

Three shutters of this type were tested on each shot. The recorder, as described previously, was to provide a record of the actual shutter closing time and to give positive evidence that the shutters closed in the required time. This recording device did not

TABLE 3.8 EARLY-CLOSING SHUTTERS. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS EXPOSED AT 8.1 STATUTE MILES TO INCREASING INCREMENTS OF THE THERMAL PULSE FROM SHOT ERIE

function properly on any test. There is no positive assurance that the shutters closed for Shots Cherokee, Zuni, Navajo, or Mohawk, although they were satisfactorily tested prior to each shot. None of the electromechanical shutters were operative for Shot Erie, due to an electrical short circuit and fire in the outlet panel. Project personnel were present at the exposure site during Shot Lacrosse and visually determined that the shutters did operate. In order to obtain some information on the order of closing time for these shutters under field conditions, advantage was taken of Shot Blackfoot to photograph an oscillograph tract of the shutter closure time. This was determined to be approximately 500 μsec and is in agreement with figures obtained in the laboratory.

Although the shutters were inoperative during Shot Erie, it is significant that no burns

TABLE 3.6 DELAYED-OPENING SHUTTERS. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS EXPOSED AT 8.1 STATUTE MILES TO VARIOUS SEGMENTS OF THE THERMAL PULSE FOLLOWING THE FIRST MAXIMUM FROM SHOT ERIE

TABLE 3.7 FILTER EXPOSURES. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PRODUCTION IN RABBITS AT VARIOUS DISTANCES FROM SHOT ERIE

Subjects viewed the fireball from its initiation for a period limited only by the blink reflex time. The blink reflex time was not determined for this series. No double lesions were produced. No hemorrhaging was observed. Size of burns shown as fraction or multiples of human optic disk diameter (d. d.).

Filter	Burn Production, Location of Site, and Distance in Statute Miles				
	Tom, 2.7 mi.	Uriah, 3.0 mi.	Van, 3.8 mi.	Alvin, 4.9 mi.	David, 8.1 mi.
4 G*	no burn	no burn	no exposure	no exposure	no burn
4 G*	no burn	½ d. d., moderate	no exposure	no exposure	no burn
2 N†	no burn	½ d. d., moderate	½ d. d., mild	no burn	no burn
2 N†	no burn	no burn	no exposure	no burn	no burn
R + IR‡	no burn	¼ d. d., moderate	½ d. d., moderate	no exposure	no burn
R + IR‡	no burn	no exposure	no burn	no exposure	no burn
IR §	no burn	no exposure	½ d. d., moderate	no exposure	no burn
IR §	no exposure	no exposure	no burn	no exposure	no burn

* Green ‡ Combination red transmitting and infrared absorbing
† Neutral § Infrared absorbing

were received. From this fact, in conjunction with supporting theoretical calculations, it may be inferred that the 60-to-65 percent attenuation of the shutter in the open position was sufficient to reduce the thermal dose incident on the cornea to a level below the retinal-burn threshold.

Under the experimental conditions that prevailed, it may be inferred that the electromechanical shutter can offer satisfactory protection against retinal burns. It is not

TABLE 3.8 BLINK-REFLEX EXPOSURES. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS AND MONKEYS AT 7.6 STATUTE MILES FROM SHOT MOHAWK

Exposure site was located on Site Yvonne. Size of burns are shown as fractions of human optic disk diameter (d. d.). Total thermal energy incident at the site [illegible] the thermal doses at the cornea were calculated according to Section 2.3.4.

Animal Number	Period of Exposure	Calculated Thermal Dose at the Cornea	Size of Burn and Description
	msec	mg cal/cm²	
Rabbits:			
E-50	0 to 250		1 d. d., moderate to severe
D-46	0 to 266		no burn
E-54	0 to 300		no burn
E-53	0 to 391		1 d. d., severe, hemorrhage
E-56	0 to 391		1 d. d., severe
E-49	0 to 422		1 d. d., moderate to severe
E-55	0 to 484		1 d. d., moderate to severe
E-51*			1 d. d., moderate to severe
Average:	0 to 363		
Monkeys:			
601	0 to 100		bilateral; 1 d. d., moderate to severe; 1 d. d., moderate to severe
800	0 to 100		bilateral; ⅓ d. d., mild; ⅓ d. d., mild
845	0 to 100		bilateral; ⅓ d. d., moderate; ⅓ d. d., moderate
701	0 to 156		bilateral; double; ⅓ d. d., moderate; ⅓ d. d. moderate; ⅓ d. d. moderate; ⅓ d. d. moderate
713	0 to 250		bilateral; double; ¼ d. d., moderate; 1 d. d. moderate; ½ d. d., moderate; 1+ d. d., moderate
671	0 to 422		bilateral; 1+ d. d., moderate; 1+ d. d., moderate; hemorrhage
740	0 to 516		bilateral; ¾ d. d., mild to moderate; ¾ d. d. mild to moderate
794	0 to 672		bilateral; 1 d. d., severe, hemorrhage; 1 d. d., severe, hemorrhage
Average:	0 to 293		

* Unable to determine blink-reflex exposure from photography

possible to make a positive conclusion until these devices have been further tested and evaluated.

Detailed information on this shutter will be reported by the contractor at the completion of the present developmental contract.

3.2.7 Protective Electronic Shutters, Electrophysical. Four shutters of this type were tested on three shots [illegible] (Cherokee, Zuni, and Navajo) at Sites

TABLE 3.9 EARLY-CLOSING SHUTTERS. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS EXPOSED AT 7.5 STATUTE MILES TO INCREASING INCREMENTS OF THE THERMAL PULSE FROM SHOT MOHAWK

Exposure site was located on Site Yvonne. One double lesion was produced. No hemorrhaging was observed. Size of burns are shown as fractions of human optic disk diameter (d. d.). Total thermal energy at the exposure site and thermal doses at the cornea were calculated according to Section 2.3.4.

Animal Number	Period of Exposure		Calculated Thermal Dose at the Cornea	Size of Burn and Description
	Desired	Actual		

TABLE 3.10 DELAYED-OPENING SHUTTERS. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PARAMETERS FOR RABBITS EXPOSED AT 7.5 STATUTE MILES TO VARIOUS SEGMENTS OF THE THERMAL PULSE FOLLOWING THE FIRST MAXIMUM FROM SHOT MOHAWK

Exposure site was located on Site Yvonne. No double lesions were produced. No hemorrhaging was observed. Size of burns are shown as fractions or multiples of human optic disk diameter (d. d.). Total thermal energy at the exposure site and thermal doses at the cornea were calculated according to Section 2.3.4.

Animal Number	Period of Exposure		Duration of Exposure	Calculated Thermal Dose at the Cornea	Size of Burn and Description
	Desired	Actual			

TABLE 3.11 FILTER EXPOSURES. CHORIORETINAL-BURN PRODUCTION IN RABBITS AT A SINGLE DISTANCE FROM SHOT MOHAWK

Subjects viewed the fireball from its initiation for a period limited only by the blink reflex time. The blink reflex time was not determined for this series. No double lesions were produced. No hemorrhaging was observed. Size of burns shows as fractions or multiples of human disk diameter (d. d.).

Filter	Site Yvonne, 7.5 mi., Burn Production and Distance in Statute Miles	Filter	Site Yvonne, 7.5 mi., Burn Production and Distance in Statute Miles
4 G	no burn	3.8 N	no burn
4 G	no burn	3.8 N	no burn
4 G	no burn	4.6 N	no burn
5 G	no burn	4.6 N	no burn
5 G	no burn	4.6 N	no burn
5 G	no burn		
6 G	no burn	5.8 B	no burn
6 G	½ d. d., moderate	5.8 B	no burn
6 G	no burn	5.8 B	no burn
2 N	no burn	2 N + IR	no burn
2 N	no burn	2 N + IR	no burn
2 N	no burn	2 N + IR	no burn
2.4 N	no burn		
2.4 N	no burn		
2.4 N	½ d. d., moderate		
3.8 N	no burn		

Nan and How. Results on all three tests were inconclusive, as no burns were obtained with or without protection.

Several deficiencies that should guide future development have been noted: (1) The aperture is too small. (2) The field of view is extremely constricted. (3) The light attenuation of the device in the open position appears to be sufficiently high to prevent burns at the distances tested, even though the shutter remains open. (4) No means are provided to indicate whether the shutter functions at shot time.

All four shutters were tested completely and worked satisfactorily prior to each shot.

Detailed information on this shutter will be reported by the contractor at the completion of the present developmental contract (Reference 11).

3.3 SHOTS CHEROKEE, ZUNI, AND NAVAJO

No burns were produced despite the relatively high incident thermal energy received at the exposure station in at least two instances. According to Table 3.1, respectively, were produced by Cherokee and Zuni at Site Nan, where no burns were encountered. Shot Erie by contrast, caused ample retinal burning at Site David, with an incident thermal energy of only Further examination of the data shows that the burns by Shot Erie at Site David were produced by delivered during the first 289 to 437 msec of the detonation, i.e., the period of the blink reflex. Cherokee and Zuni, by contrast, produced only during essentially the same interval. Although this level was apparently not sufficient to cause burning, it was sufficient to cause blinking, which protected against the remainder of the second pulse. The lack of burn production precludes an estimate of the threshold parameters for chorioretinal burning by bursts.

Chapter 4

CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 CONCLUSIONS

Chorioretinal burns were produced at distances greatly exceeding the limits for any other prompt and significant biologic effects of nuclear detonations. The problem of chorioretinal burns is one of increasing significance at higher altitudes, where lack of atmospheric attenuation increases not only radiant exposure, but also both the rate at which radiant energy is delivered and the distance to which a given amount can be transmitted.

The distance at which burning is produced in the EPG was less than that anticipated from the results of Operation Upshot-Knothole at the NTS, where burns were encountered at distances as great as 42.5 miles from ground zero. The lesser range at the EPG may be due to higher atmospheric attenuation from excessive humidity.

The blink-reflex time for rabbits, monkeys, and man is not sufficient to protect against the flash from small and intermediate-range devices. The energy of the first pulse of intermediate-yield weapons can produce burns.

The air burst from a 20-kt device at dawn of a clear day (90 percent transmission/mile) is sufficient to produce chorioretinal burns at 8.1 miles for rabbits and monkeys and, by extrapolation, at 6.5 miles for man. Under comparable conditions, a nuclear device of ield can cause chorioretinal burning at 7.6 miles in animals, equivalent to 5.1 miles for man. It is probable, in the case of both devices, that burns can be produced in man at greater distances, but not as far as 11.5 miles, except where atmospheric transmission is greater than 90 percent/mile. Additional information is needed in order to establish the limiting parameters for burns over the entire range of yield.

Fixed-density optical filters reduce the caloric dose and dose rate incident on the eye and, therefore, the incidence of chorioretinal burns. No conclusion can be reached regarding the relative effectiveness of filters having various spectral transmission.

Although the results from protective shutters were inconclusive with respect to protection against chorioretinal burns, information was obtained invaluable for the future development of these devices.

4.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The loss of life incurred among animals placed in exposure boxes open to direct sunlight 12 to 14 hours before H-hour, as well as the inability to control blinking by animals due to reflected light striking the unexposed eye, indicates the advisability of using a light-tight, airconditioned trailer as an exposure facility in this type of study. A trailer is specified in order to permit rapid change of station according to distance requirements. At least two, and preferably three, exposure sites echeloned in depth

should be employed for each shot, and provision should be made for the measurement of incident thermal energy at the exposure station.

Pigmented rabbits used in studies of ocular effects must be carefully examined ophthalmoscopically for normal, as well as pathological, variation prior to use. Certain color types, particularly gray, have irregular pigmentation of the ocular fundus, which causes difficulty in detecting minimal lesions.

REFERENCES

1. V.A. Byrnes and others; "Ocular Effects of Thermal Radiation from Atomic Detonation; Flash Blindness and Chorioretinal Burns"; Project 4.5, Operation Upshot-Knothole, WT-745, November 1955, Pages 1 to 74; School of Aviation Medicine, USAF, Randolph Air Force Base, Texas; Secret.

2. A. Oyana and T. Sasaki; "A Case of Burn of the Cornea and Retina by Atomic Bomb"; Gank Rinsho Tho 40:177, 1946; Unclassified.

3. D.G. Cogan and others; "Survey of A-Bomb Survivors in Japan"; Report of Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission, November 1949; Unclassified.

4. W.T. Ham, Jr.; "Flash Burns in the Rabbit Retina"; Six Month Progress Report Contract AF 18 (600); April 1956; Unclassified.

5. K. Buettner and H.W. Rose; "Eye Hazards from an Atomic Bomb"; Sight Saving Rev. 23:192-197, 1953.

6. J.H. Morton, H.D. Kingsley, and H.E. Pearse; "Studies on Flash Burns: Threshold Burns"; University of Rochester Atomic Energy Project Report, UR-174, 52-64; 1951.

7. J.A. Curico, C.F. Drummeter, C.C. Petty, H.S. Stewart, and C.P. Butler; "An Experimental Study of Atmospheric Transmission"; 13:97-102; 1953; JOSA.

8. H.W. Rose, David V.L. Brown, Victor A. Byrnes, and Paul A. Cibis; "Human Chorioretinal Burns from Atomic Fireballs."

9. V.A. Byrnes, D.V.L. Brown, H.W. Rose, and Paul A. Cibis; "Chorioretinal Burns Produced by Atomic Flash"; A.M.A. Arch. Ophth. 53:351-364, 1955.

10. E.O. Richey; "A Flash-Triggered Electronic Timing and Multiple Shutter System"; Report No. 57-118, P 1-14, July 1957.

11. W.E. Gulley, R.D. Metcalf, M.R. Wilson, and J.A. Hirsch; "Evaluation of Eye Protection Afforded by an Electromechanical Shutter"; Project 4.2, Operation Plumbbob, ITR-1429, October 1957; Wright Air Development Center, Dayton, Ohio.

Pages 45 & 46 deleted